



From the collection of the

o Prelinger  
Library

San Francisco, California  
2006

1845

1847

1853

LAWRENCE PUBLIC

LIBRARY

ESTABLISHED 1872

LAWRENCE, MASS.



THE  
NORTH AMERICAN  
REVIEW.

34  
VOL. XXXIV.

Boston,

GRAY & BOWEN, 141 WASHINGTON STREET.

---

1832.

---

**Steam Power Press Office,**  
**W. L. Lewis, Printer.**

## CONTENTS

OF

## No. LXXIV.

ART.	PAGE.
I. ANDERSON'S OBSERVATIONS IN GREECE . . . . .	1
Observations upon the Peloponnesus and the Greek Islands, made in 1829. By RUFUS ANDERSON, one of the Secretaries of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions.	
II. REFORM IN ENGLAND . . . . .	23
The Debate in the House of Commons on the Reform Bill.	
III. DEFENCE OF POETRY . . . . .	56
The Defence of Poesy. By Sir PHILIP SIDNEY. Republished in the Library of the Old English Prose Writers.	
IV. SILLIMAN'S CHEMISTRY . . . . .	79
Elements of Chemistry in the order of the Lectures given in Yale College. By BENJAMIN SILLIMAN, Professor of Chemistry, Pharmacy, Mineralogy, and Geology.	
V. CROKER'S BOSWELL . . . . .	91
The Life of Samuel Johnson, L.L. D. Including a Journal of a Tour to the Hebrides. By JAMES BOSWELL, Esq. A New Edition. With numerous Additions and Notes. By JOHN WILSON CROKER, L.L. D. F. R. S.	
VI. GRIFFIN'S REMAINS . . . . .	119
Remains of the Rev. Edmund D. Griffin. Compiled by FRANCIS GRIFFIN. With a Biographical Memoir of the Deceased, by the Rev. JOHN McVICAR, Professor of Moral Philosophy, &c. in Columbia College.	
VII. MARY QUEEN OF SCOTS . . . . .	144
The Life of Mary Queen of Scots. By HENRY GLASFORD BELL, Esq. Family Library, Vols. XXI. and XXII.	

CONTENTS.

VIII. THE TWO CONVENTIONS	178
1. Address to the People of the United States, by a Convention of Delegates from several Parts of the Union, assembled at Philadelphia. 1831.	
2. Address of the Friends of Domestic Industry, assembled in Convention at New York, October 31, 1831, to the People of the United States. Balti- more. 1831.	
3. A Letter to Colonel William Drayton, of South Carolina, in assertion of the Constitutional Power of Congress to impose Protecting Duties. By GULIAN C. VERPLANCK, one of the Representatives in Con- gress from the State of New York. New York. 1831.	
4. Address Delivered before the American Insti- tute of the City of New York, at their Fourth An- nual Fair, October 14, 1831. By EDWARD EVERETT. New York. 1831.	
IX. POPULAR SUPERSTITIONS	198
Lectures on Witchcraft, comprising a History of the Delusion in Salem in 1692. By CHARLES W. UP- HAM, Junior Pastor of the First Church in Salem.	
An Essay on Demonology, Ghosts and Appari- tions, and Popular Superstitions. Also, an Account of the Witchcraft Delusion at Salem in 1692. By JAMES THACHER, M. D., A. A. S.	
X. EFFECTS OF MACHINERY	220
The Working Man's Companion, No. 1. The Results of Machinery, being an Address to the Working Men of the United Kingdom.	
XI. MILITARY ACADEMY	246
Reports of the Boards of Visitors of the Military Academy at West Point, in June, 1830, and June, 1831.	
XII. ENCYCLOPÆDIA AMERICANA	262
Encyclopædia Americana. A Popular Dictionary of Arts, Sciences, Literature, History, Politics and Biography; brought down to the present time; in- cluding a copious Collection of original Articles in American Biography; on the basis of the seventh Edition of the German Conversations-Lexicon. Ed- ited by FRANCIS LIEBER, assisted by E. WIGGLES- WORTH and T. G. BRADFORD.	

# NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW.

No. LXXIV.

JANUARY, 1832.

ART. I.—*Anderson's Observations in Greece.*

*Observations upon the Peloponnesus and the Greek Islands, made in 1829.* By RUFUS ANDERSON, one of the Secretaries of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions. Boston. 1830.

At the close of the year 1828, Mr. Anderson sailed from Boston for Malta, under the special instructions of the Prudential Committee of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions. Two objects occasioned the mission of Mr. Anderson to Greece, as a special agent of the board. One of these objects was to confer with the missionaries to Syria, who had been driven from their stations by the political disturbances which agitated the Turkish empire, and who had taken refuge in Malta. The other was to ascertain, by authentic information collected on the spot, what kind of efforts it was incumbent on the board to make, for the improvement of liberated Greece. The volume before us contains, in its first part, the narrative of Mr. Anderson's tour of observation in the Peloponnesus and Greek islands, for the purpose of accomplishing the second object of his errand; and, in its second part, a digest of observations upon the territory, population, and Government of Greece, upon the state and prospects of education, upon the Greek church, and upon the measures to be pursued by Protestants, for the benefit of Oriental churches.

We have no hesitation in pronouncing this unpretending little volume to be one of uncommon interest and sterling value. It is filled with authentic information. It contains the result of a tour of observation, made by an intelligent and philanthropic

traveller, with assiduity, patience, good judgment, and success. The subject matter is of commanding interest; and it is communicated in a perspicuous and unambitious style. We read the work, in the undoubted assurance that its statements come to us untinctured with the hue of political faction; that the author is far above the temptation of mere book-making, or dressing up for home consumption a pompous account of adventures abroad, injudiciously and hastily run through, in the manner of the mass of tourists. The responsible station and the character of Mr. Anderson prepare us beforehand to find the stamp of authenticity on his work, and we are not disappointed in the perusal.

An abstract of Mr. Anderson's proceedings in Greece, on the subject of education, was contained in the *Missionary Herald* for February, 1830. It appears from that statement, that the President of Greece, Count Capo d'Istrias, received with sensibility the overtures of Mr. Anderson, as the agent of the board, on the subject of establishing and supporting schools in Greece, by the benevolent friends of that country in America. He, however, expressed a decided preference, that the means which it might be in the power of the friends of Greece to appropriate for this purpose, should take the form of a loan to the Government of Greece, to be applied by that Government, in conformity with the systematic plan, which it has adopted for the promotion of education. The President also expressed his desire to have young men, well calculated for that purpose, sent out to Greece, who should further qualify themselves at the Normal school, established at Ægina, for the office of instruction in the Lancasterian schools of the country. It would appear, from the statement in the *Missionary Herald*, just alluded to, that the American board, though highly friendly to the promotion of education in Greece, by any means which the Government might think well adapted to that object, did not deem it within their competency, to make an appropriation of their funds in the way of a loan, or for a mission of teachers like that suggested.

The operations of the board in Greece appear, at present, to be confined to the support of the printing establishment at Malta, and to the mission of the Rev. Jonas King, to whom it is proposed to send an assistant.\* The press at Malta has

---

\* Other missions are supported in Greece, by other American associations, the condition of which we are not particularly acquainted with.

already, and for a long time, been very active, in furnishing school books to Greece. Large editions of manuals, adapted for that destination, have been prepared and distributed, and farther efforts of the same kind are in progress and contemplation. The following extract of a letter from Mr. Temple, of the 31st of May, appears in the *Missionary Herald* of September.

'I am very glad of the arrival of the spelling-books.\* I have had an application some months since, from Constantinople, for three thousand of them, and from Mr. King for one thousand. So you see this supply will soon be gone. We have printed, and are printing, the lives of Joseph, Abraham, Moses, Samuel, Esther, and Daniel, and I am now busily employed in preparing a book, to be entitled a Selection of the most important Events and Narratives, recorded in the Old Testament, for the use of the Schools in Greece. All these books, I am quite confident, are popular, and if so, they can hardly fail to be useful. We have Peter Parley's Geography translated; but we have not put it to press, because we want the cuts for it. There could scarcely be a better book on this subject for Greece, if I had all the cuts for the costumes of the different nations, &c.'

It appears, by a note in the *Herald*, that the plates for the Greek translation of this popular little work, have been generously presented by its author to the American missionary press at Malta.

We are altogether of the opinion, that the cause of education in Greece can in no way be more effectually promoted, than by the operations of the press, in multiplying copies of school books. Mr. Anderson found, on his tour, that there existed the most lamentable want of books; so much so, that in some of the Lancasterian schools, not more than a single book of any kind could be found. The more judiciously the books are prepared, the better of course it will be; but provided they contain nothing immoral or absurd, it really matters less what they are. It is the main object, to put this key of knowledge into the possession of the rising generation; to teach them to read, and (what will follow as a necessary consequence) to write; and this they may learn out of almost any

---

\* Alluding 'to a shipment from this country of five thousand copies of the Alphabetarion, of which it will be remembered an edition of fifteen thousand was printed at Andover.' *Note from Miss. Herald.*

book. We should be disposed, therefore, to exercise a discreet tenderness for the national prejudices of the Greek people, in selecting and preparing the manuals to be printed for their schools. Biographical and moral selections from the Scriptures are, of course, in the highest degree fit for adoption. Judicious extracts of a similar character from the ancient history of Greece would appeal advantageously to the patriotic pride of the present day; and, for the more especial purpose of conciliating the feelings of the Greek clergy, whose unfriendly interference with the efforts made by benevolent foreigners to promote education in Greece, has been already apprehended, we should recommend judicious use of the writings of the Greek fathers. Basil and Chrysostom would furnish abundant materials, either to be reprinted in the original dialect, or to be translated into Romaic; and might sometimes penetrate where instruction of the same purport, from a Protestant source, would be received with suspicion.

And this leads us to hazard a remark on a topic, which forms a subject of just regret in the work of Mr. Anderson, and in the *Missionary Herald*, namely, the interference of the Greek Government, in the organization of the schools, particularly in prescribing the use of prayers, in which the name of the Virgin Mary is contained, and in the introduction of pictures into the schools, as objects of religious veneration. It is of course greatly to be regretted, that such an interference should take place. But the President of Greece, an enlightened and educated man, not probably himself imbued with superstition, has deemed it necessary, in his difficult position, to make this concession to the prejudices of the Greek priesthood. Under these circumstances, we are of opinion, with Mr. Anderson, that it does not constitute adequate ground for any discouragement or cessation of effort on the part of the friends of education in Greece. As for pictures, there is undoubtedly a sense in which their introduction into schools might be objectionable. But there is nothing which is not liable to abuse. The art of reading may be perverted to the perusal of dangerous books, and the art of writing employed for forgery. So pictures of saints may be made objects of idolatry. It appears that the pictures which must be suspended in the school, are either a picture of the Almighty, or of our Saviour, or the transfiguration. The first is objectionable on the score of taste, and the difficulty of embodying in a proper form, a worthy conception of the

Supreme Being ; but we should not regret to have a picture of our Saviour, or of the transfiguration, decently executed, in every church and every school-house in Christendom. Enlightened men in all churches, Protestant, Catholic, and Greek, would make precisely the same use of it ; the unenlightened cannot make a philosophical use of any thing. It is a very common, but a very great error, to suppose, that, by putting out of the way of unenlightened minds particular occasions or subjects of erroneous conceptions, you thereby ensure enlightened and refined views on the points in question. Thus a Catholic priest might enforce his instructions on the subject of our Saviour, by exhibiting his image carved on a crucifix. A Protestant missionary would be apt to discard and reject this symbol, on the supposition that, with the Catholic, it is an object of idolatrous worship ; which, however, the Catholic disclaims. But the uncivilized catechumen will neither obtain a spiritual idea of the nature of Christianity from the Protestant, who rejects, nor an idolatrous conception from the Catholic who uses, this symbol. He forms not ideas by deduction and inference. He transfers his former crude notions of things to the new subject matter. All religion must at first be taken up and conceived in the measure of the learner, not of the teacher. Till the teacher presents it in a form comprehensible by the learner, it cannot be comprehended. If you take a mind, on a low stage of civilization, and address it only with such abstractions as suit a cultivated intellect, you simply waste your labor. You may charge the memory with 'words, words, words,' but you do no more. Now if we were called upon freely to choose the medium by which we would impart to the youth of a nation, standing precisely where the Greeks do, on the scale of civilization, a lively and affecting impression of the character of our Saviour, we do not know that we should not fix on judiciously selected pictures of scenes in his life.

We admit that the use of the prayers furnished by the Government for the schools, in which, in an address to the Virgin Mary, it is said, 'All my hope is in thee,' is still more objectionable. It is a language which no Protestant can recommend. We presume it is taken even by the Greeks *cum grano salis*, and not literally, as if there were absolutely *no* other object of spiritual hope to the Greek church. But men as well as things must be taken as we find them. The call of the school-master is not identical with that of the missionary. It may be the

duty of the latter to wage uncompromising war on the religious errors of the people to whom he is sent; but the teacher, who goes to dispense the means of education, under the sanction and with the co-operation of the Government, must, as we conceive, tolerate that Government, in all such adherence to the prevailing faith of the country, as does not require, on the part of the teacher, a direct and personal sacrifice of principle.

We have already observed, that a missionary establishment is supported in Greece by the American Board. The Rev. Jonas King is the missionary employed. This gentleman having taught a school with success at Tenos, has recently established one at Athens. According to a letter from Mr. Goodell, bearing date, Smyrna, the 31st of May, it appears that Mr. King has opened a school at Athens, containing a hundred children, and that he was about opening another. The second and third chapters of the second part of Mr. Anderson's book, contain very ample and interesting statements on the condition and prospects of education in Greece; but it would be impossible to do justice to them, in an extract. We must refer our readers to the work itself. For a convenient summary view of the same subject, we extract the following statement from the last number of the *Missionary Herald*; a statement, which we presume to have been prepared by the intelligent author of the work under review, and which, in its concluding remarks, coincides substantially with the opinions we have ventured to express, in a preceding page of this article.

#### ‘PROGRESS OF EDUCATION.

“*Le Courier de la Grèce*,” for February 1, (13,) 1831, which accompanied the President's letter, contains a brief view of the schools of instruction in liberated Greece, from which the following table is compiled.

Provinces.	Schools for teaching an- cient Greek.	Scholars.	Lancasterian Schools.	Scholars.
Peloponnesus, . . . . .	19	678	36	2,970
The Islands, . . . . .	15	1,073	33	2,930
Western Greece, (on the con- tinent,) . . . . .	1	40	4	329
Eastern Greece, (ditto,) . . .	1	40	3	407
Totals, . . . . .	36	1,831	76	6,636

' The number of Lancasterian schools, in the spring of 1829, was twenty-five; and in the spring of 1830, it was sixty-two, containing five thousand four hundred and eighteen scholars. These are all established under the auspices of the Government, and supported more or less at the public expense. There are a few private schools of both kinds; and in the Peloponnesus, there are nearly two thousand children taught to read on the *old method*, so called in distinction from the Lancasterian, or *new method*. In the old schools the books are in the ancient Greek, which, being nearly unintelligible to the youths, they learn to *read*, and that is nearly all. The habit, thus created, of reading without thought, is lamentably prevalent among the people of the East, and must be broken up before books will exert their proper influence. The Lancasterian schools, bringing in, as they do, new books in the vernacular tongue, and a new method of instruction, are a happy innovation and improvement in every point of view; and should they prevail through the Eastern world, will do much towards reviving the sleeping intellect.

' At Ægina, a central school has been established, containing one hundred and seventeen pupils, who are all instructed in the ancient Greek and the French languages, and in history and mathematics. Connected with this is a preparatory school, with two hundred and twenty-seven scholars. The orphan asylum, at Ægina, with which very many, if not almost all, of the children of these two schools are connected, contained, at the commencement of the present year, four hundred and seven boys, gathered from all parts of Greece.

' In a monastery, beautifully situated on the island of Poros, an ecclesiastical seminary was founded last autumn, with two professors, and fifteen scholars. The ancient Greek, history, logic, rhetoric, and theology, are taught, with the canons of the church, the fathers, and the method of interpreting the Scriptures.

' At Nauplion there is a military school, containing sixty pupils.

' Near the ancient ruins of Tiryns, on the plain of Argos, is a model-farm, on which are fifteen pupils, supported by Government. Six are learning the art of printing in the printing-offices of Government at Nauplion and Ægina. Sixty-five are training in the national marine; and twenty-four in various professions and trades at Nauplion, Hydra, Ægina, and Syra.

' The prospects of Greece, ever since the standard of liberty was raised, ten years ago, have been in a state of constant, and often of rapid change; yet, on the whole, they have been improving from that day to this. Not that this is true of them with respect to the popular apprehension, but such has been the fact.

Greece was never so likely to be an independent and respectable state, as she is at this moment. Indeed, so strongly is almost the whole territory fortified by nature,—so abundantly is it furnished with water-power, and that easily and cheaply applied to use,—so fertile are most of its valleys and plains in the necessities of life, and so admirably adapted is the whole country for pasturage,—so without a parallel is its situation for commerce, and so numerous must commercial inducements and opportunities become to the people, who are industrious on land, and enterprising at sea ;—that, let their independence only be fairly established, and they can hardly fail of taking a respectable rank in the great community of nations. There is such a quickness and perspicacity, too, in the national mind, and such an ardent curiosity, which every traveller acknowledges, and such a thirst for knowledge, evinced in the history of the educated portion of the Greeks from the year 1800 to 1821, when they burst the chains of Turkish slavery,—that we cannot doubt the prevalence of learning again in Greece. Let the country only be free, and wealth will flow in among the people, whatever shall be their form of Government ; and those Greeks, who so liberally patronized schools for Grecian youth, and the works of Grecian genius, during their national slavery, and in the face of every discouragement, may be expected to abound in such acts, when urged onward to literary eminence by a more powerful array of motives, than ever operated upon any other people.

The French nation is, at this time, exerting a considerable influence in modifying the systems of education in Greece, and that country seems to be destined to exert a still greater influence. This is owing in part to the interest which the French nation has taken in the affairs of Greece. French troops liberated the Peloponnesus from the Egyptian army, which was covering it with desolation. A French scientific corps lately explored the antiquities, the geography, and the resources of the country ; and Frenchmen being among the Greeks in great numbers, and always ready to impart their knowledge and render assistance, the effect, in the forming period of the national institutions, could not fail to be great. This influence is increased, and will be continued, by the fact, that a knowledge of the French language is regarded by the Greeks as an essential part of a liberal education. This opens a channel from the fountain of French literature into Greece, and the Greeks are in danger of being flooded with French infidelity. French books will be more likely to be translated by Greeks, than any others. French school-books are believed to be the only ones, of which the Greek Government has ordered translations to be made. The

"Manual of Mutual Instruction," which the Government of Greece has made the exclusive rule of Lancasterian schools, is a French work, by Sarisin ; and the Greeks plead the example of the French in suspending a picture of the Saviour in the schools, for the adoration of the pupils. In this point of view, as in many others, the late revolution in France is a cheering event. Whatever is now done in France to promote free and pure institutions, must exert some influence in Greece.

'The determination of the Greek Government to introduce pictures and idolatrous prayers into all the Lancasterian schools patronized from its treasury, as evinced in the communications of Dr. Korck and Mr. Jetter, (see number for July, page 219,) is much to be deplored. One is ready to attribute this, not to the free choice of the present enlightened head of the Government, but to the force of circumstances, which may have given the priesthood an undue influence in the councils of state. The revolution in France, the alienation of England, and the wars of Russia, can have left the President of Greece but a very feeble guaranty of his power from without; and it is natural to suppose that, in such circumstances, with a strong party against him in his own country, he might not think it practicable to resist the prejudice and importunity of an ignorant and bigoted, and at the same time influential, clergy. However this may be, such a construction is demanded by a proper regard for candor. And yet, with every allowance, probably nothing has been more injurious to the reputation of the Greek Government in this country, than this engraving of idolatry upon the system of national instruction, and making it binding by law upon every teacher of every Lancasterian school. Being not less at variance with the principles of freedom, than it is with those of religion, its speedy abrogation may with some reason be anticipated.'

'Meanwhile the existence of such a law in reference to the Lancasterian schools belonging to the Government, is no sufficient reason for discouragement, nor for abandoning the field. Mr. King does certainly not so regard it. He is earnest in the request, that he may have an associate from the Board; and Mr. Temple was never so much encouraged, in respect to the usefulness of the Greek press, as when he last wrote.'

'It is proposed by the Prudential Committee, to furnish Mr. King with an associate very soon, who shall assist him in his plans and efforts to diffuse useful knowledge among the Greek people.'

The present political condition of Greece is undecided and troubled. The President, unaided at present by the allied powers, and left to contend alone with the difficulties of his

position and of the country, appears to have lost his popularity. We read of an extensive spirit of disaffection, the revolt of the pupils in the central school of Ægina, the protection accorded at Hydra to a press which the President had attempted to put down, the impeachment of the Senator Mavromichalis, chieftain of Maina, and the insurrection of his family and vassals. To what extent these disorders have proceeded, we are not apprised. They are deeply to be regretted, not merely on account of their unfavorable influence on the progress of improvement in Greece, but also for their sinister effect on the public mind of Europe, and the policy which the great powers may pursue toward the country. At this distance, we want the means of estimating the merits of Count Capo d'Istria's administration. He is a person, whose individual and private character is pure,—his manners simple,—his mode of life frugal,—his industry unwearied, and on his first arrival in Greece he was highly popular. His popularity appears now greatly on the wane, but we are able to make very great allowances for him; and to find the fault at least as much in the difficulties of his situation, the distress of the country, and the previously existing factions, as in his own arbitrary disposition. The work of Mr. Anderson and other authentic documents from Greece put it in our power to see the extent to which, in some cases, misconception and misstatement have gone. Mr. Anderson mentions, as a praiseworthy regulation of the President's Government, that he had rigidly required boats navigating the coast with passengers, to provide themselves with passports, and thus be held accountable, at the place of landing, for the safe appearance of all the passengers taken on board at the place of embarkation. In this way robbery and assassination had been prevented. Such a precaution relative to passports, is universally adopted in all countries in a state of war or of unsettled Government. We have seen great complaints in some of our journals, that the venerable Senator Mavromichalis was arrested *merely* for leaving Napoli without a passport. Now if the law required him to take one, he ought to have been arrested for breaking it. But it so happens, that he was leaving Napoli to head an insurrection in Maina among his numerous family dependents; an insurrection which, at the last accounts, assumed a very serious aspect, and had broken out into civil war.

Deplorable as these dissensions are, they may impede, but

cannot finally arrest the march of improvement in liberated Greece; and when we cast an eye back over the period which has elapsed since the Revolution began, we are filled rather with admiration than despondency. It is a little more than eight years, since we first invited the attention of our readers to the affairs of Greece. In our journal for October, 1823, we spread before the public of this country, the original text of the appeal of the Messenian Senate of Calamata to the citizens of the United States, with a translation of the entire constitution, which had been adopted at Epidaurus, on the 1st of January, 1822. These documents were accompanied with whatever our poor ability suggested, by way of awakening the sympathy and engaging the co-operation of our countrymen in the cause of their Christian brethren in Greece. Our efforts were promptly seconded in other parts of the Union. A warm but transitory enthusiasm was enkindled. A small subscription was raised in this neighborhood and other places, and a very liberal one in New York. At the ensuing session of Congress, a most powerful and eloquent appeal in favor of the Greeks was made by our eminent fellow-citizen, Mr. Webster, and cordially supported by Mr. Clay, with a success not very flattering to the spirit of our legislative councils. The Greek Revolution was at that time considered, by the majority of those who expressed their opinions, as one of those wild and tumultuous movements, which are frequently taking place under arbitrary Governments, and invariably ending in the ruin of their authors and the increased misery of the deluded men, who have followed them. This sentiment toward the Grecian Revolution was not always unaccompanied with one of sagacious derision, for those who augured favorably of it in this country.

In 1827, an affecting appeal from the commander-in-chief of the Grecian army to the friends of Greece in this country, produced (mainly, in the first instance, through the zealous efforts of a philanthropic individual at Philadelphia\*) a return of the *Greek fever*, as it was commonly called, by those whose hearts are never warm but when business is brisk, or when they have succeeded in carrying some petty point of selfish concern. This fever ran so high and spread so wide, that, under its excitement, seven or eight cargos of food and clothing

---

\* Matthew Carey, Esq.

were sent to Greece; and contributed of course to save a portion of her destitute population from perishing by want. These contributions were the offering, in many cases, of those who entertained faint hopes of the successful issue of the revolutionary struggle in which the Greeks were engaged; and in some instances it was expressly stipulated, that they were in no degree to take the form of military supplies. Proceeding, however, on general principles,—the impossibility of utterly subduing the Greeks, which the conduct of the war had already demonstrated,—the manifest absurdity, on the part of the European allies, of co-operating in a train of events, which went to build up Turkey into a military power of the first order,—the expediency of healing this inflamed and raging wound in the very heart of Europe,—and the daily growing and concentrating power of public opinion all over the world, we did venture in our number for July, 1827, to foretell an interference on the part of the allied powers; guarantying to the central portion at least of Greece an independent Government, under their joint protection. At this period the troops of Ibrahim Pacha were in the Morea, the undisputed masters of the country, and it was admitted to be out of the power of the Greeks to expel him. It happened, however, though this fact was not then known, that the convention between the allied powers had already been concluded, by which the pacification of Greece was resolved on. The Sultan refused to accept the proffered mediation of the powers. Ibrahim violated the laws of the armistice, rather imposed upon him than concluded with him by the allied admirals; and these honest naval commanders, interpreting for themselves the spirit of their orders, and finding nothing in the letter of them exactly applicable to the case, undertook, by the ‘untoward event’ of Navarino, to destroy the Turkish fleet. The temporary occupation of the Morea by a French army, and its evacuation by Ibrahim soon followed, and thus in seven years, (the term of duration of our own war,) and by the immediate co-operation of an army of that power, to which we were indebted for services so all-important in our revolutionary contest, the Greek Revolution was brought to a happy close; and the sanguine hopes of its earliest friends more than justified. Then followed the war between Turkey and the Porte;—the Danube,—the Balkan are passed on one flank;—Armenia penetrated on the other,—and the gates of Constantinople threatened, and the Turkish

power broken forever. Such a sequel as this seemed all that was wanting, to put the seal on the permanence of Grecian independence.

From this period we are free to admit, that our eager interest in Grecian affairs has declined. The election of Count Capo d'Istrias, the proffer of the crown to Leopold and its refusal by him, and the troubles which have beset the subsequent administration of the President, though events unquestionably of a high order of political curiosity, have seemed to us as nothing, compared with the great consummation that was at stake, in the revolutionary struggle. To the importance of that struggle, justice was not done at the time, by the majority of men in the civilized portion of the world, and justice is hardly done to its event, even now, and by the friends of Greece. The contests, factions, and dissensions, which distract that country, are a fruitful topic of declamation to the enemies of their cause. The acquiescence of the people, (if acquiesce they did,) in the proffer of the throne to Prince Leopold, disgusted many of their friends. We have never been able to regard these matters as of great abiding moment. The evils are temporary ; and the remedy is surely and rapidly overtaking them.

Let us consider a moment, what we have gained in the successful result of the Grecian Revolution.

In ancient times, the shores of the Mediterranean, all round, were civilized after the ancient type, flourishing, and happy. In this happy region (in many respects more advantageously situated for a concentrated and mutually re-acting condition of improvement than any other part of the globe,) the human mind was developed, in many of its faculties, to an extent and with a beauty, never surpassed and scarcely ever equalled. Greece was the metropolis of this great intellectual republic ; and through her letters and her arts, gave the law of civilization to Asia Minor and Syria, to Egypt and Africa, to Italy and Sicily. What a state of the world was it not, when all around this wide circuit, wheresoever the traveller directed his steps, he found cities filled with the beautiful creations of the architect and the sculptor ; marble temples in the purest taste ;—statues whose miserable and mutilated fragments are the models of modern art ;—wheresoever he sojourned, he found the schools of philosophy crowded with disciples, and heard the theatres ringing with the inspirations of the Attic Muse, and

the forum thronged by orators of consummate skill and classic renown! We are too apt, in forming our conceptions of the extent of the Grecian civilization, to confine our thoughts to one or two renowned cities,—to Athens alone. But not only all Greece, but all the islands,—Sicily and Magna Græcia around all their coasts,—the remote interior of Asia Minor and Syria, even up to the Euphrates,—the entire course of the Nile, up to its cataracts, and Libya far into the desert, were all filled with populous and cultivated cities. Cities whose names are scarcely heard of, but in an index of ancient geography, abounded in all the stores of art, and in all the resources of instruction, in the time of Cicero. He makes one of the chief speakers in *the Orator* say, ‘At the present day, all Asia imitates Meneclès of Alabanda and his brother.’ Who was Meneclès, and where was Alabanda? Cicero himself studied not only under Philo the Athenian, but Milo the Rhodian, Menippus of Stratonice, Dionysius of Magnesia, Æschylus of Cnidus, and Xenocles of Adramyttium. Forgotten names, perished cities, schools of art and eloquence, of which the memory is scarcely preserved!

An extraordinary subversion of things took place. The barbarous nations of Northern and Eastern Asia issued from their deserts and swept the greater part of this civilization away. Much of what was spared by them fell before the kindred barbarism of the fanatics of Arabia, the followers of Mahomet, not however without some indemnity in the substitution, under the caliphs, of a new school of civilization of no mean excellence. But this was substantially hostile to the pre-existing arts of the Grecian stock,—was embodied in an Oriental language, and engrafted on a religion at war with that which had taken root in Europe. It cannot, therefore, be considered as having preserved Europe and the west of Asia from the gradual inroads of intellectual decay and ruin. A few centuries only in fact passed away, before the empire of the Caliphs was broken down by the Turks. The new arts and literature of the Arabians declined with the dynasty of Mahomet; and the final result is what we witness at the present day, on the shores of the Mediterranean. In Italy, France and Spain, the ancient civilization has been in a good degree restored under the influence of an intellectual religion. On the opposite coasts of Africa, Egypt, Syria, and Asia Minor, the essentially barbarous spirit of the Mahometan superstition has brought the deepest

night of darkness over the ruins of the ancient abodes of refinement. Regions once populous support but a scanty population. Wretched villages take the place of flourishing cities. Commerce and wealth have vanished, and with them liberty and refinement; till countries once the boast of our race have become its scandal and reproach; not merely on account of their present condition, but from the contrast with their former state.

But of all the countries which thus relapsed into barbarism, those in which the Greek religion and with it the Greek tongue prevailed, and especially Greece itself, were the most pitiable spectacle. These relics of other times and better things gave a keener edge to present degradation. They had the Christian religion without any of its civilizing efficacy. On the contrary, it exposed them to insult and persecution. They had a language preserving no mean portion of the classic dialect of Greece, but of no efficacy except to mark them out as a race distinct from their masters. An oppressed homogeneous population, living under a Government which bears hard on all classes, is indeed entitled to the sympathy of all the friends of liberty; but the most deplorable condition of human society is that, where the community is broken up into two distinct casts,—master and vassal,—conqueror and conquered. The condition of the Greeks was much more calamitous than that of the Saxons after the Norman conquest. The conquerors of the Saxons possessed the same religion, and a language not entirely unlike that of the subdued people. The Turks were bound by no such ties of affinity to the Greeks; but brought with them into the Christian countries, which they overran, the elements of permanent and irreconcilable hostility, moral, social, and intellectual.

Thus at a moment when the rest of Christendom was reviving, and that through the agency of the fugitives from Greece, Greece herself was doomed to the curse of a foreign and barbarous domination, and placed wholly out of the sphere of the regenerating process, which commenced in Europe in the middle of the fifteenth century, and which has brought all its other parts to the condition in which we now find them. The question then that presented itself, when the Greek revolution broke out was, as to the probability, that this renowned corner of Europe, the original metropolis of art and letters, would be enabled to throw off the incubus, which had oppressed her so long, and again take her place in the European

family. Could the dreary glacier, which for centuries had covered her, be melted away, and her fair and fertile fields again be thrown open to the sun?

The question was certainly interesting, as it respected Greece herself. She could never, of course, be what she had once been. She never could be the single source of illumination to a world, dark but for the rays proceeding from her. The rest of the world is now sitting in day-light. But she could be recovered out of the state of barbarous degradation to which she had been reduced. She could be relieved of the horde of barbarians, which garrisoned her strong places and plundered her rich domains. She could regain the liberty of conscience; be brought back to a healthy sympathy with Europe, and to a convenient and profitable intercourse with the civilized nations of the world. Her shores might be made easily accessible to the throng of travellers,—themselves by no means the least active missionaries of civilization. She might, like her own Delos, be made to emerge from beneath the foul and poisonous waters of the dead sea of barbarism; she might, like the lost sheep, be gathered back to the fold. As this was the only part of Europe, where the Turks had succeeded in keeping foothold, it was a question of just and lively curiosity, to know if this great effort to expel them would succeed or miscarry.

But when we consider that the removal of the Turks from Greece, was to be looked upon only as the first of a series of auspicious changes, destined to recover the shores of the Mediterranean to the domain of civilization, it assumes a higher importance. If we may regard it in this light, it will become an era in European history, second only in interest to the conversion of the nations of Europe to Christianity. The existing social system of Europe, as founded on one standard of public law, dates from that conversion. And perhaps we do not go too far in saying, that merely as a political engine, its force was now nearly spent. We mean, that it does not appear that in the regular course of things, any farther political changes were to be produced in Europe, by the introduction of Christianity as a new religion. In fact, the civilization of Russia and her elevation to the rank of a leading power, is to be regarded as the last great political result of the Christianization of Europe. There are no other barbarous nations of the European stock.

Either then the political progress of civilization must have come to a stand, or a new effort of a different kind must be made ; and the restoration of those regions, over which the Turkish barbarism had extended itself, appears to be this effort. The success which has attended it has, thus far, been truly auspicious, and beyond the most sanguine hope. A very ample domain in Greece is liberated, and it requires no power of prophecy to foresee, that the residue of European Turkey will soon be emancipated. Wallachia and Moldavia will, no doubt, very shortly be attached to the Russian,—Servia, Bosnia, Sclavonia, and Dalmatia, to the Austrian empire. Should the Grecian State prosper, it will, no doubt, receive a considerable extension in Rumelia. While these events have been happening or preparing in European Turkey, a most astonishing, and as yet little appreciated event, has occurred on the Barbary coast ; we mean, of course, the subversion of the regency of Algiers and the colonization of its dependencies, by the French Government. The Barbary regencies, it is well known, are nominally feudatories of the Ottoman Porte ; and actually independent military despotisms, administered by a handful of Turks, annually recruited in the Levant, and holding the native population in abject slavery. In the time of the Greeks and Romans, Africa, as we have already hinted, was full of populous, wealthy, and polished cities. It was one of the granaries of the Roman empire. Mr. Anderson, in the Introduction to the work before us, breaks out into the following just and pertinent exclamation :

‘ How glorious, comparatively, was the State of Northern Africa in the age of the great Augustine.\* The light of the gospel then shone upon an extent of country, stretching more than two thousand miles from the Atlantic eastward, and from the Mediterranean, two hundred and even five hundred into the interior. Within this space, were more than four hundred and fifty bishoprics, each of which might embrace three score or four score towns and villages ; and if each town and village contained its church, which is probable, there were more than thirty thousand Christian churches in Northern Africa. But these lights have all been extinguished, and with them the lights of science, civilization, and liberty.’

---

\* Augustine was Bishop of Hippo Regius, on the African coast.

The chief of the barbarous principalities on that coast, barbarous itself, and, by its too long tolerated system of piracy, a powerful agent for perpetuating barbarism in the Mediterranean, is now subverted. The Government of a Christian people, one of the first civilized powers in Europe, is now established in Algiers. Its just and equal laws have taken the place of a petty tyrant's caprice ; and with tolerable discretion on the part of the French Government, we see not what is to prevent a rapid regeneration of regions so highly favored by nature, and once so highly improved. All this is the work of the last ten years. None but the enthusiastic dared, ten years ago, to predict even the emancipation of Greece. To have added to that prediction a prophecy, that the French Government would in ten years be established in Algiers, would have been deemed wild extravagance.

What is to hinder the progress of this glorious work of national regeneration ? The Turkish monarchy is crumbling to pieces. It has no cohesive principle. The pachas, who govern the different provinces of the Turkish empire, possess no moral strength ; no strength derived from national sentiment. They are never (we may say) natives of the provinces subjected to them, except in a few cases of fortunate usurpation, like those of Ali Pacha of Albania and the sons of the Bey of Egypt. It will now be in the power of the civilized States of Europe, whenever a continental peace will enable them to act in concert or without collision, successively to take possession of every part of the Turkish coast of the Mediterranean, and hold and colonize it at their pleasure. This will not be the work of a year, probably not of a generation, but it is begun and it will go on. It will be accelerated by the decline of the colonial system in America. Probably one cause of the duration of the Turkish power in Europe, and the islands and shores nearest Europe, was the diversion of the energies of the European powers across the Atlantic. The eyes of France, England, and Spain were fixed, not on the Archipelago, but on the Antilles. Thitherward was the march of adventure and ambition. The independence of the United States and of the Spanish and Portuguese colonies, will gradually turn the tide in another direction. The emancipation and restoration of Greece ; the establishment of the British power in Malta and the Seven Ionian Islands ; the opening of the Black Sea by the Russians ; and the occupation of Algiers by

France, will give a new direction to the politics of Europe; and the expulsion of the Turks from the remainder of their possessions in Europe and the Mediterranean, will probably follow in the train of events. In what order, or by what immediate agency, these events will take place, it would be fantastic to attempt to anticipate; but that they will be brought about, there is the strongest probability.

Meantime, there is to be carried on a great work of improvement in Greece, of which the foundations are laid as deep and solid, as those of the political system of Europe. The independence of Greece is guaranteed by the leading continental powers, and cannot be shaken. The restoration of that country from the frightful depression into which it had sunk, though it may be less rapid than the sanguine philanthropist could wish, is, nevertheless, sure. The delays incident to the refusal by Prince Leopold of the Greek crown,—that spoiled child of fortune, who finds it harder to avoid a throne than Napoleon did to gain one,—have kept the country in an unsettled state; and a spirit of discontent and uneasiness under the administration of Count Capo d'Istrias, as we have observed above, is spreading. But this is of little moment. The country may remain disturbed for a generation; and if so, the happiness of a generation will be materially impaired. But a great onward step in that policy,—the policy of national improvement,—which comprehends ages in its grasp, has been taken, and cannot be retraced. More than this; though the hopes of ardent men may be disappointed, though the Grecian commonwealth may not start up at once, full-formed, a perfectly organized and tranquilly administered State, feared abroad and orderly and prosperous at home, yet the great and blighting curse of the Turkish domination is removed, Christianity is established, the press exists, though restrained,—private justice is administered on a code of law, in accordance with the jurisprudence of civilized Europe, the enterprise of other countries is attracted to this new field of adventure and industry, and schools are founded in every part of the country. Out of these elements good *must* spring. There is no ground for fear or anxiety, as to the result. Even under the Turkish domination, the commercial marine of Greece amounted to six hundred sail. What must it not become in the new state of things, when property, instead of being studiously concealed, as a source of persecution and

extortion to its possessors, will now be pursued, from all the motives which prompt in other countries to the accumulation of wealth? There were schools and academies in Greece before the revolution; and a large number of Grecian youth were annually sent into Western Europe for their education. What effects may not be hoped for in the free State, when, in addition to these means of improvement, enjoyed without restraint, the Greeks shall possess the advantage of the institutions, which are already in a course of establishment in every part of their country? A considerable number of travellers visited Greece, in spite of the enormous expense, and in some cases, the dangers of travelling in that country, while it remained subject to the Porte. It has now become, and will continue more and more, the favorite resort of travellers from every part of Europe and America. In a word, we are quite willing that the Greeks should take their own time to settle their Government, if the allied powers will allow them to do it; and if, in the interval, they suffer some of the evils of anarchy, they will the more probably adopt an efficient system. Had the United States adopted a Constitution in 1783, it would not have been so good a one as that of 1789. The refusal of Rhode Island to grant the five per cent. impost convulsed the country, and drew down upon the little State the bitter reproaches of all America. *To that refusal, we owe the Federal Constitution.* The present dissensions in Greece are destined, we trust, to teach permanent lessons of political wisdom to her ardent factions and inexperienced citizens.

But why, we shall be asked, all this zeal about the emancipation and the improvement of Greece? Why this enthusiasm to build up, on that particular spot, a free and a prosperous State? It is conceded, that the attempt to restore the Greeks to their ancient ascendancy in the world, would be the idlest dream of classical fanaticism. Why then attempt to do any thing with them? To these questions, which probably express the feelings even now of a majority of men, on the subject of Grecian affairs, we shall return a brief answer.

We desire, hope, and attempt to promote the improvement of Greece, because a combination of circumstances exists on her soil, which is necessary for the foundation of a free State; and without which such a State cannot be founded. We doubt not there is a soil more fertile in the Sandwich Islands and Australasia. There too is sandal wood, and the bread

fruit, and a tropical climate. There are delightful spots on the shores of the sea of Azof, and beyond the Caspian ; and we doubt not a fine territory for a settlement might be selected in the interior of Brazil, without encroaching on Dr. Francia. But this is not enough to create the foundation of a State. There must be a strong moral principle animating the population already existing on the chosen spot, or attracting to it an oppressed and persecuted people looking out, like the fathers of America, for a new abode. Such a principle exists in Greece. The renown of its inhabitants in ancient times enters largely into that principle, though not exclusively. It is not that the Greeks for themselves, or their friends for them, expect to revive the glories of Miltiades and Plato, but the consciousness of treading the very spots, which were trod by these men and their countrymen, and the actual survey of the shores, the mountains, and the rivers immortalized in their writings, or by their exploits ;—the aspect of the beautiful ruins of the wonderful fabrics of their fathers ; the substantial identity of their language with the language of classic Greece ; the re-action upon themselves of the enthusiasm of the world around them,—all these constitute a moral principle, adequate with other influences to form a bond of union to a people.

What, in the name of Heaven, brought our fathers to New England ; protected and preserved them here, and built them up into the prosperous commonwealth, of which we are citizens ? Was it the inviting aspect of our coast, frowning with its black and inhospitable rocks, except as they were covered deep with wintry glaciers and overhanging snows ? Was it the tempting expanse of pine woods ; or the weary waste of intervening seas ? Was it honor, adventure, or wealth, that attracted the pilgrims ? No, but in the utter failure of all the mere natural temptations ; in a destitution, like that of the tomb, of all the lights and comforts of mere worldly existence, there was a *moral principle* at the foundation of the enterprise, which piloted the forlorn hope of our fathers across the Atlantic.

It is this principle, which has given vitality to the cause of Greece at home and abroad : at home, in the hearts of her children ; abroad, in the hearts of her friends. This supported her population under the iron mace of the Turkish despotism ; and cheered her friends under the sneers and evil auguries of those statesmen, who draw their rules of policy exclusively from the head. Operating in both these ways, it

was the indomitable force, with which the war of opinion was carried on and brought to its successful issue in their favor. How few years have passed, since it was currently believed and proclaimed, that the cause of Greece was desperate ; that she was already sacrificed and lost ! Such was perhaps the general opinion, at the time when the armies of Egypt were ranging unopposed through the Morea, the Turkish fleet encircled its coasts, and her wretched inhabitants had no allies, but her derided and enthusiastic friends in Europe and America. In two years, that fleet was annihilated by the squadrons of three great rival powers, which never before all coalesced for one object ; those armies, like a congregation of felons, were quietly deported to the banks of the Nile from whence they came ; and to this day, and after all the developements, which time and the explanations of Ministers and parliamentary inquiries have thrown upon the subject, there is no intelligible solution of the mysterious manner, in which the interference of the allies was begun, pursued, and accomplished, but that which ascribes it to the irresistible agency of the public opinion of the world. That public opinion had its chief foundation in the historical associations of Greece.

God forbid that we should count for nothing the spectacle of a Christian people struggling for liberty, independent of any associations with olden time. Nor do we say, that there is no other natural source of the moral principle, on which a nation is to be reared up. We say only, that the national descent of the Greeks is such a principle. It has sufficient energy for the purpose ; that energy has been evinced, and warrants us to look forward, as we do, to the perfecting of the work, which has already so auspiciously begun.

Education will be one of the most efficient agents of its farther promotion. The good which will be effected by spreading the means of education in Greece, is inestimable. There is no moral *calculus*, by which it can be estimated. A village school on one of the islands ; a spelling-book in the recesses of Arcadia ; the labors of one judicious teacher in the most humble corner of this field, at the present juncture of the fate of Greece,—taking her affairs at this tide, which is now rolling in, swelling up, and leading her on to civilization, liberty, and her long lost arts,—may be the instrument of working out greater and brighter good, than we can set forth or

conceive. The names of the learned Greeks are embalmed in history who fled from their country on the capture of Constantinople, and brought the philosophy and literature of their forefathers into Italy. A like renown awaits the benevolent and pious men, who shall take the lead in carrying back to Greece the improvements of Western Europe and America.

---

## ART. II.—*Reform in England.*

### *The Debate in the House of Commons on the Reform Bill.*

The more we contemplate the subject of reform in Europe, the more important does it seem to us. In our number for July, we submitted to our readers our impressions upon some general topics, connected with the present aspect of things, on the other side of the Atlantic. The state of the reform question in England, as the most interesting and important of these topics, received a proportionate share of our attention. We approached it with a diffidence, inspired by the magnitude of the subject,—our distance from the stage on which the great drama is acting,—and our consequent ignorance of many of the local details and secret springs of the movement. But its momentous character grows upon us, as we contemplate it. It tasks the apprehension, it excites the imagination. We cannot sit still, and behold unmoved this mighty operation in human affairs. The *experimentum in corpore vili*, the fate of East Retford, and Grampound, and Cricklade, and Aylesbury, might be discussed here without emotion. But this is the *experimentum crucis*. It is an operation of life or death on a mighty empire.

The question of reform in England is an American question; and this is among the strongest of the motives, which lead us to discuss it. Our greatest commercial connexions are with England; half of our foreign commerce is carried on with her; and much of the remainder is affected by the state of her markets. As the great emporium of the world, whatever powerfully affects her is felt by us. An English pamphlet now before us uses a language on this subject, which justifies us in this view of it.\* Our commercial readers will recollect

---

\* ‘The truth is, that this reform question is pressing at the present moment like an incubus on the industry and internal commerce of the

the great temporary stagnation produced in our trade with France, by the brief commotions of the ‘three days.’ It was at one period impossible to dispose of the best bills on Paris; no one was willing to execute an order from France; and the price of our staples was immediately affected. The influence of that revolution on this country may be estimated by the fact, that the export of cotton to France, which in the year ending in September, 1830, was two hundred thousand seven hundred and ninety-one bales, sunk, in the year ending September, 1831, to one hundred and twenty-seven thousand seventy-nine; a decline of one-third.

But we ought, perhaps, to be ashamed to resort to such an illustration of our assertion, that this is an American question. Any such effect on commerce, will, except in the event of a convulsive and bloody revolution, be temporary. But our political relations with Great Britain are so numerous and intimate, that whatever changes the nature and permanently modifies the action of the British Government, is very important to us. From the time that our continent was first settled till 1815, our politics were to a great degree affected and decided by the state of things in England; and this almost as much after the Revolution as before. The new position of the world since the downfall of Napoleon, has happily rendered our politics much more independent of Europe in general, and England in particular. But any thing, which should essentially disturb the action of England on the politics of the world, (and this, as we shall endeavor to show, will be the inevitable consequence of the reform of Parliament,) would be almost as promptly felt in this country as in England herself.

Then, too, it is to be remembered, that we are completely surrounded by British colonies. We have the West Indies on our front, and the continental colonies on our flank and almost in our rear. An idle controversy, relative to the form in which our trade with these colonies shall be carried on, has, for the

---

country. All great private undertakings are suspended. The opulent of every class, (but those more especially who derive their incomes from the funds, from the clerical or legal professions, or from any department of the public service,) oppressed with a growing sense of the insecurity of their resources, are limiting their expenditure very generally to articles of urgent necessity; and that instinctive propensity to hoard the precious metals, the sure forerunner of great national convulsions, is already beginning to operate on prices, as well in this country as over the continent.’

last five years, had a very considerable influence on the domestic politics of the United States. It requires no sagacity to perceive, that any thing which should seriously affect the existing organization of the British Government at home, would, in its effect on these our colonial neighbors, give rise to the most important and agitating questions in this country.

Besides all this, the general position which England stands in, as a great first-rate power, is to be confirmed or changed in the issue of the present controversy. She now claims the right to interfere in every great question of international politics, whoever may be the more immediate parties. As late as May, 1830, we find Mr. Huskisson, who adopted, with the political system of Mr. Canning, little of his ambition and less of his temperament, declaring that England, as the first maritime power in Europe, 'would not suffer the United States to bring under their dominion a greater portion of the shores of the Gulf of Mexico, than they now possess.' This was said *apropos* of some speculations in the American newspapers, on the desirableness of the purchase of Texas by the United States; a province, which, in the opinion of many of our soundest statesmen, was legitimately included within the limits of Louisiana, and which stretches for a vast extent along our south-western frontier. We think it very probable, that, on the evening when Mr. Huskisson permitted himself this menace, the British ministry had received the advice of some new kingdom added to their Eastern empire. We shall have occasion, in the course of our remarks, to express the opinion, that, with the adoption of the proposed new principle of organization in the British Government, this extensive interference in foreign politics will both from necessity and of choice cease.

The event of the measure pending in Parliament is, of course, in the highest degree uncertain, and to us beyond the reach of confident conjecture. Since our former remarks were submitted to the public, a House of Commons which appeared too nearly balanced on the question, has been dissolved, and a new one, with a great supposed accession of strength in favor of reform, has been elected. The issue of this appeal to the electors showed the popular favor with which the bill was regarded; and it was supposed that, on the organization of the new Parliament, it would be urged with rapidity through a consenting House. But the details of the bill have been attended with perplexity and embarrassment. It has been

forced to run the gauntlet through the ranks of officious friends and relentless foes. Amendments both *bona fide* and vexatious have obstructed its way. It has been felt, at every step, that while the bill professed a remedy on principle of enormous evils, the remedy itself was laboring under a portion of the very evil to be remedied,—and that without the apology of prescription. It will probably be found in the practical operation of the bill, should it, as it now stands, become a law, that of itself, and unattended with other great measures of reform in the constitution and administration of the country, not one of the evils of which the people most complain will be remedied, while the bill itself stops far short of its own principles.

In this state of things, a warfare truly terrific has been waged against it by some of the most powerful periodical presses. It is attacked as a whole and in detail. The objections against the entire system are pressed forward to outweigh specific improvements; and the inconveniences of the detail are arrayed and exaggerated in prejudice of the system. It is but a few years, since it was deemed impossible even for a tory ministry, to fight through the House of Peers, the transfer to large towns of the franchise of single boroughs, convicted of the most profligate venality. What is to be expected now, when boroughs are to be disfranchised by the wholesale, without any specific charge, and the representation of towns and counties created as it were *de novo*, and all this by a whig ministry, who, though carrying with them the majority of the community and of the writers for the daily press, must, from the constitution of English society, be opposed by a great proportion of the men of high education and literary influence in the country?

Nor is the vehemence, with which the Reform Bill is assailed, matched, as it seems to us, with corresponding ardor and concert of defence. As far as we can judge beyond the Atlantic, the fervor of reform is somewhat abated on the part of its friends, and pride of opinion may possibly come in for a portion of the enthusiasm which still remains. No man can tell what he will think of a subject, till it has been not only solemnly but passionately argued. He can weigh it solemnly in his own mind and his own closet, but the heat of angry debate opens and expands the argument, and shows its joints, and seams, and cracks. The reformers in England appear to us somewhat tired of the project; they wish it to obtain, but they are less sanguine of its successful operation, and more awakened

to its difficulties. They fell in love with it at a distance, as an ideal perfection. When it is practically handled, it is found a thorny and perplexing matter of fact. They find that they are making themselves responsible for the happy issue of a thousand doubtful experiments, and buying with certain odium a very uncertain reversion of praise. Should we venture an opinion, as to the precise state of mind with which the ministers regard the bill, we should say they would not lament to have it defeated by a small majority. They would then go out in a good cause, claiming the merit of all the benefits which would have flowed from the adoption of their measure, and ascribing all the evils and troubles that exist or may arise to its rejection. They are not men, judging of course on general principles of human nature, seriously to wish to take on their shoulders the responsibility of a great revolution, which they probably begin to believe their project will prove. A revolution may be headed by men of two classes. One class consists of those who are fired by intense ambition,—who look upon the country, its laws and institutions, and the welfare of its citizens, as the steps by which they are to mount to guilty and bloody greatness. The Cæsars and Cromwells, who feel the passions which civil war enkindles, and are willing to use the means which it furnishes, are the men to lead on a revolution of this kind. It is they at least, whoever may commence it, that are too apt to break in and carry it on.—An outraged, oppressed, and exhausted people, trained in the school of liberty, but denied its rights, after years and generations of suffering, will follow the patriotic men, who, through self-denial and personal sacrifice, lead them to a revolution of the second class. The present ministry of England fall into neither of these classes; nor do they regard the situation of affairs, as resembling that, in which a revolution of either character is to be brought on. They are able and accomplished men of wealth and education, happily situated in life, enjoying,—whether in office or out,—some of the most enviable positions in society, with every thing to risk and nothing personal to gain, by a great change; and though they take up the question as one of enormous evils requiring a strong remedy, they do not admit the evils to require, nor do they propose to apply, the remedy of revolution. Should it be found or made to assume that character, they are not of the class of men elected to conduct it. It is not from elegant saloons, well furnished libraries, luxurious cabinets, nor princely

villas, that the spirit of radical reform ever did or ever will march forth, with decision in his port, and fearful change, perhaps convulsions, war, and death in his eye. That spirit issues, as the case may be, from the conqueror's tent, the dark chambers of fanaticism, the comfortless garrets of able, needy, and reckless adventurers, or the humble abodes of a long-suffering and exasperated people. Prosperous gentlemen must content themselves with *amateur* reform. Grand changes in the constitution of ancient monarchies,—great and radical reform,—may be contemplated and worshipped at a distance as a mild and genial star. But as it draws near, it swells and reddens, and throws out its streaming terrors across the firmament; and when it comes blazing down from its aphelion, heaving the tides to the mountain tops, changing the zones, unfixing the poles, and melting the heavens with fervent heat, it is a season, when none but the Titans and demigods of the moral and intellectual world may go forth to lay the foundations of the new creation amidst the wrecks of the old.

Our last accounts from England have carried the Reform Bill not quite through the Committee. When it has passed the House of Commons, it is to stand the trial of the Lords, where but last year, Mr. Huskisson despaired of ever procuring a concurrence in the transfer of the elective franchise from the rotten boroughs to the large towns.\* And here we cannot withhold our testimony to the sagacity, with which Mr. Huskisson foresaw the course, which this great question has taken. This distinguished statesman, to whose character we hope to find another opportunity of doing justice, (justice which shall not be stinted by the unfriendly judgments, which he took some occasions to propagate on the subject of the American policy, nor by the false fame, which his admirers and partisans have claimed for him, as the head of a new school in British legislation,) partook all Mr. Canning's opinions and prejudices against theoretical reform. He, however,

---

\* 'My right honorable friend, the Secretary of State for the Home Department, gave this House to understand, upon a former evening, that on some future occasion, he may acquiesce in granting the franchise to these towns. Now, sir, I contend that if we are to give representatives to these manufacturing districts at any time, it should be done now. But I am afraid, sir, that whatever *this House* may do, it will be disappointed in its efforts, by an opposition *in another place*.'—*Mr. Huskisson's Speeches, Vol. III. p. 494.*

strenuously urged the gradual transfer of the franchise of corrupt boroughs to populous towns, and sacrificed his place in the Duke of Wellington's cabinet to his advocacy of such a policy, in the case of East Retford. It was proposed to transfer the representation of that corrupt borough to the great unrepresented city of Birmingham. The Ministry had such a horror of the slightest approach to the principle of theoretical reform, that they insisted on giving the forfeited franchise to the neighboring hundred of Bassettlaw; and the Duke of Wellington allowed Mr. Huskisson, on this slender schism, to go out of his cabinet. In his speeches on that subject, Mr. Huskisson distinctly told the Ministers, that the refusal to adopt the moderate and practical course of transferring to the large towns the vacated privilege of the corrupt boroughs, was the surest way to accelerate the proposal and adoption of measures for a general and sweeping reform. The event has fulfilled the prediction, sooner perhaps than its lamented author had foreseen.

It is probable that before these pages see the light, the question will have been decided. In this country, and under the lights here possessed, it is the better opinion, that the bill will, in some form, become a law; but this opinion is entertained with less confidence than formerly.

But suppose it passed. A great change has then taken place in the British Constitution. We will not insist on the word *revolution*, if it is thought necessary to limit that term to unconstitutional changes of Government accompanied by violence and blood. But a great change has taken place. This change is *the abandonment of prescription, as the principle on which the British House of Commons is constituted*. It is not denied, that small encroachments have from time to time before been made on this principle. It is only by such encroachments and concessions, that a great principle can ever be preserved in a perpetuated application. But it is now avowed, that the House of Commons shall no longer be constituted upon this principle.

The Reform Bill comes to this, for it assumes a minimum of certain required theoretical qualifications, and prescribes that all seats, not possessed of these qualifications, shall be vacated. The old seats which remain untouched (besides the innovations applied to them in the matter of suffrage) remain so, not in virtue of the prescription, but in virtue of possessing the new theoretical qualifications.

It will, therefore, be borne in mind, (what we have not seen distinctly stated,) that the proposed reform extends to every individual seat in the House of Commons; for in addition to the boroughs wholly or partially disfranchised and the seats now for the first time given to counties or cities, every other seat is shifted from the basis of prescription to that of qualification.\*

What is the new principle of the House of Commons, and (for it comes to the same thing) the British Constitution? That question has been answered by the King of England; his present Majesty, William IV. The words of kings are weighty, at least when they speak of the Constitution of their own kingdoms. The late House of Commons was very equally divided on the Reform Bill. The Ministry ventured an appeal to the people; and the King, in his speech from the throne, declared that the dissolution was ordered, '*for the purpose of ascertaining the sense of the people.*'

The going of the King to the House of Lords, to proclaim this dissolution, is described, by the journals friendly to reform in England, in the most glowing and triumphant terms. We seem almost to be reading of the descent of *the god of navies*, from the summit of Thracian Samos to the depths of Ægæ:

‘τρέψε δ’ οὐρα μακρὰ καὶ ὑλη,  
Ποσσὸν δπ’ ἀθανάτους Ποσειδώνος λόντος.’

The House of Lords shakes with the concussion of ‘booming’ artillery, and its portals fly asunder before the approach of the patriot King. Seated upon his throne, surrounded by his Ministers and the peers of England, with his faithful Commons in attendance at the bar, he tells them he dissolves the Parliament, ‘for the purpose of ascertaining the sense of the people; in the only way in which it can most conveniently and authentically be expressed, for the purpose of making such changes in the representation as circumstances may require.’

It is true, the only question avowedly put to the people by the dissolution was, whether they, or rather such of them as exercised the right of suffrage, were in favor of the bill. But that bill is a great change in the representation. It applies a new principle to every seat of the Commons’ House of

---

\* We except, of course, the seats already and within recent times created for qualification; as one of the seats of the County of York, which was taken from Grampound for corruption.

Parliament, and the King says, the adoption of this change is referred by him TO THE SENSE OF THE PEOPLE. For as good a reason as those which dictated this reference, the extent and details of this change ought to be, and eventually and indirectly will be, referred to the sense of the people. For the same reason, if any other mode of collecting the sense of the people on these great questions, more authentic and convenient than that of an election of members of Parliament, should be proposed, it must be adopted. That is, if a regular constituent assembly should be convened, on the principle of an equal geographical representation, or any other equal principle, the sense of the people, thus authentically expressed in favor of some farther modification of the Constitution, must be accepted and obeyed. For the same reason, if this popular sense should settle down in favor of a Government popular in all its branches,—whoever else may stand uncommitted,—the present King of England and his responsible Ministers stand pledged to adopt it.

But the King and his Ministers have, as the event proved, not gone farther than the majority of the people of England. The election of the present Parliament showed that the people were in favor of ascertaining the sense of the people, and would have shown it much more decisively, had the elections been more popular. The sentiment expressed by the King is undoubtedly that of a majority of the people of England, and this it is, which gives it its significance and weight. There cannot be a doubt, that, as the sense of the people has been for a century and a half more and more the directing principle in the administration of public affairs in England, it is henceforth to be so, much more eminently and authoritatively. It has henceforward been applied to the administration ; now and hereafter it is applicable to the Constitution. Mr. Pitt, after valiantly sustaining himself for three months against a majority of the House of Commons, took the sense of the people, (meaning always the electors, a body then smaller and less popular than now,) whether he should continue in office. The question now taken has been, whether the House of Commons, the *old* House resting on prescription, should continue in office, and it has been decided in the negative. The people have decided that a new House on new principles shall be created.

The importance of the subject, to which this principle is now

applied, no less than the Constitution of the House of Commons,—the great effective power in the British Government,—and the entire parity of reasoning, by which the same principle must and will be extended to every other question, require of us to regard it as the principle, on which the British Government is henceforward to be organized and administered.

It is, therefore, very important and curious to inquire what will be the consequences of taking *the sense of the people*, as the rule of the Government? In discussing this subject, we shall endeavor to establish and enforce the opinions, briefly indicated in our article of last July.

The following seem to us as some of the most natural and inevitable consequences :—

*First.* A provision, in the words of his Majesty, of a more ‘convenient and authentic mode’ of ascertaining the sense of the people. As to convenience, there is none to be compared with that of popular representation, the discovery of which is the merit of our forefathers, who settled the American Colonies. For though the then existing organization of the British Parliament wore, as it does now, a semblance of a representative body, it was but a semblance; whereas the state of things in this country made it necessary to create a real representation. In our article of last July, we stated that Mr. Canning denied that the House of Commons was intended to represent the will of the people. The correctness of this statement has been called in question. But if our readers will turn to his speech, made at Liverpool, on occasion of his re-election, 18th of March, 1820, they will find him using this language :—

‘The radical reformer will tell me fairly, that he means not simply to bring the House of Commons back either to the share of power, which it formerly enjoyed, or to the modes of election by which it was formerly chosen; but to make it what according to him it ought to be,—a direct, effectual representative of the people; representing them, not as a delegate commissioned to take care of their interests, but as a deputy appointed to speak their will. Now to this view of the matter, I have no other objection than this:—that the British Constitution is a limited monarchy; that a limited monarchy is, in the nature of things, a mixed Government; but that such a House of Commons, as the radical reformer requires, would in effect be a pure democracy; a power, as it seems to me, inconsistent with any monarchy and unsusceptible of any limitation.’

There are many other passages in Mr. Canning's speeches to the same effect.

We consider it then admitted, that the House of Commons, as now constituted, does not express the sense of the people. That it does not, is declared by the authors of the present bill, to be a grievance too heavy to be longer borne. The measure now before Parliament places the House of Commons on a new basis; a basis far more favorable to an authentic expression of the people's sense. But the reform neither can, nor should stop here. Although the constituency (we quote a word of recent British coinage, which we commend to Sir Robert Peel, as an offset for *talented*) is greatly increased, every reason that has been or can be given for increasing it, demands a farther and systematic extension. What is now proposed to be done is too loose, too conjectural, too destitute of uniformity and equality. A vote in Parliament is too momentous to be given or taken away on averaging calculations. If the bill become a law, it will appear that towns which differ considerably in wealth and population elect the same number of members; that adjacent counties differing in like manner do the same thing, and that a still greater disproportion exists between counties and boroughs as compared with each other. It will also appear, that large numbers of British citizens will be excluded from the right of suffrage, as competent and as well entitled to exercise it as their neighbors. In the last debate, of which we have seen a report, Lord Granville Somerset moved an amendment to the bill, providing for a new district of boroughs in the county of Monmouth. Taking the population of the three northern counties together, Northumberland, Cumberland, and Durham, he found that there was one member to every nineteen thousand persons; but, taking the population of the three counties of Monmouth, Glamorganshire, and Brecknockshire, the proportion was but one to every twenty-four thousand. Lord John Russell said, in reply;

'That in arranging this system of representation, his Majesty's Ministers did not affect to have settled the just proportion between the population and the number of members, in every case; though the population altogether was more fairly and equally represented than before. If an addition was made to the representation, he could assure the noble Lord, that greater irregularities might be pointed out than that of Monmouth. Monmouth

had a proportion of one member to twenty-three or twenty-four thousand persons; whilst Derby had only one member to thirty-five thousand souls, and Lancashire only one member to every forty-seven or forty-eight thousand persons!'

When great questions come to be decided by a few votes in the House of Commons, will Lancashire, with one member for forty-eight thousand inhabitants, be content to be voted down, by members representing other counties on a ratio of one for nineteen thousand? London is to have eight members, which, we suppose, is one for every hundred thousand persons. Liverpool sends but two, which is one for every seventy thousand. Again, it will appear that great differences exist between England, Ireland, and Scotland, in all these respects. Ireland contains one third of the population, and will send but a sixth of the members. Will this satisfy Ireland?

These diversities are all at war with the true principle of the bill. They require for their justification, the old and now discarded principle of prescription, and cannot all plead even that. And when the newly constructed machine comes to work; and when momentous questions come to be carried by very small majorities, and it then appears that a different result would have been produced, had the representation been equal and apportioned on any systematic plan among the population of the United Kingdom, it will be impossible to resist the demand for such a plan. It will be absolutely necessary to organize the House on a system, which will represent the sense of the people *authentically*. There is no way to do this, but that of dividing the country into districts, according to population. There is no other systematic plan that will bear examination. The idea of combining with a ratio of population a ratio of wealth, has something plausible in it. It was one of the provisions of the first new Constitution, adopted in France after the revolution of 1789. But it is wholly nugatory. Under the idea of representing property, as well as population, you apportion representatives among the districts according to the amount of taxation imposed on each. Thus far the plan, perhaps, looks well; at least it conforms to the principle. But when you enter the districts, and come to the exercise of the right of suffrage, you find that you have given to the poor inhabitant of the rich district a larger share of constituent power, than to the rich inhabitant of the poor district;—a result neither reasonable in itself, nor such as the plan was intended

to produce. Mr. Burke exposed the vices of this plan, in his comments on the French Constitution referred to above.

But in addition to this, it is as impossible as it is needless, from the nature of things, in a representative Government, to represent property *as such*. Has there been a question respecting property before Parliament since 1688, on which the men of property of all classes,—nobles, gentlemen, and commoners,—have not been divided? One great interest rules in one county; an opposite interest rules in the next. One peer, with a rental of £200,000 is on one side, and another with the same rental is on the other side.

There is no interest in the community, which can be identified as that of *the property*, apart from a wise and equal administration of law. If you were to put all the rich men into a class, on the plan of Servius Tullius, you would find them divided by the same parties, which in all free Governments divide the people.

Besides all this, the indirect influence of wealth is amply sufficient for its own protection, and quite as great as it ought to be. It must be recollect ed, that as far as the enactment of equal laws and the just administration of them are concerned, the man of frugal property is the ally of his opulent neighbor. The industrious mechanic is quite as much concerned as the rich capitalist, to have property safe, and to see that the laws protect its acquisition and enjoyment. Beyond the promotion of this end, wealth in great masses ought to have no influence; and in the promotion of this end, it has, as such, no *exclusive* interest.

We come back then to our proposition, that with a view, as the King expresses it, to ascertain the sense of the people authentically, it will very soon be found necessary to establish a regular plan of geographical districts. A little study of our Congressional system will show our friends across the water, with what surprising simplicity and ease this may be done; and they will look at the Gothic complication of burgesses and knights, and the arithmetical entanglements of schedule A and schedule B, with astonishment bordering on incredulity.

*Secondly.* Next to a convenient and authentic way of expressing the sense of the people, it will be found necessary to remove all great, organized, and insuperable obstacles to its effective expression; and this will require a new modification of the House of Lords. The peers, originally and theoreti-

cally, were a separate estate in the realm ; that is, we suppose, a class of men performing separate functions, and for this purpose enjoying separate privileges. This estate stood originally on the tenure of military service. It would be idle to parade the cheap historical lore that belongs to the subject. Our readers know all about it. No one is ignorant, that the four or five hundred individuals, who now compose the House of Peers, have long ceased to be an estate of the realm, in its ancient authentic sense. They sit, we are taught, in a House of Parliament, *because* they are an estate. But take away their House, and what estate are they then? They have no functions, no interests peculiar to themselves. They raise and pay no troops, lead no armies ; and are neither wiser, richer, nor better bred than their neighbors. It is the principle of the Reform Bill, that the enjoyment of the parliamentary franchise from the oldest periods of the Constitution, creates no claim to its continuance, unless the requisite *qualification* is united with it. Now the qualification of the House of Peers, that is, their being a separate estate of the realm, has for many generations been wearing out, and is now wholly gone. They remain in theory a separate estate, and so is old Sarum in theory a borough, entitled to send two members to Parliament. But, in fact, the peers are distinguished in nothing but their titles from the rest of the community. We take it for granted, that nobody denies this ; and that no one has a better defence to make for the House of Lords, than that made by Mr. Canning, viz. that the Government is a mixed and limited monarchy, and that a House of Peers is a necessary part of such a monarchy. This, of course, is not *reasoning*. It merely asserts a historical fact, as to the official character of the House of Lords. But is this defence of the peerage agreeable to the new principle of the British Government ? Is it conformable to the *sense of the people*, that after *their* representatives have adopted an important measure, three or four hundred gentlemen, (selected by the chance of birth, and for no qualifications, from the mass of the population,) shall say, we do not like this measure, and it shall not become a law ? This is to suppose the people to have, at the same time and on the same subject, a judgment at war with itself :— to wish that their own will should not be carried into effect. In the Edinburgh Review for July, in estimating the force with which the Reform Bill will go up to the House of Lords,

our learned brother argues, and justly, that from the number of votes against it in the House of Commons, there should be a deduction of all the votes given by the rotten and close borough nominees, because these votes represent merely the opinion of the individual noblemen, having the nomination of those boroughs. And what but the opinions of the same and three or four hundred other individual noblemen is represented, by the entire vote of the House of Lords? And why was not the tradition, by which a nobleman made the nomination to the seat in the House of Commons, as good as the tradition by which he holds his own seat in the House of Lords?

The Governments of Europe (except the French) rest, if we may so express it, on an historical basis; they are what time and events have made them, and a great deal of which no reasonable account can now be given, exists and is perpetuated by the force of custom. In countries not despotically governed, this acquiescence in what exists, is the great strength of the Constitution. The English institutions rest, for the most part, on this basis. It is the basis of the common law. It is a basis firm enough for common times. But when violent times come, and radical changes are projected by ambitious innovators armed with military strength, or when sweeping reforms are undertaken by speculative statesmen, it is then plain, that the historical basis fails. It is avowedly repudiated. The military usurper tramples it under foot; the speculative reformer professes to disregard it. They are the more successful, because it is of the nature of the historical basis, as it were, of itself to perish, to rot away. The name remains,—and scarcely that,—but the thing is gone. The friends of the existing system tell you, that a nobility is a part of the history, nay, of the antiquity of the British monarchy, that there have been barons, and earls, and dukes, from time immemorial; an integral estate of the realm, of eminent dignity. But when you scrutinize the subject nearly, you find that the name only is ancient, that the substance which it once described, has ceased to exist; and that the peers of England are in no degree different from the rest of the citizens, nor for any reason more competent or better entitled to form a house of legislation, than many hundreds and thousands of their fellow-citizens. So that even on the historical basis, the House of Peers has no solid foundation. It might stand unshaken so long as the principle of prescription is not called in question, but questioned

and rejected as it is in the House of Commons, we see not how it can stand in the House of Lords.

What does the great English revolution of the seventeenth century teach us on this subject,—what is the lesson of the Commonwealth? We think it entirely safe to ask that question, for we cannot doubt, that the revolution now commenced will go, to say the least, as far, not in popular violence, but in giving a popular character to the Government. The revolution of 1640 was a passionate, tumultuary, perhaps we may say fanatical movement, soon running into a military despotism. Great principles were struck out, but not calmly and systematically developed. Old institutions were rudely torn down, and any thing which the turbulence of the moment permitted was provisionally reared in their place. The various Parliaments assembled during the Commonwealth, were but a bitter and bloody mockery of a representation. The present revolution has begun, and we trust will proceed calmly, and for that reason systematically and far. It will be likely to go further than the Commonwealth, because it will proceed on rational grounds, reforming not subverting; and building anew wherever it is necessary to take down the unsafe and antiquated structures of ancient days. There will be no Straffords impeached, nor Laud's accused of treason, but we should not be surprised should the Commons again vote ‘the Lords to be useless and dangerous, and therefore to be abolished.’

This is rendered as certain as any thing of the kind can be, by what is now going on in France. It is now proposed by the ministry of France, under the auspices of the King, to abolish the *hereditary* house of peers. It is possible, that the influence of this example may be impaired by the disorders, which perhaps await France. But if any thing like a happy issue is in reserve to reward the dangers and sacrifices of the patriots in France, then it will be just as impossible to retain an hereditary peerage in England, after it has been abolished in France, as to keep the tide at one level at Dover, and another at Calais. In both countries, the natural course of things will lead to an imitation of our senatorial bodies. Practice has seemed thus far, to establish the beneficial operation of two chambers of legislation, to an extent not promised by the theory. It is true, that the Senate of the United States was not organized merely for the sake of having a second chamber of legislation; but as the basis of a compromise be-

tween the Federal and the State principles, which, when the Constitution was formed, were supposed to be in collision. But the uniform experience of our twenty-four State Governments has been thought to establish the necessity of two houses of legislation. Of that necessity, in a simple Commonwealth, we entertain some doubts. We are not sure, that the plan of two chambers, as it was historically suggested by the division of Parliament into Lords and Commons, is not without any real ground of expediency or convenience.

It is not probable, however, that England will take the risk of a single assembly. It is far more likely, that the kingdom will be divided into senatorial districts, electing perhaps a hundred senators for a period somewhat longer than that of the House of Commons, and like our Senate invested with a portion of the executive functions of the Government.

*Thirdly.* We cannot draw any line between the House of Lords and the crown, in reference to most of the foregoing arguments. It appears to us, on the contrary, that though an hereditary monarchy is by no means the part of the system, where it infringes most directly on the new principle of the Government, it is that part, where the inconsistency of the old and new principles is most apparent to the observer. When the delicacy of the discussion is once overcome, it will perhaps appear, that the original and traditional foundation of the crown has more effectually disappeared in the lapse of ages, than even that of the peerage. It never was pretended, we believe, that the peers sat in the House of Lords *Dei gratia*. It was thought that this formed the king's right to his throne. Is there a man now living in England, who entertains that opinion of the royal office? There cannot be one. But it is the ancient constitution of the realm, that there should be a king. It would be more proper, perhaps, to say, that it is the ancient constitution of the realm, that there should be a chief executive officer, clothed with such powers as Parliament may from time to time, by law, ordain, and acceding to the throne, under such modifications of the rule of hereditary succession, as the Parliament may establish. Except the name, there is very little in common between Elizabeth or Henry VIII. and William IV. The kingly office, as administered by the Tudors, is about as different from what it now is, as it is now from the office of President of the United States. The right given by act of Parliament (28 Henry VIII. c. 7) to Henry VIII. in

defect of children, to appoint his successor by testament, a right exercised by other sovereigns, differs almost as much from the present tenure, by which the crown is held,—an hereditary Protestant succession, limited by act of Parliament,—as this last does from popular choice. Now suppose it to be the sense of the people of England, (whether it be so or not we are really ignorant,) that an hereditary succession to the chief magistracy is an institution in arrears of the present state of civilization. Suppose the republicans in theory should think it time that what they consider an absurd mode of constituting the executive were reformed. Suppose economical men should think so expensive an establishment, as the crown and its incidents and appendages, ought to be retrenched. Suppose the men of sense of all parties should say, that the question, how the chief executive office should be constituted, is one on which it is as important to ascertain the sense of the people, as the proposed change in the mode of choosing the House of Commons. Suppose a House of Commons under these views, and reflecting that the subject never was fairly and without prejudice put to the people, were to raise and discuss the question, and finally either decide it themselves in favor of an elective chief magistrate, or pass an act providing for the meeting of a convention, deputed by the people to consider and settle this question; could any consistent and satisfactory objection be made to such a course, by the patrons and supporters of the present bill?

We repeat, that we do not assume to know, what would be the decision of such a convention. Captain Hall tells us, that the people of England have a warm loyal feeling, in addition to their respect for the official character of the king; that they love monarchy. It is perhaps so; and this may be in England a feeling of a generous and amiable character. But it seems to us a feeling belonging to an earlier day, and a lower stage of civilization. We should rather think, that when Lord Brougham and Vaux, ‘together with the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Deputy Lord Great Chamberlain, the Lord High Constable, and the Earl Marshal, preceded by Garter,’ was employed in going round to the four sides of Westminster Abbey, and making the recognition, four times, at the late coronation, he must have been divided between an inclination to smile and to sigh; and have perhaps put the question to himself, ‘What the school-master would say of this pageantry?’

The opinion of the school-boy would be a matter of less doubt. We should think, that whoever in England should amuse a leisure hour, in reading Sir William Blackstone's chapter on the royal prerogative, would come to the conclusion, that it was nearly time that the sense of the people was taken on the whole subject. It is of the king's prerogative, in reference to foreign powers, to send and to receive ambassadors ; to make treaties, leagues, and alliances ; to make peace and war. At home, the king is a branch of the Legislature, and has a negative on the acts of its other branches ; he is generalissimo of the army and navy ; he is the fountain of justice and of honor ; the arbiter of commerce, and the supreme governor of the Church. Such, according to Sir William, are the heads of the prerogative of the crown. Should his present majesty die tomorrow, this crown, with all these prerogatives, would descend to a female child eleven years old. We should think, when the people come to put that and that together, it would be their decided sense that, if these vast prerogatives ought to vest even nominally in a girl of eleven years of age, Old Sarum and Gatton might as well be let alone. Sir William speaks of 'those branches of the royal prerogative, which invest our sovereign lord, thus all perfect and immortal in his kingly capacity, with a number of authorities and powers, in the exertion whereof consists the executive part of the Government. This is wisely placed in a single hand by the *British Constitution, for the sake of unanimity, strength, and despatch.*' If Sir William has rightly stated the points, in which the wisdom of this arrangement consists, it would seem a departure from that wisdom, to place

‘upon a baby brow the round  
And top of sovereignty.’

Among the consequences which will flow from adopting ‘the sense of the people’ as the new principle of the British Government, we reckon, *in the fourth place*, the entire abrogation of the present national Church establishment. This, in fact, would seem to us more likely to happen at an early day, than the suppression of the peerage or the crown. The case of the established Church, we believe to be somewhat as follows. The Church of England is established by law in England and Ireland, with certain great exclusive privileges ; and about one half of the English population are attached to that Church. In

Scotland, the Presbyterian Church is the Church established by law, and a small minority of the people belongs to the Episcopal or English Church. In Ireland, five sixths, some authorities say four fifths, of the people are of the Catholic Church.

Now, in the House of Peers, (we believe) thirty bishops and archbishops of the Church of England sit, as spiritual lords, possessing the same privileges, as members of that House, with the other peers. No Catholic bishop or priest is permitted a seat in the House of Lords, although by the late act of emancipation, the lay Catholic nobility are restored to their seats. No dignitary nor teacher of the Presbyterian Church of Scotland is allowed to sit in the House of Lords, although that Church is by law the established Church of that part of the British empire. No dissenting teacher of any rank is allowed a seat in the House of Peers, although the dissenters are one half of the population of England. Will it be in conformity with the sense of the people of Great Britain, that thirty of the dignitaries of the minority Church should possess this great privilege? Is it right, that they should? If the cause of religion require, that certain of its functionaries should hold seats in one of the Houses of Parliament, can any reason be given, why these seats should be monopolized by one communion, and that the minority?

Here even the great argument of antiquity fails; the Catholic Church is entitled to the advantage of that argument on this point. The Church of England, as such, dates, at the earliest, from 1532.

Then, too, the property of the Church. Considerable endowments were made in ancient times, and in the days of the Catholic Church, for the support of religion. A part of these were confiscated by Henry VIII., and by him bestowed on his lay favorites; a part are still applied to the service of religion, and are appropriated by the State exclusively to the established Church. Is it right, that these endowments of the ancient Catholic land-owner should be monopolized by one communion of Protestants, and that the minority? A considerable addition has, we believe, been made to these endowments since the Reformation, by reserving the tithes or a commutation of them, on the enclosure of common lands. These funds, like the more ancient ones, are also appropriated exclusively to the support of the minority Church.

The universities may be considered as a part of this Church. A liberal share of the ecclesiastical endowments is in the disposal of these noble establishments. All the dignities, offices, and emoluments of these institutions are monopolized by the minority Church; and what is harder, their advantages, as places of education, are monopolized in the same way. If we are not misinformed, a subscription to the articles of the Church of England is required at one of the universities on admission, at the other on graduation. So that a young man, who is a conscientious member of the Church established by law in Scotland, cannot be graduated at an English university; nor the son of the Earl Marshal of England, the heir of all the blood of all the Howards, receive his education at the college which, perhaps, one of his ancestors endowed.

Such a state of things cannot stand under a representative system. The universities, as a matter of course, will at least be thrown open to all comers. Equally, as a matter of course, we apprehend, will the ecclesiastical funds be applied to the support of religious teachers, on some principle of equal distribution. We go thus far, on the assumption, that these funds will continue to be raised to the extent to which they are now levied. But whether it will be thought just, by the reformed Parliament, giving effect to the popular sense, to assess on the owner of the land the whole cost of supporting the teachers of religion,—which is the effect of the present system,—we do not pretend to say.

That something will be done, and that speedily, with the Church, seems to be understood. It appears to be admitted, even on the present system, that its revenues require a new apportionment between the dignitaries and the laboring clergy. A commencement has already been made with the Irish Church. On the 6th of last September, Mr. Hume made a motion in Parliament, that an address should be presented to his Majesty, ‘that he will be graciously pleased not to recommend to fill up the vacant sees of Derry and of Dublin, until the revenues of those sees are regulated in a manner consistent with the best interests of the established Church and the peace of Ireland.’ On occasion of this motion, the Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that in making a disposition of these sees, it was the intention of the Government to make it, not as regarded the see of Derry, but generally as regarded

all the sees. It was his opinion, that no appropriation should be made of the revenues of the established Church to any other object ; but that a different distribution of those revenues could be made with great advantage.

It is plain, that the principle here advanced applies equally to the English sees, and, probably, to some of them in an equal degree.

Did the whole population belong to the Church of England, a new distribution of the revenues of the Church, which should give a fair remuneration to the laboring clergy, would be all that justice requires in this respect. It may be, as we have said, a question of public expediency, how far it is right to raise those funds exclusively from the land. But as the present owner of the lands came into possession of them with this incumbrance, he does not appear to be wronged. That the tenant however, does not, as is alleged, suffer a proportionate share of this burden on the land, we are not prepared to admit. But this is aside from our purpose, and would plunge us into the whole metaphysics of the subject of rent.

But the Church of England being the Church of the minority, it is plain, that 'the sense of the people' will go beyond a more equal distribution among the teachers of that Church of the funds consecrated to the purpose of religion. It will require, that by some process or other, these funds should be apportioned among all the communions. Whether this shall be done, by permitting the tithe-payer to make his payment to the religious teacher of his own election, or whether the whole shall be paid into the exchequer, to be apportioned by the Government among all the Churches of all communions, will be a question for future decision. In France, in consequence of the alienation of Church property in the revolution, the entire religious establishment is supported by the Government. It ought to be borne in mind, to the honor of the French Government, that the Protestant teachers are paid their salaries from the treasury, as well as the priests of the establishment. A similar liberality is extended by the Government of Austria to the dissenting communions in that empire, not excepting the Unitarians of Transylvania.

But we cannot disguise the conviction, that the sense of the people of Great Britain, equally represented in a free Parliament, will go much farther in the matter of Church reform, than we have yet indicated. If we have not mistaken the

state of public opinion in that country, it has on this subject been ripening toward *a grand and general system of independency* and religious freedom. If ever there was a truly Anglo-Saxon idea struck out,—if ever there was a notion suggested in the full spirit of English liberty, it was that of our glorious forefathers, the Puritans or Independents. They developed it, in all its perfection, as far as it concerns the independence of each single religious society ; but even they did not carry it out to the liberty of each and every individual conscience. But the civilization of the age has pretty nearly reached the goal on this subject, and the one principle is as widely admitted as the other.

It is ~~an~~ essential,—the most essential,—part of religious liberty to be permitted to join those, who agree with us in opinion, in electing the religious teacher to whose instructions we choose to listen. If we voluntarily attach ourselves to a communion, whose spiritual heads are authorized to designate the subordinate teachers, there is, of course, no hardship in their designation in this way. But the State, as such, must not interfere. Whether after its interference shall be withdrawn in Great Britain, the spiritual organization of the Episcopal, the Presbyterian, the Methodist, the Catholic, and other Churches will subsist, as it does at present, will depend on themselves. There will, probably, be a great extension of the practice of a choice of the religious teacher, by the society which he is to instruct. What difficulty would there be in adopting, in the Church of England, the constitution of the Protestant Episcopal Church of this country, whose clergy are as respectable as their brethren in England, and are elected by the churches to which they minister? The pecuniary interest possessed in advowsons will, of course, if any change should be made in this system, be a proper subject for equitable indemnification. But this we are bold to say, that before a Parliament representing the sense of the people, the present ecclesiastical system cannot stand a day. There is not an argument in favor of it. Dr. Paley, a high dignitary of the established Church, admits that the provision for the support of religion in some parts of America, as he understood it, was the most perfect which had been devised. The provision to which he referred was one, which obliged the citizen to contribute to the support of a religious teacher, leaving to each individual the choice of his teacher. Short of this, the progress of reform will hardly stop in England.

In *the fifth place*, a considerable modification,—perhaps the dissolution,—of the colonial system will, probably, be among the effects produced by the new principle of the Constitution. Among the incidental, perhaps we may say the unexpected operations of the bill now pending, it has not escaped observation, that by destroying the rotten and close boroughs, that virtual representation of the Colonies, which consisted in the nomination for such boroughs of members competent and disposed to take care of colonial interests, will be destroyed. The colonial interests will now have no influence in constituting the House of Commons. To remedy this evil, Mr. Hume proposed, on the 16th of August, to apportion to the Colonies nineteen out of the thirty-two seats, by which the bill reduces the present numbers of the House. He proposed to give to British India four members, to the crown Colonies, (those having no legislative assemblies of their own) eight members, to British America three, to the West India Islands four. Mr. Hume accompanied his motion with an elaborate exposition, showing plainly the reasonableness of the plan, though the crudity of some parts of it is manifest enough. Thus Malta was to send one member, and all British India only four!—Mr. Hume's motion was seconded by the Marquis of Chandos, whose own successful proposition to divide the counties seems to have caused no little discontent on the part of the friends of the bill. Mr. Hume's motion was negatived without a division; but it was not scouted. How could it be? Has England learned nothing out of the book of our Revolution? Have all its seals been opened in vain? Are the inhabitants of the Colonies free men? Are they British citizens? Do they inherit the birthright of British privileges? Have they the common pride and sensibility of men?

Mr. Labouchere, (the intelligent member of Parliament, who visited the British American Colonies and the United States a few years since, and with his companions, Mr. Stanley, Mr. Dennison, and Mr. Wortley, carried back the respect and good will of all in America, who had the good fortune to make their acquaintance,) in replying to Mr. Hume, expressed the opinion, that the colonial members would prove merely an insulated force, at the disposal of the ministers on all other points; and cited the authority of Mr. Burke in support of the proposition, that Nature herself had placed an insuperable obstacle in the way of the attendance of colonial representatives

in the British Parliament. We do not perceive why a colonial member should be deemed incapable of entering the great and general arena of British statesmanship, and acting an independent part in the House of Commons. As to the natural obstacle, we think it greatly exaggerated. Mexico was represented in the Spanish Cortes. The case of British India is the strongest. But if we suppose the members elected a year beforehand, as half the members of the American Congress are elected,—and suppose the average voyage from India to London to be one hundred and twenty days, where is the difficulty? As to all the other colonial members, the case is unattended with difficulty. Thirty days may be safely calculated upon as a passage from Quebec or Jamaica. *No other objection, that we find, was stated!*

The American Revolution is a standing demonstration, that British Colonies must be represented or become independent, whenever the popular feeling is awakened on the subject. England brings up her children to a notion of rights and liberties, which makes them awkward subjects of arbitrary power. There never was gathered together, under the name of a people, a race more kindly disposed to order and manly subordination, than the citizens of these United States, while yet Colonies of Great Britain; and yet their colonial history is one long series of murmurs, complaints, and struggles, ending in revolution and separation. Are the Englishmen in the present Colonies of baser clay? We do not believe it. Besides this, the physical difficulty being proved to be imaginary, how can the friends of reform refuse, on principle, to give a representation to the Colonies?

But here comes a great difficulty. When a Colony reaches a state in which it is fit to be represented, and in which it has a free population to represent; when it ceases to be held by military force and is capable of a Government of laws, then it is fit for independence. The colonial system, with or without representation, never was intended for free, intelligent communities, of the kindred of the mother country. It suits infant plantations, remote and conquered savage or semi-civilized tribes, and military posts like Malta and Gibraltar, which are rather garrisons than Colonies. But the moment you recognize them as an intelligent population, possessing rights which they understand and are capable of protecting, you must then incorporate them into your body politic, as integral members, or

they will set up for themselves. So that it may be taken as an axiom, that a representative Government cannot hold distant Colonies, on any great scale. Such Colonies, if admitted to be entitled to representation, will say, You think us entitled to a voice in the Parliament of the empire. But it is not a voice in *your* metropolitan councils which we need. Your interests concern not us, and in protecting our own interests, we ought to have more than a voice, we ought to have the exclusive control. We know what is good for ourselves. If you know what is good for us, you cannot, and do not profess to make it the rule of your colonial policy. That rule is the interest of the mother country, and the interest of the Colonies only as it coincides with yours. You think we understand our own interests, or you would not send for us to enlighten you upon them. But understanding these interests, we do not see why we should have to come to London to manage them. We can manage them at home.

This is a language likely to come from some at least of the Colonies ; not probably from British India. Its Government is a military despotism. Mr. Hume's idea of granting it a representation, seems to us absurd. How can a military despotism, a country containing one hundred and ten millions of men, kept in awe by twenty thousand foreign, and one hundred and eighty thousand native troops, be represented ? Represent whom ? The native population ? Why, they have no political rights to represent or protect. Represent the army which holds them in submission ? The army wants pay and promotion,—*panem et circenses*,—and nothing else. If any body in India needs representation, it is the poor natives. Mr. Hume's plan would throw the election of the four members for Hindostan into the hands of the British interest. Is that interest now too weak in the House of Commons ? He says, we believe, that the member from Calcutta will be sufficient for all Upper India. Does Mr. Hume know how many provinces, kingdoms, and millions of men are contained in *all Upper India*, which he thinks will be sufficiently represented by one Englishman, chosen by the British residents at Calcutta ?

British America is ripe for representation ; but the people who are fit for a representative Government, are, as we have said, fit for independence. Mr. Hume proposes to give all British continental America but three representatives, (because they have assemblies of their own,) while Malta is to have one,

Gibraltar one, and Newfoundland one. A strange discrimination! Malta and Gibraltar are mere garrisons, Newfoundland a poor fishing station. The continental provinces are an empire, daily growing in numbers, wealth, and importance. Represented or not, they will soon fall away from the British Government. A liberal Parliament could not well throw obstacles in the way of this separation. If it should be the sense of that part of his majesty's people, that they are fit to govern themselves, how could their right to do so be gainsaid? If this right be peaceably conceded, the British Colonies on the North American continent will probably establish an independent republic of their own. If a compulsory policy be pursued by the mother country, they will as probably, on the breaking out of the first war, join the United States. British India will be held, as long as the British Government will pay the troops necessary to retain it in its present condition. The state of the British West Indies is peculiar. Their question, as to political relations, is affected by the other all-absorbing question of their domestic condition. They would unquestionably be soothed by being admitted to Parliament; but as their great question will there be decided on grounds, which one, or two, or half a dozen colonial members, however well informed, could not affect,—on abstract grounds of moral argument,—no permanent good effect on their dispositions and feelings would be produced, by allowing them to be represented in the House of Commons. The slavery question is all in all to them. If that be decided in their favor, they will care very little about representation. If decided against them,—a result which Mr. Hume's four members would be able to do nothing to avert,—they will revolt. We have before us extracts from the West India papers, which leave no doubt on this question.

There is no part of the landscape, of which we find it so difficult to get a clear view as Ireland. A fatal wall of partition is kept up between her and the English Government. If that wall should be broken down, Ireland is safe; if not, we see not how, under a popular Parliament, it can be retained. That wall of partition is the legal establishment in Ireland of a Church hostile to the faith of the people of Ireland. Turks and Greeks do not differ more in their feelings on this subject, than the Catholics and Protestants of Ireland. Ireland is outraged by the Church establishment; and doubly so, on the comparison of herself with Scotland, whose national faith is

established by law. This is the great cause of Irish disaffection. Redundant population and absenteeism are evils ; but it is the Church that lies at the root of the difficulty, and poisons every thing. Unless the feelings of the people are consulted on this head, they must be held in subjection by military power, or not at all. But how are you to hold a people in military subjection, who, by popular election, send one hundred members to Parliament ? The thing is impossible. If that representation do not work out an entire equality of privilege for its constituents, they will swarm off. The concessions already made to the Catholics will avail nothing. Concessions to a discontented party have no effect, but to give the party new strength and confidence. There is no medium between withholding all and granting all. If the Catholic population of Ireland shall, in each and every respect, be placed on a footing with their Protestant brethren, and every point of distinction be removed, and if Ireland be admitted to a share of the representation proportioned to her numbers, it may be retained in its connexion with England. If this is not done, it can only be kept by military possession.

The *sixth* consequence of the new principle will, we think, be a great *retrenchment* of the public establishments, and of the expenditure by which they are supported. This topic would be more fruitful in comment than any we have touched. It is a subject more immediately and practically important than any other, but we have left ourselves no room to dwell on it. It will be remembered that *retrenchment* is one of the three principles, to which the present ministry pledged themselves, in coming into office.

Another of these principles was non-interference in foreign politics, and we just indicate it, (without being able to develope the idea,) as the *last* of the consequences of the adoption of the new principle, that it will be followed by a diminution of that sort of influence, which England has been used to exercise abroad. But with the decline of this foreign influence,—fruitful of strife and trouble,—her substantial strength and inward welfare will be promoted. Like the United States, she will become weak abroad and strong at home. Mighty coalitions, foreign campaigns, great naval expeditions, extravagant subsidies, and chains of colonies stretching round the globe, must be abandoned ; but with them the wars which belong to the same system will cease ; the burdens they impose will be re-

lieved ; the swarms of consuming idlers which they nourish, will be scattered or turned into industrious producers ; and the strong powers, with which they make it necessary to fortify the executive arm, will no longer be required.

In this way, the march of national improvement will be carried on. It is a process for dispensing with extra Government. As it extends itself from nation to nation, less and less will depend on the personal qualities of those who administer the Government ; and it will cease to be in the power of a few individuals to embroil Europe and America in war. The capital, the labor, and the talent, that have been employed in the work of destruction, will be directed to the work of production and preservation, and a consequent increase of human happiness will result.

This state of things tends powerfully toward a new and greatly improved condition of international politics. The ancient civilization was so feeble in its principle, that it seemed hardly to admit of an extension beyond the sphere of one great political family. A series of States in the west of Asia succeeded each other, each rising on the ruins of its predecessor ; and none of them proving the source of light to be contemporaneously enjoyed by other nations. The civilization of Europe next arose in Greece ; struggled a while with that of Persia ; was at one moment on the point of being overwhelmed ; but having escaped in that crisis, obtained a mastery under the auspices of Alexander, which has never since been permanently wrested from Europe. But it proved impossible to build up the civilization of Italy, on any other basis than the ruins of Greece.

The diffusion of Christianity was followed with a great extension of the arts congenial to a spiritual religion. In the obscurity of the dark ages, a great work of spreading improvement was carried on, to which justice is hardly done. The erection throughout Christendom of churches and religious houses, with the organization of a body of men devoted to the service of a faith built on written records, and providing a system of religious instruction, was itself an engine for diffusing intellectual improvement, beyond all which the ancient world possessed. Nor must we forget the new instruments of social intercourse and communication, the compass and the press. In a word, it was found in the formation of the modern political system, that Europe had become capable of supporting more

than one civilized nation ; that a family of States, rivalling each other in the arts of life, could subsist side by side.

But then sprang up the difficulties incident to their perpetual collisions, feuds, and wars. These nations, being all organized under forms of Governments, which threw the control of affairs into the hands of a few men, their personal passions and contested titles were a source of eternal wars. A principle of international peace became necessary. We now want to constitute our Governments so that it will be hard to go to war. We wish to put the last hand to the great improvement of modern civilization over that of the ancient world, and put an end to this cruel necessity of waging war every thirty years.

It is plain that this can only be done, by giving full development to the representative principle. This will cut off the great source of wars, the personal passions of those in power. It was the unfortunate prejudice which Mr. Canning had conceived against the United States, which eventually produced the war of 1812 ; neither Lord Castlereagh, nor the Duke of Wellington, nor Lord Grey, would, in the judgment we have formed of these ministers, have allowed the collisions between the two countries to ripen into war ; certainly a free Parliament would not have allowed it. The revolutionary war of 1775, was against the sense of the people of England. It has ever appeared to us, that the unnecessary interference of Mr. Pitt in French politics brought on the wars of the French Revolution, with all their consequences.

Our whole simple philosophy on this subject is contained in the words of Cowper ;

‘War is a game, which, were their subjects wise,  
Kings would not play at.’

By kings, we mean, of course, kings and ministers. It is itself a great triumph of modern improvement, that so much less depends on the personal character of the prince in many States of Europe, than formerly. Still, however, his personal character greatly influences the selection of ministers ; and the ministry do but substitute their own personality for that of the king. For personal reasons, from personal views, opinions, passions, they play this dreadful game of war. The sense of the people,—the calm, deliberate sense of the people,—is against it. Two enlightened countries, freely represented, could scarcely be wrought up to the point of carrying a declara-

tion of war against each other, through all the stages of a legislative enactment. If a thorough reform of the British Government shall bring the collective sense of the people of England into the councils of that country, and a like happy issue crown the movement in France ; we should not despair of finding the influence of these two countries, in connexion with that of the United States, prove sufficient to put an end to war among civilized nations, and leave it to barbarous and despotic nations and Governments,—a fit engine to be employed by them for mutual havoc.

How long will it be before such a consummation will be brought about ? Let no man, who loves his race and augurs favorably of its destinies, be put out of countenance by this question. How long is it since all Western Europe, now the seat of Christianity and of letters, and the abode of an intelligent population, was covered with tribes of painted savages ? Less than eighteen hundred years. Compare the condition of Great Britain, and the entire North of Europe now, with what it was eight or nine centuries ago. The mechanical and industrious arts have added incalculably to the power of social and improving influences in modern times. There is no extravagance in predicting a vastly accelerated progress of civilization. But should it be slower than we wish or hope, it is not the less sure ; and the object is one worthy to be obtained by generations and centuries of effort. No sacrifice is costly ; no time is long. A thousand years are as one day. It is not we who are doing the work ; it is the long line of generations, of which we are but a little part, and which, from the first blow that was struck for liberty in modern Europe, has been struggling toward the same end.

We have intimated our opinions as to measures, some of which we think are likely to follow the recognition of the sense of the people, as the rule of the Government and the principle of the constitution of England. These farther reforms, as far as they depend on the will of the people of England, may be brought about by parliamentary enactment, or by a still *more authentic* mode of ascertaining the sense of the people ; we mean the adoption, by the people themselves, of a new *Magna Charta*, a reformed written constitution. Should the friends of reform in England be disposed to pursue this course, we beg leave to suggest the method of procedure usually adopted in this country, as simple, convenient, and safe.

Several of the States of this Union, and among them the largest and most powerful, have found occasion to revise their constitutions. This was last done in Virginia, two years ago. For this purpose, an act is passed by the State Legislature, authorizing the assembling of a convention, and prescribing the qualifications of its members, and their mode of election, which are usually those of the most popular branch of the State Legislature. The convention meets, revises the constitution, and prepares its report. This is submitted to the people, who accept or reject it, and this ends the matter. This has been done over and over again in the United States, and always without embarrassment, difficulty, or commotion. An analogous course in England would be, to provide by act of Parliament for the election of members of a convention, in proportion to the population, (including or excluding the Colonies, according as it might be intended to incorporate them or not into the State,) to be chosen in convenient geographical districts, and on some popular principle of suffrage. Let this convention meet, not like the barons at Runnymede, to extort a charter of liberties from a tyrant, but to devise a liberal constitution of Government for the people ; to be accepted or rejected by them ; and containing in itself proper conservative principles, like those embodied in the constitution of the United States. It has been with no small satisfaction, that, after listening so long to the denunciation of republics in general, and the Government of the United States in particular, on the score of fluctuation and instability,—after hearing our constitution reproached on this ground in the present debate, by one of the most respectable members of Parliament, we find our learned colleague of the British Quarterly Review asking of the friends of the Reform Bill, if they ‘are aware of the existence of that *powerful conservative principle*, so wisely embodied in the original frame of the American constitution,’ and contrasted, by the same writer, with the exposure of the British constitution ‘to the mercy of an omnipotent Legislature.’ We had ourselves drawn this contrast, in our remarks of last July ; but we did not flatter ourselves that we should so soon see it recognized in such a quarter.

If a new constitution should be drawn up for England, by such a convention as we have described, conforming to the ascertained sense of the people, and containing a provision that no change shall be made in it, but on a vote of two thirds of

Parliament, ratified by two thirds of the people, the liberties of England, in our humble judgment, will be put upon a basis of security, beyond the reach of all the ordinary causes of political decline. A vast reduction of expenditure would be the consequence of the simplification of the Government, and the change in its foreign policy. This would lead to a proportionate diminution of the burden of taxation, and to an effectual attack on the mountain mass of the public debt. Look at the United States. Behold what these free institutions have done for us! In fifty-seven years, we have passed through two wars, and great vicissitudes of domestic politics, and have, nevertheless, paid off a public debt of nearly two hundred millions of dollars,—grown up from three millions of inhabitants to thirteen,—and settled the continent for a thousand miles into the interior. Forty millions of pounds sterling of public debt paid off!—Should we be extravagant, if we said, that, compared to our population and wealth, this debt is as great for us, as the British debt for Great Britain? Yet this has our tumultuous democracy achieved. What has the stable monarchy of Great Britain done in the meantime? Quadrupled her debt. And for what purpose? To put down the French revolution. Is it down? Look at its *denouement* in July, 1830. To put down the French revolution? Every dollar spent by Great Britain in that cause tended to assure its success. No, this mighty burden was laid on England, in support of a system of politics, conceived by a great man, but, even on English principles, of doubtful soundness, and so regarded by other English statesmen as great as he. Such a policy, under a free representation of the deliberate and intelligent sense of the people, could not have been persevered in. It has entailed on England her present heritage of embarrassment. It is the load of taxation,—the intolerable weight of each man's share of that load,—which now gives all its force to this clamor for reform. The British statesman, who thinks to give quiet to the country,—lasting quiet,—by any thing short of a great diminution of taxation, deceives himself. The topic of agitation may vary, but the discontent will continue. They may change the place, but they will keep the pain. Catholic disabilities may be removed, test and corporation acts repealed, parliamentary reform be granted. It is only filing away successively another piece of parchment in the archives of State. And unless the

reform of Parliament is followed by radical changes in the system of Government, in virtue of which it can be administered at half its present expense, nothing will have been gained toward satisfying the public mind. There the great load of taxation remains ; the mighty weight, which runs down, and runs down, and never finds a bottom, but is giving a constantly accelerated motion to the whole madding machinery of opposition, agitation, disaffection, and revolt. But it is probable that the reformed Parliament, containing, as it will, a greatly increased representation of the public voice, will lead to other reforms, productive of diminished expenditure and reduced taxation, and in this probability rests the hope, that it will prove a measure of health and preservation to the State.

### ART. III.—*Defence of Poetry.*

*The Defence of Poesy.* By SIR PHILIP SIDNEY. Re-published in the Library of the Old English Prose Writers. Vol. II. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 1831.

‘ Gentle Sir Philip Sidney, thou knewest what belonged to a scholar ; thou knewest what pains, what toil, what travel, conduct to perfection ; well couldest thou give every virtue his encouragement, every art his due, every writer his desert, ’cause none more virtuous, witty or learned than thyself.’\* This eulogium was bestowed upon one of the most learned and illustrious men, that adorned the last half of the sixteenth century. Literary history is full of his praises. He is spoken of as the ripe scholar, the able statesman,—‘ the soldier’s, scholar’s, courtier’s eye, tongue, sword,’—the man ‘ whose whole life was poetry put into action.’ He and the Chevalier Bayard were the connecting links between the ages of chivalry and our own.

Sir Philip Sidney was born at Penshurst in West Kent, on the 29th of November, 1554, and died on the 16th day of October, 1586, from the wound of a musket-shot, received under the walls of Zutphen, a town in Guelderland, on the banks of the Issel. When he was retiring from the field of battle, an incident occurred, which well illustrates his chival-

\* Nash’s *Pierce Penniless*.

rous spirit, and that goodness of heart which gained him the appellation of the ‘*Gentle Sir Philip Sidney.*’ The circumstance has been made the subject of an historical painting by West. It is thus related by Lord Brooke.

‘The horse he rode upon was rather furiously choleric than bravely proud, and so forced him to forsake the field, but not his back, as the noblest and fittest bier to carry a martial commander to his grave. In which sad progress, passing along by the rest of the army where his uncle the General was, and being thirsty with excess of bleeding, he called for drink, which was presently brought him; but, as he was putting the bottle to his mouth, he saw a poor soldier carried along, who had eaten his last at the same feast, ghastly casting up his eyes at the bottle. Which Sir Philip perceiving, took it from his head, before he drank, and delivered it to the poor man, with these words, “Thy necessity is yet greater than mine.”’

The most celebrated productions of Sidney’s pen are the *Arcadia* and the *Defence of Poetry*. The former was written during the author’s retirement at Wilton, the residence of his sister, the Countess of Pembroke. Though so much celebrated in its day,\* it is now little known, and still less read. Its very subject prevents it from being popular at present; for now the pastoral reed seems entirely thrown aside. The muses no longer haunt the groves of Arcadia. The shepherd’s song,—the sound of oaten pipe, and the scenes of pastoral loves and jealousies, are no becoming themes for the spirit of the age. Few at present take for their motto, ‘*flumina amo, silvasque inglorius,*’ and, consequently, few read the *Arcadia*.

The *Defence of Poetry* is a work of rare merit. It is a golden little volume, which the scholar may lay beneath his pillow, as Chrysostom did the works of Aristophanes. We do not, however, mean to analyze it in this place; but recommend to our readers to purchase this ‘sweet food of sweetly

---

\* Many of our readers will recollect the high-wrought eulogium of Harvey Pierce, when he consigned the work to immortality. ‘Live ever sweete, sweete booke: the simple image of his gentle witt; and the golden pillar of his noble courage; and ever notify unto the world, that thy writer was the secretary of eloquence, the breath of the muses, the honey-bee of the daintiest flowers of witt and arte; the pith of morale and intellectual virtues, the arme of Bellona in the field, the tongue of Suada in the chamber, the sprite of Practice in *esse*, and the paragon of excellency in print.’

uttered knowledge.' It will be read with delight by all who have a taste for the true beauties of poetry ; and may go far to remove the prejudices of those who have not. To this latter class, we address the concluding remarks of the author.

' So that since the ever praiseworthy poesy is full of virtue, breeding delightfulness, and void of no gift that ought to be in the noble name of learning ; since the blames laid against it are either false or feeble ; since the cause why it is not esteemed in England is the fault of poet-apes, not poets ; since, lastly, our tongue is most fit to honor poesy, and to be honored by poesy ; I conjure you all that have had the evil luck to read this ink-wasting toy of mine, even in the name of the nine muses, no more to scorn the sacred mysteries of poesy ; no more to laugh at the name of poets, as though they were next inheritors to fools ; no more to jest at the reverend title of " a rhymer ;" but to believe, with Aristotle, that they were the ancient treasurers of the Grecians' divinity ; to believe, with Bembus, that they were the first bringers in of all civility ; to believe, with Scaliger, that no philosopher's precepts can sooner make you an honest man, than the reading of Virgili ; to believe, with Claurserus, the translator of Cornutus, that it pleased the heavenly Deity by Hesiod and Homer, under the veil of fables, to give us all knowledge, logic, rhetoric, philosophy, natural and moral, and " quid non ?" to believe, with me, that there are many mysteries contained in poetry, which of purpose were written darkly, lest by profane wits it should be abused ; to believe, with Landin, that they are so beloved of the gods, that whatsoever they write proceeds of a divine fury ; lastly, to believe themselves, when they tell you, they will make you immortal by their verses.

' Thus doing, your names shall flourish in the printers' shops ; thus doing, you shall be of kin to many a poetical preface ; thus doing, you shall be most fair, most rich, most wise, most all ; you shall dwell upon superlatives ; thus doing, though you be " libertino patre natus," you shall suddenly grow " Herculea proles,"

" Si quid mea carmina possunt : "

thus doing, your soul shall be placed with Dante's Beatrix, or Virgil's Anchises.

' But if (fie of such a but !) you be born so near the dull-making cataract of Nilus, that you cannot hear the planet-like music of poetry ; if you have so earth-creeping a mind, that it cannot lift itself up to look to the sky of poetry, or rather, by a certain rustic disdain, will become such a mome, as to be a Momus of poetry ; then, though I will not wish unto you the ass's ears of

Midas, nor to be driven by a poet's verses, as Bubonax was, to hang himself; nor to be rhymed to death, as is said to be done in Ireland; yet thus much curse I must send you in the behalf of all poets; that while you live, you live in love, and never get favor, for lacking skill of a sonnet; and when you die, your memory die from the earth, for want of an epitaph.'

As no 'Apologie for Poetrie' has appeared among us, we hope that Sir Philip Sidney's Defence will be widely read and long remembered. O that in our country, it might be the harbinger of as bright an intellectual day as it was in his own!—With us, the spirit of the age is clamorous for utility,—for visible, tangible utility,—for bare, brawny, muscular utility. We would be roused to action by the voice of the populace, and the sounds of the crowded mart, and not ' lulled asleep in shady idleness with poet's pastimes.' We are swallowed up in schemes for gain, and engrossed with contrivances for bodily enjoyments, as if this particle of dust were immortal,—as if the soul needed no aliment, and the mind no raiment. We glory in the extent of our territory, in our rapidly increasing population, in our agricultural privileges, and our commercial advantages. We boast of the magnificence and beauty of our natural scenery,—of the various climates of our sky,—the summers of our Northern regions,—the salubrious winters of the South, and of the various products of our soil, from the pines of our Northern highlands to the palm-tree and aloes of our Southern frontier. We boast of the increase and extent of our physical strength, the sound of populous cities, breaking the silence and solitude of our Western territories,—plantations conquered from the forest, and gardens springing up in the wilderness. Yet the true glory of a nation consists not in the extent of its territory, the pomp of its forests, the majesty of its rivers, the height of its mountains, and the beauty of its sky; but in the extent of its mental power,—the majesty of its intellect,—the height and depth and purity of its moral nature. It consists not in what nature has given to the body, but in what nature and education have given to the mind:—not in the world around us, but in the world within us:—not in the circumstances of fortune, but in the attributes of the soul:—not in the corruptible, transitory, and perishable forms of matter, but in the incorruptible, the permanent, the imperishable mind. True greatness is the greatness of the mind;—the true glory of a nation is moral and intellectual pre-eminence.

But still the main current of education runs in the wide and not well defined channel of immediate and practical utility. The main point is, how to make the greatest progress in worldly prosperity,—how to advance most rapidly in the career of gain. This, perhaps, is necessarily the case to a certain extent in a country, where every man is taught to rely upon his own exertions for a livelihood, and is the artificer of his own fortune and estate. But it ought not to be exclusively so. We ought not, in the pursuit of wealth and worldly honor, to forget those embellishments of the mind and the heart, which sweeten social intercourse and improve the condition of society. And yet, in the language of Dr. Paley, ‘ Many of us are brought up with this world set before us, and nothing else. Whatever promotes this world’s prosperity is praised ; whatever hurts and obstructs this world’s prosperity is blamed ; and there all praise and censure end. We see mankind about us in motion and action, but all these motions and actions directed to worldly objects. We hear their conversation, but it is all the same way. And this is what we see and hear from the first. The views, which are continually placed before our eyes, regard this life alone and its interests. Can it then be wondered at, that an early worldly-mindedness is bred in our hearts so strong, as to shut out heavenly-mindedness entirely !’—And this, though not in so many words, yet in fact and in its practical tendency, is the popular doctrine of utility.

Now, under correction be it said, we are much led astray by this word utility. There is hardly a word in our language whose meaning is so vague, and so often misunderstood and misapplied. We too often limit its application to those acquisitions and pursuits, which are of immediate and visible profit to ourselves and the community ; regarding as comparatively or utterly useless many others, which, though more remote in their effects and more imperceptible in their operation, are, notwithstanding, higher in their aim, wider in their influence, more certain in their results, and more intimately connected with the common weal. We are too apt to think that nothing can be useful, but what is done with a noise, at noon-day, and at the corners of the streets ; as if action and utility were synonymous, and it were not as useless to act without thinking, as it is to think without acting. But the truth is, the word utility has a wider signification than this. It embraces in its proper definition whatever contributes to our happiness ;

and thus includes many of those arts and sciences, many of those secret studies and solitary avocations, which are generally regarded either as useless, or as absolutely injurious to society. Not he alone does service to the State, whose wisdom guides her councils at home, nor he whose voice asserts her dignity abroad. A thousand little rills, springing up in the retired walks of life, go to swell the rushing tide of national glory and prosperity ; and whoever in the solitude of his chamber, and by even a single effort of his mind, has added to the intellectual pre-eminence of his country, has not lived in vain, nor to himself alone. Does not the pen of the historian perpetuate the fame of the hero and the statesman ? Do not their names live in the song of the bard ? Do not the pencil and the chisel touch the soul while they delight the eye ? Does not the spirit of the patriot and the sage, looking from the painted canvass, or eloquent from the marble lip, fill our hearts with veneration for all that is great in intellect, and godlike in virtue ?

If this be true, then are the ornamental arts of life not merely ornamental, but at the same time highly useful ; and Poetry and the Fine Arts become the instruction, as well as the amusement of mankind. They will not till our lands, nor freight our ships, nor fill our granaries and our coffers ; but they will enrich the heart, freight the understanding, and make up the garnered fulness of the mind. And this we hold to be the true use of the subject.

Among the barbarous nations, which, in the early centuries of our era, overran the South of Europe, the most contumelious epithet which could be applied to a man, was to call him a Roman. All the corruption and degeneracy of the Western Empire were associated, in the minds of the Gothic tribes, with a love of letters and the fine arts. So far did this belief influence their practice, that they would not suffer their children to be instructed in the learning of the South. ‘ Instruction in the sciences,’ said they, ‘ tends to corrupt, enervate, and depress the mind ; and he who has been accustomed to tremble under the rod of a pedagogue, will never look on a sword or a spear with an undaunted eye.’\* We apprehend that there are some, and indeed not a few in our active community, who

---

\* Procop. de bello Gothor. ap. Robertson, Hist. Charles V. Vol. I. p. 234.

hold the appellation of scholar and man of letters in as little repute, as did our Gothic ancestors that of Roman ; associating with it about the same ideas of effeminacy and inefficiency. They think, that the learning of books is not wisdom ; that study unfits a man for action ; that poetry and nonsense are convertible terms ; that literature begets an effeminate and craven spirit ; in a word, that the dust and cobwebs of a library are a kind of armor, which will not stand long against the hard knocks of ‘the bone and muscle of the State,’ and the ‘huge two-fisted sway’ of the stump orator. Whenever intellect is called into action, they would have the mind display a rough and natural energy,—strength, straight-forward strength, untutored in the rules of art, and unadorned by elegant and courtly erudition. They want the stirring voice of Demosthenes, accustomed to the roar of the tempest, and the dashing of the sea upon its hollow-sounding shore ; rather than the winning eloquence of Phalereus, coming into the sun and dust of the battle, not from the martial tent of the soldier, but from the philosophic shades of Theophrastus.

But against no branch of scholarship is the cry so loud as against poetry, ‘the quintessence, or rather the luxury of all learning.’ Its enemies pretend, that it is injurious both to the mind and the heart ; that it incapacitates us for the severer discipline of professional study ; and that, by exciting the feelings and misdirecting the imagination, it unfits us for the common duties of life, and the intercourse of this matter-of-fact world. And yet such men have lived, as Homer, and Dante, and Milton ;—poets and scholars, whose minds were bathed in song, and yet not weakened ; men who severally carried forward the spirit of their age, who soared upward on the wings of poetry, and yet were not unfitted to penetrate the deepest recesses of the human soul, and search out the hidden treasures of wisdom, and the secret springs of thought, feeling, and action. None fought more bravely at Marathon, Salamis, and Platæa, than did the poet Æschylus. Richard Cœur-de-Lion was a poet ; but his boast was in his very song :

‘Bon guerrier à l'estendart  
Trouvarez le Roi Richard.’

Ercilla and Garcilasso were poets ; but the great epic of Spain was written in the soldier’s tent and on the field of battle, and the descendant of the Incas was slain in the assault of a castle

in the South of France. Cervantes lost an arm at the battle of Lepanto, and Sir Philip Sidney was the breathing reality of the poet's dream, a living and glorious proof, that poetry neither enervates the mind nor unfit us for the practical duties of life.

Nor is it less true, that the legitimate tendency of poetry is to exalt, rather than to debase,—to purify, rather than to corrupt. Read the inspired pages of the Hebrew prophets; the eloquent aspirations of the Psalmist! Where did ever the spirit of devotion bear up the soul more steadily and loftily, than in the language of their poetry? And where has poetry been more exalted, more spirit-stirring, more admirable, or more beautiful, than when thus soaring upward on the wings of sublime devotion, the darkness and shadows of earth beneath it, and from above the brightness of an opened heaven pouring around it? It is true, the poetic talent may be, for it has been, most lamentably perverted. But when poetry is thus perverted,—when it thus forgets its native sky to grovel in what is base, sensual, and depraved,—though it may not have lost all its original brightness, nor appear less than ‘the excess of glory obscured,’ yet its birth-right has been sold, its strength has been blasted, and its spirit wears ‘deep scars of thunder.’

It does not, then, appear to be the necessary nor the natural tendency of poetry to enervate the mind, corrupt the heart, or incapacitate us for performing the private and public duties of life. On the contrary, it may be made, and should be made, an instrument for improving the condition of society, and advancing the great purpose of human happiness. Man must have his hours of meditation as well as of action. The unities of time are not so well preserved in the great drama, but that moments will occur, when the stage must be left vacant, and even the busiest actors pass behind the scenes. There will be eddies in the stream of life, though the main current sweeps steadily onward, till ‘it pours in full cataract over the grave.’ There are times, when both mind and body are worn down by the severity of daily toil; when the grasshopper is a burden; and thirsty with the heat of labor, the spirit longs for the waters of Shiloah, that go softly. At such seasons, both mind and body should unbend themselves; they should be set free from the yoke of their customary service, and thought take some other direction, than that of the beaten, dusty thoroughfare of business. And there are times, too, when the divinity stirs within us; when the soul abstracts herself from the world, and

the slow and regular motions of earthly business do not keep pace with the Heaven-directed mind. Then earth lets go her hold ; the soul feels herself more akin to Heaven ; and soaring upward, the denizen of her native sky, she ‘begins to reason like herself, and to discourse in a strain above mortality.’ Call, if you will, such thoughts and feelings the dreams of the imagination ; yet they are no unprofitable dreams. Such moments of silence and meditation are often those of the greatest utility to ourselves and others. Yes, we would dream awhile, that the spirit is not always the bondman of the flesh ; that there is something immortal in us, something, which amid the din of life, urges us to aspire after the attributes of a more spiritual nature. Let the cares and business of the world sometimes sleep, for this sleep is the awakening of the soul.

To fill up these interludes of life with a song, that shall soothe our worldly passions and inspire us with a love of Heaven and virtue, seems to be the peculiar province of poetry. On this moral influence of the poetic art, there is a beautifully written passage in the ‘*Defence of Poesy*.’

‘The philosopher sheweth you the way, he informeth you of the particularities, as well of the tediousness of the way and of the pleasant lodging you shall have when your journey is ended, as of the many by-turnings that may divert you from your way ; but this is to no man, but to him that will read him, and read him with attentive, studious painfulness ; which constant desire whosoever hath in him, hath already passed half the hardness of the way, and therefore is beholding to the philosopher but for the other half. Nay, truly, learned men have learnedly thought, that where once reason hath so much over-mastered passion, as that the mind hath a free desire to do well, the inward light each mind hath in itself is as good as a philosopher’s book ; since in nature we know it is well to do well, and what is well and what is evil, although not in the words of art which philosophers bestow upon us ; for out of natural conceit the philosophers drew it ; but to be moved to do that which we know, or to be moved with desire to know, “*hoc opus, hic labor est.*”

‘Now, therein, of all sciences (I speak still of human, and according to the human conceit,) is our poet the monarch. For he doth not only show the way, but giveth so sweet a prospect into the way, as will entice any man to enter into it ; nay, he doth, as if your journey should lie through a fair vineyard, at the very first give you a cluster of grapes, that full of that taste you may long to pass farther. He beginneth not with obscure

definitions, which must blur the margin with interpretations, and load the memory with doubtfulness, but he cometh to you with words set in delightful proportion, either accompanied with, or prepared for, the well-enchanting skill of music ; and with a tale, forsooth, he cometh unto you, with a tale which holdeth children from play, and old men from the chimney-corner ; and, pretending no more, doth intend the winning of the mind from wickedness to virtue.'

In fine, we think that all the popular objections against poetry may be, not only satisfactorily, but triumphantly answered. They are all founded upon its abuse, and not upon its natural and legitimate tendencies. Indeed, popular judgment has seldom fallen into a greater error, than that of supposing that poetry must necessarily, and from its very nature, convey false and therefore injurious impressions. The error lies in not discriminating between what is true to nature, and what is true to fact. From the very nature of things, neither poetry nor any one of the imitative arts, can in itself be false. They can be false no farther than, by the imperfection of human skill, they convey to our minds imperfect and garbled views of what they represent. Hence a painting, or poetical description, may be true to nature, and yet false in point of fact. The canvass before you may represent a scene, in which every individual feature of the landscape shall be true to nature ;—the tree, the water-fall, the distant mountain,—every object there shall be an exact copy of an original, that has a real existence, and yet the scene itself may be absolutely false in point of fact. Such a scene, with the features of the landscape combined precisely in the way represented, may exist nowhere but in the imagination of the artist. The statue of the Venus de' Medici is the perfection of female beauty ; and every individual feature had its living original. Still the statue itself had no living archetype. It is true to nature, but it is not true to fact. So with the stage. The scene represented, the characters introduced, the plot of the piece, and the action of the performers may all be conformable to nature, and yet not be conformable to any pre-existing reality. The characters there personified may never have existed ; the events represented may never have transpired. And so, too, with poetry. The scenes and events it describes ; the characters and passions it portrays, may all be natural though not real. Thus, in a certain sense, fiction itself may be true,—true to the nature of

things, and consequently true in the impressions it conveys. And hence the reason, why fiction has always been made so subservient to the cause of truth.

Allowing, then, that poetry is nothing but fiction ; that all it describes is false in point of fact ; still its elements have a real existence, and the impressions we receive can be erroneous so far only, as the views presented to the mind are garbled and false to nature. And this is a fault incident to the artist, and not inherent in the art itself. So that we may fairly conclude, from these considerations, that the natural tendency of poetry is to give us correct moral impressions, and thereby advance the cause of truth and the improvement of society.

There is another very important view of the subject, arising out of the origin and nature of poetry, and its intimate connexion with individual character and the character of society.

The origin of poetry loses itself in the shades of a remote and fabulous age, of which we have only vague and uncertain traditions. Its fountain, like that of the river of the desert, springs up in a distant and unknown region, the theme of visionary story, and the subject of curious speculation. Doubtless, however, it originated amid the scenes of pastoral life, and in the quiet and repose of a golden age. There is something in the soft melancholy of the groves, which pervades the heart, and kindles the imagination. Their retirement is favorable to the musings of the poetic mind. The trees that waved their leafy branches to the summer wind, or heaved and groaned beneath the passing storm,—the shadow moving on the grass,—the bubbling brook,—the insect skimming on its surface,—the receding valley and the distant mountain,—these would be some of the elements of pastoral song. Its subject would naturally be the complaint of a shepherd and the charms of some gentle shepherdess,

'A happy soul, that all the way  
To Heaven, hath a summer's day.'

It is natural, too, that the imagination, familiar with the outward world, and connecting the idea of the changing seasons and the spontaneous fruits of the earth with the agency of some unknown power, that regulated and produced them, should suggest the thought of presiding deities, propitious in the smiling sky, and adverse in the storm. The fountain that gushed up as if to meet the thirsty lip, was made the dwelling of a nymph ;

the grove that lent its shelter and repose from the heat of noon, became the abode of dryads ; a god presided over shepherds and their flocks, and a goddess shook the yellow harvest from her lap. These deities were propitiated by songs and festive rites. And thus poetry added new charms to the simplicity and repose of bucolic life, and the poet mingled in his verse the delights of rural ease, and the praise of the rural deities which bestowed them.

Such was poetry in those happy ages, when, camps and courts unknown, life was itself an eclogue. But in later days it sang the achievements of Grecian and Roman heroes, and pealed in the war-song of the Gothic Scald. These early essays were rude and unpolished. As nations advanced in civilization and refinement, poetry advanced with them. In each successive age, it became the image of their thoughts and feelings, of their manners, customs, and characters ; for poetry is but the warm expression of the thoughts and feelings of a people, and we speak of it as being national, when the character of a nation shines visibly and distinctly through it.

Thus, for example, Castilian poetry is characterized by sounding expressions, and that pomp and majesty, so peculiar to Spanish manners and character. On the other hand, English poetry possesses in a high degree the charms of rural and moral feeling ; it flows onward like a woodland stream, in which we see the reflection of the sylvan landscape and of the heaven above us.

It is from this intimate connexion of poetry with the manners, customs, and characters of nations, that one of its highest uses is drawn. The impressions produced by poetry upon national character at any period, are again re-produced, and give a more pronounced and individual character to the poetry of a subsequent period. And hence it is, that the poetry of a nation sometimes throws so strong a light upon the page of its history, and renders luminous those obscure passages, which often baffle the long-searching eye of studious erudition. In this view, poetry assumes new importance with all who search for historic truth. Besides, the view of the various fluctuations of the human mind, as exhibited, not in history, but in the poetry of successive epochs, is more interesting; and less liable to convey erroneous impressions, than any record of mere events. The great advantage drawn from the study of history is not to treasure up in the mind a multitude of disconnected facts,

but from these facts to derive some conclusions, tending to illustrate the movements of the general mind, the progress of society, the manners, customs, and institutions, the moral and intellectual character of mankind in different nations, at different times, and under the operation of different circumstances. Historic facts are chiefly valuable, as exhibiting intellectual phenomena. And so far as poetry exhibits these phenomena more perfectly and distinctly than history does, so far is it superior to history. The history of a nation is the external symbol of its character ; from it, we reason back to the spirit of the age that fashioned its shadowy outline. But poetry is the spirit of the age itself,—embodied in the forms of language, and speaking in a voice that is audible to the external as well as the internal sense. The one makes known the impulses of the popular mind, through certain events resulting from them ; the other displays the more immediate presence of that mind, visible in its action, and presaging those events. The one is like the marks left by the thunder-storm,—the blasted tree,—the purified atmosphere ; the other like the flash from the bosom of the cloud, or the voice of the tempest, announcing its approach. The one is the track of the ocean on its shore ; the other the continual movement and murmur of the sea.

Besides, there are epochs, which have no contemporaneous history ; but have left in their popular poetry pretty ample materials for estimating the character of the times. The events, indeed, therein recorded, may be exaggerated facts, or vague traditions, or inventions entirely apocryphal ; yet they faithfully represent the spirit of the ages which produced them ; they contain indirect allusions and incidental circumstances, too insignificant in themselves to have been fictitious, and yet on that very account the most important parts of the poem, in a historical point of view. Such, for example, are the *Nibelungen Lied* in Germany ; the *Poema del Cid* in Spain ; and the *Songs of the Troubadours* in France. Hence poetry comes in for a large share in that high eulogy, which, in the true spirit of the scholar, a celebrated German critic has bestowed upon letters : ‘ If we consider literature in its widest sense, as the voice which gives expression to human intellect,—as the aggregate mass of symbols, in which the spirit of an age or the character of a nation is shadowed forth, then indeed a

great and various literature is, without doubt, the most valuable possession of which any nation can boast.\*

From all these considerations, we are forced to the conclusion, that poetry is a subject of far greater importance in itself, and in its bearing upon the condition of society, than the majority of mankind would be willing to allow. We heartily regret, that this opinion is not a more prevailing one in our land. We give too little encouragement to works of imagination and taste. The vocation of the poet does not stand high enough in our esteem; we are too cold in admiration, too timid in praise. The poetic lute and the high-sounding lyre are much too often and too generally looked upon as the baubles of effeminate minds, or bells and rattles to please the ears of children. The prospect, however, brightens. But a short time ago, not a poet ‘moved the wing, or opened the mouth, or peeped;’ and now we have a host of them,—three or four good ones, and three or four hundred poor ones. This, however, we will not stop to cavil about at present. To those of them, who may honor us by reading our article, we would whisper this request,—that they should be more original, and withal more national. It seems every way important, that now, whilst we are forming our literature, we should make it as original, characteristic, and national as possible. To effect this, it is not necessary that the war-whoop should ring in every line, and every page be rife with scalps, tomahawks and wampum. Shade of Tecumseh forbid!—The whole secret lies in Sidney’s maxim,—‘Look in thy heart and write.’ For

‘Cantars non pot gaire valer,  
Si d’inz del cor no mov lo chang.’†

Of this anon. We will first make a few remarks upon the word *national*, as applied to the literature of a country; for when we speak of a national poetry, we do not employ the term in that vague and indefinite way, in which many writers use it.

A national literature, then, in the widest signification of the words, embraces every mental effort made by the inhabitants of a country, through the medium of the press. Every

\* Schlegel. *Lectures on the History of Literature*, Vol. I. Lec. VII.

† ‘The poet’s song is little worth,  
If it moveth not from within the heart.’

book written by a citizen of a country belongs to its national literature. But the term has also a more peculiar and appropriate definition; for when we say that the literature of a country is *national*, we mean that it bears upon it the stamp of national character. We refer to those distinguishing features, which literature receives from the spirit of a nation,—from its scenery and climate, its historic recollections, its Government, its various institutions,—from all those national peculiarities, which are the result of no positive institutions, and, in a word, from the thousand external circumstances, which either directly or indirectly exert an influence upon the literature of a nation, and give it a marked and individual character, distinct from that of the literature of other nations.

In order to be more definite and more easily understood in these remarks, we will here offer a few illustrations of the influence of external causes upon the character of the mind, the peculiar habits of thought and feeling, and, consequently, the general complexion of literary performances. From the causes enumerated above, we select natural scenery and climate, as being among the most obvious, in their influence upon the prevailing tenor of poetic composition. Every one who is acquainted with the works of the English Poets, must have noted, that a moral feeling and a certain rural quiet and repose are among their most prominent characteristics. The features of their native landscape are transferred to the printed page, and as we read we hear the warble of the sky-lark,—the ‘hollow murmuring wind, or silver rain.’ The shadow of the woodland scene lends a pensive shadow to the ideal world of poetry.

‘ Why lure me from these pale retreats ?  
 Why rob me of these pensive sweets ?  
 Can Music’s voice, can Beauty’s eye,  
 Can Painting’s glowing hand supply,  
 A charm so suited to my mind,  
 As blows this hollow gust of wind,  
 As drops this little weeping rill  
 Soft tinkling down the moss-grown hill,

While through the west, where sinks the crimson day,  
 Meek twilight slowly sails, and waves her banners grey ?’\*

In the same richly poetic vein are the following lines from Collins’s Ode to Evening.

---

\* Mason’s Ode to a Friend.

'Or if chill blustering winds, or driving rain,  
 Prevent my willing feet, be mine the hut,  
 That from the mountain's side,  
 Views wilds and swelling floods,  
 'And hamlets brown, and dim-discover'd spires,  
 And hears their simple bell, and marks o'er all  
 Thy dewy fingers draw  
 The gradual dusky veil.'

In connexion with the concluding lines of these two extracts, and as an illustration of the influence of climate on the character of poetry, it is worthy of remark, that the English Poets excel those of the South of Europe in their descriptions of morning and evening. They dwell with long delight and frequent repetition upon the brightening glory of the hour, when 'the northern wagoner has set his sevenfold teme behind the stedfast starre ;' and upon the milder beauty of departing day, when 'the bright-hair'd sun sits in yon western tent.' What, for example, can be more descriptive of the vernal freshness of a morning in May, than the often quoted song in *Cymbeline*?

'Hark ! hark ! the lark at heaven's gate sings,  
 And Phœbus 'gins arise  
 His steeds to water at those springs  
 On chalic'd flowers that lies :  
 And winking Mary-buds begin  
 To ope their golden eyes ;  
 With every thing that pretty bin ;  
 My lady sweet, arise ;  
 Arise, arise !'

How full of poetic feeling and imagery is the following description of the dawn of day, taken from Fletcher's *Faithful Shepherdess* !

'See, the day begins to break,  
 And the light shoots like a streak  
 Of subtle fire, the wind blows cold,  
 While the morning doth unfold ;  
 Now the birds begin to rouse,  
 And the squirrel from the boughs  
 Leaps, to get him nuts and fruit ;  
 The early lark, that erst was mute,  
 Carols to the rising day  
 Many a note and many a lay.'

Still more remarkable than either of these extracts, as a graphic description of morning, is the following from Beattie's *Minstrel*.

' But who the melodies of morn can tell ?  
 The wild brook babbling down the mountain's side ;  
 The lowing herd ; the sheepfold's simple bell ;  
 The pipe of early shepherd dim descried  
 In the lone valley ; echoing far and wide  
 The clamorous horn along the cliffs above ;  
 The hollow murmur of the ocean tide ;  
 The hum of bees, and linnet's lay of love,  
 And the full choir that wakes the universal grove.

' The cottage curs at early pilgrim bark ;  
 Crown'd with her pail, the tripping milk-maid sings ;  
 The whistling ploughman stalks afield ; and hark !  
 Down the rough slope the ponderous wagon rings ;  
 Through rustling corn the hare astonish'd springs ;  
 Slow tolls the village clock the drowsy hour ;  
 The partridge bursts away on whirring wings ;  
 Deep mourns the turtle in sequester'd bower ;  
 And shrill lark carols clear from her aerial tower.'

Extracts of this kind we might multiply almost without number. The same may be said of similar ones, descriptive of the gradual approach of evening and the close of day. But we have already quoted enough for our present purpose. Now, to what peculiarities of natural scenery and climate may we trace these manifold and beautiful descriptions, which in their truth, delicacy and poetic coloring, surpass all the pictures of the kind in Tasso, Guarini, Boscan, Garcilasso, and, in a word, all the most celebrated poets of the South of Europe? Doubtless, to the rural beauty which pervades the English landscape, and to the long morning and evening twilight of a northern climate.

Still, with all this taste for the charms of rural description and sylvan song, pastoral poetry has never been much cultivated, nor much admired in England. The *Arcadia* of Sir Philip Sidney, it is true, enjoyed a temporary celebrity, but this was, doubtless, owing in a great measure to the rank of its author ; and though the pastorals of Pope are still read and praised, their reputation belongs in part to their author's youth at the time of their composition. Nor is this remarkable. For though the love of rural ease is characteristic of the

English, yet the rigors of their climate render their habits of pastoral life any thing but delightful. In the mind of an Englishman, the snowy fleece is more intimately associated with the weaver's shuttle, than with the shepherd's crook. Horace Walpole has a humorous passage in one of his letters, on the affectation of pastoral habits in England. 'In short,' says he, 'every summer one lives in a state of mutiny and murmur, and I have found the reason; it is because we will affect to have a summer, and we have no title to any such thing. Our poets learnt their trade of the Romans, and so adopted the terms of their masters. They talk of shady groves, purling streams, and cooling breezes, and we get sore throats and agues by attempting to realize these visions. Master Damon writes a song, and invites Miss Chloe to enjoy the cool of the evening, and the deuce a bit have we of any such thing as a *cool* evening. Zephyr is a north-east wind, that makes Damon button up to the chin, and pinches Chloe's nose till it is red and blue; and they cry, *This is a bad Summer*; as if we ever had any other. The best sun we have is made of Newcastle coal, and I am determined never to reckon upon any other.' On the contrary, the poetry of the Italians, the Spanish, and the Portuguese, is redolent of the charms of pastoral indolence and enjoyment; for they inhabit countries in which pastoral life is a reality and not a fiction, where the winter's sun will almost make you seek the shade, and the summer nights are mild and beautiful in the open air. The babbling brook and cooling breeze are luxuries in a Southern clime, where you

' See the sun set, sure he'll rise tomorrow,  
Not through a misty morning twinkling, weak as  
A drunken man's dead eye, in maudlin sorrow,  
But with all heaven t' himself.'

A love of indolence and a warm imagination are characteristic of the inhabitants of the South. These are natural effects of a soft voluptuous climate. It is there a luxury to let the body lie at ease, stretched by a fountain in the lazy stillness of a summer noon, and suffer the dreamy fancy to lose itself in idle reverie, and give a form to the wind, and a spirit to the shadow and the leaf. Hence the prevalence of personification and the exaggerations of figurative language, so characteristic of the poetry of Southern nations. As an illustration, take

the following beautiful sonnet from the Spanish. It is addressed to a mountain brook.

' Laugh of the mountain!—lyre of bird and tree!  
     Mirror of morn, and garniture of fields!  
     The soul of April, that so gently yields  
     The rose and jasmin bloom, leaps wild in thee!  
  
     Although, where'er thy devious current strays,  
         The lap of earth with gold and silver teems,  
         To me thy clear proceeding brighter seems  
         Than golden sands, that charm each shepherd's gaze.  
  
     How without guile thy bosom all transparent  
         As the pure crystal, lets the curious eye  
         Thy secrets scan, thy smooth round pebbles count!  
         How, without malice murmuring, glides thy current!  
         O sweet simplicity of days gone by!  
     Thou shunnest the haunts of man, to dwell in limpid fount!'

We will pursue these considerations no longer, for fear of digressing too far. What we have already said will illustrate, perhaps superficially, but sufficiently for our present purpose, the influence of natural scenery and climate upon the character of poetical composition. It will at least show, that in speaking of this influence, we did not speak at random and without a distinct meaning. Similar, and much more copious illustrations of the influence of various other external circumstances on national literature, might here be given. But it is not our intention to go into details. They will naturally suggest themselves to the mind of every reflecting reader.

We repeat, then, that we wish our native poets would give a more national character to their writings. In order to effect

\* 'Risa del monte, de las aves lira!  
     pompa del prado, espejo de la aurora!  
     alma de Abril, espíritu de Flora  
     por quien la rosa y el jazmin espira!  
         ‘Aunque tu curso en cuantos pasos gira  
         tanta jurisdiccion argenta y dora,  
         tu claro proceder mas me enamora  
         que lo que en tí todo pastor admira.  
         ‘Cuan sin engaño tus entrañas puras  
         dejan por transparente vidriera  
         las guijuelas al número patentes!  
         ‘Cuan sin malicia cándida murmuras!  
         O sencillez de aquella edad primera,  
         huyes del hombre y vives en las fuentes.'

this, they have only to write more naturally, to write from their own feelings and impressions, from the influence of what they see around them, and not from any pre-conceived notions of what poetry ought to be, caught by reading many books, and imitating many models. This is peculiarly true in descriptions of natural scenery. In these, let us have no more sky-larks and nightingales. For us they only warble in books. A painter might as well introduce an elephant or a rhinoceros into a New England landscape. We would not restrict our poets in the choice of their subjects, or the scenes of their story; but when they sing under an American sky, and describe a native landscape, let the description be graphic, as if it had been seen and not imagined. We wish too, to see the figures and imagery of poetry a little more characteristic, as if drawn from nature and not from books. Of this we have constantly recurring examples in the language of our North American Indians. Our readers will all recollect the last words of Pushmataha, the Choctaw Chief, who died at Washington in the year 1824. ‘I shall die, but you will return to your brethren. As you go along the paths, you will see the flowers, and hear the birds; but Pushmataha will see them and hear them no more. When you come to your home, they will ask you, where is Pushmataha? and you will say to them, He is no more. They will hear the tidings *like the sound of the fall of a mighty oak in the stillness of the wood.*’ More attention on the part of our writers, to these particulars, would give a new and delightful expression to the face of our poetry. But the difficulty is, that instead of coming forward as bold, original thinkers, they have imbibed the degenerate spirit of modern English poetry. They have hitherto been imitators either of decidedly bad, or of, at best, very indifferent models. It has been the fashion to write strong lines,—to aim at point and antithesis. This has made writers turgid and extravagant. Instead of ideas, they give us merely the signs of ideas. They erect a great bridge of words, pompous and imposing, where there is hardly a drop of thought to trickle beneath. Is not he, who thus apostrophizes the clouds, ‘Ye posters of the wakeless air!—quite as extravagant as the Spanish poet, who calls a star, a ‘burning doublon of the celestial bank?’ *Doblon ardiente del celeste banco!*

This spirit of imitation has spread far and wide. But a

few years ago, what an aping of Lord Byron exhibited itself throughout the country ! It was not an imitation of the brighter characteristics of his intellect, but a mimicry of his sullen misanthropy and irreligious gloom. We do not wish to make a bugbear of Lord Byron's name, nor figuratively to disturb his bones ; still we cannot but express our belief, that no writer has done half so much to corrupt the literary taste as well as the moral principle of our country, as the author of *Childe Harold*.\* Minds that could not understand his beauties, could imitate his great and glaring defects. Souls that could not fathom his depths, could grasp the straw and bubbles that floated upon the agitated surface, until at length every city, town, and village had its little Byron, its self-tormenting scoffer at morality, its gloomy misanthropist in song. Happily, this noxious influence has been in some measure checked and counteracted by the writings of Wordsworth, whose pure and gentle philosophy has been gradually gaining the ascendency over the bold and visionary speculations of an unhealthy imagination. The sobriety, and, if we may use the expression, the republican simplicity of his poetry, are in unison with our moral and political doctrines. But even Wordsworth, with all his simplicity of diction and exquisite moral feeling, is a very unsafe model for imitation ; and it is worth while to observe, how invariably those who have imitated him have fallen into tedious mannerism. As the human mind is so constituted, that all men receive to a greater or less degree a complexion from those with whom they are conversant, the writer who

---

\* We here subjoin Lord Byron's own opinion of the poetical taste of the present age. It is from a letter in the second volume of Moore's Life of Byron. 'With regard to poetry in general, I am convinced, the more I think of it, that he and *all* of us,—Scott, Southey, Wordsworth, Moore, Campbell, I,—are all in the wrong, one as much as another ; that we are upon a wrong revolutionary poetical system, or systems, and from which none but Rogers and Crabbe are free ; and that the present and next generations will finally be of this opinion. I am the more confirmed in this, by having lately gone over some of our classics, particularly *Pope*, whom I tried in this way ;—I took Moore's poems and my own and some others, and went over them side by side with Pope's, and I was really astonished (I ought not to have been so) and mortified at the ineffable distance in point of sense, learning, effect, and even *imagination*, passion, and *invention*, between the Queen Anne's man, and us of the Lower Empire. Depend upon it, it is all Horace then, and Claudian now, among us ; and if I had to begin again, I would mould myself accordingly.'

means to school himself to poetic composition,—we mean so far as regards style and diction,—should be very careful what authors he studies. He should leave the present age, and go back to the olden time. He should make, not the writings of an individual, but the whole body of English classical literature, his study. There is a strength of expression, a clearness, and force and raciness of thought in the elder English poets, which we may look for in vain among those who flourish in these days of verbiage. Truly the degeneracy of modern poetry is no school-boy declamation ! The stream, whose fabled fountain gushes from the Grecian mount, flowed brightly through those ages, when the souls of men stood forth in the rugged freedom of nature, and gave a wild and romantic character to the ideal landscape. But in these practical days, whose spirit has so unsparingly levelled to the even surface of utility the bold irregularities of human genius, and lopped off the luxuriance of poetic feeling, which once lent its grateful shade to the haunts of song, that stream has spread itself into stagnant pools, which exhale an unhealthy atmosphere, whilst the parti-colored bubbles that glitter on its surface, show the corruption from which they spring.

Another circumstance which tends to give an effeminate and unmanly character to our literature, is the precocity of our writers. Premature exhibitions of talent are an unstable foundation to build a national literature upon. Roger Ascham, the school-master of princes, and for the sake of antithesis, we suppose, called the Prince of School-masters, has well said of precocious minds ; ‘They be like trees that shewe forth faire blossoms and broad leaves in spring-time, but bring out small and not long-lasting fruit in harvest-time ; and that only such as fall and rott before they be ripe, and so never, or seldom come to any good at all.’ It is natural that the young should be enticed by the wreaths of literary fame, whose hues are so passing beautiful even to the more sober-sighted, and whose flowers breathe around them such exquisite perfumes. Many are deceived into a misconception of their talents by the indiscreet and indiscriminate praise of friends. They think themselves destined to redeem the glory of their age and country ; to shine as ‘bright particular stars ;’ but in reality their genius

‘Is like the glow-worm’s light the apes so wonder’d at,  
Which, when they gather’d sticks and laid upon ’t,  
And blew,—and blew,—turn’d tail and went out presently.’

We have set forth the portrait of modern poetry in rather gloomy colors ; for we really think, that the greater part of what is published in this book-writing age, ought in justice to suffer the fate of the children of Thetis, whose immortality was tried by fire. We hope, however, that ere long, some one of our most gifted bards will throw his fetters off, and relying on himself alone, fathom the recesses of his own mind, and bring up rich pearls from the secret depths of thought.

We will conclude these suggestions to our native poets, by quoting Ben Johnson's 'Ode to Himself,' which we address to each of them individually.

'Where do'st thou careless lie  
 Buried in ease and sloth ?  
 Knowledge, that sleeps, doth die ;  
 And this securtie  
 It is the common moth  
 That eats on wits, and arts, and quite destroys them both.

'Are all th' Aonian springs  
 Dri'd up ? lies Thespia waste ?  
 Doth Clarius' harp want strings,  
 That not a nymph now sings !  
 Or droop they as disgrac't,  
 To see their seats and bowers by chatt'ring pies defac't ?

'If hence thy silence be,  
 As 'tis too just a cause,  
 Let this thought quicken thee,  
 Minds that are great and free  
 Should not on fortune pause ;  
 Tis crowne enough to virtue still, her owne applause.

'What though the greedy frie  
 Be taken with false baytes  
 Of worded balladrie,  
 And thinke it poesie ?  
 They die with their conceits,  
 And only pitious scorne upon their folly waites.'

ART. IV.—*Silliman's Chemistry.*

*Elements of Chemistry in the order of the Lectures given in Yale College.* By BENJAMIN SILLIMAN, Professor of Chemistry, Pharmacy, Mineralogy, and Geology. In two vols. New-Haven. 1831.

If the excellence of a work consist mainly in its adaptation to the professed object for which it was written, this truly is one of the best productions on the subject of Chemistry, that we have ever examined. In the preface, the author informs us, that ‘the object of this work is to present the science in the most intelligible form to those who are learning its elements’; and the principles laid down, the facts adduced in support of these principles, and the mode of their presentation, are all in keeping with the design. Throughout the whole, he evidently proceeds on the ground that the students for whose use it was prepared, are entirely unacquainted with the subjects of which it treats; that he is writing for the novice and not for the initiated; and he endeavors, and we think very successfully, ‘to find his way into the mind of the pupil, and to fix there the knowledge presented to him.’

When Sir Humphrey Davy composed his work on Chemical Philosophy, he had a different object in view; namely, to classify and arrange the great phenomena of the science in such a manner, that Chemistry, arrayed in all the glories of his brilliant discoveries, might not fear to take her proper rank with her sister sciences. Though he probably fell short of what was due from his fine genius, he produced a very valuable work for the master, but not such an one as the student wants. Dr. Henry’s ‘Elements of Experimental Chemistry’ is in some degree chargeable with the same defect; for it is evident, that his eye is directed rather to the new discoveries in the science, than to what has long been known; and that in the composition of the work he was thinking more of chemists than of learners; so that while he is careful not to depart from the dignity of science, he has failed of communicating all that interest to his work, which it ought to have to recommend it to those who have but just entered on the study of Chemistry. He is correct and discriminating, and the successive editions present a fair view of the progress of the science. But however excellent the works just mentioned are, the one as show-

ing great genius in generalizing, and the other a sound judgment, they are neither of them well adapted to be text-books in our colleges.

In the preface to the work before us, the author remarks, that

'The materials of this work have been gradually accumulating since 1802. They have been drawn from scientific journals, from the transactions of learned societies, and from the principal writers who have flourished since the middle of the last century, —*the Augustan age of Chemistry*. From works of an earlier date, light has been occasionally derived, as well as from notes and recollections of the instructions of the distinguished teachers, to whom the author was formerly so happy as to listen. In this view, he takes particular satisfaction in naming the late Dr. Murray of Edinburgh, and Professor Thomas C. Hope, still a distinguished ornament of the university in the same city.'

'Various notices, derived from the author's own experience, and from his personal communications with others, are introduced, with occasional figures, for illustration; and in the notes, many miscellaneous facts are preserved.'

'In the immediate preparation of this work for the press, the original memoirs of authors and discoverers have been often consulted, and the abstract has been frequently drawn from them, rather than from the elementary books; but the analyses contained in the latter have not unfrequently been adopted; sometimes even after a careful examination of the original; and for this reason, among others, that the statements contained in them could be often, without injury, still further abridged. In such cases, several eminent elementary writers have been diligently compared on the same subject; and thus omissions have been supplied, and obscurity has been removed, either by the comparison or by resorting to the first record.'

'References to the original memoirs have been always preserved, when such memoirs were attainable; and when the books containing them were not at hand, the citations have been copied from the latest systematical writers. Credit has also, in most instances, been given to elementary writers for materials drawn from their pages; but for brevity, and especially when the facts are the common stock of the science, the references have been sometimes omitted, or an initial letter only retained. There are, however, some works, to which a more particular acknowledgement is due. Those of Bergman and Scheele; the lectures of Dr. Black, by Robison; the system of Dr. Thomson, in all its editions, and also his more recent work on the First Principles of Chemistry; the Dictionaries of Nicholson, Aikins, and Ure,

the Compendium of Dr. Hare, the Dispensatory of Dr. Coxe, the Technology of Dr. Bigelow, the Operative Chemist of Gray, and the Chemical Manipulation of Mr. Faraday; the System of the late Dr. Murray, and his Elements, ably edited by his son; as also the writings of Mr. Dalton; the works of Lavoisier, Chaptal, Berthollet, and Fourcroy; the System of Thénard, in its most recent edition, and his miscellaneous writings, especially in connexion with Gay-Lussac; and those of Dr. Priestley, Bishop Watson, Mr. Parkes, Professor Berzelius, and Sir H. Davy, including also his Elements;—these are among the leading authorities, although it would be easy to increase the catalogue.

'A recent work by Dr. Turner, of the London University, has been of great utility. It is highly scientific and very exact, particularly on the facts and doctrines of definite and multiple proportions, and combining equivalents; and many of its details have been adopted.' Preface, pp. 4, 5.

After the preface comes the plan of the work, which we shall again have occasion to notice, and then follows an introduction, containing a spirited sketch of the main branches of natural science, and the connexion between them.

'CHEMISTRY. The remaining branch of science relating to natural bodies, begins where natural philosophy and natural history stop. As the gleanings of its early history may be found in the prefaces of the larger elementary works on Chemistry, we shall here omit the vague annals of its infancy, and the delusions of its middle age.

'It would exceed our limits to trace the progress of Chemistry from age to age; to unfold the delusions of ALCHEMY, whose object was to discover the philosopher's stone, an imaginary substance, which, it was supposed, would convert the baser metals into gold or silver, or to speak of the equally delusive pursuit after the GRAND CATHOLICON, or universal remedy, which was to remove every disease; to avert death, and confer terrestrial immortality on man; or to mention the imaginary ALCAHEST, or universal solvent, whose power it was supposed nothing could resist. The alchemists indeed imagined, that these miraculous virtues resided in one and the same substance, and during the dark ages, most of the cultivators of what was then called Chemistry, smitten with the delirium of Alchemy, pursued their occult processes in cells and caverns, remote from the light of heaven, and wasted their days and nights, their talents and fortunes, in a vain pursuit. The alchemist, however, accumulated many valuable facts, which have been employed with good advantage, in laying the foundations of modern Chemical Science.

' Some knowledge of chemical arts is coeval with the earliest stages of human society ; and it has happened with this, as with other branches of natural knowledge, that many facts were discovered and accumulated, in the practice of the arts, and in domestic economy, long before any general truths were established, by a course of inductive reasoning, upon the phenomena.

' The arts are all either mechanical or chemical, and not unfrequently both are involved in the same processes. The practices of the arts may be regarded as experiments in natural philosophy and chemistry. The object of the arts is usually gain ; but he, or any other person, who views the facts correctly, may reason upon them advantageously, and thus obtain important instruction.

' The *Science of Chemistry*, considered as a collection of elementary truths derived from the study of facts, can scarcely be referred to a period much beyond the commencement of the last century, and its principal triumphs have been achieved since the middle of that period. It would be premature, to detail on the present occasion, the particular discoveries, which, like stars, rising successively above the horizon, have broken forth in rapid succession. Those discoveries, their periods and their authors, will be mentioned, in giving the history of each particular substance. At present, it would not be proper to attempt any thing more than to convey to those to whom the subject may be new, a general conception of the nature, extent and objects of the Science of Chemistry, reserving the details for the time when they will be both the most intelligible and the most interesting.

' **DEFINITION.\*** *Chemistry is that science which investigates the composition of all bodies, and the laws by which it is governed.*

' Not satisfied with the knowledge of the external properties and the mechanical relations, which are unfolded by natural history and by physics, but taking them into view, and retaining and using their principal discoveries, chemistry proceeds to investigate the hidden constitution of every species of material existence in earth, sea, and air.

' *Earth, air, fire, and water*, were the four elements of the ancient school. They have, however, yielded to analysis, and water, bland and simple as it seems, contains two bodies, whose properties are entirely different from its own and from those of each other ; burning, when mingled and ignited in large quantities, with violent explosion ; and in a small stream, with a heat, which melts and dissipates the firmest substances. We should never have conjectured that water, whose great prerogative it is

---

\* 'For various definitions the student may see the principal authors, Thompson, Fourcroy, Henry, Murray, La Grange, Thénard, Davy, Brande, Turner, Hare, and others.'

to extinguish fire, contains both a combustible and a supporter of combustion.

‘*The air*, the *pabulum* of life to the whole animal and vegetable creation, mild and negative like water, is not *simple*, but incidentally contains many bodies,—essentially, however, only two; one of which, and that constituting four-fifths of the whole, is, and was intended to be, in a high degree noxious and even deadly to animal life, and fatal to combustion. The air does not destroy life instead of invigorating our frames, and extinguish instead of inflaming combustion, because the prevalent noxious principle of the air (nitrogen) is balanced by a life and fire-sustaining principle, (oxygen) too vigorous to be trusted alone, and therefore diluted exactly to the proper degree by the opposite principle; both being, by another extraordinary provision, sustained, in constant proportion, and thus producing a salubrious and unchanging atmosphere.

‘*The earth* under our feet, the soil, the sand, the gravel, the firm substance of the rocks, is not simple. In this ancient but assumed element, we have a double complexness. The one imagined simple earth contains at least nine, and each of these is again complex, containing for one principle, oxygen, the same that exists both in water and in the atmosphere, united to nine or ten varieties of metals or combustibles, none of which are known in common life.

‘He who is acquainted with the wonderful effects of chemical combination, will not think it strange that half the weight of marble is carbonic acid, and that metals, when combined with oxygen, resemble very exactly the earthly substances.

‘*Light as well as heat*, is contained in common fire, and therefore it is not simple, unless fire and heat are varieties of one and the same thing.

‘Modern research has proved that, besides light, which, in its seven prismatic colors, is contained in the solar beam, there is also, in this emanation, an opake, radiant principle, which accompanying light and heat, neither warms nor illuminates, but acts to decompose certain chemical compounds; that there are opake rays which warm but do not illuminate, and illuminating rays which are cold to the sense of living animals, but impart to the universe its splendid drapery of colors; and that, associated with one or more of these emanations, there is a surprising power, which imparts magnetism to a needle, and gives it the properties of the load-stone. But we have used the word element without defining it.

‘*An element is an undecomposable body*,—it is therefore simple, or in other words, not reducible to any other form of existence.

We must, however, carefully distinguish between *real elements*, and those which are such only in relation to the present state of our knowledge. When modern science speaks of a body as elementary, it intends nothing more than that it has not been decomposed. It is therefore simple as far as we know, but it is possible that by future efforts, it may be decomposed. Although we have no reason to doubt that there are *real elements*, we cannot say that we are certainly in possession of any one element. It is, however, perfectly safe to reason upon bodies as elementary, until they are proved to be compound. Iron is, as far as we know, a simple body; we cannot as yet exhibit it in any simple form; all we can do, is to alter its size and figure, without at all changing its nature. But iron rust, or the scales which fly off when red hot iron is hammered, are not simple; they consist of iron combined with oxygen, one of the principles of the atmosphere; we can explain these substances in a simpler form; the iron which they contain can be separated from the aerial principle, and both can be exhibited apart, and thus the proof will be complete; red lead and red precipitate are still better examples, because the former can be partially, and the latter wholly, brought back to the condition of metals, by simply heating them.

The four ancient elements, earth, air, fire, and water, were assumed at hazard, because they are so conspicuous and important; the conception was grand, but it was wholly erroneous.

Instead of four elements, we have at the present time not less than fifty, nearly four fifths of which are metals; the remainder are chiefly combustibles and bodies which, combining with combustibles and metals with peculiar energy, are generally called supporters of combustion.\*

Our simple bodies then are,

1. Metals, about	40†
2. Combustibles not metallic,	7†
3. Principles or supporters of combustion,	2 or 3
4. One body, or possibly two,‡ of an undetermined character, in all	50 or 51
5. Imponderable bodies, light, heat, and electricity; besides the power called magnetism and the other varieties of attraction.	

\* 'Some object to this phrase, preferring to consider combustion as being only an example of intense chemical action; this view is philosophical; but combustion is so frequent an occurrence, and involves so many important chemical events, that it is convenient, in accordance with the general practice of mankind, to designate it and the bodies contained in it, by a peculiar phraseology.'

† 'It is perhaps doubtful, where some of these bodies ought to be classed,—whether among metals or combustibles.'

‡ 'Perhaps silicon and bromine; we have, however, classed them where they appear to belong.'

'The principal object of Chemistry is to display, first, the great powers upon which its phenomena depend; and, secondly, the properties of the elements, the mode and energy of their action, the combinations which they are capable of forming, the properties of the resulting compounds, and the laws by which they are governed. This statement obviously includes all bodies, natural and artificial. There are many chemical compounds made by art, which, as far as we are informed, do not exist in nature, and there are many natural bodies which art has not yet been able to imitate.' Vol. I. Introduction, pp. 14—19.

We have already expressed the opinion, that this work is eminently adapted to the object for which it was prepared, and one circumstance which shows that it is so, is the vast number of interesting facts contained in it, illustrating most distinctly and satisfactorily the principles of Chemistry. They are drawn, not merely from the experiments of the laboratory, but likewise from the shop of the artist, and the grand processes of nature. They are not only such as are found scattered through elementary works and scientific journals, but they are also such as the author himself gathered from his own experience and observation, during the nearly thirty years which he has devoted to the subject. They are also, many of them at least, related in a manner so distinct, graphic, and attractive, as to prove that he is not only a close observer, but a warm admirer of this class of the phenomena of nature.

Now this is just what the student wants, as one said a few days since, who was endeavoring to glean some knowledge from a dry text-book. 'I should be very much interested in Chemistry, if I could find *data*.' It is peculiarly a science dependent upon facts, which are needed to give a local habitation to its doctrines; and without them, though one should write a system with all the acuteness of Aristotle, and the elegance of Plato, it would not interest a novice any more, than would a metaphysical system of divinity, compared with the narrations of the Evangelists. Every science has its metaphysics, and we know there are some who would prefer a cold statement of the abstract principles of Chemistry; just as there are those, who, from their attachment to Anatomy, would feel more interest in a naked skeleton than they would in a form through which life pours its mantling tide, and in which intelligence dwells. And we apprehend that in the progress of investigation and discovery, the tendency is to lay aside facts and to dwell on principles. One chemical philosopher, in all the

ardor of original investigation, arrives at certain conclusions, which he publishes to the world with the facts upon which they are built, and the uses to which they can be applied. Another, adopting these conclusions as his premises, while he says little about those facts or those uses, presses on in the field of discovery, and in his turn enlarges the boundaries of knowledge. And it has happened, that some of the late works on this source are very deficient in those interesting phenomena, upon which its great principles are founded, and in the discussion of the practical application of those principles; while they are fuller than the older systems of the doctrines of the science. This is the case with Dr. Turner's recent work; which is worthy of all praise for the philosophical accuracy of its statements, and yet has very little attraction for one who has just entered on the study of the science, as we have had good opportunity of knowing. And here we are happy to fortify our opinions, by quoting the kindred sentiments of Dr. Ure, from the preface of his Dictionary of Chemistry.

'It must however be confessed, that the listlessness with which chemical systems are frequently perused, is not entirely the fault of the reader. Too many of these books are dry compilations of names, qualities and numbers, in methodical complexity, containing no intelligible examples of chemical inquiry; nay, hardly a trace of the genius of discovery or of the splendid course which it has run.'

The same good judgment which appears in the selection of a great number of facts, led the author to dwell on those doctrines, that are the most important and interesting; and this is another circumstance, which renders the work well adapted to the purpose for which it was prepared. When Nicholas Lemery published his course of Chemistry, we are told that it was devoured like a novel, and we are disposed to believe, that by a selection of certain topics, illustrated in a suitable manner, a book might be prepared which would be equally attractive at the present time. Let the grand doctrine of *caloric* be exhibited, with its various phenomena of radiation and slow communication; in its vanishing and re-appearing forms, according as it becomes latent or sensible, with its several sources and its powerful effects, whether they are seen in clothing the earth with verdure and working into life the tribes that people it; in changing the dimensions and the state of bodies by its expand-

ing and decomposing power ; or as they appear in the steam-engine, the noblest trophy of the conquest of science over nature ; and in the volcano, which sends forth from the interior of the earth its desolating flood. Let the simple combustibles, such as carbon, phosphorus, sulphur, and hydrogen find a place ; and the grand supporter of combustion, oxygen, in its several states, solid in union with the metals, liquid in the water we drink, gaseous in the air we breathe ; united with one class of bodies to form the alkalies, earths and oxyds, and with another to form the common acids ; expanded in large quantities to support animal life, and, by a beautiful arrangement of Providence, restored to the atmosphere by the vegetable creation. To these should be added chlorine, some of the more important metals, some of the proximate vegetable principles. It would be highly important not to omit galvanism, with its wonderful phenomena, and the laws of affinity, especially as they are exhibited in the doctrines of definite proportion. Let these and some other topics be selected and presented with sufficient detail in an appropriate form, and in the same spirit with which Sir Humphrey Davy wrote his last work, though in a less ambitious style, and the science could not fail of awakening a deep interest, and of securing more attention than it now does.

Chemistry has become very extensive in its ordinary branches and applications, and we see not why the same course should not be taken in preparing works for the learner, on this as on most of the other sciences. He who prepares a work for schools and colleges, on arithmetic, or algebra, or geometry, does not think it necessary to include in it the theory of numbers, or of analytical functions, or the porisms recorded by Pappus ; and for the plain reason, that these investigations would not only be of no use to the student in his incipient course, but from their intricacy, would be actually discouraging and repulsive. But in the larger works on Chemistry, such as for instance, Thompson's, Murray's and Henry's, there are subjects introduced, with which it is impossible that a student should become acquainted in the time usually allotted to the study of Chemistry in our colleges, and which must serve only as stumbling-blocks in his way.

We are happy to find that M. Lavoisier justifies this view of the subject, in the course which he took in the composition of his work ; though the reasons for it, owing to the pro-

gress of the science, are much stronger now than they were when he wrote. Having omitted the subject of affinities, he remarks in his preface that he had done so, because he considered the 'science of affinities as holding the same place with regard to the other branches of Chemistry, that the higher or transcendental Geometry does with respect to the simple and elementary part.'

In the work before us, Professor Silliman, while he has conformed to the common mode of saying something on every substance, has, for the most part, bestowed attention upon each according to its relative importance, presenting some of them in a strong light, and casting into the shade others of less importance.

The next circumstance to be mentioned, which renders this work well adapted to the object for which it was prepared, is its arrangement. Had it been prepared for the purpose of presenting to thorough-bred chemists a logical view of the various substances in nature in their relations to each other, perhaps the order in which the subjects are treated, would not have been in every respect the most scientific; while it may be the best that could be devised for those for whose use it was especially designed, and who are supposed to know absolutely nothing on the subject of Chemistry. The problem to be solved was, what is the arrangement best adapted to awaken and sustain an interest in their minds, and communicate to them clear and adequate views of the science? and from his long experience and great success as a teacher, we think that no one is better qualified than the author to furnish a solution. There is an inherent difficulty in the case, which does not exist in the exact sciences, and it is not surprising that a man trained in these, should be dissatisfied with any system that can be proposed.

Bodies are frequently related to each other in themselves, or in their proximate principles, or in their ultimate principles.

For instance, carbonate of potash and carbonate of soda agree with each other in being made up in part of carbonic acid; and after this is removed by lime, the two substances, potash and soda, agree in being made up in part of oxygen; and after this is removed by iron turnings or charcoal, the two substances agree in being metallic. Now let a classification be adopted, founded on either of these relations, and there will be practical inconveniences of one kind or another, if the system be carried through. For particular bodies it would

not be very difficult to determine what should be the arrangement, yet a mode that would answer for these, would not answer for all others. Among the British chemists, there is considerable diversity in their systems of arrangement, and which of them has adopted the best, it would be rather difficult to say; we are sure it is not Dr. Thompson, however meritorious he may be in other respects.

We are inclined to believe that too much importance has been attached to a logical system, just as theologians formerly thought lightly of doctrines, which could not find a place in some body of divinity. Instead of entering at large into arguments in support of our opinion, we shall barely allow ourselves space to quote a paragraph from Dugald Stewart's Dissertation on the Progress of Philosophy. Page 248, 1 dis. part II.

'The passion of the Germans for *systems*, is a striking feature in their literary taste, and is sufficient of itself to show that they have not yet passed their novitiate in philosophy.' "To all such," says Mr. Mc Laurin, "as have just notions of the Great Author of the Universe and of his admirable workmanship, all complete and finished systems must appear suspicious."

'At the time when he wrote, such systems had not wholly lost their partisans in England, and the name of *system* continued to be the favorite title for a book, even among writers of the very first reputation. Hence the *System of Moral Philosophy*, by Hutcheson, and the *Complete System of Optics*, by Smith, titles which, when compared with the subsequent progress of these sciences, reflect some degree of ridicule upon their authors.'

In the plan of the work before us the author remarks,

'I have not thought it best to describe the simple substances in uninterrupted succession. Such a method does not appear to me to present advantages sufficient to compensate for the inconvenience of plunging at once into the most complex parts of the science; which must be done, if we would draw the elementary bodies from their combinations, and present them in the beginning in a connected view.' p. 1.

'The natural process of acquiring knowledge is the analytical, or the progress from the complex to the simple, from the whole to its parts; the shortest is the synthetic, that is, from the simple to the complex; from the parts to the whole; and this is the course now more generally pursued in Chemistry. If our knowledge were perfect, this would be not only the most obvious, but the best process; and perhaps that mode will be found to combine

most advantages, which unites them both. With this view, I have therefore sometimes adopted the one and sometimes the other, aiming to present the most important elements and combinations as early as possible.' p. 2.

'In teaching, the great object should be, *to find our way into the mind of the pupil, and to fix there the knowledge that we present to him.* He is ordinarily no judge of our theoretical views, with regard to classification and arrangement; he will in most cases even fail to understand us, when we discuss them; and he will be best satisfied with that course, which, in the most interesting and intelligible manner, presents to him the greatest amount of useful knowledge. Both in my public courses of lectures, and in the present work, I have, therefore, considered this object as paramount in importance to every other.' p. 3.

Another circumstance which adds very much to the value of the work, is this, that it presents the doctrines of Chemistry in their connexion with the practical arts of life. There is enough, indeed, in the grand and beautiful phenomena they unfold, to awaken interest and secure a generous and lasting attachment to the science from its own intrinsic excellence and beauty. But it must be confessed, that the *amor habendi* has gained a place in so many hearts, that even science herself is loved mainly for the dower she brings. You must convince men that Chemistry will enable them to increase their wealth, before they will consider the study of it as worthy of their attention. It was said by one, who had borne the honors of his country, and by his counsels had helped to increase her resources, in speaking of a young lady who was about to commence the study of Chemistry, 'Why, if it will help her to make a better pudding, let her study it.' Now to men of this class, who value every thing as it contributes to the amount of national or individual wealth, Chemistry, in its application to the arts, presents strong claims, as the experience of France can testify. Formerly, the arts were enveloped in mystery and concealment. They stood separate from each other, and a knowledge of some one of them was frequently transmitted from father to son as a valuable inheritance. But the lights of modern Chemistry have disclosed these confidently treasured secrets; and besides introducing a great many new arts, have shown a connexion between those already known, that was not suspected to exist before.

It is in this way that Chemistry, by discovering the laws of nature, has been a source of wealth to those who have applied

these laws to the practical arts of life, and enabled them to realize for themselves and their country, that of which the votaries of alchemy only dreamed.

Professor Silliman has generally mentioned the uses to which the various substances described are applied, and not unfrequently, some of the processes by which this application is made. As, for instance, under *silicia*, he mentions some particulars concerning the manufacture of glass; under *alumina*, the process for making porcelain and pottery; and under *nitre*, the mode in which gunpowder is made.

This work was needed. It was due from the author that he should promote the science by his pen, as he had long done by his lectures. It was due to the Institution with which he has been connected, with so much reputation to both. The science has undergone almost as many changes as the objects in the vegetable, the animal, and mineral kingdoms, which it investigates, though no valuable truth is lost. *Omnia mutantur, nil interit.* Take as an instance the theories of combustion. At one time, the doctrine of phlogiston prevailed, with its successive modifications. In place of this, the views of Lavoisier were brought forward, and his house, we are told, became a temple of science, where the Parisian chemists held a festival, at which Madame Lavoisier, in the habit of a priestess, burnt Stahl's *Fundamenta* on an altar, while solemn music played a requiem to the departed system. Then followed the doctrines of Davy. A science thus constantly changing requires a work suited to its present condition, enriched as it has been within a few years by a succession of brilliant and useful discoveries, and such a work is the one before us.

---

#### ART. V.—*Croker's Boswell.*

*The Life of Samuel Johnson, L.L. D. Including a Journal of a Tour to the Hebrides.* By JAMES BOSWELL, Esq. *A New Edition. With numerous Additions and Notes.* By JOHN WILSON CROKER, L.L. D. F. R. S. *In five volumes octavo.* London. 1831.

We do not know the literary work, which has acquired a greater or more universal popularity than Boswell's *Life of*

Johnson. It gives us the history and much of the conversation of a man of vast intellectual power, who, though weighed down by many infirmities both of body and mind, and enslaved by some unfortunate prejudices, has taken his place among the greatest names of England, and will maintain it till all the pages in which her literature is engrossed shall become dust and ashes. His writings are works of great and various interest, though at times unequal ; the same inequality abounds in his life, in which weakness and power, dishonor and glory, were strangely combined ; his conversation is a display of unrivalled fulness and strength. All, who know how to estimate character and talent, have united in admiration of the virtues and powers of Johnson ; for we have observed, that while many feel disgust at his occasional rudeness, and others profess to disdain his infirmities, all men of cultivated taste, who are able to take a view of the *whole man* at once, are ready to do reverence to his mighty name, and are really grateful to Boswell for that minuteness of record and description, which has brought so much ridicule and censure upon that worthy's devoted head.

It has been very common, to speak of the biographer of Johnson in terms of contempt. He has been heavily charged with violating the intimacy of friendship and the sacredness of private life, in giving the character and habits of Johnson so openly to the world ; but it should be stated, at the same time, that he did it not in malice but admiration, and that he was sustained in it by the authority of Johnson himself. The Tour to the Hebrides, which is even more particular in these points than the Life, was submitted to Johnson, who, far from disapproving, added to it some of his own recollections. Sir John Hawkins states, that when Johnson himself was charged with being guilty of the same offence in his Lives of the Poets, he said, ‘The business of a biographer is to give an exact account of the person whose life he is writing, and to discriminate him from others by any peculiarities of character and sentiment he may happen to have.’ So that, in the opinion of the person most interested, Boswell is clearly justified in what he has done. The truth is, that mankind are much more apt to outpour their indignation on follies than on vices ; and to this taste on their part, Boswell, who was highly gifted with the former attributes, has always been a victim. It is evident enough from his own portrait by his own partial hand, that he was vain to a degree which irritated his inferiors ; that his curiosity was

intense and prying ; that he had a feverish passion for excitement, which made it his special delight to attend intellectual parties and public executions, and all scenes that could for the moment interest a mind whose activity was far beyond its strength. But it seems absurd to deny, that he had the capacity to estimate and the taste to enjoy the intellectual society of such a man as Johnson. We remember that Dr. Clarke, the traveller, says, that for the sake of Tweddell's society, he would have consented to black his shoes ; and we can readily believe that Boswell was influenced by a similar enthusiasm in the case of Johnson, whose conversation would certainly repay such attentions and sacrifices as well as that of any man who ever existed. Boswell certainly looked up to him with reverence and regard ; and not expecting to find in a vast cathedral the comfort and elegance of a modern mansion, he submitted patiently to much inconvenience, in his admiration of the solemn grandeur of Johnson's mind. The feeling itself was doubtless praiseworthy and sincere, though it led him occasionally into ludicrous embarrassments and humiliations. Certain it is, that he was welcome in societies, where contemptible men did not easily win their way. If we wanted more evidence of this fact than his own book affords, we have it offered by Cumberland, who, excepting in his estimate of himself, was very impartial. 'The book of Boswell is ever as the year comes round, my winter evening's entertainment ; I loved the man ; he had great convivial powers, and an inexhaustible fund of good humor in society ; nobody could detail the spirit of a conversation in the true style and character of the parties, more happily than my friend James Boswell.' The man to whom such an unsolicited testimony is given long after his death by a just observer, is not to be thought of with disdain, simply because he had his share of those follies from which no man is free, and was too unreserved to hide them as others do from the public eye.

It is a curious trait in the history of public opinion, that Boswell should be ridiculed for submitting patiently to the buffettings of Johnson, and by those, too, who would think nothing more natural than to endure the insults of great men,—statesmen, lords, and kings. For a poor pedant to assume these airs, and for the son of an aristocratic family to give way to them, seems to such men unnatural and revolting to the last degree. We think, on the contrary, that if such tyranny should

ever be submitted to, it should be in reverence for the majesty of mind ; this is the only earthly sovereignty, to which the knee of man should bow. To us, this loyalty to one who ruled by the divine right of talent, seems no more absurd or excessive, than if it had been paid to an ‘ adulterous generation’ of kings. But while the feeling was well enough, the way in which it was manifested, was sometimes very grotesque and amusing. On one occasion, when Johnson had maltreated him, Boswell remonstrated, declaring, that he did not like to be *tossed*, except when friends were present, because in a company of enemies or strangers, he fell upon stones ; adding, ‘ I think this is a pretty good image, sir.’ ‘ Sir,’ said Johnson, ‘ it is one of the happiest I ever heard ;’ and Boswell, in his own inimitable way, not perceiving that the Doctor’s design was to negotiate a treaty, accepts the compliment with delight. But it is difficult to avoid smiling, when Boswell, after having received a salute, which might have come from the heel of a charger, rubs the wounded part, and excuses it as ‘ pretty Fanny’s way.’ It reminds us of the words of the poet, where the lover admits that the fair had reason to be offended, but thinks it necessary at the same time to file a protest in the touching words,

‘ You need not have kicked me down stairs.’

The character of Johnson himself, has not escaped without its share of derision. Every thing about him was striking ; so that those who consider only his infirmities see little in him to respect ; and those who are impressed by his virtues, which were many and great, are apt to make too little allowance for the prejudice of others against him. In every estimate of his character it must be taken into view, that his virtues were the result of principle, and that when he exercised them, he was compelled to strive under the burden of a frame which weighed like a mill-stone upon his mind. Almost all his senses were miserably defective ; his sight was so dim, that he was a stranger to the beauty of the visible world, which has power, in intellectual men, to calm the soul like the sweet expression of a friendly face ; his hearing so dull, that it admitted him but half way into social enjoyment, and left him a prey to those jealousies, with which the deaf are so often tormented ; his nervous system so shattered, that he was kept in perpetual irritation, and all these evils made still more grievous by the want of a

home ; for we take it that his own house, infested as it was by inmates, whose whole employment it was to receive and complain of his charity, or like ancient Pistol to 'eat and swear,' was any thing but a proper and grateful home for one whose life was a long disease. Those who are unacquainted with bodily infirmity will see but a slender apology in this, and accordingly Johnson has found little mercy among that large portion of mankind who are innocent, solely because they are not tempted. But it would seem from the concurrent testimony of his friends, that he labored under constant depression, arising doubtless from a disordered frame ; he dreaded solitude, which threw him back upon himself so much, that he would passionately entreat his friends not to leave him ; his letters abound in affecting representations of his own misery, and if his own word, which never was broken, is believed, he hardly knew one happy day in his life from its beginning to its close. He was so wholly unacquainted with this happiness, that he did not credit its existence. When a gentleman said of a certain lady, that she was happy, Johnson replied, 'Sir, if she is really the contented being she professes herself, her life gives the lie to every research of human nature. The woman is ugly, sickly, foolish, and poor ; would it not make a man hang himself, to hear such a creature call itself happy ?'

Boswell himself, who finds so much fault with the other biographers, has done more than any other to bring suspicions upon Johnson's character. In the close of his work, he launches into a pompous declamation upon Johnson's early errors, and, by the alarming mystery of his manner, contrives to give the impression that Johnson had indulged in almost every excess. He would have been shocked, had he perceived what gross and unfounded imputations he was throwing on the character of his friend ; but when he had once entered on this exalted key, it was as Cuddie said of Mause, 'stop her wha can.' Without knowing his bearing, on he went exulting to the close of the discussion, and afterwards seems to have thought it far too choice to be altered. Johnson had a self-accusing spirit, and small transgressions often weighed heavily on his mind. We know the strange penance which he undertook to do for a single act of disobedience to his father, and similar offences were retained and magnified in his mind, till they seemed to him like enormous crimes. Boswell could not bear to seem ignorant of any facts in his personal history, and therefore af-

fected so much wisdom on a subject where he had not even the knowledge which the injudicious publication of the Prayers and Meditations has now confided to the world. We are glad to see that the editor of this work censures this amazing indiscretion, and shows that there is no ground whatever for supposing that Johnson ever fell into the immoral excesses, which Boswell's dissertation would imply.

Having disposed of this imputation, which the reader will find ably remarked upon by Mr. Croker, nothing remains which can affect the character of Johnson, except that roughness of manner, which induced some one to call him a tremendous companion. This, no doubt, was abundantly trying; but after all, uncultivated excellence is much better than elegance without virtue. There were instances, in which his severity was not uncalled for. Hawkins tells us, that once a man of some distinction used many oaths in his presence, and Johnson said, 'Sir, all this swearing does nothing for our story; I beg you will not swear.' The narrator went on, and Johnson again said, 'Sir, I must entreat you again not to swear.' He swore again, and Johnson left the room. Sometimes it was sarcasm carried a little too far, though provoked by affection. Thus Madame Piozzi informs us, that a young fellow lamenting to him that he had lost all his Greek; 'Sir,' said Johnson, 'it happened at the same time that I lost all my great estate in Yorkshire.' He often felt that he was not well treated, and, conscious of his own superiority, resented it more than was consistent with good taste or feeling. Often his rudeness was provoked by Boswell himself; thus, when he refused to see Lord Marchmont, and spoke impatiently of a visit from General Oglethorpe, it is very manifest that he thought, and not without reason, that his officious friend had exacted these attentions from them. It is generally supposed, that Johnson had enjoyed the advantages of polite society so much, as to leave him without an excuse for his own uncourtliness. But this is a great error; he had very little intercourse with the great; he was seldom found in the saloons of fashion, and he met with most of his eminent friends at clubs, which were not favorable to the graces, however auspicious they may have been to the mind. Before he went to London, he had few opportunities of improvement; he was then at the mature age of twenty-eight; and for some time after so obscure was he, that, as he himself declared to Cumberland, his resources for

subsistence averaged but fourpence-halfpenny a day. The same authority, who knew him well, declares that he never saw him in those moments of moroseness and ill humor which are ascribed to him, and that he lent himself to every invitation with cordiality, and brought good humor with him that gave life to the circle he was in. We know that he was at times overbearing and offensive; but these testimonials in his favor should have weight as well as those against him. Cumberland tells us that he respected Johnson highly, and loved him sincerely, and that he was not warranted by any experience of his humors, to speak of him otherwise than as a friend who always met him with kindness, and from whom he never separated without regret.

From such failings, which he undoubtedly possessed, we gladly turn to his solid and substantial virtues, and among these, his active charity is the most distinguished. His resources were never large, and at times were exceedingly small; but at all times of his life it was his luxury to relieve the distressed. In the days of his poverty, as he returned to his dreary apartment long after midnight, he would put pennies into the hands of children whom he found sleeping on thresholds and stalls, to buy them a breakfast in the morning. Mrs. Thrale says of him, that he was the most charitable of mortals, without being an active friend. But though this seems intended as a slight reproach, it only means that the kind of assistance he was able to afford, did not often require active exertion. She herself tells us, that he was extremely liberal in granting literary assistance to others, and that he furnished innumerable prefaces, lectures, sermons, and dedications to those who asked for them. Now we know that it is easier to give money than labor, and that writing was always a painful exertion to Johnson, so that her own admission is a sufficient refutation of her charge. Hawkins, who does not lean to the side of partiality, assures us, that he did not content himself with advising others to be charitable; he gave away all he had, and all he had ever earned, except the two thousand pounds left in his will. He never spent more than seventy, or at the utmost eighty pounds on himself, but gave the rest away to his dependants at home and abroad, who he said did not like to see him latterly unless he brought them money; and for the poor whom he was himself unable to relieve, he used to ask contributions from his richer friends. Several of these dependants resided in his house,

where he generously gave them a home ; and how unwearyed his kindness was, appears from the remarkable forbearance which he exercised towards them. Their contentions mortified and distressed him. They were constantly complaining of their food, without reflecting that they had no claim to it whatever ; and he was so sure to be met by their murmurings, that he actually dreaded to return to his home. To his intimate friends he sometimes confessed, that his life was wretched from the impossibility of making theirs happy, for such was their hatred to each other, that any favor to one was wormwood to the rest. But if any one condemned them, he would instantly excuse their conduct, and tell him that he knew not how to make allowances for evils which he never knew. This forbearance extended to his servants ; he used to go into the streets to buy oysters for a favorite cat, lest his servant should feel unpleasantly at being ordered on such a duty. Even animals were included in his comprehensive benevolence ; he was so anxious that a favorite horse of Mr. Thrale's should not be sold to hard work in his age, that he desired to be at the whole expense of supporting the animal. Now if he was occasionally guilty of acts of rudeness in moments of pain and irritation, the same is the case with other men ; but where is there one in ten thousand, who has so large an amount of charity to set in the balance against them ? His benevolence was founded in principle, and therefore was consistent and much enduring. Levett has been known to insult him, and Mrs. Williams sometimes drove him from her presence by her ungovernable passion ; but his kindness to both remained unaltered to the last.

In speaking of his virtues, we may add, that we were struck with a remark of Miss Reynolds concerning the direct and immediate advantage to his mind, which resulted from them. This lady was the sister of Sir Joshua, herself a painter, and like her brother, familiar with Johnson. She observes, that the rigid attention to veracity for which he was distinguished, his conscientious determination to be exact in every statement, was the cause that his memory was so wonderfully tenacious and true. In practical education, a remark like this would be found very important. We may say the same of his devotions. An air of extravagance has been given to his piety, by betraying to the public those variations of feeling which most men keep locked up in their own breast ; but so far from his making

these disclosures himself, we believe that, as he said in another similar case, he would have gone mad if he had anticipated such a publication. His devotion was evidently warm and high, and if not enlarged and enlightened in proportion, the defect was owing to those early impressions and constitutional tendencies, which oppress the strongest mind. He was very apprehensive, and not without reason, that those native tendencies bordered on insanity ; and it was to resist them, that he kept a stern religious guard upon his mind, and resorted so constantly to prayer, to quiet his disordered emotions. We do not think that he took such a view of this life, as religion naturally inspires. It would seem hardly grateful to represent the world as a prison,—a place of sorrow and tears ; but, as we have already said, one who was for a long time ground to the dust by poverty, oppressed by a perpetual nightmare of low spirits, and blind to the glorious beauty of the earth and sky, may be forgiven if he judged rather by what he felt than what he saw, and failed to perceive that all around him was bright with happiness and eloquent with praise. The view of life given in *Rasselas* is exceedingly depressing and untrue ; and it is rather surprising, after making every allowance for infirmity, that one who in a fine burst of inspiration, described the power of the soul ‘to make the happiness it does not find,’ should not have perceived, that we are answerable for that which is within our reach, as if it were within our possession ; and that where the soul is able to supply its own wants, and satisfy its own desires, there must be something suicidal or unfortunate beyond the common lot in those who can find no means to be happy. The view which Johnson took of death was as unfavorable to his peace as his idea of human life, and was probably owing to causes equally beyond his control. He constantly looked forward to his dissolution with shuddering and awful dread. Familiar as he was with the faith which enables man to defy the grave, he could think of nothing but its gloom and chilness, and did not ‘see the bright light that is in the clouds’ beyond it. So far from ascribing this feeling to any remorse for unknown crimes, or any distrust of his religious faith, it seems to us to have been a misfortune, owing to accidental causes, and of a kind which not unfrequently befalls the good. We have known men of exalted piety and holy lives, whose faith was unshaken as the rock of ages, who suffered under the same depression, from the thought that

they must die ; but the sun which had been obscured all the day, shone out clear at last. And thus it was with Johnson ; his alarm subsided as he drew near the grave. He sustained the character of a Christian moralist in the closing scene ; and those who witnessed his departure assure us, that they never shall forget the heavenly manner in which he taught them how to die.

With respect to the mind of Johnson, it was undoubtedly one of the very first order. There is no better evidence of this than the work before us, which shows us how his talent displayed itself in unstudied exertions. His conversation, which is perhaps the best test of real ability, is unrivalled for its point, brilliancy, and power ; and if in some respects he appears to take narrow views of important subjects, it was evidently a voluntary bondage, and from his own choice if he moved in chains. In fact, we cannot tell whether we have his real opinions ; he considered conversation an exhibition of skill ; and he delighted to put his shoulder under a fallen theory or forsaken cause, to show what his ingenuity and power could do. Many suggestions, which were hastily thrown out by him in this way and forgotten, have been regarded as his deliberate convictions ; the superstition, for example, which is supposed to have been his weakness ; and various other frailties of mind, which have now become, by a not unmerited retribution, inseparably attached to his memory, in consequence of the intellectual duels in which he was constantly engaged. Many have professed to wonder, that he should have been permitted to exercise such a despotism in society ; but his society consisted not of the fashionable nor the great, but of intellectual men, who admired his talent, and were content to keep silence or humor his caprice, for the sake of enjoying his inspirations. With his ready wit, shrewdness, and overpowering ability, he could not fail to predominate in any circle where he might be thrown. It is true, there were great men about him ; but Fox was easy and unambitious, except in the House of Commons. In these conversations, he seems to have been too indolent or careless to take any leading part. Burke was distinguished every where ; in vigor of mind he was equal to Johnson, and in comprehension, probably superior ; but the careless prodigality with which he threw out his resources, sometimes made his hearers insensible of their value. In conversation he was less impressive than Johnson, from this very overflow of thought ;

as the roar of the cataract is less startling than the sudden thunder of the gun. To us it seems plain, that were Johnson now living, such a master of the social power would hold the same ascendancy over an intellectual society, as was conceded to him in his own day.

It has been commonly said of him, that he was not remarkable for learning ; but we apprehend that this only means, that his works were rather of the literary than of the learned kind. He drew his illustrations less from classical sources, than from the inexhaustible fountains of his own invention ; but it would be difficult to point out the place where he showed any deficiency in those various and important attainments, which a profound scholar might be expected to possess. Doubtless there were those, who went beyond him in every single department of learning ; but we strongly doubt whether England has ever produced a scholar, whose treasures of the kind were more useful to his purpose, or one who had a greater power of recalling his acquisitions just where they happened to be wanted, or of suiting them to the demands of the occasion. That he was deficient, is matter of inference altogether ; and how cautious one should be in drawing such conclusions, was well suggested by Jacob Bryant in a conversation with Gifford, to whom he gave a lesson of modesty, which, it is a pity to reflect, was entirely thrown away. Gifford became acquainted with Bryant at Lord Grosvenor's. The conversation one day turned on a Greek criticism by Dr. Johnson, in some volume on the table, which Gifford thought incorrect, and pointed out as such to the veteran Grecian. Bryant hesitated to acquiesce, and in order to overcome his scruples, Gifford remarked, that Johnson himself admitted that he was not a good Greek scholar. 'Sir,' said Bryant, with a very expressive manner, 'it is not for us to say, what such a man as Johnson would call a good Greek scholar.' We are glad that Gifford had the grace to record this story ; and we hope that our readers will remember it when any thing is said in dispraise of men with whom young pretenders are disgusted, because the world has so long delighted to honor them. Much has been said also, in derision of the style of Johnson. Many writers speak of style, as if it were formed and changed at pleasure ; but it seems to us as absurd to give rules for the formation of style, after the mysteries of grammar are understood, as to determine what expression the countenance shall wear. The first object

is to think clearly, and then to express the thought in the most direct and natural manner. This was the course taken by Johnson ; the movements of his mind were heavy and powerful, like those of some mighty enginery, and his style assumed the same form, not by any effort or ambition, but simply by following the dictate of his nature. In his later years, when the labor of thought grew easier, and he felt more secure of fame, his style underwent a corresponding change ; but from first to last, it was solemn, imposing, and majestic, and was in every respect an exact expression of the habits and character of his mind. We wish this truth were more generally understood, that the style indicates the habits of thought, though it does not always indicate the measure of strength which belongs to the mind ; for we have observed in some able writers an attempt to write in an obscure and shadowy style, thinking, perhaps, that as objects are lifted and magnified by a mist, their conceptions, dimly expressed, will swell into gigantic proportions. The author of the Pelham novels is an example of this affectation, and the writer of an article on Burns in a late Edinburgh Review, a man of much higher order, brought his talent into suspicion by a similar style. Johnson's was what a style should be,—a natural expression of his mind ; and those who attempted to ridicule it by travesty, overlooked the fact, that little men might appear very absurdly dressed in Johnson's clothes ; and the garments might, nevertheless, sit very well on him.

There has been an impression, that Johnson's writings have had their day ; and the Rambler is cited as a work which has been much admired, and is now but little read. This may be true ; but the change of taste proves nothing against its excellence. New works, suited to the varying feelings of the times, have come forward, and though the Rambler is still admired, others stand more directly before the public eye. We should be sorry to estimate the merit of the *Paradise Lost* by the number of its readers. It is partly owing to Johnson himself, that his morality is neglected ; for his original and striking maxims impressed the public mind so forcibly shortly after they appeared, that they became incorporated with the common sense of mankind, and thus by lifting man to the height where he himself stood, he rendered his own observations unnecessary. They became as ‘a lamp despised in the thought of him that is at ease,’ and thus the decay into which his

morality has fallen, proves at once its power, and the good which it has done. It must be remarked, too, that moral writings, not being particularly sprightly, have but little attraction for men at large, when they are no longer new. Nicol Jarvie was not singular, when he spent the Sabbath evening in reading good books and gaping. The reason is, that in order to gain popular favor, all works in which abstract truth is taught, must be made palatable by some kind of attraction; and Johnson's style, which in his own age was a recommendation, has lost its interest by the lapse of time.

If Johnson's circumstances had been favorable to the cultivation of his poetical talent, he would have been very much distinguished for the brilliancy of his imagination. Poetry, in the richest forms of image and sentiment, flashes out in almost all his writings. His poetical writings, as we now have them, abound in faults, but they are all such as practice would have cured. In his imitations of Juvenal, his thought is condensed and energetic, in order to resemble the original; but as often as he forgets his copy and breathes out his own mind and spirit, the tones of the organ are not so deep and full as his poetry in its grand and melancholy flow. It does not appear that he could ever have excelled in tragedy, even if he had not been shackled by a system, which agreed neither with public taste nor with English nature; he was far too stately and unbending, to follow the play and change of the passions. Lyrical poetry would have suited him no better; but in the moral and didactic department, to which his genius was eminently adapted, we believe that the prophetic suggestion which Pope made of his future greatness, would have been more than realized, and that he would have been the most impressive and inspiring poetical moralist the world ever saw.

We have no reason, however, to complain, since in the latter part of his life he accidentally took the employment of a critic on poetry, a field in which his splendid powers appeared to the best advantage. *The Lives of the Poets* has been by far the most popular of his works, and is doubtless the one for which he will be most reverenced in future times. It afforded room for the display of every kind of talent; of his critical sagacity, his burning imagination, his learned research, and that memory by which he retained many curious anecdotes and traits of character, which would otherwise have been lost. No doubt a prejudiced air is given to the work by his

political prepossessions, and he has done injustice to some distinguished names ; but he wrote what he thought, and treated his subjects as he believed they deserved. It is now clear that he was wrong in some respects ; but he did not err in malice, and how was it reasonable to expect, that he should follow the prejudices of others in preference to his own ? The portion of this work which he esteemed the best, was the essay on the metaphysical poets, an affected race, to whom Byron's word 'metaquizzical' would much better apply. It was, however, wasting too much ingenuity on their *Euphuistical* conceits ; and the happiest parts of the book, in our opinion, are those in which he was best pleased with his subject, and gave it his manly praise. The world is deeply indebted to him for this great work ; and if there are instances in which injustice has been done by it, it has come to pass as he expected, that there have been enough to correct his errors, and to redeem from reproach every deserving fame.

It is but a part of his works to which we have alluded. His dictionary, a vast undertaking, from which his feelings and habits revolted, which was wrought out without aid or patronage, and in seasons of poverty and sorrow, has supplied a broad and deep foundation, on which all future improvements in the language can be built. His Preface to Shakspeare, in which he fearlessly assaulted a feeling second in strength only to religious reverence,—his dedications, many of which are eminently beautiful and happy, show how every subject was illuminated, when he held it in the concentrated light of his mind. All who are able to estimate talent, will be found among the admirers of Johnson. They will acknowledge, that there were those among the sons of light, who towered in a higher sphere, and took wider and more inspiring views of the ways of God and the duty and destiny of man ; but they will not suffer him to be degraded beneath the place, which the sentence of the world has assigned him. They will forgive his imperfections and reverence his virtues ; they will defend his character when it is attacked by thoughtless folly, though, to adopt his own sentiment, a reputation established like his, has little to fear from censure, and nothing to hope from praise.

Boswell's Life of Johnson has been a constant favorite with all intelligent readers, and though slight improvements have been made in the new editions at various times, it was quite necessary to revise it again, because many facts and explana-

tions which were not set down because they were universally known, and were intrusted to the keeping of tradition, were in a fair way to be entirely lost. A few years will have swept away all the associates of Johnson ; but as the trouble of collecting these things is not at all estimated by readers at large, no one was willing to submit to the labor till Mr. Croker came forward, and undertook the trust. We can cheerfully bear witness to the able and faithful manner in which he has discharged the duty. But there are some things with which we are not pleased ; we refer to certain sarcastic notes, in which he directs attention to the infirmities of Johnson and the follies of Boswell, as if he feared that the reader might fail to observe them. He assures us, and we have no doubt sincerely, that he feels an undivided admiration for Johnson's writings ; and that, although in his conduct and conversation there may be occasionally something to regret and (though rarely) to disapprove, there is less than there would be in any other man, whose thoughts, words and actions, should be exposed so nakedly to the public view. This being the case, he would have done better to omit the notes to which we have alluded ; his readers do not need them ; we take upon ourselves to say, that no one is in danger of mistaking Johnson for a Chesterfield, or of feeling too much veneration for the personal character of Boswell. It is not every reader who has the decided respect for Johnson which he professes to feel ; and suggestions of the kind would inspire in some, contempt for a man who, with all his faults, which were many, must certainly be numbered with the great and good.

But it is proper to give some examples, that we may not be understood as saying more than we mean. Boswell tells us that he never saw Johnson so complaisant and gentle, as on the day when he dined with the Duke of Argyle. On this the editor remarks, that 'he probably had never seen him in such high company before.' Suppose it were so, what then ? A sneer of this kind, and if it be not a sneer, we know not what it is, is wholly uncalled for. It was natural that Johnson or any other man should take pleasure in such attention, when he knew that he had fairly earned it by his talents and exertions. Again ; when Boswell mentions, that one day at Mr. Strahan's, he followed Johnson into the court-yard and heard him converse with a poor apprentice, the editor is grievously indignant at this *surveillance*, blessing his stars that there is no

name for such a practice in the English tongue. But he has not made out a case of eaves-dropping ; it does not appear that Johnson was not perfectly aware of Boswell's presence, who, probably, went with him as usual, not feeling that any peculiar delicacy was called for on so simple an occasion. Again ; when Johnson makes a very severe remark on some gentleman of his acquaintance, Mr. Croker terms the saying 'extravagantly abusive,' and observes, that the gentleman was, *probably*, unjustly treated by his friends. Mr. Croker does not appear to have the least idea who was intended, and we cannot divine how he should know that the remark was abusive or unjust. Again ; when Johnson said that he could not, as a juryman, have found Mungo Campbell legally guilty of the murder of the Earl of Eglintoune, but was glad they had found means to convict him, the editor says, that the remark 'does him no honor ;' but he should have remembered, that Johnson believed Campbell to be a murderer and a dangerous member of society, and, having no doubt of his guilt, why should he not be unwilling to have him escape through an informality in the law ? So when Johnson remarks, that the mind must be diseased when the memory fails at seventy, Mr. Croker calls it one of the violent and absurd assertions into which Johnson was led by his private prejudices and feelings. These are hard names to apply to a casual remark, even supposing that it were mistaken, which in this case does not appear ; for the failure of memory is clearly a disease of mind. We have given these examples in detail, in order to set readers on their guard ; but it would be unjust not to say, that there are opposite instances, in which Mr. Croker has given a favorable construction to Johnson's words and conduct, and, even where the offence was manifest, vindicated him from the charge of ill intentions. It may have been, that interested as he was in his employment, the conversation had an air of life, and that he felt vexed with Johnson, for giving by his petulance an unfavorable impression of his character and heart. Probably, the feeling of the editor varied like that of readers of the work, changing from delight to sorrow, and from veneration to displeasure, when he saw some fine moral discussion succeeded by an explosion of anger, or a glorious expression of devotion to God followed by something, which bore little resemblance to good will to man.

Having made this trifling abatement, we acknowledge the

excellence of this work, and recommend it to our readers, to all who wish for an intimate acquaintance with Johnson, and every one who has the least respect for intellectual greatness is included in this description. Nothing is more interesting than literary history, and we could not gather from a whole library so clear and life-like a view of the English literary society of the last age, as is afforded by the incidental notices of Boswell ; for there were few of its distinguished men who were not thrown in contact with Johnson, and there never was a man who, in describing character, had equal power to give to an anecdote the force of a description. The work of Boswell is much improved, by inserting extracts from the other biographers ; not that they were needed to counteract the idea which he gives ; for to be partial is one thing, and to give a partial impression is another. We know not why Boswell's portrait should be thought too flattering, for no man could more unsparingly bring to light the faults of another, than he did with respect to Johnson's ; and we consider it in his favor, that these defects, sometimes so excessively annoying, did not make him insensible to the many virtues of his friend. The other biographers in general were not partial to Johnson, and should, therefore, be read with more distrust. Madame Piozzi, after his disapprobation of her second marriage, looked upon him with altered feelings. Sir John Hawkins, as will afterwards appear, beside that his disposition was unpleasant, had reasons of his own for disaffection. Both are more particular in giving instances of his rudeness than was necessary ; their readers should remember, that the *whole man* did not appear on these occasions ; and that to suffer these things to eclipse his virtues is as absurd, as refusing to enjoy the prospect from a mountain, by way of revenge upon its crags and thorns. Boswell is in every respect even ludicrously candid ; insomuch that he would be unpardonable, were it not, that while he parades his friend's infirmities, he volunteers a still more vain-glorious exhibition of his own. These biographers fought over Johnson, like the Greeks and Trojans over Patroclus, and, as doubtless happened in that memorable fight, sundry blows fell upon the subject of contention, which were meant for each other. They forgot, that as the attraction of their works depended upon the interest felt in the subject, whatever lessened his character affected in an equal degree the value of their productions.

Mr. Croker has evidently labored with unwearied industry to gather materials for his work. He calls attention to the fact, which has not been noticed before, that of above twenty years during which Boswell's acquaintance with Johnson lasted, they were together only three quarters of a year, including the tour to the Hebrides. It was thought, that what Boswell magnificently calls the archives of Auchinleck might contain some additional information, and the editor made applications for it, which do not appear to have been very courteously treated. It is intimated, that the family of the biographer are not proud of the part their progenitor sustained, and yet, but for his work, we wonder what mortal beyond the limits of their own county would ever have heard of the ancient name of Boswell. Lord Stowell furnished the editor with notes, which were unfortunately lost in the mail, when, for some reason not given, they were transmitted to Sir Walter Scott. The whole mass collected in various ways is very gratifying to that insatiable curiosity, which follows every thing connected with Johnson and his times. For example, it is pleasant to know that Fox was in the chair of the club, when Johnson happened to thunder out the sentence, 'Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel.' It also appears that the nameless gentleman, who was often sorely buffeted by Johnson for his intrusiveness, was no other than Boswell himself, who with unusual forbearance refrained from giving his own name. Mr. Burke's great name is often inserted by the editor, and gives an interest to many remarks, which have passed unappropriated until now. On the whole, we cannot believe that any subsequent improvement will ever be made upon this edition, and we have no doubt, that it will excite the curiosity and reward the attention of the reading world.

We are glad to see that Mr. Croker has drawn freely from Madame Piozzi's account of Johnson. Boswell's work has superseded others, so that hers is now little read; still it contains many interesting particulars respecting his life and conversation, and gives, on the whole, as just an idea of him as any work on the subject, though not always written in the spirit of a friend. Boswell has labored to give the impression, that she was habitually indifferent to truth; but the instances recorded by him to substantiate the charge, are of the least possible importance. On one occasion, when she repeated a story, which he himself had told her, she described it as

the story, which he had from *the old woman*; upon this Boswell magisterially declared to the company that he had caught her in the fact, for it was an *old man*, and not an old woman, from whom the story was derived. We believe that if such errors as this are the most momentous of which he can prove her guilty, most readers will credit her statements with very little reserve. The truth was, that these biographers were jealous of each other, and Boswell felt the greatest thirst of vengeance, because his work was the last to appear.

It seems that Johnson resided in Mrs. Thrale's family by her husband's desire and invitation. Mr. Thrale was master in his own house, and liked good conversation as well as good living, to which he afterwards fell a martyr. He had a great ascendancy over Johnson, and when the sage grew severe, he could always check him by some such phrase as 'the lecture has been long enough for once,' or 'we will hear the remainder after dinner, Dr. Johnson.' He could even prevail on the Doctor to change his dress, a ceremony in which the philosopher never delighted; and as the foretop of all his wigs was burned off by his use of the candle in reading, Mr. Thrale stationed a servant daily in the way to the dining-room, whose duty it was to seize the Doctor's tresses and replace them with better. But while Dr. Johnson regarded Thrale with respect and deference, he held the lady in but little veneration; and even Boswell's work affords more cases than one of her enduring patiently, what many would never have forgiven. She did not pretend that his residence in the family was agreeable to her, but she made it as comfortable as she could to him; and when we reflect how unpleasant some of his habits were, we are disposed to praise her acquiescence in her husband's will. She says, that 'when he poured oyster-sauce over plum-pudding, and melted butter from the toast into his chocolate, one might surely say he was nothing less than delicate'; we think so too. At night he could not bear the thought of retiring to bed, which he would never call rest. 'I lie down,' said he, 'that my acquaintance may sleep; but I lie down to endure oppressive misery, and rise to pass the night in anxiety and pain.' Mrs. Thrale used often to sit up making tea for him, till four in the morning. His hours were always extravagantly late; of which Mr. George Steevens gives a ludicrous example. On returning to his house one morning between four and five, he said to Mrs. Williams; 'Take

notice, Madam, that for once, I am here before others are asleep ; as I turned into the court, I ran against a knot of brick-layers.' ' You forget, Sir,' said she, ' that these people have been asleep, and are now preparing for their day's work.' ' Is it then so, Madam ? I confess that circumstance had escaped me.'

Madame Piozzi gives many amusing anecdotes of Johnson ; among the rest, an instance of his familiarity with the lower classes. At Mr. Thrale's election, Johnson, who was never foppish, went into the street with a beaver very much the worse for wear ; and a rough fellow in the crowd, seizing the hat with one hand, and clapping him on the back with the other, said, ' Ah, Master Johnson, this is no time to be thinking about hats.' ' No,' said the Doctor, ' hats are of no use except to throw into the air and huzza with,' at the same time suiting the action to the word, and breaking out into a stunning halloo. She relates one of the happiest speeches he ever uttered. Speaking of Dr. Solander, she observed, that he was a man of great parts, who talked from a full mind. ' It may be so,' said the Doctor, ' but you cannot know it yet, nor I either ; the pump works well, to be sure ; but how, I wonder, are we to tell on so short an acquaintance, whether it is supplied by a *spring* or a *reservoir* ?' Boswell would have it appear, that her alienation from Johnson appeared as soon as she became a widow ; but he contradicts himself ; for he speaks of finding Johnson domesticated at her house in Argyle-street, six months after ; this could not have been the case, if the enmity had already begun. She could not expect him to approve her second marriage, and accordingly he expressed his regret ; but he was not the author of the letter which bears his name, in which the ' ignominious match' is spoken of in so severe terms. On the whole, though the tone of her work is not friendly, we see no reason to charge her with injustice to his person while living, or his memory when dead.

Sir John Hawkins is the name which, next to Mrs. Thrale's, is most nearly associated with Johnson's. This, however, seems to be accidental. On account of his being much with Johnson in his last sickness, he was made one of his executors, and was therefore employed by the booksellers to write his life. But he really had very little personal intercourse with Johnson, and adds little to the information furnished by others, except with respect to the closing scene. His narrative of Johnson's

illness and death, is a very important addition to this work. Boswell speaks of him as if he were not overmuch to be trusted, and there are some circumstances which tend to prove the same thing. In one instance, he describes a society formed by Johnson's influence, as a 'sixpenny alehouse club.' His daughter, Miss Hawkins, remarks on this with sufficient candor, 'I am sorry my father permitted himself to be so pettish on this subject; honestly speaking, I dare say he did not like being passed over.' Sir John persecuted Johnson in his last illness to make a will, which the Doctor dreaded as if it were his death-warrant; it was not till after repeated attempts that he succeeded; the reason of this amiable earnestness was, according to his own account, to secure Johnson's property to his relations; but as the law would have taken care of this, and the only effect of making the will, was to give most of the property to the servant Barber, it seems strange that the knight should have followed him from house to house, to make it sure. In another instance, also, he acted with unaccountable caution. Johnson had two manuscript volumes, containing probably those Prayers and Meditations, which are now before the world. In a visit, one day, Sir John took the precaution to put one of these in his pocket; but as soon as Johnson discovered the loss, he knew where his book was gone, and compelled Sir John to restore it, which he did with little dignity or grace. He tells us, that he did it to save the book from another person, Mr. George Steevens, who, he was persuaded, had felonious designs upon it; but why he suspected Mr. Steevens, why it was better that he should abstract it than Mr. Steevens, and why he did not extend his affectionate concern to the other volume, are points touching which he has not enlightened the world. It may be observed, that after this, Johnson threw aside the will, which Hawkins, an attorney by profession, had written, and dictated another to a young clergyman. This may account for the feeling toward the memory of Johnson, which Hawkins's writings discover.

At first sight, it appears strange, that Boswell had not availed himself of Hawkins's account of Johnson's death, since it appeared so long before his own; but the reason was, that a warfare of copy-rights was waged between the biographers; and so tenacious were they, that Boswell entered at Stationers' Hall the letter to lord Chesterfield and the conversation with George III., as two distinct publications, though they are both con-

tained in the Life, where they occupy but two or three pages. What Sir John Hawkins tells us, is confirmed by another valuable paper,—the journal of Mr. Windham, which is now in the hands of a person employed to write that gentleman's life, who churlishly withheld it from Mr. Croker, though a permission to use it was readily granted by the owner, Admiral Windham, the nephew and heir of the statesman. Mr. Croker was fortunate enough to procure an extract from it in another way, and it forms one of the most interesting passages in his volumes. It appears from these two authorities, that Johnson, though much depressed in the early stages of his disease, grew calm, and remained so to the last, with but one exception; this was on the night before his death. He fancied that his physicians were forbearing through an unwillingness to give him pain, and while Mr. Desmoulins and Francis Barber were watching with him, he called for a lancet; Mr. Desmoulins expressed great reluctance to furnish it, and Johnson, said, ‘Don't, if you have any scruples; but I will compel Frank.’ When it was given him, he made three incisions, hoping to relieve his dropsical complaints, one of which was a deep wound, from which he lost some ounces of blood. This was owing to suspicion, to irritability exasperated by disease, and his tenacious love of a life which was fast closing; this was the only instance in which he lost the dignified composure becoming the sage and christian. Mr. Hoole mentions, that on calling to see him the morning after he had requested him somewhat sternly to read the service louder, Johnson said, ‘Sir, I was peevish yesterday; you must forgive me; when you are as old and as sick as I am, you may be peevish too.’ He employed his closing hours, in endeavoring to impress the minds of those about him with religious feelings and instructions. Mr. Windham asked his opinion on the subject of revealed religion, to which he replied, ‘In revealed religion there is such evidence, as on any subject not religious, would have left no doubt. Had the facts recorded in the New Testament been mere civil occurrences, no one would have called in question the testimony by which they are established; but the importance annexed to them, amounting to nothing less than the salvation of mankind, raised a cloud in our minds, and created doubts unknown on any other subject.’ He observed, that we had not so much evidence that Cæsar died in the capitol, as that Jesus Christ died in the manner related. He quoted with approbation

Bishop Taylor's words, 'Little, which has been omitted in health, can be done to any purpose in sickness.' He often repeated also with admiration, the close of Isaac Walton's Life of Bishop Sanderson. 'Thus this pattern of meekness and primitive innocence changed this for a better life;—tis now too late to wish that mine might be like his; for I am in the eighty-fifth year of my age, and God knows it hath not; but I most humbly beseech Almighty God, that my death may. And I do earnestly beg, that if any reader shall receive any satisfaction from this very plain and as true relation, he will be so charitable as to say, amen.' There were some who received impressions at the death-bed of Johnson, which no time can wear away; he persevered till death had almost quenched the eye of kindness, and closed the lips of devotion, and at last, saying '*Jam moriturus*', he expired without a struggle, or any sign of uneasiness or pain.

Of the new materials which have fallen into the editor's hands, the most valuable is the manuscript of Miss Reynolds containing recollections of Johnson, and his notes addressed to herself. She was the well-known 'Renny dear'; and Mr. Croker remarks, that this paper, written by one who knew him most familiarly, confirms all that has been said of the kindness and charity of his private life. This lady died at the age of eighty, in November, 1807. We can place entire confidence in her statements,—the more, because they were never dressed for the public eye; and though there is not much personal anecdote in her recollections, she rivals Boswell in giving an exact impression of the appearance and manners of Johnson. Boswell mourns that Johnson should once have been mistaken for a beggar; but Miss Reynolds thinks, that it would have been difficult to take him for anything else, till his dress was improved by his pension. No external circumstances of the kind ever induced him to apologize, or in the least disturbed his composure. He used to boast, that no living man better understood, or more scrupulously practised the duties of politeness, than himself. Miss Reynolds says, that he would never suffer a lady to walk unattended through the court from his house to her carriage, and so grotesque was his equipment, that a crowd always collected, who expressed in the most audible manner their astonishment and delight. Though he cared nothing for his appearance, he was not always pleased with the result to which it led. As he was once visiting Miss

Cotterel, he was pulled back by the servant in a very unceremonious way ; and it was probably in resentment of this, that he said to Sir Joshua Reynolds, before some of her fashionable visitors, ‘ How much do you think we could earn in a week, if we was to work as hard as we can ? ’

Miss Reynolds supplies Boswell’s deficiency, by minutely describing his extraordinary gestures. On entering Sir Joshua’s house, with blind Mrs. Williams, he would sometimes quit her hand, or whirl her about in his own evolutions, and after sundry seats of the kind, would give a spring, and stride over the threshold as far as possible, entirely forgetting his helpless companion. He would often go through the same ceremony in the street, wholly disregarding the shouts of the mob, and when it was concluded, would walk on with an air of calm satisfaction. He would make a motion with his arms, like a jockey holding back a horse at full speed ; at the same time he would strike his heels or his toes together, in a way that beggars all description.

Miss Reynolds also supplies another of Boswell’s deficiencies, by giving us an account of Johnson’s reading. He read with wonderful rapidity, glancing his eye in an instant over the page ; if he paused, it was because he was particularly pleased ; and after *see-sawing* a few moments, he repeated the passage aloud, especially if it were poetry. He read poetry with a strong and emphatical manner, which gave force to every word ; and in repeating passages which contained any high or solemn sentiment, his expression was wonderfully fine. But in reading prose, his manner was very bad ; he began pompously, and then let his voice sink away into a whine, hurrying on as if he were under oath to finish each sentence in a single breath.

Johnson was desirous to excel in every accomplishment ; he could not bear to be outdone in activity, and happening once to see Mrs. Thrale jump over a cabriolet stool, he followed, with a crash which seemed like a convulsion of nature. As he was walking in a park with some gentlemen and ladies, one remarked, that when he was young he could climb the highest of the trees ; hearing this, the Doctor (then about sixty) ran to one of the trees, and ascended it with miraculous alertness. Once at a gentleman’s seat in Devonshire, hearing a young lady boast that she could outrun any person, he said to her solemnly, ‘ Madam, you cannot outrun me.’ They imme-

dately started, and at first the young lady had the advantage, but the Doctor kicked his slippers high into the air, and setting off with new velocity, soon left the Atalanta far behind. Boswell perhaps thought recollections of this kind too trifling for his dignified subject; but without seeing the man 'unbent,' we cannot judge his character with precision.

Miss Reynolds aids us very much, in forming a just impression of his character. She assures us that his blindness was such, that he could not see the expression in the faces of those about him; thus he was deprived of the benefit of those intelligent signs and ready perceptions, on which the proprieties of behavior so much depend. His deafness, too, not only made him insensible to the expressive tones of others, but unconscious of the stormy sound of his own. In her opinion, it was his harsh voice which often converted into a rude attack what was meant for pleasantry, and produced an excitement which he was wholly unable to account for. She remarks, that he was once in company with Mr. Garrick, and some others who were strangers to him. In the course of conversation, he happened to bear hard on the works of a gentleman who was present, and Garrick, to prevent it, touched his foot under the table. Still the Doctor went on, and took no hint; but when Garrick touched him the third time, he said, 'David, David, is it you? what makes you tread on my toes so?' In this way, he often gave mortal offence, when, so far from intending it, he could not be made to comprehend how and where it was given.

This lady gives us a curious story with respect to Dr. Dodd, on the authority of Johnson. Had her informer been less rigid in his habits of truth, it would be difficult to believe it. He told her, that Dodd flattered himself with hopes of life to the last, and that he was encouraged by some medical friends with the imagination, that by tying the halter in a peculiar way under his ear, his life might be saved after he had undergone the sentence of the law. But this promising scheme was defeated by the person most interested in it. The hangman was bribed, and arranged matters for the purpose, whispering to Dodd on the scaffold, that he must not struggle in the least; but struggle he did, and though he was carried to a convenient place after he was taken down, and every effort was made to restore him, they were attended with no success. Truly, there were but slender chances in favor of a plan, which depended upon the presence of mind of a person swinging by

his neck in the air. Even ‘simple suspension,’ as Captain Dalgetty terms it, is quite sufficient to affect the firmest man’s composure.

The intimacy of Johnson with Sir Joshua Reynolds, was a source of much happiness to him. The calm and dignified character of Reynolds always commanded his respect ; and he in turn speaks of himself, as owing whatever is good in his lectures to his education under Johnson. The order of his family could not be much disturbed by Johnson’s peculiarities, if we may judge from Mr. Courtenay’s description of one of his dinners. There was always a coarse and careless plenty at his table, the very appearance of which produced good humor ; but so careless were the preparations in other respects, that fifteen guests would sometimes meet at a table spread for half the number. The deficiency of plates, knives, and forks, was supplied in the most *extempore* way ; the calls for attendance were very seldom answered ; the guests scrambled for themselves as well as they could ; lords temporal and spiritual, physicians, lawyers, actors, musicians, and painters, being all thrown together ; and among them was the host, perfectly composed, entirely indifferent as to what any one ate or drank, but attending scrupulously to every thing which was said. Here Johnson was always welcome. Northcote informs us, that Johnson’s visits were not always acceptable ; and that Reynolds, one evening, coming into the room where Johnson was waiting for him, turned on his heel, took his hat, and immediately left the house. The refutation of this idle story is contained in the fact, that Johnson is known to have entered the house again. Reynolds was not fool enough to feel himself superior to Johnson, nor would Johnson have borne such treatment from mortal man.

A story, however, which Northcote received from Sir Joshua, is no doubt true, and so characteristic, that it deserves insertion in this work. Roubiliac, the celebrated sculptor, desired Reynolds to introduce him to Dr. Johnson, in order to procure of him an epitaph for a monument on which he was then engaged. Johnson received them very civilly, and took them into a garret, which he called his library, in which, beside his books covered with dust, were a crazy table and an old chair with but three legs. In this Johnson seated himself, contriving to support its lame side against the wall of the room. He then requested to know what they desired him to write. Roubiliac, who was a true Frenchman, immediately began a high-flown

harangue, directing the Doctor what sentiments to express ; but Johnson quickly interrupted him, saying, ‘ Come, sir, let us have no more of this ridiculous rhodomontade, but let me know in simple language the name, character, and quality of the person, whose epitaph I am to write.’

Mr. Croker has done good service to the memory of Johnson, by preserving this testimony of the Reynolds family. At their house he was always met with a welcome, and in their society he was neither flattered nor ‘ shown off,’ which always vexed him. At Thrale’s, he felt the weight of obligation,—possibly was sometimes made to feel it, for who can properly sustain the character of a benefactor ? This his independent spirit could not bear. At his own house he had, as we have seen, constant subjects of irritation ; but at Reynolds’s he was unembarrassed, and comparatively happy, and like most other men in these circumstances, he grew courteous in proportion. At a dinner party there, the conversation happening to turn on the subject of music, Johnson spoke contemptuously of the art. A young lady near him, who was very fond of music, whispered her neighbor, ‘ I wonder what Dr. Johnson thinks of King David.’ Johnson overheard her, and turning to her, said, ‘ Madam, I thank you ; I stand reproved before you, and I promise you, that on this subject at least, you shall never hear me talk nonsense again.’

It was a happy thought in the editor, to associate Sir Walter Scott with Johnson. His very name possesses a charm, and his familiarity with the highlands, the field of his own fame, enables him to illustrate and explain various parts of the tour in Scotland. But he occasionally forgets Johnson’s remark, that he is a true Scotchman who does not love his country better than the truth ; he shows his nationality by severe remarks on Boswell, and once goes so far as to enter into a defence of ‘ sheep’s head,’ the dish, which, it will be remembered, Owen ate at Mr. Jarvie’s table, and approved in a tone in which disgust almost overpowered civility. ‘ I have passed over all the Doctor’s other reproaches on Scotland,’ says he, ‘ but the sheep’s head I will defend *totis viribus*. Dr. Johnson himself must have forgiven my zeal on this occasion ; for if, as he says, dinner is that which we think of oftener during the day, breakfast must be that which we think of first in the morning.’ Among other things, Scott mentions a tradition, that Boswell was so deeply interested in the Douglas cause, as to head a

mob, which broke the windows of the judges, and his father's, Lord Auchinleck's, in particular. Boswell conceals the fact, that Johnson met with Adam Smith at Glasgow ; but it would seem that there was an obvious and sufficient reason, if we may credit the story which Professor Millar told Sir Walter Scott, but which we suspect was a little decorated before it reached Sir Walter. The Professor stated, that Smith came into a company where he was present after his interview with Johnson, and all were anxious to know how two such great men met and exchanged minds. Smith was in no haste to satisfy their curiosity, but being hard pressed, declared that Johnson, as soon as he saw him, attacked him respecting some statement in his letter on the death of Hume, and with a candor, which to Smith seemed excessive, assured him that he believed *he lied*. He of the Moral Sentiments was so much overcome by this communication, that he could only answer, by applying to Johnson a name which is nearly obsolete in refined society, and is considered reproachful, even when applied to the canine race, to which it rightfully belongs. Such was the affecting manner, in which the two great moralists met and parted. We doubt whether Johnson expressed his doubts of Smith's statements in a dialect quite so plain ; but if it were so, it is consoling to remember a remark ascribed to Beauclerk, 'that when he was *on his hind legs*, he was one of the most polite beings that ever existed.'

The appendix to this work contains many anecdotes and descriptions, which the editor has collected. He was aided in his research by several distinguished men. Sir James Mackintosh furnished a biographical notice of Mr. Courtenay, the author of the 'Poetical Review.' It may seem strange, that when there are so many living, who can remember Johnson, there should not be more remembered. But those who can remember him, knew him only when they were very young, and tradition is so uncertain, that Mr. Croker wisely declined placing much dependence on such materials, even when they were offered to his hand. The authenticity of some, however, is established by internal evidence. Thus it is said that two young ladies waited upon him, and one of them repeated a flattering speech, which she had with much labor prepared for the occasion. She then waited for a reply. It was 'Fiddle-de-dee, my dear.' Among other things, the appendix contains a burlesque imitation of Boswell, a dialogue be-

tween Dr. Pozz and Mr. Bozz, by Alexander Chalmers ; and a very pleasant sketch by Sir Joshua Reynolds, detailing two conversations, in one of which he speaks lightly of Garrick, in the other praises him in the most exalted terms. It is often said that Johnson, though he would suffer no one else to abuse Garrick, did it himself freely ; but the reason is obvious ; he himself was a friendly critic, naming some failings in the man whose character he loved. He knew that Garrick, though avaricious, was no miser ; he could do generous things. When Mr. Berenger became unfortunate, Garrick not only relinquished his claim to five hundred pounds, which Berenger owed him, but made him an additional present of three hundred pounds. Johnson knew his whole character, and, therefore, would not listen to the censure of those, who knew only the unfavorable part. It is idly said, that he was jealous of Garrick's good fortune ; but hear what Cumberland says : ‘ Garrick died, and I saw old Samuel Johnson standing beside his grave at the foot of Shakspeare's monument, and bathed in tears.’

We are glad that the opportunity has been afforded us to offer a slight account of Johnson, together with the new materials, which the able and industrious editor has collected. The English work is large and expensive, but we have the pleasure of announcing an American re-print, under the direction of Mr. Francis Jenks, of this city. The five English volumes will be comprised in two, and the price be less than one third of that of the English edition. We hope that this kind of enterprise will never go unrewarded, and that we shall be able to repeat the saying of a distinguished writer of the last age, ‘ Every one that can buy a book has bought a Boswell.’

---

#### ART. VI.—*Griffin's Remains.*

*Remains of the Rev. Edmund D. Griffin. Compiled by FRANCIS GRIFFIN. With a Biographical Memoir of the Deceased, by the REV. JOHN MCVICAR, Professor of Moral Philosophy, &c. in Columbia College. New York. 1831.*

These volumes consist of a selection from the posthumous writings of a young man of great merit and extraordinary

promise. The biographical memoir by which they are introduced, is one of the most interesting and affecting records of talent brought into early exercise, and directed to noble objects, that we remember to have met with. The history of one, distinguished in the morning of life by rare attainments in beautiful union with unspotted virtue, and fine natural powers kept in vigorous action by the motives of a generous ambition, presents an example more graceful and persuasive, than any instance of eminence attained in riper years, and of stronger and more salutary influence also, inasmuch as it addresses itself to the young and susceptible. The world has been shown too many examples of an opposite nature, and with too pernicious an effect. The vices of young men of acknowledged genius, have been copied by those who were ambitious of rivalling their reputation, and who adopted for that purpose such of their qualities, as were easiest of acquisition. We hold, indeed, that the example of Lord Byron will yet prove a great moral warning, and that it will be pointed at in future times to terrify men from the follies in which he indulged, by showing the wretchedness into which they plunged him. But during his lifetime, there is no doubt that it was highly mischievous, and, perhaps, still is so, though in a degree fast lessening, as the attention of the world is turned to new models of conduct among the living, and as the distance to which the lapse of time is removing him, enables the world to speculate calmly on the character and the fate of that extraordinary man. Those who wished to resemble him, copied his misanthropy and his excesses, as if these could communicate the ethereal flame of that genius with which they are at war, and which their only tendency is to degrade and extinguish. As the great mass of mankind form their characters on those of others, and are blind followers in the track of the eminent, it is difficult to estimate the extent of our obligations to him, who shows the world something at once fitted to excite admiration and worthy to imitate. The influence of such an example is as diffusive, as the vibration of sounds in the atmosphere. It is impossible to calculate to what extent its copies may be propagated among an imitative race, how widely it may enlarge the sphere of virtuous impulses, and in how many bosoms it may kindle or encourage the aspiration after excellence, by exhibiting a new and shining instance of its possibility.

Such attraction has the spectacle of extraordinary talents joined with virtue, on which the seal of an early death has been set, that it has alone been sufficient to give popularity to works, which did not possess any high degree of positive merit. The mind, in its estimate of what they are, takes into the account the promise they gave of better things, and the feelings of wonder and sympathy with which they are regarded, give them an interest beyond their intrinsic value. Few works of the present century have been such general favorites with the public, as the ‘Remains of Henry Kirke White,’ with the biographical memoir prefixed by Southey. With a great deal of truth in the fragments, shreds of poetry of no value, and whole poems of inconsiderable power, they yet display so much of elevated and steady purpose, mingled with so much genius, that the world has taken them to its affections. They are read by thousands, to whom the story and works of Chatterton, ‘marvellous boy’ as he was, and profligate as he was marvellous, are unknown. The writings of Kirke White have become a kind of classic; the general scholar places him in his collection of English poets; the plain devout reader puts him on the same shelf with his Young and his Cowper, and one of the greatest poets of the age, himself no stern moralist, has written his eulogy. Yet we do not think that there prevails in his ‘Remains’ a healthy tone of mind. The delicacy of his constitution, the seeds of disease lurking in his frame, seem to have communicated something like a morbid state of feeling to his mind, and to have generated a disposition to despondency and a delight in complaint. The author of the volumes before us had no such tendencies. He seems never to have thought of criticising the conditions of his existence; his only aim was to seek its noblest purposes, and to set himself with steady diligence to compass them. He appears never to have wavered for a moment in the path he had chosen, nor to have been disturbed by any sickly doubts of the worthiness of the objects he was pursuing. His views of life, and the part he was to take in it, were cheerful and rational, and his mind was filled with a perpetual sunshine. His writings bear testimony to this healthful and happy state of feeling. They are full of the inspiration of fresh and generous hopes, and of proper and modest confidence in his own powers, which harmonize so well with the early period of life, while the spirits are yet unbroken by disappointment and the

repeated visitations of sorrow, ere we have learned to realize how soon the vigor of life is past, and how far the best results of human exertion fall short of human aspirations and desires. The equanimity and serene piety which marked his life, supported him under the sudden attack of disease, which cut him off in the midst of the fairest prospects, and attended him within the shadows which divide the present from the future state of being.

Edmund D. Griffin, the second son of George Griffin, Esq., of New York, was born on the 10th of September, 1804, in the State of Pennsylvania, at Wyoming, that beautiful valley, which Campbell has made the scene of his finest poem, and to which many a pilgrimage has been performed, in order to behold what the poet himself had seen only in imagination. His grandfather, on the mother's side, was Colonel Zebulon Butler, a soldier of the old French war, a distinguished officer of the Revolution, and who, as the patriarch of the village, might have sat for the original of the venerable Albert in Campbell's poem. At the age of two years, the parents of Edmund removed to New York, but on account of some appearances of delicacy of constitution, he was educated until his twelfth year at different schools in the country. In these, he gave evidence of early and uncommon talent, and rose to a superiority in scholarship over his companions, which he afterwards uniformly maintained throughout the whole course of his school life. Though for the greater part of the year at a distance from home, his domestic affections were strong and constant, and on one occasion, when about to return to the country at the close of a vacation, he pleaded so pathetically with his father that he might be permitted to remain and pursue his studies in the city, that his kind parent could not find it in his heart to deny him. The pledge of dutiful conduct and diligence which he then gave, as the condition of not being removed from the home he loved, was amply redeemed. He was placed at the school of Mr. David Graham, who undertook with discrimination and success the task of cultivating his faculties; and his biographer mentions nine little volumes of essays, written at that period, still extant in his school-boy hand, all endorsed by his teacher with testimonials of approbation, and indicating powers of uncommon promise, and a mind pervaded by a deep sense of moral and religious obligation. Several short passages are extracted by

the author of the memoir, to show the generous nature of the motives which animated his exertions, and that the ambition of excellence with him ‘seemed to spring less from a love of superiority than from a certain honorable pride of feeling, as if indolence were a degradation of his nature.’

In his thirteenth year, young Griffin was permitted, as the reward of his diligence, to visit the place of his birth. He found not at Wyoming the flamingo,

‘Disporting like a meteor on the lakes’—

Nor the ‘everlasting aloe,’ throwing ‘high its arms;’ he saw no

—‘hillock by the palm-tree half o’ergrown,’—

Nor any other of the accessories of tropical scenery, which Campbell, with a singular forgetfulness of latitude and climate, has introduced into his description of this secluded valley. But he beheld a region of surpassing loveliness, fairer and wilder than even the poet had conceived; and he recorded his transports in a journal, full of that unaffected enthusiasm for natural beauty, which had been nourished by his early residence in the country, and to which the volumes before us bear ample testimony. Here he visited the grave of his grandfather, the patriarch of Wyoming, who commanded in the fatal engagement of July 3d, 1778, which ended in the devastation of the valley by the British troops and their savage allies. He found engraved on the monument the ‘uncouth rhymes of some rustic poet of the wilderness.’

‘Distinguished by his usefulness,  
At home and when abroad;  
In court, in camp, and in recess,  
Protected still by God.’

Of this Colonel Butler, Marshall, in his Life of Washington, says, that he was the cousin of John Butler, who headed the Indian auxiliaries. He also implies a doubt of the courage of Colonel Butler in that bloody action, in which perished the manhood of Wyoming. In a passage extracted from the journal of his visit to the valley, his youthful descendant indignantly vindicates the honor of his grandfather, disclaiming his relationship to ‘such a traitor as John Butler,’ and giving the following account of this memorable combat.

‘Marshall says, that the Indians being about to ravage the valley of Wyoming, and a flag of truce being displayed by them,

Colonel Zebulon Butler, commander of the forces in Wyoming, was by this pretence decoyed into an ambuscade, accompanied by a small detachment of soldiers, and that they were put to rout by a soldier, who called out that the Colonel had ordered a retreat, when he had done no such thing. But this is the truth:—The Indians were about to destroy Wyoming; the male inhabitants were determined to protect their wives, their children, and their property, and were anxious to go out and meet the enemy at the very time they heard of their coming. Col. Butler endeavored to restrain them but for a single day, in which he might find out the number of the enemy and their local advantages, but in vain. Although he saw that they were bent upon their own destruction, his honor would not suffer him to desert them. He accordingly went with them, led them against the enemy, was surprised in ambush, fought bravely at their head, and when they were about to be routed, rode among the ranks, exposed himself to the whole fire of the enemy in order to set them a good example,—but all would not do. A sort of freezing horror had seized upon the men, on seeing the savage with his uplifted tomahawk break forth from the bushes, when they heard his horrid war-whoop, and beheld their friends falling fast around them from the fire of a concealed foe. Dreadful was the rout,—yet more dreadful was the carnage. Out of about three hundred men but four escaped, and one of these four was Col. Butler, who exposed himself to so many dangers, and who, nevertheless, had not even been wounded.'

The matter is of sufficient importance in an historical point of view, to be set right. Colonel Butler, it seems, was distinguished both before and after this event, by marks of confidence from Washington himself. The venerable historian has been made aware of the error he has committed, ‘and in a communication to the inhabitants of the valley,’ says Professor McVicar, ‘has promised, that in a future edition justice shall be done to the memory of one, whom they all loved as their friend, and respected as their brave, though unfortunate defender.’

When young Griffin was fourteen years old, Mr. Graham’s school was discontinued. This gentleman has borne witness to the rapid progress of his favorite pupil in a brief panegyric, in which he describes him as one in whom the love of learning admitted of no relaxation, whose ambition embraced the whole course of study, and in every thing urged him to excel, and who, better than any one he ever knew, answered the fastidious

description of the Roman critic ; ‘*Puer mihi ille detur, quem laus excitat, quem gloria juvat, qui victus fleat.*’

He was next placed at a school, which had just begun to rise into reputation, kept by Mr. Nelson, the famous blind teacher, in the city of New York, afterwards Classical Professor in Rutgers College, New Jersey. Of this very remarkable person, Professor McVicar gives the following interesting account.

‘The mention of this name recalls to the writer, who was his college class-mate, the merits of a singular man ; and as death has now turned his misfortune into an instructive lesson, it may be permitted to dwell for a moment upon his eventful story. The life of Mr. Nelson was a striking exemplification of that resolution which conquers fortune. Total blindness, after a long, gradual advance, came upon him about his twentieth year, when terminating his college course. It found him poor, and left him to all appearance both penniless and wretched, with two sisters to maintain, without money, without friends, without a profession, and without sight. Under such an accumulation of griefs, most minds would have sunk, but with him it was otherwise. At all times proud and resolute, his spirit rose at once into what might well be termed a fierceness of independence. He resolved within himself, to be indebted for support to no hand but his own. His classical education, which, from his feeble vision, had been necessarily imperfect, he now determined to complete, and immediately entered upon the apparently hopeless task, with a view to fit himself as a teacher of youth. He instructed his sisters in the pronunciation of Greek and Latin, and employed one or other constantly in the task of reading aloud to him the classics usually taught in the schools. A naturally faithful memory, spurred on by such strong excitement, performed its oft-repeated miracles ; and in a space of time incredibly short, he became master of their contents, even to the minutest points of critical reading. In illustration of this, the author remembers on one occasion, that a dispute having arisen between Mr. N. and the Classical Professor of the College, as to the construction of a passage in Virgil, from which his students were reciting, the Professor appealed to the circumstance of a comma in the sentence, as conclusive of the question. “True,” said Mr. N. coloring with strong emotion ; “but permit me to observe,” added he, turning his sightless eye-balls towards the book he held in his hand, “that in my *Heyne* edition it is a colon, and not a comma.” At this period, a gentleman, who incidentally became acquainted with his history, in a feeling somewhere between pity

and confidence, placed his two sons under his charge, with a view to enable him to try the experiment. A few months' trial was sufficient; he then fearlessly appeared before the public, and at once challenged a comparison with the best established classical schools of the city. The novelty and boldness of the attempt attracted general attention; the lofty confidence he displayed in himself excited respect; and soon his untiring assiduity, his real knowledge, and a burning zeal, which, knowing no bounds in his own devotion to his scholars, awakened somewhat of a corresponding spirit in their minds, completed the conquest. His reputation spread daily, scholars flocked to him in crowds, competition sank before him, and in the course of a very few years, he found himself in the enjoyment of an income superior to that of any college patronage in the United States,—with to him the infinitely higher gratification of having risen above the pity of the world, and fought his own blind way to honorable independence. Nor was this all; he had succeeded in placing classical education on higher ground than any of his predecessors or contemporaries had done; and he felt proud to think that he was in some measure a benefactor to that college, which, a few years before, he had entered in poverty and quitted in blindness.'

It was while at this school, that Mr. Griffin first became known to his biographer. He found him modest, sensitive, ardent, loved and honored by all, taking an unenvied precedence of his school-fellows; a youth, of whom 'no teacher remembers a fault committed, no instructor an exercise neglected, no companion an unkind act, an angry sentiment, or an immodest word.' At the public examinations of the school in which he was a pupil, he witnessed the honors which he acquired, and the meekness with which he wore them. On one occasion, when he carried away the prize from all his competitors for an English version of the passage in Ovid's Metamorphoses, describing the war of the gods, the graceful diffidence of the young poet, the feeling with which he recited his verses, and the talent shown in the verses themselves, seem to have taken his auditors by surprise. Dr. Bard, a venerated name, then President of the Medical College of New York, warmly congratulated the delighted father on the happiness of possessing such a son, and the late amiable and learned Dr. Harris, President of Columbia College, in his address to the victor, broke out with the exclamation, '*Macte virtute, puer!*' Never was that classic sentence more fortunately applied.

In the autumn of the year 1819, Mr. Griffin, then just fifteen years of age, applied for admission into Columbia College. After one of those long and rigid examinations practised at that time in the institution, which continued for several successive days, and which terminated by arranging the names of the several candidates for admission in the order of merit, his own was announced to be first on the list ; a rank which he ever steadily maintained during the whole of his connexion with the college. While the examination lasted, he was constantly attended by his blind instructor, who evinced the most intense interest in the decision. In a subsequent letter to a friend, he described his anxiety and apprehensions during this severe trial of his strength and faculties, and declared that he was most unfeignedly astonished to find himself at the head of the list. Professor McVicar relates the following anecdote of this examination.

'The justice of the decision was unquestioned, though the chagrin of one of the rival candidates vented itself, at the moment, in a manner more creditable to his scholarship than his philosophy. He wrote with his pencil the following distich, and passed it along to the victor :

"Vicisti, Griffin ; parva at tua gloria, nam quod  
Anni quinque tibi, *menses* mihi quinque dederunt ;"

to which the former immediately replied, with the usual courtesy of Latin disputants ;

"Æmule ! cur senior, fallaces ad fugis artes ?  
Menses tu simulas, annos tamen insere victus."

The boast was not, however, altogether false ; the author of the lines was a highly talented Italian youth, of riper age than Edmund, and who, by the aid of a learned father, had prepared himself for the examination in an incredibly short period of time. He was the son of Lorenzo Da Ponte, at present Professor of Italian in Columbia College, and partook strongly of that poetic fervor, which even now gives youth to the father in his eighty-third year, and which half a century ago, recommended him to the Austrian monarch as a fit successor to the laurel of Metastasio.\* What success might have attended the future efforts of this formidable rival, when *months* of diligence had been changed to

---

\* 'Lorenzo Da Ponte, then in his twenty-third year, was made "Poeta Cesareo" by Joseph II. in the year 1780, a few months after the death of Metastasio.'

years, it is impossible to say. Death withdrew him from the course, before the race was well begun. Two other high-minded competitors, after a two years' struggle, voluntarily withdrew their pretensions; and through the remainder of his college life, Edmund's claims to general pre-eminence remained undisputed.'

The habits of life which young Griffin had at this time formed, were such as to combine the greatest attention to health, with the most diligent and regular application to study. We quote the passage in which they are described, together with the judicious remarks they have drawn from his biographer, in the hope that it will meet the eye of some who, in the pursuit of learning, have adopted an injudicious and harmful system, and that it will teach them, by so pregnant an example, how easily the care of the constitution may be reconciled with the greatest attainments, even if it be not, as is doubtless the fact, an aid in acquiring them.

'Edmund's habits of study at this period might be recommended as a model to the student, on the score both of health and industry. They were early formed, and partly from love of order, still more from a sense of duty, were perseveringly maintained through the whole course of his education. His practice was to rise so early as to study between two and three hours before breakfast, which meal was at eight o'clock in winter, and seven in summer. His morning studies were, therefore, during one half of the year, commenced by candle-light. From breakfast until three P. M. the hour of dinner, he was employed at his books; either at home, school, or college. After dinner, he gave up to exercise and recreation until twilight; when he resumed his studies, and continued them until bed-time. While a school-boy, this was at the primitive hour of nine o'clock; and not later than ten, while a collegian: thus securing for sleep some of those early hours, which, in the opinion of physicians, are worth double the amount after midnight, for the rest and invigoration of both body and mind. After quitting college, the demands of social intercourse broke in upon this regularity, and led him to trespass in his studies far upon the night; it was a change, however, which he both lamented and condemned, and had his life been spared would no doubt have returned to those fresh morning hours, which he always spoke of with delight, and which are so essential to the health of the student. Happy they who can receive this doctrine! With the young it is in their power, and let them choose wisely and in time; lest haply when old, they pay the penalty of having divorced a life of study from one of healthy

enjoyment. With Edmund, these regular habits strengthened a constitution naturally delicate, and enabled him to bear without injury a more than ordinary degree of mental exertion, and to execute an amount of intellectual labor almost incredible at his early years ; having left behind him manuscripts to the amount of at least six octavo volumes. The secret of his health lay in early hours, and regular systematic exercise ; and his example in this particular is the more valuable, because in our country it is more needed. In Europe, the sedentary habits of the student are attended with comparatively little danger, to what awaits them in our warmer climate, where they are found so often to render valueless all the advantages of education, and to present the painful picture of a young man unfitted for usefulness in his profession, by the very zeal with which he has pursued it. The peculiar character of young Griffin contributed still further to this end ; he enjoyed the health which flows from equanimity. His mind was singularly well balanced ; in that happy even poise which ever preserved its serenity ; hence, though earnest, he was not enthusiastic ; though diligent, he never overstrained his powers ; but preserved on all occasions, even of the highest excitement, a tranquil self-possession, and an even sweetness of temper, which to a stranger savored of coldness ; but to those who knew his warm heart, only added to their admiration of his abilities. This felicity of nature was early remarked of him by his teachers. "He did every thing," says Mr. G., "apparently without effort;" and so far at least as it was called forth in academic competition, the author speaks from long personal observation, having often regarded with wonder his calm benevolent repose of features in the midst of the highest exertion ; which he remembers on one occasion to have drawn forth from one of his examiners, the warm-hearted exclamation, "He has the face of an angel."

While a student in Columbia College, some of his Latin and English poems were thought to be of such merit, that they were printed and circulated at the request of the president and at the expense of the institution. At the age of nineteen, in the year 1823, he took the usual degree of A. B., and closed a course of studies, in which he had borne away the palm from every competitor, with the highest honors in the public exercises of the commencement.

He was now somewhat embarrassed in the choice of a profession. He took, says his biographer, that step from which he thought he could most easily recede ; he entered his name as a student at law in his father's office, and for two months

diligently read the sages of jurisprudence. Early religious impressions, however, and a fondness for speculations of theology, as connected with human action, at length inclined his choice to the ministry. At the opening of the New York Theological Seminary, he became a student, with a view of taking orders in the Protestant Episcopal Church, to which, at that time, none of his family belonged. His reasons for joining himself to a denomination in whose faith he was not educated, are given at some length by his biographer; and when we consider the pain it must have given a mind of which filial affection was so striking a characteristic, to differ on so important a subject with those whom he most loved and reverenced, it will be admitted that they show a mind accustomed to firm independent conclusions. We are sorry, however, that the writer of a memoir, with which we are otherwise so well pleased, and which is intended for the eyes of the admirers of genius and goodness every where and by whatever name they may be called, should have thought it necessary to take this occasion to enforce the peculiar opinions of his own denomination.

Mr. Griffin was admitted to Deacon's orders in 1826, and for nearly two years, officiated as an associate minister in two churches in the city of New York. His fine person, and the excellent manner in which he pronounced his animated discourses, soon made him a popular preacher, and the vestry of Christ's Church offered him a settlement for life, which by the advice of his father he declined. In October, 1828, he set out on his travels for Europe. He made the tour of France, Italy, Switzerland, England, and Scotland, during which his faculties seem to have been kept in as constant and vigorous exercise, as they had ever been in the schools. No person, perhaps, ever travelled with a more fixed determination to travel for improvement, if the word determination can be applied to what seemed the natural and unavoidable bias of his mind. Whatever these countries presented of venerable in historical associations, of admirable in the arts, of majestic or beautiful in the features of nature, of illustrious in learning and goodness, was observed by him intently, and meditated upon profoundly; and at the end of a year and a half, he returned, with a mind enriched and ripened by observation, and accomplished for a sure and early eminence. At the time of his arrival in this country, Professor McVicar was obliged by ill health to suspend for a while his college duties, and Mr. Griffin

was solicited to undertake a part of them. His consent to this arrangement imposed on him the task of completing a course of academic lectures, on the history of ancient and modern literature. This he undertook and accomplished in an incredibly short space of time. We quote the passage of the memoir, which speaks of the duty undertaken by Mr. Griffin, both for the views it gives of his qualifications, and its account of the circumstances under which the lectures were composed.

' It was a duty both urgent and laborious; involving, in addition to the general charge of history and composition, the immediate preparation and delivery of a course of lectures, for which he had made no definite preparation, and in which the short and imperfect notes of the professor could have afforded him, had they been in his hands, but little aid. These lectures continued through the months of May and June, being prepared, written out, and delivered, almost, it may be said, at the same moment. They extend to more than three hundred pages octavo; a degree of manual as well as intellectual labor not often paralleled; and, when coupled with the recollection of it being a voluntary, unbought service, taken up without premeditation, in the very moment of return, carried on without aid, and completed in the midst of all the interruptions incident to such a period of congratulation; it may be said without exaggeration, that they remain a noble monument of promptitude, diligence, and knowledge, and afford a rich sample of what might have been effected by him, had life been spared. Of these lectures, some portion, it is understood, will be included in the following collection. In justice to their author, the reader must not forget the circumstances of haste under which they were written. For the task itself, Mr. Griffin was well fitted both by nature and education; since, to great natural delicacy of taste, was added a familiar acquaintance with the best models of both ancient and modern times. His classical education had been thorough, so far as that term may be applied to American scholarship. He was also intimately acquainted with the languages and literature of Italy and France, and deeply read in that of his own tongue. His recent tour had not only extended his knowledge, and still further cultivated his taste, but produced somewhat of its usual influence in raising criticism into a science. The Italian language had been one of his early acquisitions; he was engaged in its study with his lamented sister, when death made him a solitary student. His instructor (Professor Da Ponte) speaks of him as having evinced a singular aptitude in its acquisition, and great diligence and judgment in the perusal of its authors. With the French he

was equally familiar ; according to the statement of one of the most accomplished of our French scholars, (the Rev. A. Verren,) he spoke the language upon his return from Europe with such purity, that Mr. V. looked forward with confidence to his occasional aid in the supply of his pulpit in that tongue. His course embraced Roman and Italian literature, together with that of England down to the writers of the reign of Charles II.

A few weeks after the completion of this undertaking, he was seized with the disorder, which closed a life marked throughout by the most spotless virtue, the strongest filial and fraternal affection, and the most persevering and successful diligence. He expired on the 1st of September, 1830, after three days' illness. The memoir closes with a brief and affecting account of the last moments of his life, drawn up by the parent, whose gentle and judicious management contributed to draw forth the excellent qualities of his mind and character.

The 'Remains' consist of a few pages of poetry, a journal of the author's tour through Italy and Switzerland, extracts from the journal of his travels in France, England, and Scotland, portions of the lectures on ancient and modern literature, and two or three theological dissertations, written while preparing for the ministry. These, as we are informed by the author's brother, in a modest preface, were compiled from a mass of manuscript writings, sufficient, if printed, to fill six octavo volumes, not one page of which, with the exception of a single juvenile English poem and two or three Latin ones, was ever intended for the press. Of course they should not be subjected to any austere rules of criticism. They should be judged by their merits, rather than their defects. We may claim that they should bear marks of talent, and that they should possess qualities to make them intrinsically valuable or interesting ; but we expect and can overlook omissions which the author would have supplied, inaccuracies which he would have amended, and redundancies which he would have pruned away, had he written with the fear of the public before his eyes. It seems that he had been from his childhood in the habit of committing his thoughts to paper, as an intellectual discipline. Such a habit may give facility and fluency in composition, but it does not secure him who writes only for his own re-perusal or for the eye of partial friends, from writing sometimes with looseness and negligence. Traits of an exuberance of style, like that of an extempore speaker, may occasionally be found

in the works before us ; but on the other hand, they possess this recommendation, that they are written with a natural feeling, from the fulness of the author's heart and the abundance of his own thoughts, that there is no artificial dressing up of ideas, nor ostentatious patchwork of knowledge. His good taste and manly sense also preserve him from any thing affected, fantastic or mawkish.

The poems are first in order. Such of these as are in Latin are all that is expected from verses in Latin composed by a student ; they do credit to his scholarship, and his command over the numbers and idiom of a language, the graceful employment of which is one of the most difficult attainments of human skill. Those in English are not the work of a professed writer of poetry, nor a youth preparing himself with a determined purpose of eminence in that art ; but we think we have seen juvenile poems of less merit written by persons who afterwards became celebrated as poets. They are the occasional effusions of an ingenuous spirit of warm feeling, contemplative, and delighting in poetic imagery and poetic rhythm. The following lines are apparently an imitation of the earlier English poets. If they had been found in an ancient black-letter volume, they might well have passed for a song of the sixteenth century, from the compactness of the expression and a dash of quaintness in the ideas.

' Like target for the arrow's aim,  
     Like snow beneath the sunny heats,  
     Like wax before the glowing flame,  
     Like cloud before the wind that fleets,  
     I am,—'tis love has made me so,  
     And, lady, still thou say'st me no.

' The wound's inflicted by thine eyes,  
     The mortal wound to hope and me,  
     Which naught, alas, can cicatrize,  
     Nor time, nor absence, far from thee.  
     Thou art the sun, the fire, the wind,  
     That makes me such ; ah then be kind !

' My thoughts are darts, my soul to smite ;  
     Thy charms the sun, to blind my sense,  
     My wishes,—ne'er did passion light  
     A flame more pure or more intense,  
     Love all these arms at once employs,  
     And wounds and dazzles and destroys.'

The lines ‘On leaving Italy,’ are spirited, and flowing in the versification. We quote the concluding stanzas.

‘Oh, Italy ! my country, fare thee well !  
 For art thou not my country, at whose breast  
 Were nurtured those whose thoughts within me dwell,  
 The fathers of my mind ? whose fame imprest,  
 E'en on my infant fancy, bade it rest  
 With patriot fondness on thy hills and streams,  
 E'er yet thou didst receive me as a guest,  
 Lovelier than I had seen thee in my dreams ?

‘Then fare thee well, my country, loved and lost :  
 Too early lost, alas ! when once so dear ;  
 I turn in sorrow from thy glorious coast,  
 And urge the feet forbid to linger here.  
 But must I rove by Arno’s current clear,  
 And hear the rush of Tiber’s yellow flood,  
 And wander on the mount, now waste and drear,  
 Where Cæsar’s palace in its glory stood.

‘And see again Parthenope’s loved bay,  
 And Paestum’s shrines, and Baiae’s classic shore,  
 And mount the bark, and listen to the lay  
 That floats by night through Venice,—never more ?  
 Far off I seem to hear the Atlantic roar,—  
 It washes not thy feet, that envious sea,  
 But waits, with outstretched arms, to waft me o’er  
 To other lands, far, far, alas, from thee.

‘Fare, fare thee well once more. I love thee not  
 As other things inanimate. Thou art  
 The cherished mistress of my youth ; forgot  
 Thou never canst be while I have a heart.  
 Launched on those waters, wild with storm and wind,  
 I know not, ask not, what may be my lot ;  
 For, torn from thee, no fear can touch my mind,  
 Brooding in gloom on that one bitter thought.’

The most attractive, as well as the larger part of these volumes, consists of the journal of the author’s travels in Europe. The tour through Italy and Switzerland, which is given entire in a series of letters, is undoubtedly a less perfect work than it would have been, had it been revised and prepared for publication by the author ; yet we are not sure that it is less interesting. It is written with great freedom and animation of

manner, and is pervaded throughout by a deeply felt enthusiasm for the wonders of nature and prodigies of art, presented to one fresh from a world of different aspect, and wearing on its bosom no traces of past generations. It is impossible not to enter into the feelings of the youthful and accomplished traveller, as he visits places hallowed by the glorious recollections of antiquity, or gazes on venerable ruins, on antique statues of ethereal mould, and on the sublime creations of the Italian architects, sculptors, and painters. Fitted by his natural sensibilities for receiving strong impressions from such objects, his journal shows him deepening those impressions, and rendering them distinct and indelible, by intense contemplation, and then recording them in the glowing language inspired by the feeling of the moment. This has led to what some may perhaps think a fault in the work,—we mean the multitude of its descriptions of paintings and statues. Allowing that there may be some foundation for this criticism, it would yet be difficult to point out a work of travels, conveying so perfect an idea of the treasures of art which Italy holds in her bosom. Take, for example, the description of the Vatican,—its army of statues,—its multitude of sculptures in relief—its innumerable pictures,—all the miracles of genius glowing on the walls of its aisles and chapels, looking down from its loftiest vaults or dimly seen in its farthest recesses,—the vast collection of the choicest antiques and most admirable productions of modern artists, enshrined in its galleries, its halls, and its museums. If the description be long, it is because the subject is inexhaustible ; but the untravelled reader will admit, that it gives him a better and higher idea of the magnificence of that mighty repository of the wonders of art, and the travelled reader will not be displeased with the means it furnishes of reviving his faded recollections.

It is one recommendation of these travels, that nothing in them is borrowed. They are the mere record of what the author actually observed ; there is no compilation, no filling up of the plan of the work from the labors of others. The learning by which they are illustrated, was in the author's mind before he wrote. Travellers in Italy have copied from each other with little scruple. Old Lalande's work on that country has been a store-house of materials for subsequent tourists, a curious instance of which occurs to us. The author of 'Rome in the nineteenth century,' a very good book in the

main, calls Lalande the most tedious of writers, yet steals almost the only pleasant story in his book. In illustration of the indolent character of the Romans, she relates, that she one day entered a shop, the master of which was sitting comfortably in his chair, and inquired for a certain description of goods. He answered, that it was not in the shop, upon which she pointed to a parcel of the kind she desired, lying on a shelf; ‘Ah,’ said he, ‘but it is so high!—Lalande tells the story in nearly the same words.

As a specimen of Mr. Griffin’s style of description in this part of the work, we quote the account of a scene, which on Easter Sunday follows the ceremony of the papal benediction bestowed in solemn silence on kneeling multitudes.

‘Then begins a scene of confusion, such as would astonish an American crowd. In Europe it seems to be a universal law, that those who ride may trample without scruple upon those that walk. Lines indeed are formed, but are continually deviated from. The cries, the execrations, the waving to and fro of the pierced and endangered, yet still unresisting crowd, cannot be easily imagined. It was curious to observe the great variety of costume which distinguished the day. Here came the peasant girl, exulting in her blue spencer with red sleeves, her white gown, striped with red and bordered with yellow, her delicate pink shoes, and head-dress formed of a muslin handkerchief, folded in an oblong shape, attached to her forehead and streaming down her back. By her side strutted her sturdy sweetheart, in his sky-blue velvet jacket, his light brown small-clothes, and his crimson sash. By and by came the pilgrim, with his oil-cloth cape, and long iron-shod staff; priests with their black coats, flowing mantles, and three-cornered hats; and friars, with their habits white, brown, and black, were scattered around in every direction. Soldiers and Swiss guards, furnished with pole-axes, helmets, back and breast plates, with clothes slashed and parti-colored in the exact fashion of the middle ages, stood at every portal and every corner of the passages. Here rolled the English equipage, light, tasteful, and complete; there the gorgeous carriage of the cardinal, with its noble black horses, its red and gilded body, its trappings of silk and gold, its three suspended footmen, and its attendant coaches, two and sometimes three in number, following in the rear.

‘Being very much fatigued by the ceremonies of the morning, and not confiding much in the common “on dit” of the day, I had almost determined not to visit the illumination in the evening; but remembering that St. Peter’s was the building to be

illuminated, that restless curiosity which haunts one abroad like a disease, at length overcame my reluctance. For this time I was rejoiced that I had indulged it. Arrived at the bridge of St. Angelo, the dome of St. Peter's burst upon my view in a new and splendid aspect. From its inferior border, even to the summit of its cross, it was adorned with lamps arranged in perpendicular lines upon its ribs and in the intervals between, somewhat in the form of *fleurs de lis*, glittering like so many jewels, with a tremulous though brilliant lustre. As we approached, the smaller domes began to raise their heads, shining like satellites, though not with reflected splendor. Farther on, and the cornice of the *façade*, marked by a double border, became visible in part. Arrived on the piazza, we beheld the whole *façade* tastefully illuminated, its windows and portal just marked, and the capitals of its pillars delicately wreathed with lights. Lines of light also traversed the whole extent of the arcades, and surrounded the whole circumference of the circular piazza. Here we sat admiring this tasteful and splendid scene, and awaiting the change in the illumination. It took place about eight o'clock, and was performed within the space of three strokes of the bell. No less than five hundred men are employed for the purpose. The effect was magical. Suddenly as thought can act, the whole building blazed with what seemed a conflagration. The cross appeared a flame, and the dome to be on fire. The architecture of the front was perfectly exhibited. Strong lights shone in the arcades, and between the intervals of the colonnade, opening to discovery their peculiar beauties. Pausing upon this gorgeous display, we traced with new admiration the graceful and noble forms, and the stupendous magnitude of the building, which rose before us. Appealing less to the judgment, but more forcibly to the imagination, it seemed to lift towards heaven its jewelled mitre, in sign of its pre-eminence above all the edifices in the world.

'Having traversed the piazza in various directions, in order to catch from every point of view, the varied and beautiful effect of the lighted colonnade, we drove rapidly to the Pincian Mount, on the other side of the city, in order to view from thence this magnificent phenomenon. Arrived at its summit, in the neighborhood of the church Trinità del Monte, we looked in the direction of St. Peter's. Like the Sultan in the Arabian tale, we could scarce believe our eyes, so changed appeared the scene of yesterday. A fairy fabric, built by no mortal hands, seemed to arise before us. The domes, the greater part of the *façade*, and one wing of the colonnade, were distinctly visible. They lay floating in the distance beyond the intervening gulf of darkness, waving as if with the sun's beams reflected from burnished gold

and transparent topaz, surpassing the most gorgeous picture of even an oriental imagination.

' The exhibition closed with a tremendous display of fireworks from the castle of St. Angelo, on Monday evening. Having obtained a chair early on the very brink of the river opposite, I was entertained, while I awaited the commencement of the show, by the picturesque effect of a multitude of torches borne in boats, which were plying up and down the river. The red light shed on the stream beneath, or on the dark countenances and rude forms of those who bore them, the music which floated from time to time across the waters, the merriment of a number of young men upon the opposite bank, who now fenced with blazing torches, and now waltzed with them in their hands, and again ran to and fro as if in the madness of a bacchanalian revel, the gaiety of costume, and cheerfulness of countenance and festivity of tone and action which pervaded the multitude around, at length released from the rigors of Lent, constituted a scene of animated and pleasing interest. At length the explosion of two cannons, whose sounds were long reverberated along the channel of the river from the distant city, announced the commencement of the fireworks. They began with a burst of rockets, which lighted up with a fierce glare the houses of the city, the varied dresses and faces of the multitude, the river with its boats, the beautiful arched bridge in the vicinity, and the rolling canopy of smoke caused by the inflammation of their contents. When the smoke had cleared away, we found the whole vast exterior surface of the castle covered with letters and festoons of delicate white light. It is not possible, nor would it be worth while, to describe the various acts of the exhibition, the wheels of fire, the cascades of flame, the darting of myriads of blazing serpents into the obscure of night, the bursting of stars from out the black cloud of smoke, and their fall to earth shattered into a thousand fragments, the roll of musketry, the roar of cannon, the conflagration which sometimes embraced the whole castle, clothing it in all the terrors of a burning mountain. The grand eruption of the volcano was reserved until the last. After a few moments of profound obscurity, amidst the thunder of cannon and the incessant rattling of minor reports, whose close succession served to show how infinitely divisible is time, thousands of rockets burst from every quarter of the castle with a rush that seemed as if it must bear along with it the solid fabric ; a blaze, that, piercing through its dense envelopement of smoke, shed a glare as if from Pandæmonium, on all around ; and an irregular but tremendous explosion in the air, which appeared about to pour on our devoted heads the inevitable fate of the buried neighbors of Vesuvius.

' The fondness of the Romans for public spectacles seems to

be their ruling passion. On the present occasion, I really believe that Rome was more than "half unpeopled." The crowd, the rush, the intermixture of horses and carriages with men, women, and children in the narrow streets, were really frightful, and in fact dangerous, unless to the strong and active. The docility and quietness of the noble Roman horse on such occasions, is truly astonishing. The civility of the people, too, is admirable. There is no elbowing; no striving to get before you. The pressure seems owing to a general impulse, and not to any individual effort. Although there was a fine moon on both the evenings above described, yet so bright were the illumination and the blaze of fireworks, that their effect seemed scarcely to be diminished.

'On the following night, I went to observe the effect of moonlight on the piazza of St. Peter's. How calm and beautiful was the contrast! Here was the restoration of nature and of truth, after those fairy visions,—of nature and of truth under their most enchanting aspect. The colonnade, with its rich intervals of brightness and deep shade, the fountains discharging shoots of liquid silver breaking into silver spray, the lofty steps, the broad *façade* resting in obscurity, but surmounted by the white lustre of the aspiring dome, presented an assemblage of objects, upon which the soothed and pleased imagination rested with perpetual enjoyment.'

The portions given from the journal of the author's travels in France and Great Britain, possess another kind of interest. They contain various sketches of the philosophers, authors, statesmen, divines, and other eminent personages of the two countries. We quote a lively account of a *symposium*, at which Mr. Griffin found himself in company with some of the brightest wits of the United Kingdom. It is entitled, in the volumes before us, 'A Literary Party.'

'I dined yesterday, with a very distinguished party, at Mr. M\*\*\*\*\*'s, consisting of Moore, Lockhart, Washington Irving, Smith, one of the authors of the Rejected Addresses, and other *beaux esprits*; Mitchell, the translator of Aristophanes; and some others, of less name and fame. The first is, certainly, a most unpoetical figure. Nor is his countenance, at first sight, more promising than his person. When you study it, however; when you consider the height of the bald crown, the loftiness of the receding pyramidal forehead; the marked, yet expanded and graceful lines of the mouth; above all, when you catch the bright smile and the brilliant eye-beam, which accompany the flashes of his wit and the sallies of his fancy; you forget, and are ready to disavow your former impressions. To Moore, Lockhart offers a

strong and singular contrast. Tall, and slightly, but elegantly formed, his head possesses the noble contour, the precision and harmony of outline, which distinguish classic sculpture. It possesses, too, a striking effect of color, in a complexion pale, yet pure, and hair black as the raven's wing. Though his countenance is youthful, (he seems scarce more than thirty) yet I should designate reflection as the prominent, combined expression of that broad, white forehead; those arched and pencilled brows; those retired, yet full, dark eyes; the accurately chiseled nose; and compressed, though curved lips. His face is too thin, perhaps, for mere beauty; but this defect heightens its intellectual character. Our distinguished countryman is of about the ordinary height, and rather stout in person. His hair is black, and his complexion "sicklied o'er with the pale cast of thought." His eyes are of a pale color; his profile approaches the Grecian, and is remarkably benevolent and contemplative. Mr. Smith carries a handsome, good-natured countenance; and Mr. Mitchell's physiognomy, though not handsome, is, at least, amiable.

The conversation at dinner consisted chiefly in the relation of anecdotes. To my great disappointment, no discussion of any length or interest took place. It must be admitted that the anecdotes were select, and told with infinite wit and spirit. Many of them, I doubt not, were the inventions of the narrators. Such seemed to be peculiarly the case with those of Mr. Moore and Mr. Smith; who, though seated at different ends of the table, frequently engaged each other from time to time, in a sort of contest for superiority. This contest, however, was still carried on in the same way. Both tried only which could relate the most pungent witticism, or tell the most amusing story. The subjects of the anecdotes in general were extremely interesting. Lord Byron, and other eminent men, with whom the speakers had been or were familiar, were frequently brought upon the stage. Mr. Lockhart, meantime, though he seemed to enjoy the pleasanties of others, contributed none of his own. Whatever he did say, was in a Scottish accent, and exhibited strong sense and extensive reading. Mr. Irving seems to be one of those men, who, like Addison, have plenty of gold in their pockets, but are almost destitute of ready change. His reserve, however, is of a strikingly different character from that of the editor of the Quarterly. The one appears the reserve of sensibility; the other that of thought. The taste of the one leads him apparently to examine the suggestions of his own mind with such an over scrupulosity, that he seldom gives them utterance. The reflection of the other is occupied in weighing the sentiments expressed, and separating the false from the true. Mr. Irving is mild and bland, even anxious to please. Mr. Lockhart is abstracted and cold, almost indifferent.

'On returning to the drawing-room, the scene was changed, though the great actors remained in part at least the same. Music was substituted for conversation. Mr. Smith gave an original song, full of humor and variety. Mr. Moore was induced to seat himself at the piano, and indulged his friends with two or three of his own Irish melodies. I cannot describe to you his singing; it is perfectly unique. The combination of music, and of poetic sentiment, emanating from one mind, and glowing in the very countenance, and speaking in the very voice which that same mind illuminates and directs, produces an effect upon the eye, the ear, the taste, the feeling, the whole man, in short, such as no mere professional excellence can at all aspire to equal. His head is cast backward, and his eyes upward, with the true inspiration of an ancient bard. His voice, though of little compass, is inexpressibly sweet. He realized to me, in many respects, my conceptions of the poet of love and wine; the refined and elegant, though voluptuous Anacreon. The modern poet has more sentiment than the Greek; but can lay no claim, (what modern author can?) to the same simplicity and purity of taste. His genius, however, is more versatile. The old voluntary complains of his inability to celebrate a warlike theme; his lyre will not obey the impulse of his will. But the author of the Fire Worshippers gave us, in the course of the evening, an Irish rebel's song, which was absolutely thrilling. Anacreon was, however, afterwards restored to us in a drinking song, composed to be sung at a convivial meeting of an association of gentlemen.'

'I cannot conclude this brief sketch, without saying a few words of my host. He is a good looking man, with a pre-occupied and anxious air. This gives way, however, to true Scottish sense and cordiality in conversation. He has a strong understanding, and a good memory; and is exceedingly interesting from the long intercourse which he has maintained with, and the intimate knowledge he possesses, of all the eminent literary characters of the age. The memoirs of himself and his times would be invaluable. He has been the Mæcenas of his day; and, though not the favorite of an emperor, has conferred more substantial rewards on merit, than even the distinguished Roman. Such has been his liberality, that, though millions have passed through his hands, he is, I am told, by no means exorbitantly rich.'

Of the lectures, our readers have already had some account, in a quotation from the Memoir of Professor McVicar. The portions included in these volumes, are specimens of a work of considerable extent, embracing an entire view of Roman and Italian literature, and of English literature in its earlier

and most vigorous period, and giving the character of the principal authors in each. Compelled by the short space allowed him, and the numerous demands on his time, to derive his principal materials from his own literary recollections, aided by a brief and hurried consultation of authors, the work bears necessarily the marks of haste, yet it attests a mind well furnished, by a large and diligent course of reading, for so comprehensive an undertaking, and shows a celerity of literary execution altogether extraordinary. How eloquently he could discourse of the great masters of verse in his own and other languages, will appear from the following passages, which we take from his criticism of the great work of Dante.

' This great poem, with all its imperfections, could not fail to excite the astonishment of the age in which it appeared, and to attract the lasting admiration of posterity. It may be compared to an extensive wood, filled with the tallest trees of the forest, covered underneath with a carpet of the richest verdure, and fragrant with the wildest, brightest, sweetest flowers ; but where you are sometimes lost in the darkness of the shades, and often perplexed by the devious and intricate paths. Though Dante cannot, upon the whole, be styled the first of poets, I should be inclined to place him above all others, for some of the highest attributes of genius. The conception of his great work is grand, original, sublime. He invented images, he established a language for himself. His style is as peculiar as it is original. Not an unmeaning word weakens its intense energy, and not a superfluous ornament impairs its sublime simplicity. He kindles the imagination by a hint ; he rouses the spirit by one trumpet tone ; he affects the heart by one thrilling touch ; he tortures the sense by one appalling image ; and then leaves to the fancy of his reader the labor of developement. The reader of Dante should, therefore, himself be a man of genius. Mere taste is not adequate to comprehend him fully, or appreciate him duly. Yet the general and enduring admiration with which his great work is regarded, is a proof how powerfully it addresses itself, in the main, to the universal sympathies of our nature. What most strongly impresses the reader, is the profound solemnity and earnestness with which it is written. The mind of the poet seems full of the remembrance of the unearthly mysteries which had been revealed to him, and not yet recovered from the awe they had inspired. What he writes seems to be dictated by recollection, rather than by invention. He does not seek to embellish, to heighten, to amplify ; he seems to feel that this would be doing sacrilege to his subject ; he appears to aim at communicating in

the most concise and direct terms, an adequate conception of the images yet vivid in his memory. It is impossible to resist the contagion of that awe, by which the mind of the poet is overwhelmed ; or to refuse, while you read, your assent to the truth and reality of the scenes he describes. You are impressed by the simple, grand, unaffected strains of the Florentine bard, with much the same reverential emotion, as when you read the poetry of the Hebrew Scriptures.

' But to make you more familiar with the genius and character of Dante, it may not be amiss to attempt a brief comparison between him and the English bard, who most nearly resembles him ; I mean the great epic poet of our language. Milton and Dante both depict the awful mysteries of Heaven and of hell ; but it must not be forgotten that the Tuscan was the precursor. Nor had he any light to guide his path but his own genius. The example of Virgil inspired him to write, but instructed him neither in his matter nor his manner. Dante was the first to sing of Heaven and of hell, not as the dreams of mythological fiction, but as the objects of a real faith. He was the first who launched from this promontory on which we stand, into the vast immensity of the universe, traversed the abyss amidst demons and infernal tortures, and mounting afterwards through angelic hosts and undiscovered worlds, gazed with steadfast eye upon the glories of the Highest. Such is the bold and daring course, in which Milton is but his follower. Dante was the Columbus who discovered this new world of poesy ; Milton only the Americus Vespuclius who pursued his track.

' In originality, Dante probably surpassed even Homer himself. We cannot now ascertain, how much the Ionian bard was indebted to his predecessors. Time has covered the literary history of that period with utter oblivion. The very perfection of his poems would seem to indicate, that he must have derived some aid from the labors and experience of those who had gone before him. It is difficult to suppose, that he could so far have approached the creative attributes of the Deity as to form out of the chaos of heroic fable, by the untaught efforts of his own genius, those stupendous and matured works, which resemble, in their varied magnificence, in the minute regularity of all their parts, in the adaptation of those parts to make one harmonious and glorious whole, "that universe itself whose image they reflect." On the other hand, the very irregularities and imperfections of Dante, are evidence of his originality ; they betray the first, the yet immature efforts of invention.

' But, though surpassing the English bard in originality, the gloomy Tuscan was inferior in the perfection of his imagination. He did not conceive an epic poem. Though he had the exam-

ple of Virgil before his eyes, yet his genius and the times led him to a dramatic narrative, possessing unity indeed in its general plan, yet often fantastic, and not seldom tedious. Milton, on the other hand, though originally inclined to throw his mighty subject into the form of an allegorical masque or mystery, happily gave himself up at length to the inspiration of the epic muse. His creative and comprehensive imagination erected from the materials of his subject a fair and stately edifice, which engrosses and almost overwhelms the mind, and continues to elevate the spirit, even when employed in the inspection of the parts. The *Divina Commedia* is like a Gothic cathedral, immense and sublime in its dimensions, vast and irregular in all its parts, wild, rich and picturesque in its ornaments, into whose long and lofty aisles the light streams as if unwillingly, unable to overcome the gloom congenial to the place. The *Paradise Lost* is like the interior of the Pantheon at Rome. The noble breadth of the *rotunda*, the height of the aspiring dome, the chaste magnificence which pervades the whole, above all, the perfect unity which, admitting no distraction, combines every part into one undivided effect, create an intensity of admiration. There is but one space, and only a single light. The symmetrical, the majestic whole is seen, is felt, at a glance.'

The lectures on Italian literature contain versions of several of the most striking passages of the Italian poets. That from Dante, relating the pathetic story of Count Ugolino, is worth comparing with the translation of Cary.

We take our leave of the work, with remarking, that the theological dissertations at the close, bear traces of the same mind, which dictated the travels and the lectures.

---

#### ART. VII.—*Mary Queen of Scots.*

*The Life of Mary Queen of Scots.* By HENRY GLASSFORD BELL, Esq. Family Library, Vols. XXI. and XXII. New York. 1831.

When a trying question was once submitted to the court of Areopagus, that venerable body, anxious that justice should be relieved from the prejudices of the hour, directed the parties (with perhaps too little regard for their convenience) to appear in court again at the expiration of a hundred years. They considered this time amply sufficient to allow for prejudices and passions to die away. Could they, by any prophetic vision,

have foreseen the question concerning the guilt or innocence of Queen Mary, they might well have doubted whether their term of adjournment should not have been extended, by at least another century. Here is the example of a Queen, who has engaged the general interest and attention, more than any other of her sex that ever existed ; who has been condemned, again and again, by able and popular historians, and defended by those whose works have never obtained any considerable circulation, and yet the public mind is not made up ; her accusers have never secured the verdict of the world against her. There has been a perpetual suspicion, that those historians were more governed by prejudice than conviction ; and, as the amount of testimony which they were able to adduce, bore no proportion to their confidence, men in general have determined, wisely and properly in our opinion, to regard her as innocent till she is proved guilty. It is sometimes supposed, that this tenderness toward her is owing to the renown of her beauty ; if it were so, one would suppose that the same charity would be extended to Helen, Cleopatra, and other ladies of that description, who are by no means treated with the same forbearance, though equally celebrated for personal charms. We have, in our own times, had an opportunity of seeing how soon even folly can destroy the sympathy of the nations. Never was there more enthusiasm than that inspired by ‘that delightful vision,’ the late Queen of France ; but, since the world has learned that her indiscretions did much to injure her unfortunate husband, lovely and ill-starred as she was, this interest is nearly lost. Whether Mary were guilty or not, there is no doubt that she was basely injured ; but we believe, that unless the world had been firmly persuaded of her innocence, their sympathy would have subsided, almost as soon as her beauty was changed into dust and ashes.

It is fortunate for the reputation of Mary, that her trial has thus been continued from one age to another ; for it is evident, that there has been no time, during the last two centuries, when a Catholic or a Stuart could have gained an impartial hearing. But now, a new spirit is busy in the records of English history ; religious prejudices and their results are sternly examined ; political prepossessions are also made subjects of inquiry ; and it begins to be doubted, whether the cause of truth is materially served by exchanging one passion for another. With respect to crowned heads, a feeling begins

to prevail, similar to that expressed by the guide of the Spanish satirist, who in vision went down to the infernal regions. He asked to see the apartment allotted to kings, and, on seeing it, expressed his wonder that so few were there. ‘Few,’ said his conductor, ‘there are all that ever reigned!’ We do not mean to say, that the public mind is yet impartial; but it has broken part of its chains.

The prejudice against the Catholics has had the most decided effect upon historical representations. We have no sympathy with the Roman faith, and we bless the reform which broke up the deep foundations of its power; but every enlightened Protestant now admits, that the feeling of the reformers, though not too severe, perhaps, against the faith of the Catholics, considering the evils to which it led, was far too indiscriminate and unsparing in its condemnation of their characters and persons, and amounted at last to intolerance much resembling that which they condemned in the Catholics themselves. It has led historians into an infinite variety of errors; it is truly surprising to remark, how events and persons, seen through the distorting glass of their prejudice, have lost their true form, colors, and proportions. Thus, in reference to Catholic intolerance, they have almost made the stones cry out for vengeance upon that spirit which kindled the fires of martyrdom, and every heart joins in the disgust and abhorrence they express; but they have converted this into mis-representation, by sinking the fact, that Sir Thomas More, Cranmer, and John Rogers, the former an enlightened Catholic, the two latter Protestant apostles, approved and encouraged the burning of those whom they considered heretics, regarding it, as it was evidently regarded in that day, as nothing more than a fair and rightful use of power, and only to be condemned when personally applied to themselves. The name of ‘bloody’ Mary has been held up to the detestation of ages, as in truth she well deserved it; but something less than justice is done, when they conceal the fact, that the blood of Catholics flowed as fast in the days of Elizabeth, as that of Protestants in her sister’s. These are serious things; but there is something burlesque in the grandeur with which they boast themselves of her, whom they call (*lucus a non lucendo*) England’s ‘virgin’ queen. A woman of great ability she undoubtedly was; but they can see no weakness in a toothless old lady, aping the dress and graces of fifteen; and it does not occur to them, that it was not the most glorious

time for their country, when the nation trembled before a vixen, who swore at her Parliament, boxed the ears of her generals, and cuffed her ladies of honor with her own royal hands. We shall dwell no further on this, than to say, that we do the majority of Protestant historians no injustice, when we observe, that they seem to have thought, that all was corruption in the ancient Church, and, that before their Rhadamanthus-like tribunal, the word Catholic was synonymous with guilty. We feel, therefore, that the opinions they have filed against Mary are of little importance, except so far as they have brought circumstances and testimony to support them.

Another reason which has been unfavorable to Mary is, that her name was Stuart,—a name which has been unpopular in England for a long time, and with good reason ; the question, however, is not so much whether the Stuarts were a bad race, as whether any other line, unrestrained by their subjects, would be any better. There is no doubt of their determination to enslave their people ; but where is the royal line which is not equally resolute in claiming every inch of power, which the spirit of freedom and endurance will allow them ? Kings look upon power as their property ; and they regard the patriot as the citizen the tax-gatherer, believing that he has a perfect right to all that he can keep back from the publican's exaction. The difficulty was not so much in the character of the Stuarts, as in the notions of sovereignty which they inherited, and in the corrupt state of institutions, which gave them power to oppress. The late King of France was doubtless as intelligent, and probably as well meaning as any European sovereign ; but education and habit rendered him unable to see the position in which he stood, and as 'when the ship is sunk, every sailor knows how she might have been saved,' he is commonly regarded as a wrong-headed fool. But though the fate of the Stuarts was very similar to that of the Bourbons, we are free to confess, that we can see nothing in the mind or heart, in the public or private character of the Protestant succession, with which they need blush to compare. Their history resembles a torrent, breaking out from the northern mountains, which moves on in desolating grandeur, till it spreads and sinks on the plain, and leaves its channel dry. We do not admire them in their greatness, but we sympathize with them in their fall. There is something affecting to us in the thought of Charles, however misguided, laying down his 'gray

discrowned head' upon the block ; of James, when he heard that William had landed, directing Kneller to go on with his portrait, that he might not disappoint his friend ; of Henrietta, unable to rise for want of a fire, in the palaces of France ; of the young chevalier, making a desperate attempt to regain the kingdom which he believed his own ; and the whole heraldry of their race, at last sinking unhonored to the dust. The only vestige of their line now existing, is the descendant of the Duke of Berwick, natural son of James II., who is one of the grandees of Spain. Historians have implicitly followed the advice of the Fool in Lear, 'when the great wheel goes up hill, let him haul thee after ; but when it goes down, let go, lest thou break thy neck with following it.' They have thrown contempt on the Stuarts from Mary downward, and shown a diligence in flattering the talents and virtues of the Brunswick line, which indicates that they knew what would suit the popular feeling, and who were best able to pay for praise.

Now when we consider how thorough-going and tenacious all these prejudices are, and what power a popular historian has over the feeling and judgment of his readers,—a power which grows out of our familiarity with works, which interest us day after day,—works, to which we yield a grateful confidence, because they have entertained us in many vacant hours, it is matter of surprise to us, that the public mind should have so long hesitated to pronounce judgment against Queen Mary. No historian has been more read than Robertson in this country ; though now the world begins to acquiesce in Johnson's opinion, that 'he would be crushed by his own weight.' Hume, too, another great enemy of Mary, has exercised an unbounded influence over American readers, insomuch, that several years ago, the youth of our country, though sworn friends of freedom, were almost unanimous in favor of the unfortunate but usurping Charles, and were ready to justify transactions in the English history, which were most decidedly opposed to their own feelings and opinions. Though all this historical authority has not carried the day, every one knows that it has created a strong suspicion of Mary, and as this is a subject of general interest, we propose to give a slight view of the evidence in support of that indictment, to which she pleads 'not guilty.'

The controversy began with the work of the celebrated Buchanan, who was patronized by Murray and encouraged by Cecil, and was naturally swayed by the strong feelings of

gratitude and hope. No one in this age doubts the unscrupulous ambition of ‘the good Regent,’ or the excessive dissimulation of the English secretary. They were probably nearly as well understood in their own times, but they took care, in all their enterprises, to secure the favor of the great Protestant party ; and the world has probably never seen the time when a party would hesitate to justify its idol, even at the expense of truth and honor. Buchanan could by no means excuse Murray’s treatment of his sister, except by pronouncing her guilty of enormous crimes ; and he, as well as Knox, whose courage often degenerated into brutality, doubtless believed that a Catholic was, as a matter of course, capable of every sin. His statements were contested by Lesley, bishop of Ross, and others ; but the tide was setting altogether in one direction, and the English public, with that candor for which it was always distinguished, was, like old Transfer in Zeluco, ‘willing to hear reason when it had made up its mind.’

The controversy was revived at a future time, by the attempts of the Stuarts to regain the English throne ; nothing was so acceptable to the winning side, as attempts to dishonor the birth and ancestry of the exiled princes. But the question was not debated with much research or fairness, till after the decisive year 1745. Then Mr. Goodall, Librarian to the Faculty of Advocates, published an examination of the Letters attributed to Mary. Robertson’s history appeared four years after ; and it is easy to see, that a collection of ancient documents, which none but the antiquary would ever think of reading, could have no effect, compared with a history, which by a favor, not perhaps fully deserved, was found on almost every table. By a curious self-contradiction, Robertson creates sympathy for her, as one who died the death of the innocent, though she lived the life of the guilty. As we have already said, he was supported by Hume, whose philosophical narrative will always be admired, though his historical inaccuracy is now so clearly proved, that he never will be cited as an authority in matters of fact again. Tytler’s defence does not seem to have gained a very extensive circulation ; and the same may be said of Whitaker, who published a work on the subject in three octavo volumes, without one word of index at the beginning or end. The work of Chalmers on the same side, which appeared in 1818, contains much information, and is more generally known ; but how can works, consisting of historical documents thrown

together without the least regard to ‘heaven’s first law,’ and in which one searches for a fact as for a needle in a haystack, with an oppressive feeling of despair, ever make an impression on the public mind? The consequence has been, that these works, like the gun at Mahomet’s siege of Constantinople, which was with great ado fired seven times a day, have been wholly ineffectual in modern warfare; and this mismanagement confirms the saying, that the indiscretion of friends is more injurious at times, than the worst enmity of foes.

Lingard, in his history, gives no opinion concerning this question, but merely narrates the facts, and leaves them to make their own impression. Sir Walter Scott does not profess to speak with confidence on the subject, but leans toward the unfavorable side; still he speaks of the ‘saintly patience’ with which she endured the misery and exile of her later years. We might remind him that this is not the kind of virtue, which adulterers and murderers are most apt to display. On the whole, we have no doubt, that in England, one may know *a priori* from the party in which a man is interested, what his opinion is concerning the innocence or guilt of Mary. In this country, there is no such disturbing force in the way of forming deliberate opinions; and as, when we began our investigations of the subject, we had little doubt of her guilt, we have the more confidence in the conclusion to which we have arrived at last, that she was innocent of the greatest of crimes. But we must make one remark concerning this controversy; each party have gone to the extreme; if the one have proved her innocent of murder, they speak as if no reproach remained; if the other have proved her guilty of imprudence and folly, they leap to the conclusion, that she was accessory to her husband’s death. We think it necessary, therefore, to say, that we do not exalt her into an angel, when we declare our conviction, that her husband’s death was owing to other counsels and other hands.

The first piece of direct evidence brought to prove that Mary was concerned in the murder of Darnley, was the confession of Paris, a French servant of her household, who testified concerning a conference of Mary and Bothwell before the murder, in which that event was plainly alluded to; but this confession was wrung from him by torture, by those who were most deeply interested in proving Mary guilty, and the circumstances are so suspicious throughout, that such evidence

would not now be admitted by a country justice in a case of trover. Here they had a most important witness,—the only human being who could testify to this fact, which they were so earnest to establish,—but was he brought before Parliament or any unprejudiced court, where his testimony might be cross-examined and sifted? So far from it, he was hurried to execution, and what they chose to call his confession was offered in his stead. This is sufficient to show that it does not deserve the name of evidence; but there is yet more. Paris, and the others who made confessions, spoke to the spectators at their execution; and the bishop of Ross, addressing the King's lords, said, 'We can tell you, and so can five thousand more, of their own hearing, that John Hepburn did openly cry and testify, as he should answer before God, that you were the principal authors, counsellors, and assisters with his master (Bothwell) in this infamous murder; we can tell you that Hay, Powrie, Dalgleish and Paris, took God to record at the time of their death, that the murder was by your counsel, invention and drift, committed; they also declared, that they knew not the Queen to be participant or aware thereof.' Mr. Laing thinks this statement false; but we are evidently bound, without disrespect to him, to 'pay less regard to what he says than to what he proves.' Morton, the bitter enemy of Mary, confessed before his death, that he promised to join the conspiracy against Darnley, if Bothwell would procure a sign of the Queen's consent; but this he was unable to do.

The confession of Paris is easily disposed of; and there is no other direct evidence, excepting the well known 'silver box,' which came into possession of the Earl of Morton. This contained letters, contracts and sonnets, said to have been addressed by herself to the Earl of Bothwell. The story spread concerning it, unsupported however by any evidence, was this; that on the 20th of June, 1567, Dalgleish, a servant of Bothwell, was seized with this casket in his possession. It had been sent for safe-keeping to the castle of Edinburgh, and Bothwell sent this servant to demand it of Sir James Balfour, the governor of the castle. The box was taken from Dalgleish, and the fact established by the oath of the Earl of Morton. No hint of this momentous discovery was given till the 4th of December. The lords say, that Dalgleish was judicially examined before the Earls of Morton and Athol. Not a single

question was put to him on this subject ; he was not confronted with Sir James Balfour, from whom he was said to have received the box, nor with the servants of Morton, who were said to have taken it from him. His confession, in which he admits his share in Darnley's murder, contains not one word respecting this box ; but the enemies of the Queen, interested as they were in establishing the fact, had no curiosity upon the subject, and said not one word about it, till several months after the death of the only person, whose testimony, supposing it to have been in their favor, could have done them any good. Dalgleish died, asserting the innocence of the Queen, and charging the murder upon the Earls of Murray and Morton.

It will be observed, that this box was said to have been discovered on the 20th of June, 1567. Not a word was said about it till December 4th of the same year ; and the fact, that Morton seized it in the hands of Dalgleish, was not made known till the 16th of September, 1568. Many important events, which imperiously required some such evidence, took place between June and December. A proclamation was issued for apprehending Bothwell, but nothing was said of this evidence or the guilt of the Queen, who was then in the hands of the Protestant lords. An ambassador came from France to inquire the cause of their treatment of the Queen, but they did not produce these substantial reasons. They spoke of her to Throgmorton 'with respect and reverence,' and while they were earnest to impress him with a conviction of her guilt, did not employ these papers. They compelled the Queen to resign her crown, but resorted to frivolous pretences to justify their violence, when the contents of this box, had they possessed it, would have thrown into confusion all the friends of Mary. The only reply made to these arguments is, that it would have been unsafe for the lords to have produced them before ; *unsafe* to produce the evidence of her guilt, while it was perfectly safe for them, without evidence, to treat her as if she were guilty ! So absurd is it to suppose, when all the power of Scotland was in their hands, they could have apprehended any thing at home, that it is said that the lords feared Elizabeth, who, *at this time*, was really interested in favor of Mary. Believe it who will ! Add to this the improbability of the fact, that Bothwell should leave such papers in such a place, when they were of no use to him after his marriage with the Queen, and yet contained perfect evidence of

the guilt of both,—that when his affairs were in the most threatening position, he should have left these papers in a castle where he dared not take refuge himself,—that when he might have destroyed them at any time, he should have suffered them to exist, and at last sent a servant, not to destroy them, but to bring them to him through the midst of his foes,—that Dalgleish, the well known servant of Bothwell, should have passed into the city through a gate guarded by four hundred and fifty hostile soldiers, and that, when he reached the castle, Balsour, who had deserted the falling fortunes of Bothwell, should give up the box without hesitation, are circumstances which we shall feel excused in not believing. The only reason we can imagine, why Dalgleish was not pressed to confess these things when under the torture, was a natural fear, lest his confession should prove too much like his dying declaration on the scaffold.

So much for the manner in which the papers were procured ; and next, we are to see for what purpose the lords employed them. They first allude to them in the act of a council assembled on the 4th of December, 1567, in which the lords declare, that in order to justify themselves, they must make an open disclosure of the whole matter ; and go on to state, that their seizure of the Queen's person, on the 15th of June, and her imprisonment in Lochleven castle, and 'all other things inventit, spokin, writtin or donne by them or onny of them, towiching the saied queene hir person,' from the 10th day of February, until the date of the act, were in consequence of these 'privie letters, writtin and subscribit with her awin hand, and sent by her to James Erll Bothwell.' The story of the seizure of the box upon Dalgleish was not published till the next year, when they had, probably, forgotten the exact statements of this act in council. So that it appears by their own declaration, that the lords rose in insurrection on the 10th of June, fought against her on the 15th at Carberry hill, and imprisoned her on the 16th, entirely in consequence of evidence of her guilt, which accidentally fell into their hands on the 20th of the same month in the same year.

On the 15th of December, the lords applied to Parliament for an act approving the severity with which they had proceeded against the Queen. No investigation was invited or permitted ; but these letters were mentioned as the ground of their defence ; and in the act of Parliament, (which still exists)

it appears that the subscription to them was no longer there, though in the act of council, they are expressly mentioned as subscribed with her own hand. They never afterwards pretended that she had subscribed them. Mr. Laing accounts for this material discrepancy, by proposing to read in the act of council, ‘writtin or subscribed’ instead of ‘writtin and subscribed,’—with a confidence like that of Archimedes, that if he can only find a place to stand upon, he can move the world. The lords probably thought a slight alteration unimportant as well as Mr. Laing. Hume and others say, that it was, doubtless, a secretary’s blunder. However accounted for, it is certain that they were subscribed by Mary on the 4th, and on the 15th the subscription had disappeared, no one knew how. To us it seems easily explained ; Mary still retained her seal, so that it was not pretended that the letters were sealed ; and though in the secret council, it might be credited that Mary had sent unsealed letters to Bothwell, containing distinct intimations of adultery and murder, subscribed with her own hand, it was not a story likely to be believed by the world, and, therefore, the subscriptions were withdrawn.

The letters next appear at the celebrated ‘conference,’ as it was called, held by three sets of commissioners, appointed by Mary, Elizabeth and Murray severally, the object of which was, to establish charges against the Scottish Queen. The usual charges were brought against her, to which her agents opposed a triumphant reply. They stated, that so far from believing Bothwell guilty of her husband’s murder, she had seen him acquitted by a regular trial, in which three of Murray’s commissioners, (who now accused her of allowing an unfair trial,) sat as judges ;—that she had willingly parted from Bothwell, as they had themselves seen ; and so strongly did she support the various positions of her defence, that Murray had nothing to reply, and Hume himself confesses, that up to this period of the trial, Mary had the advantage. It was, therefore, necessary that the letters should appear on the stage again ; and they accordingly come, re-inforced by contracts and amatory sonnets addressed by Mary to Bothwell, and Morton swore that *all* had been found in it at the time when it came into his possession. Here again, the intrepid Mr. Laing declares, that the Scotch dialect includes all papers in the general name of ‘letters ;’ though that language is some-

times considered harsh, it is singularly accommodating to him ; still we shall take the liberty of believing, that the Scotch do actually see some points of difference between love songs, marriage contracts, and ‘privie letters,’ as the act describes them. It may be observed, that these important documents were secretly shown to Elizabeth’s commissioners, who were satisfied of their authenticity by comparing them with specimens of the Queen’s hand-writing, *furnished also by Murray*. When the conference was transferred to Westminster, the papers were again submitted to the English commissioners, after they had been sworn to secrecy ; but this mysterious proceeding does not seem to have produced the effect desired. The bishop of Ross and Lord Herries repeatedly demanded to see the papers, said to have been written by their mistress ; but this was denied ; they then demanded copies, and pledged themselves to satisfy the commissioners from these alone, that the papers were forged ; this also was denied ! and as their demand grew pressing, Murray was permitted to leave the trial on purpose to carry back the papers to Scotland, after which they were seen no more. Elizabeth informed Murray at the close of the trial, that she acquitted him of all charge against his loyalty ; and on the other hand, declared that nothing was proved against Queen Mary. That this was a voluntary declaration on her part, all her subsequent treatment of Mary authorizes us to question. It appears from a letter of the Spanish ambassador to his King, that the English lords displayed some spirit on the occasion, and checked the warmth with which Cecil was hurrying on the fate of Mary. Certain it is, that many of the first nobility treated her as guiltless after the result of these proceedings, and it is well known, that a great proportion of the best and noblest of her Scotch subjects placed no faith in the evidence adduced against her. And how could it be otherwise ? Though the forms of law may vary in different ages, human nature remains the same ; and the suspicious form of this testimony,—the interest which those who produced it had in convicting the Queen, together with the underhand manner in which they brought it forward, all excited doubts, which their reputation for stratagem served rather to confirm than to do away.

It seems, then, that these celebrated letters first made their appearance in the hands of Morton, Mary’s bitterest foe. No attempt is made to authenticate them. They are said to have

been produced to a council, all of whom were enemies of the Queen. It does not appear that they were shown to the Scotch parliament at all. When they are produced at the conference in England, it becomes necessary to give some account of the manner in which they were obtained ; but the account given is confirmed by no evidence, excepting that of Morton, though Bothwell's servant, from whom they were said to be taken, was long in Morton's power. At the conference in York, these letters, with additional papers never heard of before, are produced to the commissioners hostile to Mary, but strictly withheld from all her friends. When the conference is adjourned to Westminster, it is found necessary to produce them in public ; Murray accordingly produces them, with copies which he has prepared, requiring that the copies shall be compared with the originals on the spot, and the originals immediately restored to him. All this is done by the enemies of Mary. Her friends repeatedly demand permission to see the originals ; this being refused, they request to see the copies ; but this is also denied them, and Murray receives leave from the council to return to Scotland, with the papers, which never are seen again, and never from first to last are allowed to come under the eye of any one, who is interested or willing to show that they were forged. Hume says, that Murray gave Mary an opportunity of exposing him by producing the letters, and a few pages after, says, that Elizabeth finally refused copies to Mary. It is certain, that these things made an impression on the commissioners ; for they agreed that Mary had a right to appear before Elizabeth as she desired, a request which Elizabeth refused ; and from the record of their proceedings, as it has come down to us altered and interlined by the hand of Cecil, it is evident that they could not be brought to give a verdict against the Scottish Queen. Some time afterwards, Elizabeth directed that the copies which Murray had left with Cecil, should be published ; which was done in Buchanan's 'Detection.' In a letter to Walsingham, the ambassador in France, Cecil requests him to circulate copies of the work there, adding these expressive words, 'they will serve to good effect to disgrace her ; which must be done before other purposes can be attained.'

The internal evidence against these letters is equally convincing. It has been already seen, how mysteriously they were withheld from all Mary's friends, till they were at length

published by order of Elizabeth. If written by Mary, they would be in the French language, and in French they accordingly appear. But still they are guarded against examination; for the editor does not pretend that they are the original French, but mere translations from a former Latin translation. The original French never appeared, and indeed never seems to have existed, for Murray himself first speaks of them as written in Scotch, which they certainly would not have been, if written by Mary. This filtration through so many different languages, seems like an attempt to obliterate all those peculiarities of style, on which internal evidence so much depends. Such documents would hardly be admitted as evidence in any modern court of law. Again; these letters were at first dated. The two first are said to have been written on the 23d and 24th of January, and to have been answered by Bothwell on the 24th and 25th, the last answer being written by him after dinner. Now Murray's Diary, which has come down to us, mentions that Bothwell left Edinburgh to go into Liddesdale on the night of the 24th, and did not return till the 28th. Mr. Laing, who is great in solving difficulties, proposes to consider this as a mistake in the Diary; but we fear that the mistake is in a different quarter. Hume and Robertson both exhort their readers to place little dependence upon dates, and it will be seen that the lords were afterwards of their opinion. Mary is represented as writing two of the letters, on the night that she remained at the house where her husband was murdered; and yet Bothwell had just left her,—he was no farther distant than Holyrood house,—she would of course see him in the morning,—but the Queen sits up to write him letters of meaning or importance,—which can serve no earthly purpose except to betray her guilty passion to the world, and sends a servant to wake him from his sleep and deliver them into his hands. Within the year before the conference in England, the lords had probably discovered that these dates would not answer,—they might be examined too minutely; we accordingly learn from Camden and others, that the letters made their appearance in England without any date whatever; thus presenting the curious anomaly of letters unsealed, undirected, unsubscribed, and undated,—letters, which no one ever knew to be written or delivered,—which might as well have been written to any one else as Bothwell, since he is only once mentioned in them, and then in the third person,

brought as the only evidence which was to fix the charge of adultery and murder upon a queen. Again ; these letters are brought to prove that she was accessory to her husband's murder ; if so, she must have known that Morton and Maitland, who were afterwards clearly convicted of that crime, were concerned in it ; but the letters contain no intimation which can affect them. After a time, Maitland falls under the displeasure of the other lords, and then, Paris's confession, which was taken after the quarrel, contains the first suggestion that Maitland was guilty.

But if these letters were not written by Mary, it may be asked, by whom were they written ? They were intended to throw the odium of her husband's death upon her ; but if it appear that others, with whom she could have had no collusion, were the authors of the inruder, it may be easily understood why they were interested to throw suspicions upon her ; and if this kind of forgery were not an uncommon thing in that day, it will not be thought incredible that they should have resorted to it. Darnley had made himself odious to the great lords of the court ; he was vain, ignorant, and brutal, as all allow ; when intoxicated, he had often insulted them ; he had accused Murray of an attempt to assassinate him, and had required Mary to dismiss Maitland from the court. They determined to remove him by some means or other. At first, they proposed a divorce to Mary, a plan devised at Craigmillar castle ; but she would not listen to it, saying, that Darnley might reform. If she had wished to get rid of her husband, here the way was open, and certainly an easier one than shedding his blood ; but she declined it so firmly, that the plan was necessarily abandoned. Huntley and Argyle related these facts, in order to show that Murray was the original proposer of the plan to remove Darnley ; and since in his answer to them he does not deny it, we may reasonably presume that the charge was true. A divorce being out of the question, they suggested the project of assassination. It may seem shocking to us, that one should have dared to suggest such a thing to the other ; but, when we remember how coolly they had proceeded to murder Rizzio, and how complacently, at a much later period, Cardinal de Retz speaks of his precious scheme for removing Mazarin, such things are no longer incredible, however revolting. It appears that a bond was then drawn up by Sir James Balfour, which styled Darnley a young

fool and tyrant, and bound the subscribers to *remove* him, each engaging to make the deed his own, by whomsoever it might be done. This is proved by the confession of Ormistow, since he was in the hands of those who had no wish to draw from him acknowledgements of this description ; and it is a singular fact, that Paris's confession, which is suspicious, so far as relates to Mary, because it was evidently made to propitiate Murray, who had him in his power,—this very confession states, that Murray, instead of signing the bond like the rest, declared that ‘he would neither help nor hinder’ ; so that he knew of the conspiracy agitated by his friends,—did not reveal it,—placed no obstacles in the way of its success, and only cared to keep himself out of danger. Mr. Hume thinks it enough to say, that Murray had nothing to gain by the death of Darnley,—nothing truly, but the Regency of Scotland, with more than royal power..

No one can believe that Mary was associated with such conspirators ; and it is easily understood, why they should arrange every thing in such a manner as to throw the odium on her, by way of removing it from themselves. No one denies that Mary loved her husband, and treated him with tenderness, whenever his violent and capricious temper would allow it ; but it was known that he had treated her with such insolence as often to make her shed tears, and had actually insisted upon it, that Rizzio should be murdered ‘in her presence,’ though there was every reason to believe, that her life and that of his unborn child would be the sacrifice. The public, knowing these things, could not believe in her continued kindness to him, though every thing shows that it was sincere, and they felt as if she was more interested than any other in removing such a person from the world. If, therefore, the lords could find any external evidence to fix the crime on her, they would be able to screen themselves, and it was apparently for this purpose that the letters were written. They may possibly have been letters originally addressed by her to Darnley, but it is more probable that they were forged. This was a common practice at that day. Randolph, the agent of Elizabeth, is well known to have forged letters to advance the ally of Elizabeth, the Earl of Morton. Kirkaldy was imposed upon by a forged letter in the hands of Morton. Since, according to Camden, Maitland acknowledged to the English commissioners at York, that he had often forged the hand-writing of

Mary, it is no breach of Christian charity to believe that he did it on this occasion, when his own safety was so deeply concerned. Scott openly speaks of the plot of the lords at Craig-millar to assassinate Darnley ; we do not know how he can suppose that Mary could have conspired with them, or that if she had, they could never have brought one word of evidence against her, except the letters. If they did so conspire among themselves, and there is no doubt of it, it would seem to be clear that they were the murderers, and that it was to cover their own guilt, and favor their own ambition, that they accused, imprisoned, and at last destroyed the Queen. It does seem to us, that those persons who engaged so heartily in the cold-blooded murder of Rizzio, were more likely than a tender and delicate woman, to engage in a new act of blood. If any one ask, what was the temptation to engage in the Craig-millar conspiracy, he is easily answered. Mary had made liberal grants of the crown property to Murray, Morton, Maitland, Bothwell, and others ; but the Scotch law gave her the power of revoking these grants at any time before she had reached the age of twenty-five. Darnley, who detested the lords, had already persuaded her to make a partial revocation ; and as she was in her twenty-fifth year, there was no doubt that Darnley, had he lived, would have urged her to pass a general act of resumption, before her age deprived her of the power. Here was a great temptation ; but we suspect that the character of the lords was such, that even a less one would have answered. Seventy years since, Dr. Johnson said, that the forgery of the letters was made so apparent, that they probably never would be cited as evidence again ; and we have no doubt that all future historians, following the example of Sir Walter Scott, will reject them, however convinced they may be that Mary was guilty.

This distinguished writer, in a note on this subject, quotes with seeming approbation a remark of Hume, who, when he was told that a new defender of the Queen had appeared, asked, ‘has he shown that she did not marry Bothwell ? if not, he gives up the whole question.’ Mr. Hume, being satisfied of Bothwell’s guilt himself, had no doubt of Mary’s being under the same conviction ; and if she had married him, knowing that he was her husband’s murderer, although it would not prove her accessory to the murder, it would form a strong presumption against her, we allow. But Mary had only known

Bothwell as a loyal nobleman, faithful and interested in her service ; and beside that the lords had proposed a divorce to her at Craigmillar, she knew the fancied interest they had in destroying the King, and how careless of blood they had shown themselves on another memorable occasion. If Mr. Hume could have shown, that Mary had reasons to believe Bothwell guilty, and to acquit the others, in her own mind, his remark would be just ; but we think that the Queen had sufficient evidence for ascribing it to the real authors, who used Bothwell as an instrument, and for regarding her husband's death as part of a great conspiracy, of which she was to be, as it afterwards appeared that she was, the second victim.

We think that there can be little doubt of Mary's innocence of all accession to the murder of her husband. But next comes the question of her marriage with Bothwell. Her enemies allege that this was her object, in all her previous proceedings, and offer it as the main proof of her guilt. Her defenders say, on the contrary, that it was the result of a conspiracy which delivered her into the power of Bothwell in order to dishonor her name, and that she was so treated by him, as to make a quiet submission to her marriage the only course she could pursue. Bothwell, with a large body of horsemen, seized her person : the question is, was this the result of collusion between them, or was it violence, offered against her will ?

The decision here must depend very much on the proofs of attachment she had previously given to Bothwell. So thought the lords, and for this reason so much stress was laid upon the sonnets and letters. If they were genuine, there was no doubt of her lawless passion ; but we shall consider them as discredited, and all presumptions founded upon them as falling to the ground. Her enemies labored hard to show that she was unscrupulous in her attachments, and to prove this, Buchanan charged her with being the paramour of Rizzio : but even Robertson repels this accusation as an unnecessary slander, and Knox, who would have been too happy to confirm it were this possible, does not include it among his charges against the Queen. Scott declares that her fame was untainted with reproach, till her name was connected with Bothwell's. The history of her connexion with him is therefore very important. For many years he had enjoyed her regard, in consequence of his faithful services to her mother

and herself, and contemporary writers assure us that he was an equal favorite with the nation. In 1563, Mary imprisoned him for some conspiracy in which he was engaged. He afterwards went to France, but was ordered by Mary to return for trial, or be proclaimed an outlaw. He returned to stand his trial, but as Murray his prosecutor came to court attended by five thousand men, he dared not appear, and was obliged to retreat to France; whence he did not return till Mary was married to Darnley. It is acknowledged on all sides, that Mary was passionately attached to Darnley till after his murder of Rizzio. Bothwell was married in the month preceding this murder to a young lady of rank and beauty, and Randolph assures us that at this time, Bothwell was open in his resistance to the Catholic tastes of the Queen. Previously to the Queen's confinement, it is acknowledged that Bothwell had but little influence with her; and from July 19th, 1566, when her month of confinement ended, to the first of October in the same year, is the time in which Mary's passion, according to her accusers, begun and grew till it ended in guilt. That a young mother should in so marvellously short a time form such an attachment, exceeds belief. And what is the evidence of it? We are told, that in the beginning of October, Bothwell went to Liddesdale, as keeper of that country, and was there accidentally wounded in the discharge of his duty. Here Mary's passion was first manifested by her flying, as Robertson says, with 'all the impatience of a lover,' to visit him at Hermitage castle. We know not what the Doctor's ideas of a lover's impatience may have been, but the fact is, that Mary was in that neighborhood on the 8th of the month: he was wounded on the 7th, and she 'flew,' as the Doctor has it, on the 16th to see him, and returned the same day. Such are a great historian's opinions concerning the impatience of love. It is said that Mary showered favors upon him: but it does not appear that he was in any special favor till after Rizzio's death, and the only favors conferred on him after that time, were a grant of crown-lands, and the castle and lordship of Dunbar. It has been seen, that Mary had extended the same kindness to many other lords. So far from his enjoying any peculiar favor, the contrary would rather appear to be the case; for he opposed the recall of Maitland from exile, and quarrelled with Murray on the subject in the presence of the Queen. This was in August; but Maitland was restored, and Bothwell was com-

elled to surrender to him lands, which, after Maitland's exile, had fallen to his share. On the whole, without admitting the authenticity of the letters, there is not a shadow of proof, that Bothwell was even an object of her love. Buchanan indeed asserts it; but to assert is one thing, and to prove is another; and as his authority is so often quoted in this controversy, it may be well to mention, that Cecil says Buchanan wrote 'not in his own name, but according to instructions to hym given by common conference of the Lords of the privy council of Scotland; by him onely for hys learning penned, but by them the inatter ministred'; and Camden assures us, that Buchanan on his death-bed 'wished he might live so long, till by recalling the truth, he might, even with his blood, wipe away those aspersions, which he had by his bad tongue, unjustly cast upon Mary.'

We should have mentioned, that Mary is accused of hurrying on the trial of Bothwell with indecent precipitation. All allow, that immediately after the murder, she conducted herself like an innocent woman; she offered a reward of two thousand pounds for the discovery of the murderers, and took the necessary steps to trace them. A placard was affixed at night to the Tolbooth, charging Bothwell with the crime. Mary directed an answer to be made, stating, that if the author of the placard would appear and substantiate the charge, he should receive the reward. No one however appeared. Lennox, the father of Darnley, required that all who were charged in the various placards should be imprisoned; but Mary very properly informed him, that she could not, without an abuse of power, imprison noblemen on the charge of anonymous writers. Lennox then named the Earl of Bothwell and others; and though he professed that he had no evidence against him, Mary ordered a trial, which was appointed by her privy council to be held on the 12th of April, 1567, and proclamations were made accordingly. It appears, that only twenty-four hours before the trial was to take place, Mary received a letter from Lennox, requesting that Bothwell might be imprisoned, and the trial deferred, till he had collected his proofs and his friends. This would have been neither reasonable nor just; and the jury on the trial, composed of persons not particularly friendly to Bothwell, were obliged to acquit him. Those who are satisfied by the evidence of later times that Bothwell was the murderer, should reflect that this evidence was not then

brought to light, and the Queen had as much reason for suspecting any other of her great lords as Bothwell. It will be remembered, that at Craigmillar castle, the lords agreed to support the author of the murder, whoever might undertake it ; they redeemed the pledge, and thus afford conclusive evidence of their accession to the crime. Killigrew tells us, that he dined with Bothwell at the Earl of Murray's, twenty days after he had been placarded ; and at his trial Bothwell appeared, supported by Morton and Lethington. This is all consistent with the supposition, since confirmed, that they were in the conspiracy, and goes equally far to prove the innocence of the Queen.

So far, the whole proceeding seems like a plan on the part of the lords, to make use of Bothwell, a fearless and unprincipled man, as an instrument of their own ambition. Having once encouraged him to take the guilt of blood upon himself, it would have been in their power at any time to destroy him ; and should he accuse them as accessory, it would be easy to ascribe the charge on his part to hatred and revenge. But as his ambition began to rise higher, and even to aim at royal authority, they saw that it would be also in their power to ruin the Queen by giving her up to the murderer, and making it appear that she was associated with him in his crimes. They knew and were able to prove Bothwell's guilt ; but it does not appear that Mary did know it, or had more reason to suspect him than others ; indeed she had reason to believe him innocent, because his name was connected in the placards with her own, and if the charge against her were malicious, she might naturally infer that the accusation was preferred against him by the real conspirators, in order to cover their own guilt. Bothwell immediately began to play his desperate game of ambition. He sued for a divorce from his wife, and this also is matter of charge against Mary ; but the veracious historians who mention this fact, forget to tell us that the lady herself, with every appearance of collusion, sued at the same time for a divorce from him ; he, who was a Protestant, applying to a Catholic court, and she, who was a Catholic, applying to a Protestant tribunal ; both showing thereby their opinion of the easy virtue of the opposite religious party. The rebel journal states, that Lady Bothwell signed a procuratory for the purpose, on the 5th of April ; the Queen was seized on the 24th, so that her step could hardly be owing, as they would have it

appear, to his connexion with the Queen, and in fact the reasons alleged by her have no reference to Mary. The contemporary Memoirs of Crawford inform us, that Morton and Murray kindly interfered, and helped forward the business to a favourable result ; for which they doubtless had sufficient reasons. Here we have an instance of Robertson's consistency. Like a zealous Protestant, he tells us that in 1566, Mary deprived the Protestant Court of Commissaries of all authority, by way of restoring the Catholic religion : but in the next year, as we learn from him, Lady Bothwell prosecuted her suit in this very court, which was retained by Mary for her Protestant subjects, while the Catholics appeared before their own ecclesiastical courts ; the interests and feelings of both being properly consulted. The lords foresaw, that the design of Bothwell to secure the hand of the Queen might be easily turned to their own purposes ; and, without the least regard for honor or conscience, they aided him in his designs upon her person, in order to effect the ruin of both. The clear evidence of this is found in the famous bond of 'Ainslie's Supper.' Bothwell invited the principal members of Parliament to meet him at Ainslie's tavern, where he was to give them an entertainment. He then made known to them his design to marry the Queen, and proposed to them to subscribe a bond, which declared him free of all suspicion relating to the murder, and recommended him as a suitable match for her ; the subscribers agreeing to advance the said marriage, by all the exertions and sacrifices in their power. Every thing about the transaction shows, as even Scott acknowledges, that the lords were prepared for this. Morton and Maitland of Lethington were present and subscribed the instrument ; and their example was followed by eight bishops, nine earls, and seven lords. From the nature of the case, there could have been no compulsion. The Earl of Eglington, the only one who declined subscribing, withdrew without molestation. The rest were probably engaged in the party either of Bothwell or the lords, who each believed that they were taking advantage of the other. It will be seen, that both parties had an interest in representing the match as acceptable to the Queen ; Bothwell, in order to excuse his own presumption, and the lords in order to involve her in the guilt of Bothwell, which they intended to prove as soon as he was connected with her by popular opinion, in such a manner that the blow aimed at him should be death to her reputation. It is

impossible to take any view of this transaction, which will not exhibit these noblemen in the most disgraceful light ; and when it is considered that some of them were the worst enemies of the Queen, it is easy to discover their design in encouraging Bothwell at the expense of their own honor.

Having thus, as he thought, prepared the way, he determined to seize her person,—a plan which was perfectly consistent with the habits of the age and country. We see no reason to doubt the account which Mary herself gives,—that he endeavored by humble attentions to win her favor, but finding no success, determined to resort to violent measures. Assembling one thousand horse, under the pretext of border service, he met the Queen as she was coming from Stirling, and seizing the bridle of her horse, made himself master of her person, and suffered most of her attendants to go free. The author of Crawford's Memoirs says, ‘ his men took the Earl of Huntly, Secretary Liddington, and me, and carried us captives to Dunbar ; all the rest were permitted to go. There the Earl of Bothwell boasted he would marry the Queen, who would or who would not ; yea, whether she would herself or no.’ The next day, this writer was allowed to go home. Mary herself says, that finding herself in his power, without any one to advise or aid her, and no attempt being made to rescue her from his hands, she reproached him with his ingratitude and baseness. He humbly protested, that he was driven to the act by his passion for her, and his apprehensions for his own life. Finding that he made no favorable impression, he produced the bond signed at Ainslie's supper. This showed her how little aid she was to expect from those who held the power of the kingdom in their hands, and she felt that she was given up to him as a prey. From the time of her capture, April 24, to the 3d of May, he remained constantly with her, pressing his suit by all the arguments in his power. The rebel journal, an authority most unfriendly to her, says, ‘ she was by fear, force, and (as by many conjectures may be well suspected) other extraordinary and more unlawful means compelled, &c.’ Melvill says, ‘ the Queen could not but marry him, seeing he had ravished her.’ The rebel journal says, ‘ he had in three months found such hap in an unhappy enterprise, that by the murder of the babe's father, he had purchased a pretended marriage of the mother, seized her person in his hands, environed with a continual guard of two hundred harquebusiers

as well day as night.' As Scott remarks, 'not a spear was lifted, not a sword was drawn, to save Mary from the power of that atrocious ruffian.' Well might he add, that 'strong suspicions arose, that Morton, Lethington, and others of Mary's counsellors were treacherously and ungratefully concerned in a plot, which was at once to destroy their sovereign's fame and power.' They did all in their power to force her into this ill-omened marriage, quieting the people by representing her as guilty, and confirming her guilt by pointing to these very circumstances, into which she was thrown by their connivance and agency, in favor of one whom they knew to be a murderer.

Those who suppose that this seizure was the result of a conspiracy with Mary, are bound to show what temptation she had to engage in a plan, which would have carried deception on the face of it, supposing her to have been guilty. Mr. Laing and others say, it was to justify her precipitate marriage. But where was the inducement, on her part, to hasten the marriage? According to their own account, marriage could give nothing to her more than she possessed already. They tell us, that Bothwell and Mary were living in open adultery; that Bothwell had unbounded power in the State, and they can point out nothing that the Queen could have expected from such a sudden connexion; while, on the contrary, it would seem as a public acknowledgement of her shame, and her enemies took care so to represent it. One remarkable circumstance must be carefully observed. The letters and sonnets, as we have said, are the only proof of Mary's previous attachment to Bothwell. It so happens, that in one of the sonnets, she says, 'that she shed many tears, when he made himself possessor of this body, of which he had not then the heart.' If these sonnets were genuine, then they afford conclusive evidence in this passage,—the only one in which direct reference is made to Bothwell,—that there was no attachment before the marriage; for it must be remembered, that the sonnets were not written by her to mislead the public, but, as her enemies represent, were kept secret as the grave. If the sonnets were forged, as they doubtless were, this passage was introduced in order to help forward a charge against Bothwell, on which he was afterwards convicted, of seizing the person of the Queen. It is impossible to preserve consistency in misrepresentation; and, when the enemies of Bothwell first ruin him by this charge against him, and afterwards dishonor the Queen by charging

her with throwing herself into his arms, it is evident enough that both accusations cannot be true.

One of the proclamations issued by the lords, with Morton at their head, begins thus: ‘The lords of secret council and nobility, understanding that James, Earl of Bothwell, put violent hands on our sovereign lady’s most noble person on the 24th of April last, and thereafter warded her highness in the castle of Dunbar, which he had in keeping, and before a long space thereafter, conveyed her majesty, environed with men of war, and such friends and kinsmen of his own as would do for him, ever into places where he had most dominion and power, her grace being destitute of all counsel and servants, during which time the said Earl seduced, by unlawful means, our said sovereign to a dishonest marriage with himself, which from the beginning is null and of no effect.’ The proclamation was closed with a resolution to bring Bothwell to punishment, for ‘ravishing and detaining the Queen’s person.’ Mary was already in their hands, but they had not yet ventured on the bold step of destroying her; when that was resolved upon, the charge against her appears for the first time, according to the practice of that day, which seems to have been to manufacture charges and proofs, only as fast as they were wanted. The act of forfeiture against Bothwell, is the testimony of the lords themselves to the Queen’s innocence. After the King’s advocate had laid before Parliament his proofs to sustain the indictment, he was adjudged guilty by the three estates, ‘for treasonably and violently arresting the Queen’s person on the high road; for carrying her forcibly the same night to Dunbar castle, and therein detaining her by violence for the space of twelve days, and by force and fear compelling her to agree to marry him.’ If the accusation against the Queen had been thought of at this time, it would certainly have been brought forward; they had nothing to fear,—she was in their hands and in their power; but they probably never thought of accusing her, till their ambition, encouraged by success, led them to conspire to deprive her of her crown.

The whole conduct of Mary after the marriage, shows that it was not the result of affection on either part. He kept her under constant guard, and showed by his treatment that he had no hold upon her heart. Melvill tells us, that he insulted her in such a manner before her attendants one day, that she called for a knife to despatch herself with; and declared, that if this

resort was denied her, she would find some means to destroy her wretched life. The lords themselves, in a memorial to Throgmorton, state, that she could not have lived with him half a year. Melvill describes her appearance at Carberry-hill on the 15th of June. ‘Many of those who were with her, were of opinion, that she had intelligence with the lords, then in arms and facing her, especially such as were informed of the many indignities put upon her by the Earl of Bothwell since their marriage. He was so beastly and suspicious, that he suffered her not to pass one day in patience, without making her shed abundance of tears.’ It is strange, that in the short space of a month, their passion, supposing it to have existed, should have been changed into aversion. It seems clear, that no attachment ever existed; but that Bothwell forced her to marry him in order to exalt himself, and that she yielded only when she found herself abandoned by all, dishonored in person, and given over to him by a confederacy of the nobles of her kingdom. The minister who published the banns, declared that he detested the marriage, but that the best part of the realm approved it, either by flattery or silence. Her conduct at Carberry-hill confirms what we have said. Mary was not the person to abandon one whom she had ever loved; but she invited one of the rebel officers to a parley, agreed with him to order Bothwell away, and sent the officer himself with the order. Bothwell went away, and no farewell passed between them, though their parting was the last. She then gave the officer her hand to kiss, and suffered him to lead her horse by the bridle over to the insurgent party. This officer was the celebrated Kirkaldy of Grange, who pledged the loyalty of his party to Mary, on condition of her dismissing Bothwell. Finding that they were disposed to treat the Queen with indignity, he resented it as an affront to his honor; but in order to silence his scruples, Morton produced a pretended letter from Mary to Bothwell, in which she promised to meet him again. The forgery produced its effect on the unsuspecting soldier; but after it had served the purpose of the moment, could never be seen nor heard of again. Sir William Kirkaldy was the Bayard of the age,—almost a solitary example in Scotland, of chivalrous generosity and honor. He was probably convinced by his own observation, that the Queen was the victim of unprincipled men. Certain it is, that he embraced her party when her fortunes seemed most desperate, fought gallantly in

her defence, and when taken by the English, and by Elizabeth's orders basely delivered over to Morton, died on the scaffold with a resolution worthy of his fame.

It seems to us, that nothing but Mary's aversion to the connexion with Bothwell could have made it necessary for him to seize her person. Had she been willing to marry him, the way was open. The first nobility of her kingdom had declared him innocent, and recommended him as her husband. Darnley was regretted by no man, and for all that appears, she might have formed this new connexion without a word of resistance or reproach. If her accusers say, that a sense of shame prevented it, they should remember, that their object is to prove that she was dead to shame; that she was already connected with Bothwell in an infamous manner, and that she was continually publishing her own dishonor by showering honors on his head. Now if Mary were such as they represent her, it seems perfectly incredible, that she should resort to an awkward stratagem, when nothing in the world required it. By pursuing the course which the lords had opened for her, her character would have been much less hazarded. They all confess, that she was a woman of admirable talent and address, and surely such a person would not have resorted to what they term a 'poor trick,' when it was wholly uncalled for.

A little attention to the proceedings of the Scottish lords from the beginning, will strengthen the presumption, that the difficulties in which Mary was involved, were owing to their conspiracies and crimes. When she first returned from France, they determined to resist her power from motives of interest and ambition, and were constantly encouraged in their resistance by the unprincipled policy of Elizabeth and her advisers. When she determined to marry Darnley, foreseeing that this connexion would put a stop to Mary's unbounded liberality, they combined to prevent it; and Murray arranged a plot, as was stated by Argyle, one of their number, to murder Darnley and his father, imprison Mary in Lochleven, and give to himself the power which he afterwards attained and always had in view. Mary's energy defeated their purpose, and they consoled themselves by signing a bond, in which they engaged to effect their object, at the same time requiring Elizabeth to give the aid which she had promised them. This conspiracy, attested by Melvill and Argyle himself, a rebel lord, throws abundant light on all the rest of their proceedings. Elizabeth

gave the aid which she promised ; but Mary marched against them with an army of eighteen thousand men, and drove them out of the country. Murray hastened to London, where he fell on his knees before Elizabeth, in presence of the French and Spanish ambassadors, and declared that the English Queen had never encouraged the rebellion against Mary. After driving him from her presence with contempt, she rewarded his meanness by a paltry allowance for his support. Such was the beginning of their attempts to ruin Mary.

There were several lords engaged in this enterprise, who had not committed themselves so far as to be obliged to leave the kingdom. Among these were Morton, Maitland of Lethington, Lindsay, and Ruthven. Finding Darnley discontented with Mary, who sometimes checked his brutal excesses, they induced him to make common cause with them. In Rizzio, Mary had a shrewd and friendly adviser, whose sagacity and influence they feared. They attempted to excite Darnley's jealousy against him, and succeeded, though all historians now allow, that the charge is one which could not possibly be true. These precious associates bound themselves to murder him and several others, each stipulating for a part of the spoil, which they doubted not would soon fall to their share. Rizzio, as is well known, was murdered under circumstances of atrocious cruelty, both to him and to the Queen. Murray and Argyle left England as soon as they heard of it ; but Mary's loyal subjects sustained her in such a manner, that Darnley was compelled to disavow all connexion with the murderers, and they fled from Scotland.

The lords considered the murder of Rizzio as a service done to Darnley ; and since, instead of protecting them according to the bond, he had acquiesced in the measures against them, they determined to have their revenge, both on him and Mary. As Murray and his associates were not then known to have been concerned in this last affront to her person, Mary generously forgave him, when she banished Morton and his companions, requiring him at the same time to renounce all connexion with the latter. Murray joyfully acceded to this, and this kindness on the part of Mary was, as might have been expected, repaid after the manner of the viper ; it was the cause of her future woe.

At the time of Murray's flight, the Earl of Bothwell was his enemy, and of course rose as he fell. Bothwell is described

by Buchanan, as remarkably unattractive in person and manners ; and if so, he was not the most likely man to fascinate the Queen. But he had always been loyal, and at the time of Rizzio's murder, had made an ineffectual attempt to oppose the assassins. As he had much desperate courage, with very little intellect and no principle, his personal qualifications, together with his interest with the Queen, pointed him out to the lords as a suitable instrument of their designs ; and by promising that his reward should be the hand of Mary, they engaged him to murder her husband. Scott says, ' Maitland, Huntley, Argyle, Bothwell, and others, were accessory to these dark consultations, and we cannot suppose Murray wholly ignorant of them.' The fact of the conspiracy of the lords to murder Darnley, is now well known ; and it is perfect evidence of Mary's innocence of this crime ; for that she conspired with such associates, no one will pretend. They took advantage of that facility of disposition, which was her ruin ; and, during the festivities at the christening of her son, prevailed on her to consent to the recall of Morton. The plan of divorce, which would have released her without difficulty from her worthless husband, she firmly rejected ; they were compelled, therefore, to resort to blood. Paris's confession, which the enemies of Mary rely much upon, states, that Murray knew the plan, and declared, that he would neither help nor hinder. Morton confessed at his trial, that he was acquainted with the design, but refused to take part, unless by authority from the Queen, which Bothwell could not obtain ; he, however, was fully proved guilty. We do not see how it is possible to maintain, that Mary was connected in a conspiracy with such persons, who were afterwards her accusers, and yet were never able to bring one particle of direct evidence against her. It seems clear to us, that the charge could not possibly be true.

What was the conduct of these lords after the murder, when suspicion, directed probably by themselves, began to fix itself upon Bothwell ? He was present at Murray's entertainments, and at the very trial which they afterwards condemned as so lawless a proceeding, he was attended by Maitland and Morton as friends. No one believes that they did this from friendship for Bothwell. They unquestionably had a design of their own, which was to help him forward in his career, till he was connected with the Queen in such a manner, that by ruining him, which was at any time in their power, they might involve her

in the same destruction. But the single circumstance of their consenting to ‘second a villain and murderer,’ whose guilt they confess that they were fully acquainted with, is enough to cover them with disgrace ; and assuredly we should hesitate before we credit charges advanced and sustained by such accusers, especially when they pretend to be actuated by a zeal for good morals and reformed religion.

Nor was this the only suspicious and dishonorable circumstance in their conduct. When Bothwell demands the price of his guilt, and finds that he has no interest in Mary’s affections, they sign an instrument, in which they acquit him of all charge and suspicion, and recommend him as her husband. The chivalrous Lord Herries lifts up his voice against it, but not one of these lords joins him, though they afterwards profess to be so much shocked and disgusted at the proceeding. One word from them would have arrested Bothwell, as it afterwards did, when he was in greater power ; but the time to speak that word was not yet come. They give her up to him, on purpose to be dishonored, and when they think that her hold upon the respect and affection of her subjects is lost, they turn upon Bothwell, charge him with treason for acting in accordance with their own recommendation, and for marrying the person whom they had placed in his hands. Till he is ruined, they profess the utmost attachment to Mary ; they never intimate that her fair fame is darkened by a shadow of suspicion ; and they declare, that Bothwell, for forcing her to a marriage by ‘extraordinary and unlawful means,’ the nature of which is darkly hinted, is a traitor, and that they will pursue him to the death. Let it be observed, that when Mary meets them in arms at Carberry-hill, and agrees so cheerfully to their own terms, they never ask that Bothwell shall be secured ; they request her to send him away. The reason is obvious ; they knew that if he were seized, he might bring out evidence enough against themselves ; they therefore give him an opportunity to escape from the country,—a course which they would not have pursued, if they had known themselves innocent, and desired to punish him simply for his guilt.

When Bothwell is thus disposed of, the time for Mary’s ruin is come. They send assurances of respect and loyalty to her by an honorable soldier, and as soon as she trusts their honor, and puts herself in their power, they treat her as a prisoner, and throw her into Lochleven castle. In the face of their

previous declarations, they now declare, that so far from being forced into a marriage with Bothwell, it had been the object nearest her heart. To effect it, she had murdered her former husband ; and the seizure of her person, for which they had just declared Bothwell an outlaw, was no violence, but a stratagem planned by herself, to cover her impatience to unite herself with her lover. This is an acknowledgement that their charge against Bothwell was untrue. How they sustain the charge against Mary, we have already seen. Taking into view the whole course of their conduct, as successive disclosures have now made it manifest to the world, it is difficult to doubt, that they had marked out a purpose for themselves from the beginning, which they pursued with an unscrupulous and unrelenting zeal. They place Mary in a prison, where she shall be most exposed to insult and privation ; there they torture her into an abdication of her crown ; her illegitimate brother, Murray, who owes all to her generosity, rises upon her ruin, and becomes Regent of the realm ; some time after his death, his power devolves on the Earl of Morton. Such was their object, and the means by which it was accomplished. In order to ensure it, Mary was driven to England, to languish in prisons, and at last to be murdered under the forms of law ; but her enemies, who, even supposing her guilty, were certainly profigate and hypocritical men, did not escape their share of retribution. Murray was shot by a man who had been injured by one of his favorites ; Morton was tried and executed for the murder of Darnley ; and Maitland escaped the scaffold by putting an end to his own existence. We certainly consider Mary as their victim ; but we are confident, that when hereditary prejudices have passed away, the impartial voice of history will pronounce her *not guilty*.

The fate of Bothwell was such as his crimes deserved. He fled to the Orkneys, and after a short time was taken by the Danes, and imprisoned in consequence of some alleged piratical attempts against their trade. A curious manuscript, found in the royal library at Drottningholm in Sweden, has lately been translated and sent to England, authenticated in a manner, which places it beyond suspicion. It appears, that in 1568, the Chevalier de Dantzay was residing in the north, as ambassador from France to Sweden and Denmark. During his residence at Copenhagen, the Earl of Bothwell arrived. He had fled with his followers in several vessels from Scotland,

and being unable to land, and driven about by contrary winds, had been thrown on the coast of Norway, and there taken by Danish seamen, who carried him to their own country. While in prison there, he sent a memorial to M. de Dantzay, who took the requisite steps in his behalf. This memorial is only valuable, as showing how so notorious an offender proceeds in his attempts at self-justification. He attributes all his own misfortunes to the agency and coalitions of Murray ; and, in fact, it is evident enough that Murray opposed the Queen's marriage, in the hope of being her successor ; and that when this hope was destroyed by the birth of a prince, his ambition contented itself with the reality without the name of royal power. He also says, what no one doubts, that the troubles in Scotland were principally owing to English machinations. He declares, that Mary imprisoned him shortly after her return from France, in consequence of false charges brought against him by Murray ; but he did not consider it evidence of oppression on her part, because there never was a time after her return from France, when she had any thing more than the shadow of power. He also mentions, that at the time of Rizzio's murder, he was himself marked out in the instrument signed by Darnley and the lords, for a similar fate ; and here he is supported by other testimony, from which it appears, that Bothwell, Huntley, and four others, were to have been assassinated at the same time. He says, that the way in which they escaped, after offering an ineffectual resistance, was by leaping from a window at the back of the building. Thus far, his narrative is consistent with other evidence, and is, probably, true ; but from the time of his coalition with the lords, he finds it impossible to give any clear account of his conduct, and, therefore, passes lightly over all subsequent transactions. He says, that when the lords determined to murder Darnley, they endeavored to make him their friend, in order to destroy him more effectually, and he confesses, that he did intercede with the Queen for their restoration to favor ; but he declares that he acted without any interested motive, and solely from his natural good feeling. After the murder, they endeavored by reports and placards to fix the guilt upon him. When he had been fully acquitted by the Parliament, they offered to promote his marriage with the Queen. He says nothing of the seizure of her person. He says that the Queen did not send word to him to retire from the field at

Carberry-hill, till she was already secured in the hands of the rebels by false professions, which he advised her to distrust ; on the whole, it would seem that he tells the story correctly enough, with the exception of his own part in the various transactions. Probably he knew that all deceivers must insert as large a measure of truth as possible, in order to give a coloring of probability to the falsehood.

Having thus represented the facts bearing on this question, as fairly as we are able, we must remind our readers, that if they should not be satisfied with Mary's marriage with Bothwell, it will by no means follow, that she was guilty of her husband's death. If that thorough-going villain did actually gain a place in her affections, (and we see no proof of it) it seems impossible, considering the persons with whom he is now known to have been connected, that Mary should have had any share in that atrocious crime. We think that all her reproach and sorrow were owing to a base conspiracy to destroy her life and power, which Cecil himself confesses could not be done without dishonoring her fame. She was a woman of extraordinary talents, no doubt ; but she was cast at once without preparation, into the midst of a sea of troubles, and Shakspeare's beautiful allusion, in which he represents 'the rude waves as growing civil at her song,' has no more foundation in fact, than the compliments which he pays to the vestal fame of Elizabeth. The whole conduct of the Queen of England with respect to Mary, cannot be regarded by any good mind without abhorrence. In the first place, she abused the power which accident gave her over a rival, in circumstances where, if she had had one spark of generosity or common principle, she would have given her countenance and protection ; instead of this, she kept her in close confinement, and 'with necessity, the tyrant's plea, excused her devilish deeds.' All the luxuries of life were taken from her one by one, and at last, she was in want of those comforts, which the state of her health rendered necessary. It was doubtless thought, that this treatment would destroy her, but as her miserable existence was still prolonged, Elizabeth, in a letter which is preserved, desired her keepers, Paulet and Drury, to murder their prisoner, and complained of them for not having done it before. Assassination is not to the taste of Englishmen, and slavish as they were in other respects, they declared that they would not be induced by any consideration, to take the guilt

of blood upon their souls. She then orders Davidson, the secretary, to forward the warrant for Mary's execution ; and the moment it is done past recall, declares, with much affected sorrow, that it was done in defiance of her orders, and ruins the secretary for his obedience to her command. It was, however, the only act of kindness she had ever done to Mary, who, though accused of living in adultery and murder, died with the gentle, sweet, and holy firmness of a martyr, rejoicing to go and appeal to that tribunal, where sovereigns and subjects are equal,—before the King of kings.

We have made no reference to Mr. Bell's Life of Mary, because we have made little use of it in our examination of this question. His limits as a popular writer, did not allow him to dwell at great length on this part of her history. His work is a pleasant narrative, and well calculated for general use in this day, when it is become so common to expand a little matter into two octavo volumes, that readers have been almost in despair. He takes a favorable view of Mary's character; but seems free from prejudice either for her or against her. In one respect, his candor is excessive, in our opinion; he seems to think, that the advocates of the Queen have injured her cause by their severe treatment of Murray. It was certainly deserved; for whether Mary were innocent or guilty, his conduct to a sister, who had treated him with unwearied kindness, and loaded him with favors and distinctions, was ungrateful in the extreme; it is folly to attempt to defend it. Whatever his traditional reputation in Scotland may be, it will not be easy to persuade the world, that, when the result of all the conspiracies of the day was to advance his power, he himself was ignorant of them; that others, who had every thing to lose, were committing every kind of crime for his benefit, while he himself, who had every thing to gain, was unconscious of their guilt. With this exception, we can recommend Mr. Bell's work to our readers; and we believe that the statement he gives of the transactions of that day is substantially just.

**ART. VIII.—*The Two Conventions.***

1. *Address to the People of the United States, by a Convention of Delegates from several Parts of the Union, assembled at Philadelphia.* 1831.
2. *Address of the Friends of Domestic Industry, assembled in Convention at New York, October 31, 1831, to the People of the United States.* Baltimore. 1831.
3. *A Letter to Colonel William Drayton, of South Carolina, in assertion of the Constitutional Power of Congress to impose Protecting Duties.* By GULIAN C. VERPLANCK, one of the Representatives in Congress from the State of New York. New York. 1831.
4. *Address Delivered before the American Institute of the City of New York, at their Fourth Annual Fair, October 14, 1831.* By EDWARD EVERETT. New York. 1831.

There is much in the political history of the United States, from the first formation of the Government up to the present day, of an entirely novel, and at the same time highly gratifying character. If we are not mistaken, the proceedings that have recently taken place in reference to the economical policy of the country, will be regarded as belonging to this class. The approaching extinction of the public debt, which will render it practicable to effect a considerable reduction in the revenue, has naturally called the attention of the people to the form, in which this reduction may best be made ; and the varieties of opinion and feeling which prevail on this subject in different parts of the country, have given to the discussion a degree of interest, which it might not otherwise have possessed. Under these circumstances, it would have been natural enough to expect, that the partisans of the two leading opinions would have exhibited a good deal of intemperance, and, perhaps, have resorted to the corrupt or violent measures, which are elsewhere so frequently employed for the attainment of political objects. We are able to say, however, and we say it with satisfaction and patriotic pride, that the question has, thus far at least, been argued, for the most part, on both sides, with a gravity and good temper entirely suited to its importance, and highly honorable to the American character. For the purpose of presenting their respective views to the

consideration of Congress and the People in a distinct and authoritative form, the friends and opponents of the protection of domestic industry have respectively assembled in Convention by their delegates, including, in both cases, many citizens of the highest eminence for character and talent, and composed, in general, of the best informed and most intelligent members of the community. The Philadelphia Convention, which represented the interests that support the character of plaintiffs in this great political cause, published an address to the People, setting forth the nature and extent of their supposed grievances. To this declaration, a powerful plea has been put in by the New York Convention, and the case has thus been fairly opened before the country. Arrangements have been made on both sides for presenting to Congress, during the approaching session, a memorial and a counter-memorial, respectively affirming and contesting the conclusions of the two addresses. Measures were also taken by the New York Convention, for spreading before the People in the form of reports from various committees, as full and distinct an account as could be procured of the present state of our domestic industry in all its branches, and of the manner in which it is affected by the protecting policy. Where the discussion is left by the two memorials, it will be taken up by the members of Congress, who will express their opinions on the subject, and the case having thus been argued in a more solemn and imposing form, than, perhaps, any other of the same description ever was before, will be finally submitted to the consideration of the People,—the jury, which, in this country, decides in the last resort, through the ballot-boxes, upon every question of law or fact which comes up in the administration of the Government.

These proceedings have thus far been marked, at every stage, and in every important particular, with a decorum and propriety, in the highest degree creditable to the individuals immediately concerned in them, and to the character of the country. The two Conventions were composed and organized, with a fixed determination on both sides, to keep them entirely free from any connexion with the local and personal politics of the day. Although the Philadelphia Convention was made up for the most part of delegates from States, which support the present administration of the General Government, some of the most active and conspicuous mem-

bers were from other quarters of the Union, and of opposite parties. The address was drafted by a gentleman, who had been just before drawn by circumstances into very direct collision with the President of the United States. The New York Convention, on the other hand, consisting to a considerable extent, of opponents to the administration of the General Government, placed one of its warm adherents at their head as President, and employed others on their principal committees. Pains were taken in both to repress all unsuitable demonstrations of feeling, to avoid the wasting of time in tedious and unprofitable debates, and to give a practical, business-like character to the proceedings. The result, with some trifling exceptions, corresponded remarkably well in both cases with these intentions, and without disparagement to the wisdom and talent habitually displayed in the great council of the nation, we think that even that august body might derive some useful lessons, in regard to the manner of transacting business, from the doings of these two assemblies.

Spontaneous meetings of this description have been frequent in this country at every period of its history. It was justly remarked at the close of the New York address, that 'such Conventions originated our glorious Revolution and our admirable Constitution.' The holding of these two assemblies was, therefore, a measure entirely in accordance with the genius of our Government, and with the previous usages and habits of the people. We are inclined to think that they will be reckoned hereafter among the most interesting and memorable meetings of the kind, and that their general effect will be decidedly good. However sectional or personal views and interests may,—as they always must to a considerable extent,—affect the course of political affairs, it is next to impossible, that a discussion, conducted in this grave and dignified manner, with a deliberation which gives the people opportunity for mature reflection at every stage of the process, should not greatly enlighten the public mind, and tend ultimately to the promotion of the real interests of the country. We are strongly disposed to believe, as we sincerely hope, that the decision to which the people may come after so long, so dispassionate, and so anxious an examination of the subject, will be universally satisfactory, and will settle this great question for ever.

The two addresses respectively issued by the two Conven-

tions, though somewhat different in character, are both very able, and exhibit in a powerful and advantageous form the opinions of the two parties. That of the Philadelphia Convention, considered as a mere literary composition, is, perhaps, more finished and elegant than the other; but, as an argument, is certainly much less elaborate and complete, and to us much less satisfactory. The general outline of the plan is the same in both. They take up successively the great questions of the constitutionality and the policy of the protection of domestic industry, and allege such facts and arguments, as are fitted to influence the public mind in favor of their respective views.

In the Philadelphia address, the argument against the constitutionality of protecting duties is not, however, presented as the opinion of the members of the Convention, but as that of 'a numerous and respectable portion of the American people.' 'A numerous and respectable portion of the American people,' says the address, 'do not merely complain that the system is unjust, but they question the right to establish it. They do not doubt,—they utterly deny the constitutional power of Congress to enact it.' It is understood that most of the delegates from the Southern States, constituting a majority of the whole body, were of this opinion. Most of the delegates from the North, on the other hand, while they denied the expediency of a protecting tariff, admitted its constitutionality, and rather than permit it to be even called in question, by any act to which they were parties, had made up their minds to secede from the Convention. Under these circumstances, it was agreed, for the purpose of avoiding an open rupture, and the consequent complete failure of all the objects of the meeting, that the argument on the constitutional question should be brought into the address, in the indirect form in which it appears. Even this arrangement was regarded by many of the Northern members as too large a concession; and Mr. Gallatin, decidedly the leader of this party, moved, as an amendment to the address, that the whole section should be struck out. Had this course been taken, the document would have been greatly improved. The constitutional argument is, undoubtedly, much feebler than any other part, and whatever strength or plausibility is to be found in the address, must be looked for in the discussion of the respective economical results of the two systems.

In the New York address, on the contrary, the constitutional argument is decidedly the strongest part, and will be regarded,—we think,—by every competent and impartial judge,—as unanswerable. The economical discussion,—although occasionally deficient in firmness and precision,—is elaborate, powerful, rich in details, and, on the whole, exceedingly satisfactory. The tone of both these documents is candid, temperate and conciliatory; such, in short, in all respects, as became the dignity of the bodies from which they proceeded. It is much to be desired, that these examples of good temper and moderation in the high places of the two parties, may have their effect upon the minor organs of the same opinions, and may correct, in some degree, the superabundance of gall and bitterness that occasionally exhibits itself, especially in the anti-tariff papers.

We have remarked above, that the solemn and deliberate examination which this great question is now going through by the Government and People of the United States, must produce in the end much good; and we will now add, that it has already, in this first stage of its progress, produced one most important and valuable result, that of settling the question respecting the constitutionality of protecting duties. We have expressed on former occasions, and need not here recapitulate, the opinions we entertain on this subject. They are substantially the same with those, which are embodied in a far superior form in the New York address. The opposite opinions, on the other hand, have been urged in various quarters with much earnestness and apparent conviction. In a controverted case of this description, it is difficult for the parties themselves to form a correct estimate of the weight and value of their own arguments,—and still more so for the public to decide between them, until there has been in one way or another an actual trial of strength. Such trials have, no doubt, been had previously on a limited scale, in the numerous encounters of wits that have taken place in reference to this question in Congress and elsewhere. Some of these have been of a highly interesting character, and managed on both sides with great ability, particularly the debate on the tariff of 1824, in the House of Representatives of the United States, in which Mr. Clay and Mr. Webster stood forth respectively as the champions of the opposite opinions. But at that time, the constitutional question had been scarcely suggested, and was

but little dwelt upon by the distinguished opponents, who, as they are now entirely agreed upon the whole subject, would at that time have hardly differed upon this point. Even in 1828, this objection had not acquired the importance which it has since assumed, and did not, of course, engage in a very great degree the attention of the leading debaters. Since that time it has been gradually more and more insisted on, until it had come to be considered by the opponents of protection, as their principal argument. It was, therefore, natural and proper, that on this great and solemn occasion, it should be fairly met. In the New York address, it is accordingly brought forward as the leading topic, and treated in a full, deliberate, —and we think we may say, without fear of contradiction by any impartial person competent to judge,—decisive way. We consider it impossible for any such person to read with proper care the argument on the two sides of this question, as stated in these two addresses, without acknowledging,—whatever may have been his previous impressions,—that the objection is answered. Even the authors of the Philadelphia address must,—we think,—feel this. The public, at all events, cannot but see it.

It is not, however, merely the superiority of the argument brought forward on this occasion in favor of the constitutionality of the protecting system over that which has been urged on the other side, which makes us regard the question as settled, although this, perhaps, might fairly be considered as sufficient ground for such an opinion. We are confirmed in it by the diversity of sentiment, which exhibited itself at the Philadelphia Convention, upon this subject. If all those who disapprove a protecting tariff, believed it to be unconstitutional, and all who approve it believed it to be constitutional, there might be room to suspect in this, as in other parts of the subject, the influence of some sectional interest or prejudice. But this is not the case; for while, on the one hand, the friends of the protecting policy universally believe it to be constitutional, its opponents are not agreed in the opposite opinion. It was known before, and has been more distinctly shown by the proceedings at Philadelphia, that of those who doubt or deny the expediency of the tariff, a large and most respectable portion, including many of the ablest members of the late Convention, agree with its friends in believing it to be constitutional. With these persons, there is no room for the suspicion of any im-

proper influence from personal or sectional interests. The bias, if there be any, is all the other way. It was in direct opposition to the strong inclination, which every man naturally feels to adopt all the opinions of the party with which he generally agrees, as well as at the risk of an open rupture and a complete failure of all the purposes of the meeting, that the minority of the Philadelphia Convention refused even to take the question of constitutionality into consideration. It was necessarily in opposition to the same strong influences, and under the impulse of the mere force of truth, that Mr. Verplanck has since written his letter to Colonel Drayton, containing by far the ablest and most lucid exposition which has yet appeared of the single point, decisive in itself of the whole question, which he undertook to treat. This mass of unsuspected testimony from the other party, coming in aid of the overwhelming weight of argument, on the affirmative side, does, we confess, appear to us to place the question beyond dispute. A few heated partisans may continue to profess, and, perhaps, to feel the same conviction, which they professed and felt before ; but the community at large cannot shut their eyes upon these things, and seeing them as they must, cannot resist the conclusion to which they necessarily lead.

We repeat, therefore, that we consider the question of the constitutionality of the protecting duties as settled for ever. We do not believe that any statesman, who values his reputation, will in future undertake to contest it,—that any writer of judgment will venture to make it a matter of serious argument. The tribe of secondary politicians may be for a while as clamorous as ever in the newspapers, and, perhaps, in Congress, but not finding themselves countenanced by men in whom the public repose confidence, they will gradually change their tone, and the doctrine of the constitutionality of protecting duties will take its place in the class of universally acknowledged and uncontroverted truths.

We consider this result as a great point gained. The settlement of this question takes the sting out of the opposition to the protecting policy, and throws the matter open,—like any other of ordinary legislation,—to fair, dispassionate discussion. It deprives the nullifiers of the only pretext, upon which they have yet ventured to justify their extravagant pretensions. It is not,—even on their own showing,—any abuse

of power, however open and oppressive, that will authorize a resort to the grand newly-discovered remedy. There must be, in the language of the Virginia Resolutions, *an open, palpable, and dangerous assumption of powers not granted by the Constitution.* The courts of justice had before solemnly decided, in repeated instances, that in the case of the protecting duties there is no assumption of power not granted by the Constitution. But the nullifiers would not admit the competency of the courts of justice, to pass upon this question of constitutional law. There was something in the rights and interests which they undertook to support, so peculiar and sacred, as to place them entirely out of the reach of all common rules. The case has now been still more solemnly argued, and we undertake to say, not less distinctly decided against them in the open court of public opinion. To the jurisdiction of this tribunal no exception can be taken, and from its decree no appeal can be made. The quiet and bloodless operation of the ballot-box, more effective than the marshal's truncheon or the terrors of the *posse comitatus*, enforces it at once against the fiercest opposition. Vaporizing and violence are lost upon the returning officer. When the public opinion has fully declared itself at the polls, the citizen must acquiesce or return to private life. When this alternative is fairly presented to them, it is not uncommon for a new light to burst very suddenly on the most determined adherents of the unsuccessful party.

One great good has, therefore, already resulted from this discussion, we mean the settlement of the question of the constitutionality of protecting duties. From the farther progress and termination of the inquiry, we anticipate, as we have already remarked, a similar result in regard to the question of expediency, the other principal point connected with the subject. This is a question of somewhat larger scope than the other, and not so readily decided by a few striking facts and cogent arguments. The principles involved in it are less certain, or, at least, less universally acknowledged; and the application of them requires the knowledge of a great variety of details, which have not yet been fully spread before the public. Much has, however, been done within a few years to supply this deficiency, and the proceedings of the New York Convention will aid very powerfully in the accomplishment of the object. Committees were there appointed upon all the principal branches

of domestic industry. Some of them reported immediately to the Convention, while others reserved their reports to be transmitted to the permanent committee of that body. There is reason to hope and believe, that their researches will ultimately bring to light all the facts which are important to be known : and when facts are clearly ascertained and agreed upon, there is rarely much dispute among intelligent men about principles ; that is, about the general propositions, in which these facts are to be expressed. The extent to which our domestic fabrics have advanced under the influence of the protecting policy, the rapidity with which they are advancing, and the beneficial effect which their progress has produced upon the comfort of all classes of society, are not yet fully appreciated by the public. The present discussion will throw a strong light upon all these points, and,—if we are not greatly deceived,—will satisfy the most prejudiced minds. A system founded on the immovable basis of truth, and carrying with it, as this does, triumphant proof of its value in its steady, brilliant, and constantly progressive success,—must surely make proselytes very rapidly, and command, at no distant period, the universal acquiescence of the people.

It is not our purpose to enter at present into a formal examination of the argument upon either of the great branches of the subject. We could scarcely hope to add any thing to the elaborate and perspicuous statements, that are given in the New York address. Nor is the moment, when new researches have been instituted into the state of facts, particularly favorable for a discussion of principles. We shall, therefore, on the present occasion, confine ourselves to a few observations on the effect of the approaching extinction of the public debt, upon the financial and economical measures of the Government.

The public debt,—should no unforeseen circumstances occur,—will be extinguished on the 1st of January, 1833, and the annual appropriation of ten million dollars, which is now by law regularly made for this purpose, will no longer be required. If the duties remain the same as before, this sum would, of course, be added to the ordinary surplus of the revenue over the expenditure. This surplus amounts, for the last year, to seven or eight millions, but does not in general exceed two or three. With the addition of the ten millions that become disposable by the extinction of the debt, it

would rise, of course, to about twelve. After the period of the extinction of the debt, and supposing the sources of revenue to be the same as before, there will remain, therefore, in the hands of the Government, an annual surplus of revenue over expenditure, of about twelve million dollars. How is this surplus to be appropriated? What financial measures ought to be adopted, to meet this crisis of a new description?

The plan which naturally suggests itself at once, as the appropriate remedy for the difficulty in question, is to reduce the revenue by repealing a part of the duties, and as the occurrence of this crisis furnishes no motive for changing the policy of the country in regard to the protection of domestic industry, it is equally apparent that the necessary reduction should be made, by repealing the duties on such foreign articles as do not enter into competition with our own products. This plan has, accordingly, been proposed already in various quarters, and particularly in the New York Convention. It appears to have met with general approbation, and will be found, we apprehend, on full examination, completely adequate to the emergency. The precise extent to which the revenue may be reduced, by a repeal of the duties on such foreign articles as do not enter into competition with the products of our own industry, has not yet been ascertained with perfect exactness. We give the following as an approximative estimate.

STATEMENT OF THE AMOUNT OF THE DUTIES ON CERTAIN  
ARTICLES, FOR THE YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30th, 1830.

	<i>Value.</i>	<i>Duties.</i>
Silks from India, less debenture,	1,000,000	Duty 36 pr. ct. 360,000
" other places,"	4,000,000	" 22 " 880,000
Watches and parts of do.	310,000	" 12 1-2 " 38,750
Pearls and Precious Stones,"	65,000	" 12 1-2 " 8,125
Tin in plates,	361,000	" 15 " 54,150
Opium,	37,000	" 15 " 5,550
Raw Silk,	10,000	" 15 " 1,500
		<i>Add 10 per ct. on duties.</i>
		<i>\$1,348,075</i>
	<i>Galls.</i>	<i>Duty.</i>
Wines—Madeira, less re-exported,	166,000	50 cts. } 117,250
Sherry,	48,500	50 }
Red, France and Spain,	1,110,000	10 111,000
do. not enumerated,"	1,180,000	15 177,000
Sicily, and other countries not enumerated,"	300,000	30 90,000
		495,250
	<i>lbs.</i>	<i>Duty.</i>
Teas—Bohea, less debenture	149,000	12 cts. 17,880
Souchong,	1,676,000	25 419,000
Hysen Skin and other Green,	1,685,000	28 471,800
Hysen and Y. Hysen,"	3,140,000	40 1,256,000
Imperial,	280,000	50 140,000
		2,304,680

	<i>lbs.</i>	<i>Duty.</i>	
Coffee, less debenture,	38,500,000	5 cts.	1,925,000
Cocoa, "	1,000,000	2	20,000
Almonds, Currants, Prunes and Figs, "	3,160,000	3	94,800
Raisins in Jars, "	3,260,000	4	130,400
" other, "	2,700,000	3	81,000
			<u>2,251,200</u>
		<i>Duty.</i>	
Spices—Ginger, less debenture,	2,000	2 cts.	40
Nutmegs, "	54,250	60	32,550
Cinnamon, "	4,000	25	1,000
Cloves, "	38,000	25	9,500
Black Pepper, "	1,100,000	8	88,000
Pimento, "	1,340,000	6	80,400
Cassia, "	100,000	6	6,000
Books, not English, Latin or Greek,	90,000 Vols.	at 4 cts.	3,600
			<u>221,090</u>
Molasses, 8,374,000 Gallons, paying 10 cts. pr. Gal. remit half, say 5 cts. per Gallon,			418,700,00
Brass in plates, \$10,608 Duty 25 pr. ct.	2,652		
Saltpetre, crude, \$32,214 " 12 1-2 " 4,027			
Camphor, 107,000 lbs. " 8 " 8,560			
			<u>15,239</u>
			<u>6,635,534,00</u>
N. B. In addition to the above, if <i>absolutely necessary</i> , the duties might be remitted on Worsted Stuff Goods, and on articles not enumerated paying 12 1-2 and 15 per ct. duty. Worsted Stuff Goods imported in the year ending Sep- tember, 1830, \$1,400,000 Duty 25 pr. ct.		\$350,000	
Manufact. Goods not enumerated, 883,685 " 12 1-2 "		110,460	
" " 2,558,858 " 15 "		383,827	
			<u>\$844,287</u>
Add 10 pr. ct.		84,428	
			<u>928,715,00</u>
			<u>\$7,993,756,00</u>

Total of duties *accruing* in the year ending September 30th, 1830, which might be repealed without material injury to domestic industry.—To which might also be added the duty on *Indigo*, if the South will consent. It will next year be fifty cents per pound, but the Carolinas and Louisiana will not return to its cultivation.

It appears from this estimate, that duties to the amount of about eight millions of dollars may be repealed, without materially interfering with the principle of protection. Supposing, as above, the annual surplus after the extinction of the debt to be, according to the present rates of duty, about twelve millions, it would be reduced by such a repeal to about four. This surplus is the fund out of which the Government must regularly provide for accidental deficiencies in the revenue, unforeseen excesses of expenditure, and internal improvements. Four or five millions would be considered,—we suppose,—as a moderate estimate of the amount necessary for these objects, taking them all on the very lowest scale. It is apparent,

therefore, that even on the system of those, who are most anxious to reduce the revenue of the country as nearly as possible to a level with the ordinary expenditure, the approaching crisis in our finances will not be attended with any real difficulty, or any necessary danger to the maintenance of the principle of protection.

Some of the anti-tariff writers, who are anxious to turn this crisis to account for the purpose of prostrating the manufactures of the country, and who are apparently aware, that it may be fairly met by a repeal of the duties on articles which do not enter into competition with our own products, have endeavored to throw odium upon this measure, by representing it as favoring the wealthy at the expense of the poor. It is unjust, they say, and impolitic to repeal the duties on foreign luxuries, and leave them in force upon the necessaries and comforts of life. Such is substantially the language of the New York Evening Post, a paper from which,—considering the well known intelligence of the editor and principal contributors,—we should have expected a more correct and statesman-like view of the subject. What, in fact, is the real operation of a repeal of the duties on tea, coffee, wines, and the other articles enumerated in the above estimate? Obviously to bring within the reach of the middling and poorer classes articles of comfort and luxury, which are now exclusively enjoyed by the rich. The latter can afford to purchase their tea, coffee, wines and spices, at whatsoever rates they may be sold. The difference in their prices, resulting from a repeal of the duties, would be hardly felt as an advantage by men of large property. But there are numerous families, comprising, in fact, what may be called the mass of the community, whose incomes are limited, and who cannot afford to purchase these articles, excepting at certain limited prices. Here, then, is a measure, which brings within the reach of this extensive and important portion of the people, a variety of comforts and luxuries, which they either could not enjoy at all before, or could only enjoy in much more limited quantities. In good earnest, is this favoring the rich at the expense of the poor? The anti-tariff writers are not entirely destitute of intelligence. They are not, we hope, in all cases absolutely blinded by party prejudices. We put it to their candor and good sense, to say, whether a law, which, without conferring any material benefit upon the rich, puts the middling and lower classes in possession

of comforts and luxuries which they would not otherwise enjoy, is a tax upon the poor for the benefit of the rich? Can such a proposition, fairly stated, be maintained for a moment? Will the most daring, the least scrupulous of the party writers undertake to say a single word in support of it? Yet such is the doctrine, which has been gravely advanced in papers of high authority,—papers, which, on former occasions, have put forth claims to all the light that there is in circulation on the subject of political economy, and have denounced the friends of the protection of domestic industry as a set of ignorant pretenders.

In the same way, the protecting duties on foreign manufactures are often represented as taxes on the poor. What is the real state of the case? The protecting duty, by excluding the foreign article, and encouraging the production of the domestic one, which can be furnished without any charge for transportation, reduces the price, and supplies the consumer at a lower rate than before. This is the general principle laid down by all the writers on political economy, from the time of Adam Smith and Alexander Hamilton to the present day. If there were any doubt about it, the experience of the United States has amply demonstrated its truth. Every article that has been made the subject of a protecting duty, is now sold cheaper than it was before the duty was imposed. In some important cases, the present price of the protected article is below the rate of duty on the corresponding foreign one, although we are gravely told in the Philadelphia address, that ‘the reduction of prices,’ occasioned, as the authors suppose, by certain accidental causes, ‘has necessarily stopped at a point which is ascertained by adding the amount of duty to the price of the imported article.’ If it were necessary, on the system of these writers, that the reduction should stop at this point, and we find, in fact, that prices have fallen a great deal lower, does not this very circumstance prove, that their system is false? Will they continue to adhere to it, when its leading principles are thus directly at variance with acknowledged facts? However this may be, the people of the United States are of course intelligent enough to perceive, that a law, which reduces the price of most of the ordinary necessaries and comforts of life, is not a tax upon the poor for the benefit of the rich. In fact, the operation of the American system, as it will probably be modified by the approaching crisis in our financial affairs, while it is in a great measure indifferent to the wealthy, is

directly favorable in all its principal features to the middling and poorer classes of the community. The duties levied on foreign articles of common use, by encouraging the production of domestic articles of a similar kind at less expense, enable the mass of the community to obtain the necessities of life at cheaper rates, while the repeal, which will probably take place of most of the duties on foreign articles not coming into competition with our own products, will bring within the reach of the same classes a variety of comforts and luxuries, which they could not enjoy before. Sophistry may do its best, but will never be able to convince the mass of the people, that such a system is opposed to their interests.

It is apparent, however, as we have already remarked, that even on the view of those who are most inclined to reduce the revenue to the level of the ordinary expenditure, there is no real difficulty in the approaching financial crisis. We have shown, satisfactorily as we trust, that all the reduction which is necessary to meet this crisis, even on this view, may be made without infringing on the principle of protection. For ourselves, we do not profess to feel any peculiar anxiety on the subject, and although we shall cheerfully acquiesce in a repeal of the duties on foreign articles not entering into competition with our own products, should such a measure be thought expedient by the Government, we should be quite willing to dispense with it, and to leave the Government for a few years in possession of an annual surplus of twelve or fourteen millions. By the force of circumstances, this surplus would gradually diminish. The object of protecting duties is to build up home manufactures, and discourage the importation of the corresponding foreign articles. As fast as they accomplish this object, they of course become less productive, until they finally cease to afford any revenue at all. The duty on cotton is merely nominal; that on cotton fabrics will soon become so; and the case will be the same with all the others, as soon as the domestic manufactures protected by them are sufficiently flourishing to supply the market. The surplus, therefore, would be constantly diminishing, and, in the mean time, might, while it lasted, be turned to very good account for the promotion of internal improvement. We are aware, that many persons look with great distrust on the expenditure of money for this purpose, by the General Government; some denying the constitutional power, and others the expediency of

exercising it, if it exist. But when we recollect, how often tens and hundreds of millions have been annually expended for many years in succession, by almost all nations, for the insane purpose of destroying life and capital, we should not regret to see one nation trying for once the experiment of a liberal annual appropriation to objects of general utility. Supposing a surplus of ten millions to be applied to such objects for only ten years, what important results might not be obtained! How many roads and canals might be laid out through regions, that are now suffering from the absence of such communications! How many literary, benevolent, and pious institutions, might be founded, or restored from the languishing state into which they have sunk for want of funds! A single annual appropriation of the amount just mentioned, would place in the capital of every State of the Union, a valuable public library, or establish in each a well endowed university, and thus open, in either case, a perpetual and never-failing spring of knowledge, virtue, and civilization, through all the coming ages. When we reflect, how much of the success and prosperity of a whole community has often resulted from the influence of some one good institution, we may venture to say, without passing the bounds of moderation, that an expenditure for purposes of internal improvement, of the amount and for the time just mentioned, would, in many important particulars, put a new face upon the country, and materially affect, in the most favorable manner, the future fortunes of the people.

We are aware, that works of every kind are executed under the direction of the Government with somewhat less economy than by individuals, and that in the disposal of a fund of this description, there would necessarily creep in a good deal of favoritism and jobbing. Some States would obtain a little less, and some a little more, than their respective shares of the common benefit. But what then? The State that should be least favored in the distribution, would make more progress in these ten years, in the way of public works and improvements, than she could possibly do by any other means in a century. To renounce these solid and permanent advantages, because some other State might derive still greater ones from the same source, would argue a very slender portion of worldly wisdom, as well as of that purer spirit of kindness and union, that ought to prevail among the different members of a family of sister Republics.

For these reasons, we should not be opposed, individually,

to the continuance, for a while, of all the duties on their present footing, and an appropriation of the surplus revenue, whatever it might be, to works of internal improvement. We have little expectation, however, that this course will be adopted ; but we trust, that whatever reduction may be made, a definite, and not too scanty sum will be reserved for this purpose. The steady devotion of a million or even half a million a year to public works, would in time effect great things. At all events, we feel a pleasure in reflecting, that, according to every view which may be taken of the subject, there will be no necessity for extending the repeal of the duties so far, as to interfere with the principle of protection.

The last of the works, of which the titles are prefixed to the present article, is an address delivered at New York, by Mr. Edward Everett, before the American Institute, at their annual fair, which was held a short time previous to the meeting of the New York Convention. It is a simple, lucid, and dispassionate statement of the argument on all the leading points of the subject, and is said to have contributed much, in connexion with the proceedings of the Convention, towards the production of the change of sentiment in regard to the protecting policy, which is now so rapidly taking place in the great emporium of the country. Our limits do not permit us to enlarge on the topics successively treated in the address ; and this is the less necessary, as it has already obtained a very general circulation through the newspapers. For those who have not yet perused it, the following passages, which form the conclusion, will serve as a specimen.

'The present manufacturing system of the United States may be considered, partly as the result of the revenue laws of 1789, which remained without essential changes till the embargo of 1807, and partly as the effect of that and the other restrictive measures, and of the war which followed them. Those branches of industry, which are commonly called the mechanic arts, received, for the most part, though not without exception, an ample protection under the former laws ; manufactures on a large scale, requiring great capital and skill, owed their existence to the total interruption of commerce. In the combined result, a very large amount of American capital was, at the peace of 1815, found invested in manufactures. It was the prevalent opinion of the statesmen of that day, and those of the South among the foremost, that this capital ought to be protected ; and the success which

had attended some of the manufactures, on a large scale, had produced some change in the public opinion, as to the capacity of the country to support them. At this period, however, and for several years after, it is well known, that the current of opinion ran strongly against the protection of manufactures, by high duties, in the commercial parts of the Union. By slow degrees, the manufacturing system has won its way to greatly increased favor, even in those parts of the country where our commerce principally centres. The question, both as one of principle and fact, is better understood by the lights of experience. It is now recollected, that our navigation, at the moment of its extreme depression, was raised up under a system of protecting duties. The obligation of protecting capital invested under the pledge of the public faith, against foreign legislation and the ruinous fluctuations of the foreign market, is felt. Every evil predicted as likely or certain to follow from the manufacturing system, has failed to arrive. High prices were foretold. The acquisition of skill and the perfection of machinery have enabled the manufacturer to afford his fabrics at greatly reduced, but not unprofitable prices. A defalcation of the revenue was predicted. The revenue, instead of falling off, has steadily sustained itself; and instead of being obliged to resort to direct taxes, which, it was supposed as late as 1824, both by friend and foe, we should have to do, we are now threatened with a national crisis proceeding from an overflowing treasury. Our manufacturing establishments, instead of proving seminaries of vice, as was apprehended, are honorably distinguished for order and morality, as I know from my own observation of the largest in the United States. It was said, that the grass would grow up between the paving-stones of our principal commercial cities. It is not so in Boston. You best know how it is in Pearl Street, Wall Street, and Broadway. Our commerce and navigation have suffered no diminution. Our ship-yards are in a state of the most profitable activity; our coasting trade and internal commerce have greatly increased, and a general prosperity pervades the country.

'One drawback only, and that much to be regretted, exists to the general satisfaction, which this state of things is calculated to inspire;—I allude, of course, to the dissatisfaction pervading a portion of the Planting States, by whom the laws passed for the protection of American industry, are deemed unconstitutional, and severely oppressive upon their interests. I certainly shall not at this hour engage in a constitutional argument; but I may observe, that, under a Government organized upon a written constitution, almost every measure is likely to be represented, by its opponents, as unconstitutional. Few prominent measures of

the Government, from its organization, have failed to be considered as unconstitutional, by those opposed to them. The funding system and the assumption of the State debts,—the Bank of the United States,—the British Treaty,—the Alien and Sedition Laws,—the purchase of Louisiana,—the embargo,—the use of the militia in time of war,—the system of internal improvements,—the provisions of the judiciary act,—and the protection of manufactures, have been successively opposed as unconstitutional. It is, however, an important fact, that this last measure, the protection of manufactures, has but of late years been opposed on that ground. By the first Congress, and many succeeding Congresses, it was not so regarded; and I have already attempted to show, that, but for the firm belief and ardent hope, that the Federal Constitution would protect and encourage the manufacturers of the United States, it would never have been adopted.

'With the opinion, however, which now prevails at the South, that the application of the Revenue System to the encouragement of manufactures is unconstitutional, it is natural that it should be viewed with particular hostility, as the supposed cause of the depression that exists in a portion of the South. That it is not the real cause of this depression, I firmly believe; and such is asserted to be the fact, by some of the most intelligent citizens of the Southern States. But it would be gratifying to find reasons to believe, that as a whole, the planting interest in the country is not excluded from a participation in its general prosperity; and such, I am strongly inclined to believe as well as hope, is the fact. Such is certainly the inference which we should draw from the last census. The State of Louisiana, whose industry is divided between the great staples of cotton and sugar,\* regards the present modification of the Revenue System as the source of her prosperity, and has lately been pronounced by the best authority to be in a very prosperous condition. Georgia has, within a year or two, been declared on equal authority to be in a state of almost unexampled prosperity. The State of Tennessee has within a few days been pronounced by her chief magistrate, in a condition of 'eminent prosperity.' 'At no former period,' says he, 'has the general welfare of our citizens been more obvious and satisfactory.' The depression, which for some years has fallen upon the staple of cotton, has not, it is believed, extended to that of rice; a proof, as it would seem, that it cannot be the effect of the tariff, which would operate on rice as unfavorably as on cotton; and even the culture of cotton itself, low

---

\* 'What would be the effect on the cotton planting interest, if, by a repeal of the tariff, the capital and labor employed on sugar, should be turned into cotton?'

as the price has sunk, there is good reason to think, is still, with thrift and economy, a profitable branch of industry. I have been lately favored with a minute statement of the average product of five or six cotton plantations in two of the South-western States, ascertained by putting together the income of a good and a bad year. The result of this statement is, that the capital invested in these plantations yields from fifteen to twenty per cent. clear; and that the net profit accruing to the proprietor, for the labor of each efficient hand, is two hundred and thirty-seven dollars fifty cents per annum,—being a clear gain of four dollars fifty cents per week. It further appears, that on one of these plantations, (and the same, though not stated, is believed to hold of the others, in due proportion,) worth, altogether for land, labor, and stock, ninety-two thousand dollars, the entire amount of articles paying duty annually consumed, is two thousand three hundred dollars. The average crop of this plantation, taking a good and bad year, is fourteen thousand five hundred dollars. Suppose the duties to be thirty-three and a third per cent., and the whole amount of the duty to be actually assessed, in the shape of an enhanced price of the article, (the contrary of which is known to be true, for in several articles the entire price is little more than the duty,\*) it would amount to less than seven hundred and thirty dollars per annum, on a clear profit of fourteen thousand five hundred dollars. The great wealth of the South is, of course, the product of the labor there performed. The productiveness of this labor must greatly depend on the cost, at which it is sustained. This cost must consist chiefly of that of food and clothing. Food is subject to no duty, nor is there any duty which, except in the most remote and indirect manner, can enhance its price. The annual cost of clothing in the South-western States,—probably in all the States south of thirty-five degrees,—is said to be eight dollars per head. Suppose this supply to come enhanced one third, it is a very small sum, when assessed upon the annual product of the industry of the laborer. These statements are furnished to me on the very highest authority. There is no reason why the plantations, to which they refer, should be more productive than others in their neighborhood, except as they may be conducted with greater skill and prudence. But there never existed, and never will exist, any branch of industry, which in the long run, will be profitable, in any but skilful and prudent hands.

‘But it is high time to draw these reflections to a close,—which I cannot do, without reverting to the agreeable occasion, which has called us together. If any one had before doubted of the

---

\* ‘This is particularly true of coarse cottons.’

efficacy of a system of legislative protection, it would seem that he might be convinced, by this display of the perfection of the American arts, not one of which, in some stages of its progress, could have sustained itself against the competition of Europe. And should any political catastrophe, now inconceivable, again bring this country under the British Government, the curse of so deplorable a downfall would be chiefly visited on this healthful industry and these productive arts. In that calamitous event, the culture of our Southern staples would again be stimulated by premiums and bounties. Our fisheries would be encouraged, as a nursery of seamen for the navies of the mother country. Our navigation (like a chained beast of the forest) would be again allowed to roam, discontented and daring, between the Antilles and Cape Finisterre; while the iron arm of the colonial system would fall on our mechanics and manufacturers. The hum of business in our work-shops would cease; the tilt hammer and the furnace,—where you are daily forging the mute giants, which labor has harnessed to her cars,—would be broken down as a nuisance; the music of the water-fall would again be wasted on the idle air; the walls of our capacious factories be left tenantless to crumble; and the soil of the villages which support them and which they support,—like the soil of captured Jerusalem,—would be turned with the plough-share and sowed with salt.

'Every part of the country would, of course, be involved in the wide-spread ruin; but none so soon and none so utterly, as this magnificent metropolis; this vast centre of the commerce, the manufactures, and the agriculture of the country,—this mighty heart, where the great pulses of its industry beat,—to which the life-blood of two thirds of the Union flows up by a thousand channels, to be again propelled to the farthest villages in the West. New York was not always what it is now. The Revolution found your city small and almost stationary. The return of peace cut off the only existing resource, that of the subsistence of the forces of the enemy, which occupied it; the influx of foreign fabrics and the navigation laws of Europe confirmed its depression. The mechanics and manufacturers of New York grasped at a General Government with the eagerness of desperation. In the Federal and State Conventions, which framed and adopted the Constitution, they were heard by one of the most persuasive voices that ever spoke through the lips of man,—the voice of the immortal Hamilton, the first great champion of American manufactures. With the adoption of the Constitution, the breath of life was breathed into the industry of New York; and we have beheld her since, with equal pride and admiration, starting up, with a new principle of existence, and making but one bound to the

throne of the commercial world. There may she long sit,—firm, enlightened, and liberal; not forgetting, in the season of her wealth and power, the arts by which her infancy was nourished. There may she long sit,—the stars of the Union for her coronet, the rock of independence for her footstool!"

---

#### ART. IX.—*Popular Superstitions.*

*Lectures on Witchcraft, comprising a History of the Delusion in Salem in 1692.* By CHARLES W. UPHAM, *Junior Pastor of the First Church in Salem.* Boston. Carter, Hendee & Babcock. 1831.

*An Essay on Demonology, Ghosts and Apparitions, and Popular Superstitions. Also, an Account of the Witchcraft Delusion at Salem in 1692.* By JAMES THACHER, M. D., A. A. S. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 1831.

It is with much pleasure that we call the attention of our readers to the work of Mr. Upham. It consists of two lectures, which were originally delivered before the Salem Lyceum, and may be regarded as a favorable evidence of the tendency of such institutions to diffuse information, which it is important to the community to acquire, as well as just sentiments upon subjects, that lie within the range of common observation and curiosity. Every one has heard of the witchcraft delusion in Salem; and most of those who are at all familiar with the history of the age in which it appeared, know very well how to estimate the prejudice, which for a long time fastened upon our neighbors of that place a reproach, from which the rest of New England and the world at large were disposed to triumph in being wholly free. It is not the leading object of Mr. Upham to vindicate his place of residence from this reproach, by transferring to others their just portion of responsibility; his historical statement alone would be sufficient for this; but to give a clear and philosophical view of the origin and progress of this strange imposture, and incidentally, of superstitious notions in general. He has performed this task in a manner, which cannot fail to add to the reputation, which he had acquired by his former writings. His style is unaffected and elegant, and his illustrations and reflections appropriate and happy.

The plan of Dr. Thacher is rather more extensive. His design is, to take a general and comprehensive view of superstition in its various forms, and to explain the manner in which it is illustrated by reason and philosophy. In the prosecution of this plan, he is naturally led to examine the same portion of history, to which Mr. Upham had already directed the public attention ; but it is proper to state, that his work was written before that gentleman's appeared. His own intelligent observation and inquiry have furnished him with many interesting facts, particularly in regard to the power of imagination in producing some of those phenomena, which have confounded the ignorant, and perplexed the wise ; and his publication is valuable, as presenting the views of a clear and judicious observer in an important field of speculation, though somewhat too broad to be comprehended within the limits of so short a treatise. In fact, the history of superstition is more than half of the history of man. But if the errors of former times are only partially unveiled, something may be gained towards the correction of those, which continue to exist ; if we do not reach the highest attainable point of elevation, we may yet be placed high enough, to see that portion of the valley below, from which the mist has rolled away.

We are sometimes inclined to believe, that the present generation are raising the shout of victory over superstition, before the enemy has left the field. It would be hazardous to maintain, that the drafts of imposture are no longer honored by credulity, or that all the *idols of the tribe* are deserted by their worshippers. There are yet delusions, and dark ones, too ; we cling with a convulsive grasp to errors, because it seems impossible to us, that any delusion should walk in the noon-day of our intellectual light. We look on those of old with indignation and scorn ; and wonder, perhaps, that our fathers should have been so much inferior in sense and reason to ourselves. Yet many a dark page is yet to be written in the history of our race. There are not a few, who listen to the acclamations of military triumph as they would to the trumpet of jubilee, and crown the conqueror with laurels fit only for an angel's brow, whose hearts burn within them, when they number the victims which witchcraft has hurried to the dungeon and the stake ; while all the blood which superstition ever shed, would be but a rivulet in comparison with that of a single battle-field. This is but one example of the delusions, which

philosophy and reason have never yet been able to destroy ; they have only subdued those errors, which have nothing magnificent about them, to bind them closely to the heart. All will, doubtless, eventually vanish, as spirits are said to disappear with the morning twilight ; but many will outlast the present generation of men ; and while they survive, it is idle to talk of the complete downfall of superstition. In fact, the progress of reason has hardly yet dispelled many of those absurdities, which would seem most likely to fall before it. The mass of mankind are not philosophers, and they regard their own hereditary prejudices as too venerable to be surrendered without an age or two of deliberation, if not of positive and doubtful struggle. They are like those who dwelt near the mountain in Greece, on whose summit the sun shone for hours, before it lighted the valleys. Faith in witchcraft has been banished from the high places of the earth ; it is no more found in the pulpit or the judgment-seat ; but it is still entwined around the hearts of many, and adheres to them as closely, as the consciousness of their existence.

There is no one, whose recollection will not furnish him with abundant evidence of the truth of this remark. It has been our fortune to meet with more than one individual, who did not feel a moment secure from the invasion of evil spirits, unless he carried with him a staff of witch-hazel ; and we remember one in particular, who, having left his talisman behind him, in his hurry to attend an election, was waylaid by them as he returned home at night, and rolled heels over head in the most fearful manner. He often related the circumstance with horror ; and, as if anticipating the most natural solution of the mystery, asseverated, that he had partaken no more liberally of the most formidable spirit of all, than he usually did on similar occasions. We have heard of another, who had unfortunately incurred the displeasure of an old woman in his neighborhood. One evening, as he was passing her door with his wain of hay, his oxen stood perfectly still, and the only parts of their anatomy at all calculated for such an evolution, were lifted straight upwards, by some mysterious impulse ; where they remained, until he was relieved from his dilemma by negotiating a treaty with the author of the mischief. Five years ago, a physician in a neighboring State was indicted for tampering with a pretty material word in a promissory note for nine dollars, so that it was converted into ninety. The only

witness to the forgery was the maker of the note, whose testimony was explicit as to the fact of the alteration. On his cross-examination, he acknowledged, that he had been for a long time, like Andrew Fairservice, ‘fley’d wi’ a ghaist,’ until he was compelled to apply to the physician for relief, who exorcised him in part by throwing in the fire a quart of his blood ; and this was the consideration of the note in question. The witches, he said, commonly assumed the shape of some impertinent old ladies of the neighborhood, who would come to his house in defiance of his express commands to the contrary, and smoke their pipes at discretion, without uttering a word. It is, perhaps, needless to say, that this testimony, which might have been sufficient to hang all the parties concerned two centuries ago, produced in this instance only the acquittal of the physician ; but examples like these, however the wise may scorn them, serve to show that superstition has not yet lost its hold upon the minds of all.

In saying, that reason and philosophy have not yet banished all these errors, we do not forget the good they have already done, or under-value their power to do more ; we simply mean, that much of their appropriate work remains to be performed. Their task will be the more arduous, for the reason, that much of what passes under the name of superstition, when divested of its absurdities, is natural to the mind. So far as it merely implies a belief in the existence of spirits, freed from the incumbrances of a perishable frame, it springs up spontaneously in the breast ; there is no example of an age or nation, scarcely of the most degraded savage tribe, in which it is not found ; and it is confirmed by the voice within us, which proclaims our victory over the grave. Nor is there any thing very absurd in the persuasion, almost as universal as the other, that the spirit, thus separated from the body, may become obvious to human sense. Many have considered it enough to say in refutation of this, that the abstract idea we form of spirit implies its want of every quality, which could enable it to make its presence perceptible ; and if we could form a perfect idea of what spirit is, the answer would be complete. But the deepest researches of philosophy have left us ignorant of its essence ; we know its existence only through certain of its qualities ; so that, however we may doubt, we cannot well deny, that separated spirits may, in some mysterious manner, hold communion with our own. There is at least, probability

enough in favor of this persuasion, to induce the great body of mankind to adopt it as an article of faith. With the general mass of superstitious notions, the case is far otherwise. They have scarcely even the slender basis of imagination to stand upon ; and, in treating of superstition in general, it is no easy matter to separate the absurd from the probable, the extravagant from the partially reasonable, blended as they are like the primary colors in all the hues of nature. Their multiplicity, also, is a serious stumbling-block in the way of the inquirer. A venerable divine, two centuries ago, undertook to write a sermon upon every verse of the epistle to the Colossians. We have no recollection, whether his folio was edifying in proportion to its dimensions ; but we doubt, whether that most ponderous volume would be large enough, to contain the history of superstition. Sir Walter Scott was evidently much embarrassed by this very difficulty ; and one may rise from the perusal of his entertaining work on demonology, with few more precise ideas of any particular branch of the science, than he had when he took it up. We are told by Pliny, of a fish, whose various members were so widely extended in the Mediterranean, that it could not pass the Straits of Gibraltar ; and it is about as difficult to give an accurate view of universal superstition, as it would be to make a scientific description of this monopolist of the sea.

There is one branch of it, however, which is separate and peculiar. It is the subject of witchcraft, which, as we have already said, occupies the whole of one of the works before us, and a portion of the other ; and the modern history of which can be regarded with no other feelings, than those of disgust and horror. The mind may well be humbled by the reflection, that a wild and fatal delusion like this, springing solely from a mis-apprehension of the meaning of certain terms used in the Scriptures, should have been able to subdue all philosophy and common sense, as well as all the impulses of humanity and mercy. No one at this day believes, that the witches of later ages belonged to the same class with those, against whom Moses denounced the punishment of death. The last were justly regarded by the Hebrew lawgiver as a common nuisance, pretending, as they did, to the power of divination and sorcery, and resorting sometimes to the use of poisons, from which their name is derived, to effect their purposes. They seduced the Israelites to the adoration of false

deities ; and this was a crime of peculiar aggravation among a people, who were set apart for the worship of the true God, but were always too ready to return to idolatry. The witchcraft of later times, as Mr. Upham very justly observes, implied a deliberate and formal compact with the Spirit of evil, negotiated with all the precision of a modern indenture ; by which, on the one hand, the witch bound herself to become his subject, and to use all her exertions to promote his interests ; while he invested her, on the other, with a portion of his own attributes, engaging, at the same time, to exercise his own supernatural powers, for her advantage and protection. What the witch of the Hebrews really was, is explained in that remarkable passage of Scripture, which relates the interview of Saul with the witch of En-dor. This personage belonged to a class of impious pretenders, against whom the king, in one of the religious intervals of his wayward career, had undertaken to enforce the penalties provided by the ancient law ; and who were obliged, in consequence, to practise their unholy arts in retirement and obscurity. But when he persisted in violating the commands of the Most High, the hour of their revenge was come. The hosts of his enemies were mustering at his very gates ; the ominous sounds of disaffection and revolt grew louder within his borders ; and misfortune and disaster were gathering around him, like dark clouds around the setting sun. The warning voice of dreams, and Urim, and the prophets was no longer heard in answer to his prayers, and he resorted in terror and despair, to one of these very impostors whom he had persecuted, to open to him the mysterious pages of the book of fate. He sought her dwelling at midnight and in disguise, weighed down by the anguish of a broken spirit, and exhausted by the abstinence, which was then, as it is at this day in the East, required to prepare him for the unusual scene. The woman of En-dor reminded him of the fearful doom which the king had menaced against the exercise of her art ; but with the quick and proud decision of authority, he assures her of his protection. This circumstance alone would have been sufficient to convince her of the rank and dignity of her guest ; but even without this evidence, how could a disguise have concealed from her penetrating eye, the lofty bearing and commanding stature of the goodliest of the sons of Israel ? He commands her to call up the spirit of Samuel ; and affecting to see spirits ascending, she cries out with pretended astonish-

ment and terror, and for the first time appears to recognise her royal visiter. To remove all fear of deception, he commands her to describe the form, which he cannot see ; and when she speaks of an old man enveloped with a mantle, his imagination presents the image of the venerable prophet, and he addresses to him the story of his apprehension and distress. In the heart-broken accents of despair, he complains, that the hosts of his enemies are gathered against him, and that he is forsaken by his God. It was an easy task to predict the fate of a spirit thus crushed and broken. The voice confirms the sentence, which had already been pronounced by his fears ; and he hurries to the field of battle, where, after witnessing the discomfiture of his army, and the death of his sons, he seals the fatal prediction, and falls by his own hand.

Such, at least, appears to us to be the true construction of this mysterious passage ; though we are bound to state, that many distinguished commentators have adopted a different one ; believing, that the venerable prophet did miraculously appear at the call of the sorceress, to announce to Saul his approaching doom. Sir Walter Scott, though with some hesitation, inclines to the same view, which is also taken by Mr. Upham. On either supposition, the case is not wholly free from difficulty ; but neither would give us reason to believe, that the witch of En-dor bore the least resemblance to modern personages of the same name.

During the ascendancy of the Catholic faith, though some enormous instances of cruelty occurred, the clergy in general were not disposed to punish with great severity a crime, which they believed it fully in their power to prevent ; and the mass of the people, being interdicted the use of the Scriptures, could only derive false impressions in regard to them, from the ignorance or fraud of others. With the reformers, the case was far different. They came forth from the lowly walks of life, sharing many of the prejudices of the people, and caring for no philosophy, but the Word of God. They went forth to battle against principalities and powers ; and they knew that it was no light or easy task, nor the struggle of an hour. The whole vast edifice of the gorgeous hierarchy, consecrated by the veneration of countless ages, was to be overthrown, from its topmost battlement to the corner-stone ; and they summoned all their commanding energies to the work. No wonder, that with stern defiance in the

heart, there should have been gloom upon the brow ; no wonder, that their spirits should have been quickened into madness by the contest ; no wonder, when the red light of the fagot and the stake were before them, that they should have felt that the hand of the arch fiend was there. The religious principle with them became a dark and gloomy principle. Firmly persuaded themselves of the existence and active agency of the Spirit of evil, they recognised his power in all the obstacles that beset their progress, and this persuasion was confirmed in their minds by a literal interpretation of the language of Scripture. If reason and philosophy attempted to teach them the impossibility of such a compact, as their own definition of witchcraft implied, they regarded them as little as the painted windows of a Gothic cathedral. There was the divine injunction,—the word itself ; He that hath a familiar spirit, must die.

It was one of the earliest acts of the pilgrims, to prepare an abstract of a criminal and civil code, which was afterwards made the basis of the statute law of Massachusetts. This paper was drawn by one of the most eminent divines of the day ; who, following the same error which had misled so many others, copied that provision of the Levitical law, which made witchcraft punishable with death, and applied it to a wholly different crime ; if indeed such a crime as modern witchcraft can be said to have ever been committed. But if there were ever a people who had an apology for being superstitious, it was that portion of the Puritans who came over to this country. It has often been remarked, that none are more inclined to superstition, than those who dwell in the most grand and solitary scenes ; and, apart from the circumstances of their own situation, there was enough in the wildness and solitude around them, to excite the imagination. Before them was the broad sea, over whose bosom the Providence of God had guided them in the extremity of danger and suffering : and behind them the forests, whose depths were never penetrated by the footsteps of civilized man. It must have seemed like intrusion to enter its mysterious gloom, as if the quick footstep, or the careless voice might break the deep repose of nature, which had been only broken before by the cry of the wild beast, or the dashing of the ocean on its shore. Every thing around them led the mind to high and serious contemplation : every thing was fitted to excite emotions of sublimity and awe. Their reliance upon divine protection was firm and constant ;

its superintending power was visible in all occurrences that threw light at intervals on their melancholy path ; and in every adverse one, they felt the agency of an evil principle, whose strength was exerted for the trial of their virtue, or the punishment of their deviations from duty. Human nature itself was revealed to them in a mode of existence wholly new, but well calculated to cherish and confirm their persuasion of this agency of evil powers. All their vigilance was necessary to guard them from the assaults of savage foes, whose wildness and ferocity, no less than the fearful suddenness of their midnight blow, were such as well became the ministers of darkness, whose path might be traced by the blood of the murdered, or the conflagration of villages. So far from wondering that our fathers shared the superstitious ideas, which prevailed at the period in every other country, it is rather matter of surprise, that the influence of those ideas was so limited here ; for it must be remembered, that they were every where sanctioned by the convictions of the pious, and the apprehensions of the wise ; cherished by the most eminent divines of every persuasion, and promulgated by the most upright judges, whom England ever saw. Their own strong, practical sense, a quality which rarely deserted them, preserved them in a considerable degree from this fatal influence ; and the punishment of witchcraft was rare, until an unusual concurrence of circumstances, acting on the popular conviction, swelled the tide of superstition, and it came heaving in like a resistless flood.

It was in February, 1692, that this fatal delusion arose ; and it was preceded by many of those portents, which even now, are apt to cast a spell over the minds of men, and recall the fierce denunciations of the insane prophet, and the ominous voice which issued from the Holy of Holies, when the last hour of Jerusalem was at hand. Within, the colonists were agitated by ferocious political strife, driven from the ordinary paths of commercial industry by the pirates who infested their shores, and crushed beneath the weight of ponderous debt ; the light of some of the most eminent and virtuous among them had been suddenly withdrawn by death ; and the undefined rumors of mighty vicissitudes abroad, were borne to them upon every breeze from the sea. The French were combined with their savage enemies in unnatural union, and hung like a thunder-cloud upon the edges of the western forest, ready to break upon them in a fiery tempest of desolation and death.

The wild apprehension that the spirits of evil were unchained, and moving with unusual power on the earth, weighed down their hearts, which were commonly sustained by the conscious presence of the Divinity. Every thing seemed preparing for some great and fearful consummation ; all things combined to render them open and sensible to the impressions of some startling event. There was gloom in every heart, and on every brow. When the tidings at length came, of the visitation of those malignant spirits, which they believed Providence had permitted to exist, to accomplish purposes that no human intelligence could see, they fell upon hearts irritated almost to madness ; ready to throw aside all the restraints of their better nature, in the vain persuasion, that they were called to struggle with the powers of darkness.

We shall not attempt to retrace the incidents of that sanguinary drama, of which Salem was the principal seat, though the delusion was fully shared by all the surrounding country. The mind turns shuddering away from the sickening details of its atrocity ; our nature recoils with horror from the history of men converted into demons. We can hardly go back in fancy to that period, when this superstition was living and real to the apprehensions of all ; we can as little realize the power of this conviction now, as in witnessing the representation of Macbeth, we can feel the impression which must once have been created by those mysterious beings, who met the victorious soldier on the midnight heath ; there is nothing to mitigate the feelings of disgust and aversion, with which we regard the melancholy exhibition of fanaticism and guilt. Mr. Upham has relieved us of the task ; in pursuing the plan which he proposed to himself, he has given a sufficiently minute and most interesting narrative of all its events, concealing none of its atrocities, and withholding none of its palliations. We regret that we are compelled to differ from him in any particular ; but we cannot admit the correctness of the remark, in the sense in which he would have it understood, that no blame should be attached to judges and jurors, so long as the law of the land required the punishment of witches, for carrying that law into effect ; it assumes the very point which we deny. Doubtless, these officers were bound to execute the law ; but they were equally bound to refrain from conviction and sentence, until the law was shown to be violated ; this, however, was never done ; but a more disgraceful violation of all law, human or divine, to say

nothing of mercy or humanity, than was exhibited throughout the whole of these trials for witchcraft, by those who urged them on, never blackened the history of man. All the safeguards which a wise and just precaution had set for the security of innocence, were unhesitatingly trampled down ; the plainest rules of evidence were thrown aside with contempt ; those leading questions, which the criminal law of the time, not over-merciful, regarded with aversion, were the only sort of examination permitted ; confessions, wrung by the extremity of torture from a broken and exhausted spirit, were not only received, but demanded and prayed for, as the evidence of guilt. The witnesses, who represented themselves, as no one can doubt they were, in another sense than they intended, as under the influence of Satan, were cheered on by the insane applauses of an exasperated mob ; no contradictions, no evasions, not even the plainest perjury, took any thing from the weight of their declarations ; while the denial, the arguments, and characters of the prisoners, were treated with indignity and scorn. Were not such jurors and judges bound to reflect, that the same power, which was falsely said to torture the accusers, might have relieved the wretched in their extremity, by making those who sat in judgment suffer under the same torture ? Were they not bound at least to interpose the same measure of justice which all others might have asked for, in order to examine, if they could not check, so miserable a delusion ? We doubt whether an impartial judgment can clear them of the guilt of blood. It may be said, as it was said by Cotton Mather, that the confessions were of themselves sufficient for conviction ; but a very limited knowledge of human nature might have taught them, that there is no duress like that of fear and horror, and the hope of life ; while the agony of such an accusation, with the aversion and dread reflected from the faces of all around, might even have induced, in minds thus overwhelmed, a persuasion that they were really subject to some supernatural influence. At all events, the lesson is one whose instruction ought not to be lost. We can, however, hardly conceive of the depravity, which hurried the youthful accusers on to such a work of death. At first, it was probably no more than the childish propensity for mischief, which loves to sport with the follies of the old ; but when the alarm had spread, the dread of detection was added to the passion for notoriety, until their united influence overwhelmed

every other feeling ; and there was no counteracting influence to weaken their effect. It is a pity, that the experiment resorted to by Boerhaave in the alms-house at Haerlem, had not been tried upon them. Its youthful inmates were seized, one after another, with the most violent convulsions ; the experienced physician directed furnaces to be erected, in which the arm of the first new patient was to be burned to the bone ; and the remedy was so efficacious, that the afflicted were all healed with miraculous despatch.

There is another species of superstition, of a less fatal character. We allude to apparitions of various kinds, which every one is ready to explain in the most satisfactory manner, while almost every one can detect in himself an inclination to believe in their reality ; as the physician, who was attempting to demonstrate to a patient the absurdity of believing that he was haunted by a skeleton, was somewhat startled by his patient's assurance, that the skull was at that moment visible over his shoulder. Sir Walter Scott believes, that the influence of certain states of mind upon the fancy, or of ill-health, is the cause of most of these spectral visitations ; but he adds a pretty broad qualification of the doctrine, by way of proviso, before he leaves the subject. Dr. Thacher's scepticism is rather more confirmed ; he appears to think, that all the instances on record will admit of a rational explanation, without resort to supernatural agency. We are inclined to believe, that the full power of the imagination is hardly yet realized ; it is curious to observe, how often and how readily it gets the better of the judgment. The case of animal magnetism is sufficient to show, that it can sometimes heal diseases ; and a story related by Dr. Thacher proves, that it may have equal efficacy in producing them. He tells us of a farmer in Pennsylvania, who vanquished a rattlesnake, after a fatiguing contest, and on returning home to announce his victory, seized by mistake his son's waistcoat, instead of his own more ample one ; but finding all efforts to adapt it to his person entirely vain, he at once perceived, that he must have been bitten by his foe, and that he had become distended by the virulence of the poison in the most alarming manner ; three physicians were summoned, but the presence of the whole faculty would have availed nothing, until the son made his appearance, with the garment of the patient hanging in graceful folds about him. Physicians are even at this day somewhat reluctant to appeal to the imagination for the cure of maladies,

lest they should seem to aspire to the reputation and honors of a quack ; but there is no reason to doubt its power ; nor is there any, why the most active principle of our nature should not be fully investigated and understood. President Smith of New Jersey has given us an explanation of apparitions, of a more precise and scientific character. He believes, that the motions or vibrations of the nervous system of a man in full health are undisturbed and regular, and present only just images to the mind ; but that, by disease or infirmity, they may be affected either wholly or in part, and made to present only false and fantastic ones. Perhaps this is no more than an attempt to explain the mechanical operation of the causes previously suggested. Dr. Rush resolves illusions into a disease, in which false perceptions take place in some of the senses, depending on the excitement of motion in a particular organ, which does not vibrate with the impression made upon it, but communicates it to another part on which a similar impression was formerly made. We do not pretend to be able to determine the accuracy of these solutions ; and it is quite as satisfactory to the general reader to know, that such illusions are commonly attributed to excited feeling, arising from a variety of causes, among which anxiety, over-exertion, and disease, are the most prominent ones ; though they may sometimes occur without the apparent intervention of any of these causes. These explanations have at least this advantage, whether they be satisfactory or not, that if they cannot be established by demonstration, it is just as difficult to prove them to be false ; and nothing is more annoying to the pride of philosophy, than to be unable to assign a cause for any of this world's phenomena. The case of Lord Lyttelton is one of the most striking on record. This noble profligate, as is well known, declared, that he had been warned by the apparition of his mother, that he should die within three days ; and his death took place at the expiration of the time appointed. All these various modes of solution have been repeatedly applied, with various degrees of probability, to this case ; but the more modern explanation is, that he put an end to his own life by poison ; and there was nothing in his character to induce us to believe, that he would hesitate at such an unseasonable jest ; though it is by no means impossible, that the disordered action of his nervous system, exhausted as he was by unlimited excess, might have conjured up precisely such a vision. The spectres which beset Nicolai of

Berlin, more numerous than those that assailed the much-enduring St. Anthony, are universally ascribed to disease, the same cause to which he himself attributed them; as, when he went back to the practice of blood-letting, which he had discontinued for a time, the whole train disappeared together. We find some interesting statements in the work of Dr. Thacher, of cases not very dissimilar; among others, of a worthy divine in Virginia, who was compelled by sickness to relinquish his pastoral duties, and was distressed by the consciousness, when his health was partially restored, that he had not properly availed himself of his ability to resume them. While in this state, he was warned by the apparition of an old man of imposing air and stature, to return to his labors, and to undertake the additional task of restoring the church to the model of the primitive ages. The good man would willingly have declined this apostolical mission, as somewhat beyond his abilities; but so fully convinced was he of the supernatural character of his visiter, that he did not dare to disobey his injunctions; and he applied to Dr. Thacher for advice, who, finding it impossible to convince him of his delusion, very judiciously advised him to begin his reform by preaching up those points of doctrine in regard to which there was no controversy, and to wait the further orders of the spectre; but the plan, upon which he had actually entered, was broken off by his death. One of the most singular cases of the kind, of which we have any knowledge, is taken by Dr. Thacher from the Edinburgh Journal of Science, and was communicated to Dr. Brewster by the husband of the lady, who was the subject of the illusion. Her health was considerably impaired at the time when the circumstances related by her husband took place. He says, that

'On the 30th of the same month, (December, 1829,) at about four o'clock, Mrs. B. came down stairs into the drawing-room, which she had quitted a few moments before, and on entering the room, saw me, as she supposed, standing with my back to the fire. She addressed me, asking how it was I had returned so soon (I had left the house for a walk half an hour before.) She said I looked fixedly at her with a serious and thoughtful expression of countenance, but did not speak. She supposed I was busied in thought, and sat down in an arm-chair near the fire, and within a couple of feet at most of the figure she still saw standing before her. As, however, the eyes still continued to be fixed upon her,

after a few moments, she said, "Why don't you speak?" The figure, upon this, moved off toward the window, at the farther end of the room, the eyes still gazing on her, and passed so very close to her in doing so, that she was struck by the circumstance of hearing no step nor sound, nor feeling her clothes brushed against, nor even any agitation of the air. The idea then arose for the first time in her mind, that it was no reality, but a spectral illusion.'

It was unfortunate, that the lady, in the consternation of the moment, forgot to resort to an *experimentum crucis*, which had been previously recommended by Dr. Brewster, and may be found extremely convenient to all who have occasion to inquire into the character of such unexpected guests; namely, pressing the eye, in order to produce the effect of seeing double; in which case the optical illusion, if it be such, will remain single, while duplicates will be presented of every other object. On the whole, there is no doubt, that most of these apparitions, perhaps all of which we have any account, are the mere offspring of disordered health or fancy; though it would have been hard to induce the clergyman, who was called to sound the trumpet of reform, to believe this, in opposition to the evidence of two of his most confidential senses. We are almost tempted to agree on this subject with the chaplain in Old Mortality, when he undertook to explain to Lord Evandale the apparition of Henry Morton; it was his deliberate opinion, that either the said Morton himself, or his ghost, had really appeared, or that the whole was a *deception visus*; which of the three hypotheses was the true one, it was impossible to pronounce with certainty; but he was ready to die in the belief, that one of the three was the cause of the disturbance.

We are not to confound the persuasion entertained by many, of the possibility of some mysterious communication of the death of others, with the fanciful superstitions of earlier times; with that of the Banskie, for example, so beautifully used by Moore in the melody, where he alludes to the loss of him 'of the hundred fightls,' or that of the Brownie of the Highlands, and the other spectres which presaged approaching death. This persuasion is more common than men are willing to confess; and many of the cases, by which it is supported, are familiar to the recollections of all. In connexion with this subject, the mind involuntarily turns to the instance, in which the early death of one of the brightest of the sons of genius in this city,

was revealed at the moment of its occurrence, to his venerable father, himself just sinking beneath the pressure of infirmity, at a distance from his home. We have also heard, on authority which we cannot question, another instance, in which a lady of no vulgar mind communicated to her friends her impression of the loss of a favorite daughter, from whom she had long been separated, and where the impression was justified by the event. A curious example of a similar kind, is related by Isaac Walton in his Life of Dr. Donne; and we are almost tempted to embrace the beautiful theory of the worthy old biographer, who accounts for it by supposing the existence of a sympathy of soul ; as when the string of one of two lutes in the same apartment has been touched, a soft responsive note will be echoed from the other. Of all the kinds of superstition, this, if this indeed be one, is the kind which we are most reluctant to cast away,—the idea, that there may be some communion, of which we cannot comprehend the nature, between the spirits of the dead and ours ; though it may be, that there is deception in the evidence on which these examples rest ; it may be, that in this case, as in others, our fancies assume the aspect of bright and living realities.

It would be unpardonable not to notice the ancient and universal art of divination, considering the earnestness with which men have labored to display their superstition, in attempting to penetrate the mysterious veil of the future. Their efforts have been zealous, in proportion to the difficulty of the task ; if half the perseverance which they have exhibited in this pursuit had been systematically applied to any hopeful one, there is no estimating the results to which it might have led. Even here, they seem in general to have been tolerably contented with their success ; having regularly made a point of forgetting all the false responses of the oracle, and of proclaiming with unspeakable triumph, any solitary instance of a prophecy fulfilled. The art is certainly not without pretensions on the score of antiquity ; the witch of En-dor was a fortune-teller, though her mystery was in less vogue than it afterwards became. In Rome, it was converted into the religion of the State ; it would be ludicrous, if any thing relating to the Romans can excite that feeling, to examine the machinery by which they labored to draw future events from darkness into light ; and we are inclined to apprehend, that Cato was not the only one who ridiculed it, even in that day, when heresy was as little tolerated

as it has been since. On the eve of an election, tents were pitched without the city, at which he who presided sat gravely with the augur, to observe the omens; there they watched carefully the appearance of the heavens, and the singing and flight of birds, and if the magistrate happened to hear thunder, or observe any unpropitious omen, as he well might do when his own party were not on the ground in sufficient force, the auspices were unfavorable, and the election was forthwith adjourned to another day. Even if it appeared, six months afterwards, that there was some mistake about the omens, the election was set aside. We have sometimes wished, that this practice had never been exploded. So when a ship was crowned with garlands, and the trumpet had sounded for its departure, the alighting of a swallow on the rigging, or an unhappy sneeze upon the left, outweighed all the favorable indications of the wind and tide. Drawing of lots was an authentic mode of ascertaining one's destiny. The Eternal City was overspread with gloom, when the appetite of the sacred chickens appeared less vigorous than usual; and, on the contrary, nothing could exceed the public satisfaction, when they ate as if consuming a corporation dinner. There is no end to the devices, by which the Romans endeavored to read the book of fate; most of them were abandoned as the world grew older; but there was one which descended to them from the earliest antiquity, and was preserved until a comparatively recent time. This was astrology, founded on the notion, that the star which was rising at the hour of one's birth, ever afterwards influenced, or at least foreboded his destiny; a persuasion, supposed to be derived from those who watched the stars from the plains of Chaldea. It is worthy of remark, that in Rome, as in modern Europe, the great could not condescend to travel the same path to futurity with the vulgar; the rich applied to the astrologers, who carried a mysterious ephemeris, the prototype of our modern almanac, in which the rising and setting, the conjunction, and other appearances of the stars, were set down; while the poor were fain to have recourse to the threadbare fortune-teller, of what Horace calls the deceitful *Circus Maximus*, who gave them a destiny brilliant in proportion to their ability to pay. In the sixteenth century and later, the heavenly bodies, in the same manner, were supposed to indicate the fortunes of the great, as well as the vicissitudes of nations; while the inspection of the face or

hand was sufficient to prognosticate the destiny of the poor. This most singular of fancies, that the movement of worlds was regulated with a view to the destiny of an inhabitant of one of the smallest of the whole, pervaded the loftiest intellects of the time ; even the miraculous discernment of Bacon was not insensible to its influence ; and Scott has given some striking illustrations in *Kenilworth* and *Quentin Durward*, of its power over nobles and kings. Every one remembers the story of Napoleon pointing to the sun of Austerlitz, and watching, from the forsaken halls of the Kremlin, his waning star, which soon went down in blood. The astrologer was once invested with every honor, which gratified pride and ostentation could bestow ; his predictions were generally as mysterious, as the response of the Delphic oracle to Pyrrhus ; but woe to the prophet, when the horoscope proved false. Mr. Partridge is the last of these worthies on record ; he fell on evil days, when the artillery of the Royal Society had battered down all the high pretensions of his art ; and his most unlucky star was in the ascendant, when he encountered the fatal ridicule of Swift. The vulgar mystery of fortune-telling has escaped the same fate with astrology, because it wisely avoided the attempt to soar so high ; it has been kept in tolerable preservation by the gypsies ; and there is scarcely a village so poor, as to be without its wise woman, who reads one's fortune in the lines of his hand, or the grounds of an exhausted tea-cup. Mr. Upham gives us an interesting account of a venerable lady by the name of Pitcher, who enjoyed an enviable reputation in this neighbourhood, some twenty years ago. Her power, it seems, was inherited ; and her dwelling, situated in a wild and romantic spot in Lynn, near the borders of the sea, was resorted to by many a curious pilgrim. Her skill was most signal, in ascertaining the deposit of stolen goods ; a branch of the arts, which is somewhat liable to mis-interpretation ; but a story is related of her by Mr. Whitman, in his Lecture on Popular Superstition, which indicates that her power in discovering lost persons was rather more questionable. He assures us, that application was made to this lady, respecting a person who had suddenly disappeared ; she without hesitation pronounced him murdered, and charged an unlucky family of negroes with the crime, who were immediately arrested and imprisoned ; but were at length discharged by the unimpeachable testimony afforded by the re-appearance of the lost personage himself.

There are various other branches of the subject, to which we would willingly turn our attention ; particularly, the thousand omens which have descended from early times to ours, and the beautiful vision of the fairies, which perished some centuries ago. But we must hasten to a close.

It has already been observed, that much of the superstition in the world springs from that conviction of the immortal nature of the spirit within us, which is found among the rudest tribes, as well as the most refined communities. The strength of this conviction certainly varies in proportion to the degree of refinement ; as there are instances, in which humanity is degraded to the level of irrational existence, and no one requires to be shown the effect of advancement in knowledge, in dispelling the phantoms of ignorance, or presenting them in their real form and proportions ; but it is the cause, to which we owe the boasted dignity of our nature. It is striking to witness the efforts of the mind, even when sunk in debasement, or crushed beneath the accumulated weight of error, to escape from its darkness and cast away its chains, speculating where it cannot reason, and pressing onward, in obedience to the intimations of the active principle within, even when it wanders in an obscure and doubtful way. If it dimly suggest the possibility of another state of being to the least enlightened of our race, how does the soul burn to penetrate the shadows, which hide it from the view ! If it speak of superior intelligences, exempted from the stern law of decay and dissolution, how do we labor to invest them with reality ! Thus the wildest notions eventually spring from a high and honorable principle, which only requires a guide to conduct it in the proper paths. Its activity is beyond its strength, until wisdom and knowledge have enlightened and confirmed it ; it loses its way, because it has too much confidence in itself ; it relies on its own power to discover hidden things, as well as to explain those which are seen ; believing, that the faculty which can catch a faint glimpse of distant objects, can bring them fully to the view. All this is well, so long as the right end is pursued by the right means ; but the difficulty is, that the intellect is apt to bewilder itself with speculation, and to become filled with wild and unreasonable fancies ; as shadows float before the eye, which is wearied by being long fixed on some object too remote. Such was the radical error of ancient philosophy, if a vast edifice of theory erected on a slender basis of fact, be fairly entitled to

the name ; a breath was sufficient to overturn the whole ; it formed hypotheses, instead of ascertaining facts ; it undertook to explain phenomena, rather than to observe them ; and in this way, instead of leading men to the knowledge of the real nature of things, it led them in the very opposite direction. Nor was it wonderful, when philosophy itself became the fertile source of error, that the minds of those, who were not philosophers, should have been misled by the example. It was reserved for the most commanding intellect of modern times, who has won the highest honors that can crown an earthly name, to reveal the true divining rod, the unfailing oracle, comprehended in the simple terms, experiment and observation ; to teach the only just reply to the hitherto unanswered question, What is truth ?

This error of the ancient world was not, however, an unnatural one ; it was merely the result of the principle to which we have alluded, misled by the eagerness of its just and laudable efforts ; and there are instances enough, of the operation of the same causes in later times. Whenever any event is presented to the mind, its first step is to inquire the cause, and this is generally found in some other event immediately preceding in the order of time. A curious instance of this occurred no longer ago than the last century. The fish, on which many of the inhabitants of Norway depended for subsistence, suddenly vanished from their coasts ; the practice of inoculation for the small-pox had just then been introduced, and was instantly fixed upon as the cause of the calamity ; and, as the people considered the risk of that disorder a trifle in comparison with starvation, nothing could exceed their righteous indignation against all who undertook to cure them. Sometimes, where no event of sufficient importance has occurred in connexion with one which excites deep interest, the imagination lends its aid in furnishing a cause ; witness the plague of Athens, which was attributed to the poisoning of the fountains, a delusion precisely similar to that prevailing at this day in Hungary in regard to the disease, which is traversing the whole breadth of the Eastern hemisphere, like a destroying angel. Most commonly, however, the popular fancy inclines to neither of these modes of explanation, but resorts to that favorite acknowledgement of ignorance, a supernatural cause ; thus, prayers and processions, two centuries ago, were considered the sovereign specific for the plague. The voice of some

malignant demon is uttered in the thunder, and the fierce conflicts of contending spirits are visible in the northern light; while the aspect of some benignant deity is revealed in the tranquil beauty of ocean, earth and sky. The world becomes a divided empire;—a battle-field for two great principles, of equal, but opposing power. A fearful barrier, indeed, it is, which these fears and speculations, ripened into prejudices, oppose to the progress of intellectual light. From their very nature, they become incorporated with religion, and debase it; even Christianity, pure, elevated, and powerful as it is, either bows before them, or is darkened by their influence. In Athens, they who undertake to explain the causes of lightning and thunder, become the enemies of the gods; Galileo is condemned in Rome, to one hundred and fifty repetitions of the seven penitential psalms. These prejudices, thus confirmed, pervert the natural tendencies of the mind, and convert ignorance into delusion; at first they are the offspring of error, then they become its cause, by the penalties which they denounce against all who deny them. Zoroaster was converted by them into a magician, Roger Bacon into an enemy of religion, and De Villa Nova was hurried to the stake. Is it wonderful, that the fetters of error should be so slow to fall, when such a price is to be paid for truth? Such are some of the causes of superstition; but there is another to which we have not yet adverted. It is the abuse of superior knowledge for the purposes of imposition. We cannot better explain this, than by using the illustration which Mr. Upham has afforded us.

'Let us suppose, for instance, that some person belonging to an ignorant and superstitious tribe, had received information through the channel of secret tradition, or had ascertained by a lucky conjecture, or by profound reflection and calculation, the very minute, or hour, or day, within which a solar eclipse would take place. How easy would it be for that person to induce the whole community to believe him to be in secret connexion with the higher powers, and to enjoy the confidential intercourse of the deities who rule the world! Let us suppose, that he gives out word in an oracular and mysterious manner, that in consequence of the unwillingness of the people to receive law from him as from their rightful sovereign, or their true prophet and priest, his friends and protectors, the higher powers, would, at a specified time, withdraw the light of the sun and leave them to dwell in a world of eternal night, or dissolve the system of the uni-

verse. His threat might not at first be regarded ; perhaps it would be laughed to scorn. Many, however, would be in suspense, and wait in anxious doubt the approach of the day that was to determine its truth. On that day the sun would rise as bright, perhaps brighter than usual, it would mount through a clear sky with an undiminished and glowing radiance up toward the highest heaven. The whole people would watch its course with solicitous attention, and as it moved on steadily and triumphantly in its accustomed path, the belief that the prediction was about to prove false would gain strength in their minds. The pretended prophet would pass round them with a calm complacency of demeanor that indicated entire confidence, and a feeling of satisfaction in the doom that was about to fall on those who had denied his authority, derided his pretensions, and scorned his power.

' Soon, however, a change would begin to be discerned in the atmosphere, the gazers would exclaim, that the sun was dissolving, that its disk was breaking, and its whole face disappearing from the heavens. The terror and awe of the people would spread and deepen in every direction. The sun's rays would fall feeble and dim upon the earth ; a tint of mingled blue and yellow would be spread over the fading, sickening, dying world ; the darkness of a night, even more awful than that which closed the primal day of the first parents of the human family, would gradually settle upon the earth, and the stars would begin to beam in the sunless sky !

' The whole population, confounded, terrified, and driven to distraction, would rush in penitence and despair to the presence of their prophet ; they would supplicate his forgiveness for their rebellious disregard of his authority and warnings, and would promise to honor, serve, revere, and obey him forever, if he would use his influence with the higher powers to procure their pardon, and to stay the progress of the dissolution and ruin that were coming upon the world. The dignified and apparently displeased impostor, after much affected reluctance, listens to the request, mutters forth some unintelligible sounds, goes through a solemn ceremony, looks up on high, waves his hand, and promises, upon the condition that they will acknowledge the divinity of his mission and yield entire obedience to him, that the sun shall be restored in the heavens. The grateful multitude await the fulfilment of the promise ; and soon their eyes behold the glorious luminary re-appearing, the light of day is again diffused abroad, and the world goes on in its usual course. In this manner, was the confidence of the people secured and abused.'

Whenever we enumerate the causes of superstition, we suggest the remedy. It is almost superfluous to remark, that

it lies in the progress of reason and knowledge. The advancement of physical science destroys the thousand errors and delusions, which spring from ignorance of physical causes ; since the days of Franklin, the veriest simplicity is in no danger of mistaking lightning for the exhibition of a malignant demon's influence ; and a child may laugh at the grave pretensions of astrology. In the same proportion as this knowledge is diffused, is intellectual and moral science aided in its progress ; each in its measure, promotes and cherishes the other ; each and all carry on the great work of improvement, urging us onward to the attainment of the end of all true science, the elevation, if we may not say the perfection, of our nature. We conclude, with borrowing on this point the intelligent remarks of Dr. Thacher.

' The present is an era, pre-eminently distinguished for improvement in physical and moral philosophy ; and forgetting the things that are behind, we are pressing forward in the race with rapid strides to the melioration of the condition of the physical and moral world. Had the stupendous works performed, and those contemplated at the present day, been predicted to our fathers in the seventeenth century, they would have trembled with alarm, lest their posterity were destined to form a league with the infernal powers. The paralyzing idea, that the present state of knowledge is as perfect as our nature will admit, should be utterly reprobated ; for knowledge is eternally progressive ; and we can have no claim to be estimated as the benefactors of posterity, unless by our own efforts and toils we add to the achievements of our ancestors.'

---

#### ART. X.—*Effects of Machinery.*

*The Working Man's Companion, No. 1. The Results of Machinery, being an Address to the Working Men of the United Kingdom.* pp. 216. American Edition. Philadelphia. 1831.

This little book was published under the superintendence of the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge. Its object is to convince the working men of the United Kingdom, of the folly and wickedness of attempting to arrest the progress of improvement, by the destruction of machinery. It is written in a plain, unadorned style, but it is replete with valuable facts,

and strong and persuasive reasoning. We commend the book to all croakers,—to all praisers of the past and revilers of the present time. We ask a careful perusal of it, of those venerable grand-mothers who see misery and ruin close at hand, because the sound of the spinning-wheel and the loom is no longer heard in all our farm-houses.

In the present article, we shall attempt an independent and somewhat enlarged discussion of the principal questions, presented in this work. We shall not confine our attention to ‘cheap production and increased employment,’ alone; but shall endeavor to trace the influence of machinery farther, in its effects on society. The question is, is this influence,—confessedly, and beyond calculation, vast,—good or evil? This has been said to be ‘a far more difficult and complex question, than any that political economists have yet engaged with.’ Its importance and interest are certainly not exceeded by its difficulty and complexity. The first arise from the intimate connexion of the influence of machinery with every other influence, that affects the social condition of man, and the last are shared by it in common with every other question, relating to matters yet imperfectly understood. It is embarrassed by being complicated with a number of considerations, not necessarily belonging to it, and because it requires, in those who would resolve it, a larger amount of contemporary information, than is generally, or can be easily, acquired.

We look upon the knowledge of the present circumstances of society, of the transactions of our own age and country, of modern science and modern art, as more important than any other. Yet it is precisely the sort of knowledge, of which, until very recently, we have had least. We would not be understood to undervalue any species of knowledge. Every kind of information is precious. We would only say, that that which instructs us, where we are, what we are, and how we are, has peculiar value. It is true, that to know the present, we must be, in some degree, acquainted with the past. To understand the result, we must have knowledge of the cause. To foresee consequences, it is necessary to know how consequences have been heretofore produced. What we complain of is, not that we know too much of what has been, but that we do not know enough of what is;—not that we are too familiar with the past, but that we are not familiar enough with the present. And we would go so far as to say, that, if any

part of knowledge were to be given up, it would be better to let alone the study of what happened before we were born, and the conjecture of what is to happen after we are dead, and confine our view within the horizon of our present existence. It was demanded of the Spartan king, ‘what study is fittest for the boy?’ His answer was, ‘that of the science most useful to the man.’ Utility measures the value of knowledge, as of every thing else; and surely, on the scale of utility, the knowledge of what is all around us, affecting us, physically, intellectually, and morally, in countless ways, ranks far higher than the knowledge of the circumstances of preceding generations.

It has not been the fact, however, that men have applied themselves to the study of their own times, with as much earnestness, as to the investigation of the records of the past. It has always been extremely difficult to obtain contemporary information of events. Intelligence has been transmitted from point to point very slowly. And when it has finally reached its destination, it has come in so questionable a shape, that its authenticity could by no means be relied on. The consequence has been, that men of learning and study have turned away from so unpromising a field of research. Almost all writers, except those whose business was politics, have occupied themselves in other tasks. It was a natural consequence, that science became speculative rather than practical. The object of study was rather to gratify the instinctive desire of knowledge, than to strike out a light to guide the conduct, or to discover the means of improving the condition of man. And thus men, instead of believing that they were intrusted by Providence with the care of their own fates, have been accustomed to think of themselves, as embarked, without a rudder, without a sail, without an oar, upon the stream of destiny, hurried on, they know not how, and destined to arrive, they know not whither.

But there is a better philosophy than this,—a philosophy that attributes more to men and less to circumstance. It teaches that knowledge is for use, and not for ostentation. It teaches that the great events, which crowd the historian’s page, are beacons kindled by those who have gone before us, illuminating the scene of present things, and dispelling, partially at least, the shadows, clouds, and darkness, that over-hang the future. Intelligence of recent events is now communicated with a degree of certainty and rapidity, utterly unknown hitherto. The best intellects are employed in the observation of the passing

pageant of existence. The importance of each occurrence is immediately ascertained; its proper place in the system of events is fixed, and the fact, with the reasoning that links it to the past and the future, is communicated to the public, through the periodical press. It is true that the fact is yet frequently mis-stated, and the reasoning about it often erroneous; but, on the whole, truth greatly prevails; and the present age is doubtless better acquainted with itself, than any which have preceded it.

Still, this acquaintance, this self-knowledge, an attainment, by the way, quite as important to nations as to individuals, is extremely imperfect and superficial. A reflecting man who looks around him, upon the countless agencies, operating with different degrees of energy, for good or for evil, upon the condition and character of men, wherever man exists, cannot help feeling how little is known of thihs as they are. What we hear about the age in which we live, is quite too vague and general, to satisfy a rational curiosity. We hear it called the age of improvement, the enlightened age, the age of practical benevolence. But we want a deeper and more extensive knowledge, than these epithets convey. We want something more, than a mere map of the surface of society. We want a deep, intimate, pervading knowledge of the circumstances of man's actual condition, and of the influences, whether friendly or adverse, which are acting on his character. Men are divided now into a far greater number of classes, than they have ever before been. We want to know why this is so. All the classes stand much more nearly on the same level, than formerly. Rulers are no longer more, and the ruled are no longer less, than men. The divisions now are not so much of high from low, as of equals from equals. This is a glorious change for the better. We want to understand its nature, cause and extent. But this understanding we have not yet fully attained. We are yet very far from having attained it. And it is this imperfection of our knowledge, rather than any inseparable obscurity belonging to them, that darkens so many questions of deep and vital importance. It is this that makes it so difficult, to point to the cause and effect of a contemporary event, and to decide upon the complexion and tendency of existing circumstances.

Of the questions, relating to the present interests and deeply affecting the present happiness of society, not one, probably,

gathers into itself a greater consequence, and certainly, not one ought to excite a livelier concern, than that which we now propose to discuss. This subject is intimately connected with the great topic of human progress. The experiment of machinery has multiplied relations to the condition and prospects of our race. It is a new and almost infinite power, brought to bear on the action of the social system. And, in proportion as it would be consoling and delightful to have reason to believe, that, under the influence of these new impulses, society is advancing and will continue to advance, with swift and constantly accelerating progress, towards the ultimate limits of human improvement; so would it be mortifying, and beyond expression painful, to be driven to acquiesce in the gloomy doctrine, which represents all these new and powerful agents as only working out for man, deeper and deeper wretchedness and degradation.

This question may be more advantageously discussed in our country, than in any other. The experiment of machinery may have a fairer trial here, than elsewhere. The natural course of industry is not obstructed here, in any great degree, by unwise legislation. The profits of labor are secured to the laborer. The burthens of taxation are light. The highest motives to exertion operate upon every man in the community. In short, a nearer approach has been made here, than any where else, to a government that protects all, and injures none; that leaves every one at full liberty to benefit himself, so far as it can be done without injury to others; that takes off every weight and fetter from individual energy, while it restrains all hurtful excesses, and restrains them rather by the fear of public opinion, than the fear of punishment. In such a state of society, every new impulse given to the public mind,—every new agent introduced to further the operations of labor,—exhibits at once its real character and tendency. In such a state of society, the moral action of machinery is not liable to what natural philosophers call the influence of disturbing forces. It operates without restraint, and produces its appropriate effects. It is not complicated with other influences. It has a simple unmodified action of its own, unaffected by the movements around it. Here, therefore, we may ascertain, with comparative facility, what this action is, and what are likely to be its results.

Some of our readers may be surprised, that so much import-

ance and difficulty should be ascribed to this question. They live in the midst of machinery. They see machinery at work on every side, abridging the processes of labor, and making the difficult easy. They are accustomed to regard this subjection of the powers of nature to the will and direction of man, as a splendid triumph of the intellect, and as altogether and unquestionably beneficial in all its tendencies. It is natural, therefore, that they should be astonished when it is made a question. It seems to them quite too plain a matter to admit of argument. But let these persons look abroad. There they will find men, and men too held in high repute for wisdom and honesty, who think and say, that, to those who depend upon their daily labor for their daily bread, or, in other words, to four fifths of almost every nation on the globe, the introduction of labor-saving machinery is a grievous curse. These men will bid them look for a commentary on the influence of machinery, to the condition of the English laborers. They will bid them to ask the half-clothed and half-fed workman, what is his opinion on this subject? They will say to them, 'Inquire of those distracted parents, why they deny food to their famishing offspring? Demand of the whole body of the working classes, what is the cause of this deep, wide-spread distress, which pervades the land like a pestilence, carrying dread to every bosom? Why is Government alarmed? Why is the Church directed to offer up supplications to Heaven, to avert from England the horrible calamities of intestine discord and war? A glance at the condition of the country will answer these questions. There are multitudes of workmen, who either have no employment at all, or labor for wages altogether inadequate to the necessities of existence. This want of employment, and these low wages, are occasioned by the introduction of machinery. The laborers have, of course, become uneasy and discontented. Their irrepressible discontent has at length broken out into open violence. They begin to destroy the machinery. The sure instinct of revenge directs them to the cause of their sufferings. But they do not stop here. They attack the property of their employers, or rather, as they think, their tyrants. Those conflagrations, converting midnight darkness into unnatural day, are their work. There is reason that Government should be alarmed. They are alarmed. They have made strong efforts to arrest the progress of disaffection. The iron arm of power has been stretched out to

punish the excesses of these wretched men. Nothing is pardoned to ignorance. Nothing is forgiven to misery. Many have been sentenced to transportation,—many to imprisonment,—many to death. Yet all this avails nothing. Disaffection and disquiet still spread and strengthen. No man is able to foresee what will be the end of these things. This, these honest and intelligent persons will say, ‘is in truth a terrible picture of present and impending calamity. But it is only a faint shadow of the real state of things. And if the vengeance of those unfortunate men be not mis-directed,—if, as we believe, machinery be the fruitful mother of all these woes, then, surely, its introduction into such general use cannot be too earnestly deplored.’

It requires no effort of the imagination to suppose this to be the present language of that numerous and highly respectable class of men, who think that the influence of machinery on society is evil and pernicious. There is, however, another class, equally numerous and respectable, who hold the contrary opinion. These persons ascribe the distress, that afflicts the laboring classes of England, and some other portions of Europe, to other and more deep-lying causes, than the introduction of machinery. ‘The real springs of all these evils are to be sought for,’ they say, ‘in vicious political institutions, in unequal laws, and grinding taxation. These are the true fountains, which send forth poisonous and bitter waters. Machinery multiplies the comforts and conveniences of life. Is this an evil? Machinery lightens the burthens of labor. Is relief from the necessity of hard work, a grievance to the laboring classes? No doubt, like every other great power, machinery may be converted into an instrument of great oppression. But it is not such naturally. In itself it has been always, and, under well regulated Governments, it always will be a source of great good,—of good almost unmixed. The evils necessarily incident to its introduction, are slight, partial, and transient. They reach only the surface of society, affect but small portions of the community, and speedily pass away. The benefits arising from the same source, are substantial, universal, permanent. They are seen every where, felt every where, and must abide forever.’

Such is the conflict of opinions on this subject. Where there is so much disagreement, it becomes him, who would share in the discussion, to advance his sentiments with diffidence. We

do not dogmatize. We assume the attitude of inquirers, rather than of teachers. We shall be satisfied, though none should be convinced by our labors, if we induce any to examine the subject for themselves,—a subject, which it is important that every man in our community should thoroughly understand. It has not been much discussed, particularly in this country. The general sentiment is decidedly, so far as we have been able to ascertain it, in favor of machinery. A few apostles of the opposite doctrine have arisen here and there; but their converts have not been numerous. Recently, we have observed in some quarters, a disposition to make machinery bear the sins of the tariff; to establish the fact of a partnership between the two, and to make the former responsible for all the faults, real or imaginary, of the latter. We apprehend, that it would not be difficult to demonstrate the absurdity of this notion. We cannot, however, spare more space than is required for the simple statement, that it is groundless. And now, we shall let alone the opinions of others, and proceed to put our readers in possession of our own views on this important subject.

In the earliest ages of society, machinery was unknown. Man was created in a climate where the earth yielded bountifully at all seasons of the year, her productions for his use. Then, his only labor was to gather from Nature's abundant store, the supply of his present want. Afterwards, he began to cultivate the soil, and then, probably, some simple instrument of culture was invented. At a still later period, his Creator invested him with dominion over the life of living creatures; and, to enable himself to exercise this new authority, he invented, also, rude instruments of hunting and fishing. These are all arts of absolute necessity. Without them, man could not exist, except in the mildest climates, and there only in small numbers. Beyond these arts, a large proportion of the human race have made no great advances. The only additional skill, yet attained by many tribes of the human family,—the skill to make rude clothing and to build rude huts to protect them from the inclemency of the weather,—has been taught them by stern necessity. In their circumstances, each individual of the society must labor for his own subsistence, and all hope of intellectual or moral improvement seems entirely cut off.

From time to time, however, in different parts of the world, there have been communities, which have risen far above this condition. Assyria, Persia, Egypt, Greece and Rome felt,

by turns, the genial influence of improvement. And it is worthy of remark, that, wherever, over the whole earth, the light of civilization has once dawned, some rays of that light linger yet,—the utter darkness of absolute barbarism has never returned. It cannot wholly return. The law of man's nature, impressed on him by his God, is onward progress; and let a nation but once rise into the light of civilization, and then, however low adverse circumstances may afterwards thrust them down, they will never sink into utter night, nor will they ever cease to strive to re-ascend to day. It is also worthy of remark, as illustrative of this law, that these nations made different degrees of progress, and that each advanced farther in improvement than the preceding. The light shone faintest, where it first dawned, on Assyria,—its brightest effulgence illuminated Rome. It was a progressive illumination,—faint and hardly perceptible at first,—then gradually receiving greater and greater accessions of splendor. Now, in our day, it has flashed out into a broad, bright, and glorious effulgence, encompassing and illuminating more than half the globe. But of this hereafter. Our present business is with the cause of all this. Civilization never takes place without the accumulation of the material products of labor. Different causes may produce this accumulation. The hand of violence may gather the spoils of rapine, and manual labor or mechanical contrivance may heap up the store of industry. The two first of these causes, but more particularly the first, procured an abundance of the necessaries and luxuries of life to the states of antiquity; and were, therefore, the principal agents in the work of civilizing those states. Mechanical contrivance exerted a similar, but, at first, almost imperceptible influence, increasing the stores of wealth, and thus helping forward the progress of civilization. The experiment of machinery, however, as a substitute for human labor, employed in producing and increasing the comforts of life, was never tried on a great scale by the nations of antiquity. That was reserved to be the distinction of modern times. The glory of compelling the powers of Nature into the service of man, was destined to grace our own age. And, as the spoils of these bloodless victories have been far greater than ancient conquest ever gained,—as the accumulation of wealth, by the new agents that have been employed in the task, has been far more rapid than was ever known in former times, through the instrumen-

tality of any agent whatever, so civilization has, in these latter days, spread far more widely, and penetrated much more deeply, than ever before; reaching, not one nation only, but many, and bestowing its invaluable benefits, not upon a favored portion merely, but upon the whole of society. We would not say, that machinery has been the only efficient agent of modern civilization. We do not so believe. There have been moral agents at work. They have effected much; but without the aid of machinery, they could not have effected much. What we claim for machinery is, that it is in modern times by far the most efficient physical cause of human improvement; that it does for civilization, what conquest and human labor formerly did, and accomplishes incalculably more than they accomplished. And how different are the characters of these three agents! War, the direst curse of humanity, must necessarily precede conquest; and the structure of civilization, reared by this agent, rises upon the spoil, the desolation, and the anguish of the vanquished. Human labor, when urged to excessive efforts, must necessarily, to a considerable extent, prevent intellectual and moral improvement. But machinery, doing the work, without feeling the wants of man; taking from none, yet giving to all, produces almost unmixed benefit, to an amount and extent, of which we have as yet, probably, but a very faint conception.

There are several objections to this general view of the effects of machinery, which we shall now examine. The first and principal one is, that all labor-saving inventions diminish the demand for human industry, and, consequently, deprive multitudes of laborers of employment. We meet this objection by denying the fact. It is not true, that the demand for human industry is diminished. It is not true, that multitudes of laborers are absolutely deprived of employment. It is true, however, that many laborers are sometimes compelled to change their employment, by the introduction of new and improved machinery into a branch of industry, where a great deal of human labor had been previously required. And it is true, that sometimes, while this change is in progress, a great deal of suffering is experienced. All this we shall attempt to explain.

The earth is the great primary source of the supply of human wants. It is the great laboratory, where the dust we tread upon is converted into life-sustaining nutriment. Whatever we eat, or drink, or wear, comes originally from her bosom.

In the earliest stages of society, as has been already said, men consume her productions in their simple state. The springs supply them with water to drink. They eat the fruits of the field, and clothe themselves with leaves and skins. In this savage state, each one supplies his own wants, and it takes all his time to do it. But, after a while, some one more lazy or more ingenious than the rest, discovers some method of lightening his individual labor. Then others imitate him ;—and, in time, machines are invented, that seem likely to supersede the necessity of human labor altogether. This would, in fact, be the result, if, in this condition of things, men should consume no more of the products of industry than before ; and, of course, a multitude who had been actively employed would be employed no longer. But such is not the fact. The cravings of desire are never satisfied. Extend the supply as you may, the wish for the enjoyments of life will still go beyond it, and will find its only limit in the means of gratification. The only effect, therefore, of increasing the productive energies of labor, by the introduction of machinery, is to distribute it into more numerous departments. A few years ago, those, who roamed through the regions in which we now dwell, exercised, all of them, the same employments. Each one performed his own labor. No one was, in any great degree, dependent on another. How different is the condition of things now ! Hardly an individual, of the millions congregated here, produces, himself, the hundredth part of what is required for his own subsistence. The departments of industry are multiplied. The laborers in each are under a tacit obligation to contribute their proportion to the great fund of human subsistence and enjoyment. Each one works for all the rest, and all the rest work for him. In the savage state, all were hunters and fishers ; now, some cultivate the ground, some construct machines, some make clothing, some build houses, some make laws, and some preach sermons. Each fills his appropriate place, the amount and the products of human industry are incalculably increased, and the action of the great social system goes on safely and harmoniously.

The effect of machinery upon labor may be illustrated by an example. We will take the printing-press. It is difficult to conceive what was the condition of society, when there was no printing. We can almost as easily imagine the condition of

the world, when there was no light. Yet we know that there was such a time. Then the copyist performed the printer's work. Books were published by copying them out with a pen. A considerable number of persons were employed in this business, and a considerable number more found employment in the preparation of the materials for copying. Books published in this way were, of course, very expensive. The whole annual income of a man in moderate circumstances would hardly buy a Bible. None but princes and very rich men could afford to purchase libraries. Hence, the demand for books was extremely limited, and the number of persons employed in furnishing them, must have been regulated by the state of the demand.

When the printing-press was introduced, an extensive change took place. Books were multiplied. The price fell. Readers became more numerous. The demand for information became more urgent. Knowledge began to diffuse her healing beams every where, and an impulse was given to society, that has ever since continued to grow in energy and power. But this is not the result,—though an important and a glorious one,—that claims our consideration now. What we would now press upon the attention of our readers, is the effect of this machinery upon human industry. Is there more or less of human labor employed in furnishing books, since the press has lent its mighty aid to the work, than before? Where was then one author, there are now, at least, one hundred. It has been calculated that in Germany, one out of every hundred of the whole population, is an author. Instead of a few hundred copyists, and a few hundred manufacturers of materials for copying, there are thousands and tens of thousands of persons, who obtain a living by making types, presses, and paper, by printing books, by binding them when they are printed, and by selling them when they are bound. It is no exaggeration to say, that this business employs many hundred times as much human labor, as it did before the printing-press was invented.

In this instance, then, the demand for human industry has not ceased nor diminished, but is greatly augmented in consequence of the introduction of machinery. Nor does it seem to be possible that any other effect than this can be produced, until every department of industry,—when industry shall have subdivided itself into the greatest possible number of de-

partments, whether moral, intellectual, or physical,—shall be overstocked, and every want of man more than supplied. This can happen only when man shall cease to improve ;—a period, to which no philanthropist would wish to look forward.

But if this be so, some may urge, why is it that almost every where, when machinery has been introduced on a large scale, the working classes have uniformly evinced dissatisfaction and hostility ? Why did they destroy the spinning-jennies in Normandy ? Why are they now destroying the threshing machines in England ? Why did the printers of Paris, after the recent revolution, go about destroying the steam-presses ? Why did they petition the Legislature, that their use might be prohibited by law ? The obvious answer to all questions of this sort is, that the working classes, especially of Europe, are not apt to distinguish between present inconvenience and permanent evil. They are not very far-sighted. They do see and feel the drenching and pelting storm ; but they do not see, even in remote anticipation, the renovated beauty of nature, when the storm has gone by. No well-informed person ever denied, that the introduction of machinery may occasion temporary inconvenience. If a man, who has been accustomed to employ twenty workmen, procure a machine, which, with the aid of one, will do the work of the twenty, nineteen, of course, must be deprived of employment. When this takes place on a small scale, the inconvenience is not great. The little labor that is turned out of its accustomed channels, is almost immediately absorbed by other employments. Every trace and vestige of evil at once disappears. When, however, the experiment is made on a grander scale,—when a great number of machines, superseding the necessity of a vast quantity of human labor, are suddenly brought into use, the consequences are more serious. A multitude of laborers are, at once, thrown out of work. They find it difficult to obtain other employment, and in fact are, in some measure, unfitted for it by their previous occupations. They have no resources but their labor. Their daily wages supply their daily sustenance. Want of work instantly reduces them to beggary ; and, sometimes, under these circumstances, their distress is great. The same effect is produced by over-production. A farmer may grow as much in one year, as he can dispose of in two. A manufacturer may, in like manner, have a superabundant stock of goods on his hands. Neither will be likely

to go on producing at the same rate as before. Some of their workmen must be discharged ; and then the same consequences follow, as upon the introduction of labor-saving machines. These consequences will be imperceptible, if the over-production be slight and partial ; but if it be great and general, they will be plainly seen and deeply felt. Sometimes both the causes we have mentioned concur. Machinery substitutes bodies of iron, with souls of steam, to do the work of living men ; and the prospect of immense gain stimulates production to such an excess, that the markets of the whole world are glutted. This was the case with the cotton manufacture in 1825. Almost the whole of the machinery employed in this manufacture, has been invented within the last fifty years. When it had been introduced into general use, the same effects upon human labor followed, as in the case of the printing-press. The price of cotton fabrics was reduced. The demand for consumption increased, and the supply was extended to meet the demand. Some, who had been accustomed to spin and weave at home, lost their work ; but a greater number found employment in the factories. On the whole, the number of persons employed in this business, instead of diminishing, considerably increased. The inventions of 1816 had a great effect upon this state of things. Among other improvements, the power-loom was introduced. Requiring only the superintendence of a single individual, it performed the labor of numbers. Then, to use the language of an English writer, ‘on every hand the living artisan was driven from his work-shop, to make room for a speedier inanimate one. The shuttle dropped from the fingers of the weaver, and fell into iron fingers, that could ply it faster.’ These improvements in machinery, of course, occasioned a good deal of inconvenience and distress among the workmen ; and before these evils could be wholly cured by the natural operation of the causes which produced them, a heavier calamity was to happen. The manufacture, aided by the new inventions, went on with unabated,—with increased activity. The disproportion between the cost of production and the price of the manufactured article, gave immense profits. Millions were added to the millions already invested in the business. The production of the raw material kept pace with the extension of the manufacture. At length, the supply far exceeded the demand. The warehouses of the

world were filled. Prices suddenly fell to half of what they had been before. Multitudes were ruined. Thousands of families were reduced to beggary. Some manufacturers discharged a part of their workmen, while they retained the rest at reduced wages. Many ceased to struggle with the adverse torrent, and discharged all their hands, and shut up their factories. The distress that ensued may, perhaps, be imagined. We are not competent to describe it. To aid the conception of our readers, however, we will quote the language of one, who was an eye-witness of a scene of distress, occasioned by a similar, but slighter cause.

'Within a small distance of my house,' says this person, 'is a large manufactory, the machinery of which extends nearly half a mile. I passed by it one morning, after its operations were suspended, and was exceedingly affected by the sight. A little while before, it was all animation and industry, affording honorable means of livelihood to many thousands of my fellow-creatures. The silence that now pervaded it spoke more eloquently and impressively to my heart, than any language could possibly do ; it was the silence of unmixed desolation. I visited a row of houses occupied by the workmen. The doors were used to be open, inviting the eye of the stranger to glance, as he went along, at their neatness, cleanliness, and felicity. Little groups of healthful children were accustomed to appear about the cottages, full of merriment and joy ; and the inhabitants, strong and healthy, saluted you as they went by. But the scene was lamentably changed. The cottages were closed. The inhabitants could not bear to have it known that they were stripped of their little ornaments. No children played about the doors. The very plants that were trained up in their windows, had pined and died. One man only appeared, emaciated and ghastly, a frightful spectre, as if the sepulchre had sent forth its inhabitants, to fill with terror the abodes of the living.'

It is not at all wonderful, that distress so sore as this should drive men to do what afterwards they are sorry for. Extreme misery impairs the moral sense. The distinctions of right and wrong are apt to be obscured and lost sight of, in the tumult and tempest of passion. Resentment is almost always blind. Its violence generally expends itself on the apparent cause of injury ; while it seldom reaches the real cause. It so happened in this case. The laboring classes cried out against machinery, and some statesmen, too, joined in the cry, when the principal source,—by far the most fruitful source,—of calamity,

was an imprudent and excessive production, stimulated by high prices. Even this, however, is but a transitory evil. The bright and cheering beams of prosperity are intercepted only by a temporary eclipse. They are not quenched. They are not extinguished. When production ceases to be profitable, a part of the industry employed in it will be withdrawn. When other employment is found for it, the distress will vanish. And this will take place in a longer or shorter time, according to the circumstances of the nation, and the amount of labor thrown out of employment. In our country, neither the introduction of machinery, nor over-production, can occasion any extensive or permanent evil. The demand for labor is so urgent, that no man need be long out of work. Whenever the current of industry receives a check in one direction, the overflowing waters will immediately find an outlet in another. If machinery bear a part in occasioning distress, it also helps to remove it. If over-production do not irritate the wound, it will soon heal. The man who employs a machine, produces as much as he who employs living workmen. If there be a difference of expense in favor of the machinery, the former will make larger profits than the latter. He will grow rich faster. But he will not put his riches into a strong box. He will surround himself with additional comforts. He will employ a school-master. He will patronize the printer. He will travel and become better acquainted with his race. And thus, while he makes himself a far more useful and valuable member of society than before, he gives employment, in one way and another, to quite as much human industry, as his machine deprived of employment. Thus, from the same cause that produced partial evil, flows also universal good. The amount of productive industry of every sort is, in the end, vastly increased. It has been estimated, that the people of the United States and Great Britain, aided by the improved machinery of the present day, do as much work as could be done by the whole population of the earth, without that aid. And it needs but a glance at the condition of the working classes, (an epithet, which we use for want of one more appropriate to our meaning,) in our country, to convince the candid, that its influence, so far as its ultimate effect on human industry is concerned, is altogether salutary and beneficial.

We have given quite as much attention to the argument

against machinery, derived from its effects on labor, as it deserves. It is the strongest and most striking argument that occurs to us on that side of the question, and we wished to state it as fully, fairly, and forcibly as we could. But after all, is it certain that machinery occasions any distress, greater than would have existed, had machinery never been invented? We speak now of that improved machinery, which has, within the last century, so changed the aspect of the civilized world. Before this era, in many countries, the most affluent hardly enjoyed more comforts, than the poorest do now. The poorest classes depended upon servile labor, or an unskilful cultivation of the soil, for a scanty subsistence. They were miserably fed, clothed, and lodged. If they did not feel the wretchedness of their condition so acutely, as men similarly situated would now, it was because none of their neighbors fared much better. They

‘Saw no contiguous palace rear its head,  
To shame the meanness of their humble shed ;  
No costly lord the sumptuous banquet deal,  
To make them loathe their vegetable meal ;  
But poor, and bred in ignorance and toil,  
Each wish contracting bound them to the soil.’

But their ignorance was all their bliss. If the thick gloom which involved them, were not a darkness that might be felt, it was because there was no neighboring land of Goshen, where there was light. And to us, their lot seems to be far more worthy of commiseration, because far less susceptible of improvement than that of those, who, at the present day, occasionally experience temporary inconvenience and suffering from want of employment.

The next objection to machinery is, that its tendency is to gather wealth into masses, and widen the distance between the rich and the poor. It is easy to see how this may be the fact in England, where the statute of descents transmits the possessions of the father, almost unimpaired, to the eldest son. The accumulated acquisitions of one generation are handed down to the next, almost unbroken. The eldest son of a rich man must himself be wealthy; and, if he conduct his affairs with prudence, will leave his own eldest son master of a large fortune. The law closes up many of the outlets, by which wealth would otherwise be distributed through the community, and gathers it into the hands of a few. Thus a new order of

nobility is created, who have been styled, not inappropriately, the lords of the spinning jenny. It is not machinery, therefore, that widens the distance between the rich and the poor, into an almost impassable gulf, but this law,—a law hardly to be vindicated upon principles of sound policy, under any circumstances, but pernicious and dangerous in the extreme, to a manufacturing and commercial community. In our country, we have no such law, and no such consequences have attended the introduction of machinery. If a rich man, in these States, invest a large fortune in fixed machinery, when he dies, it becomes the property of all his children. Death relaxes the grasp that held the mass of acquisition together, and the law does not put forth its stronger grasp to prevent its natural dissolution. On the contrary, the statute of distribution pulls down the pile of wealth, which the father's industry had accumulated, and divides it among his offspring. It can seldom happen, that there will be enough to make them all rich. The consequence is, that nearly all the individuals of each successive generation, start in the race of life from about the same point; and they are the most successful in that race, who are the most intelligent and the most industrious. It is thus plainly impossible, that, while this statute continues in force, machinery can enrich the few and impoverish the many. Almost all of us have an equal chance to be benefited by its introduction. A machine feels no partialities. It works for one just as vigorously and efficiently as for another. And if any man in this country have no direct interest in machinery, it is simply because he can employ his means more advantageously in some other way. In nearly every instance, where machinery is extensively employed, there is a joint-stock concern. The property is divided into shares, and these shares are held by various individuals. The workmen themselves, who are employed in the manufactory, may, and not unfrequently do, possess an interest in the establishment. It is then little less than absurd to say, that machinery accumulates for the rich alone, while it still farther impoverishes those who are already poor.

A far more serious objection than this remains to be considered. It is alleged, that machinery gathers men together in large masses, confines them in unhealthy apartments, ruins their health, contracts their minds, and depraves their morals; that its wages, like the wages of sin, is death,—moral, intellectual, physical death. This is true in part, and in part it

seems to us to be false. It is true, that modern machinery can hardly be used to advantage, especially for manufacturing purposes, without collecting together large numbers of workmen. But it is not true, that these workmen must inevitably be 'crowded in hot task-houses by day, and herded together in damp cellars by night ;' that they must toil in unwholesome employments twelve hours a day, and frequently a much longer time ; that they must live without decency, and die without hope ; that they must sweat night and day, keeping up a perpetual oblation of body and soul, to the demons of gain, ' before furnaces which are never suffered to cool, and breathing in vapors which inevitably produce disease and death.' To all these charges, in behalf of machinery we plead not guilty. They are not true. If they were, well might the genius of humanity be represented as looking on with drooping wings, and a countenance of mingled pity and despair. There would be room for pity. There would be reason to despair. If we admit these allegations to be just, we are driven to the conviction, that the fabric of national greatness, power, and prosperity, however goodly it may seem in its outward show, is but a gorgeous sepulchre, in which are buried the intelligence, the virtue, and the freedom of the mass of the population ; that national wealth and national misery go hand in hand, linked together by the strong compulsion of fate, in gloomy yet inseparable companionship. It were better that a nation should remain forever poor and barbarous. Better, far better, that society should make no progress, than that a few should advance and ascend, by treading on the necks of all the rest.

But it is not a necessary, nor a natural consequence of the introduction of machinery, that this state of things should exist. Wherever it does exist, there must be bad laws or a bad Government. We witness no such scenes in our country. The poet, who should search those districts of our country, where machinery is most extensively employed, for images of wretchedness and want, would return disappointed from his quest. The political economist, who should go there for facts to sustain the gloomy theory we have alluded to, would perhaps become a convert to the opposite opinion. There, beautiful villages spring up suddenly, as if the earth had been touched by an enchanter's wand. There, are large and commodious buildings, filled with active machinery, and with intel-

ligent and contented human beings. Around, are their neat and convenient dwellings. There are a few shops, to supply them with a number of little foreign luxuries, which they can well afford to buy ; and a tavern, it may be, to furnish, not a resort for the idle and the dissipated, but rest and a temporary home to the weary traveller. There are the schools, in which the children and young persons are instructed how to act well their parts, as free citizens of a free republic. And there, last and best of all, is the church, where, on the sabbath, all, old and young, assemble reverently to worship God. This is no picture drawn from fancy. We have ourselves beheld the real scene, and can attest the verisimilitude of the sketch. And though, in the larger manufacturing towns and cities, a part of these advantages can hardly be enjoyed, yet we may safely appeal to the character and condition of our manufacturing population throughout the whole country, as a standing and unanswerable refutation of the objection, which we have been considering.

We have now done with objections, and will pass to other considerations. We will now say something of the more general effects of machinery ; and first, of the vast accession which has been made to the productive energies of labor, and the consequent vast augmentation of the products of industry. The necessaries, the comforts, and the luxuries of life are now produced in unparalleled profusion. The effect of abundant supply is to make articles cheap. Every man can now provide for his wants, and the wants of those dependant on him, in a much easier way, and at a much cheaper rate, than ever before ; and the happy consequences of this state of things are visible in the improved condition of all classes of civilized society. But it is not in this point of view, that we chiefly delight to contemplate the effects of machinery. Its influence on the physical condition of man is doubtless very great ; but its influence on his intellectual condition is greater. Not only are men in general better fed, better clothed, and better lodged than formerly, but, what seems to us to be a matter of infinitely greater moment, they are far better taught than formerly. Machinery has released some from hand-work, who have applied themselves to head-work. Machinery has supplied them with the means of communicating the results of their industry to the world. Thought is no longer restricted to the narrow circle around the thinker. Machinery has furnished better methods

of sending it abroad, than speech. Art has been called in to assist nature. The speaker yields to the writer. The tongue is vanquished by the pen. No power can long preserve, or extensively diffuse spoken words, however eloquent. Write them out and give them to the printer, and if they are worthy of it, they will spread every where and live forever. Formerly, Cicero thundered in the Roman forum, in the midst of the proud monuments of his country's victories, and surrounded on all sides by the altars of his religion, to an audience, that shuddered, and kindled, and quailed, and burned, as he spoke. But when his oration was ended, it was forgotten by the multitude. Some burning thought might be stamped upon the memory. It might pass into a proverb, and be handed down by tradition. But that would not transmit his fame to future times. Had not Cicero written his orations, we should have known little more of him, than that he was a great orator, and that he lived and died in the latter ages of the Roman republic. He did write them, however; but even then, how limited was the circulation, which the copyist alone could give them!

Very different is the case now. A great orator rises in the British parliament. Every word, as it falls from his lips, is caught and written down. Early the next morning, the press gives wings to his thoughts, and sends them abroad, by the aid of the multiplied machinery of conveyance, to traverse regions, and to kindle minds, where Cicero did not even dream that it was possible for man to exist.\* The epithet, 'winged words,' seems no longer Homeric, but familiar and common-place. In a month's time, they reach New York. In less than a fortnight more, they are descending the Ohio and the Mississippi. In the mean time, they have been passing across the channel, into France, Spain, and Germany, learning new languages as they rush along. They make the circuit of the world. They are heard in India, in Australia, and in the isles of the Pacific ocean. Thus a great thinker and speaker, without the press can do little, against it, nothing; but with its aid, he is like the sun,

---

\* Tu enim quam celebritatem sermonis hominum, aut quam expectandam gloriam consequi potes? Vides habitari in terra raris et angustis in locis; et in ipsis quasi maculis, ubi habitatur, vastas solitudines interjectas; hosque, qui incolunt terram, interruptos ita esse, ut nihil inter ipsos ab aliis ad alios, manare possit. Num aut tuum aut cuiusquam nostrum nomen, vel *Caucasum hunc*, quem cernis, transcendere potuit, vel *illum Gangem transnatare?* *Somnium Scipionis.*

light radiates from him in all directions, and diffuses itself through space. It may be said of him, without hyperbole, that his words go into all the world, carrying with them a momentous influence for evil or for good. By the side of this tremendous energy, every other power becomes insignificant. It proceeds from mind, and acts upon mind, and it is the chief glory of machinery, that it conveys its impulses to the remotest quarters of the globe. And this power is not conferred only on the great orator and statesman, who stands conspicuously out from the mass of his fellow-men. It is shared, in different degrees, by all who have thoroughly awakened their own immortal energies of mind and spirit. It may emanate from the closet of the poorest student, and be of force to revolutionize an empire. It has been truly, as well as forcibly said, by an illustrious man of our own age and nation, that ‘one great and kindling thought, from a retired and obscure man, may live when thrones are fallen, and the memory of those who filled them obliterated, and, like an undying fire, illuminate and quicken all future generations.’

But not only has machinery set free from the necessity of labor, many to teach, but a far greater number to be taught. Let the machines, which now supply the wants of the nations, be destroyed, and it requires no prophetic skill to foresee, that, at the same time, the school-houses will be emptied. Let it be imagined, if any are able to imagine, that every machine, for the furtherance of the operations of labor, is destroyed, and that all memory of the mode of their construction is blotted from the mind, and then let us be told, whether we should not, almost at once, sink back into barbarism. Now, thousands are instructed, where one was formerly. Knowledge is diffused widely through all classes of society, and is yet to be diffused far more widely. An unprecedented demand for useful information is every where made. Through the instrumentality of the press, and the modern engines of swift conveyance, sympathies are established between individuals, and between communities of individuals, who entertain similar sentiments, though residing in opposite hemispheres. It is a remarkable illustration of this, that the friends of freedom and knowledge throughout the globe, seem now to constitute but one great party. Wherever a struggle is made for liberty, wherever a contest is begun with that worst of tyrants, ignorance,—that spot concentrates and fixes the attention of multi-

tudes in every civilized nation. Unnumbered minds watch the progress of the contest, with deep anxiety. Thus a universal public opinion is formed. This opinion has strength in its own nature. It is spiritual, wide-reaching, and mighty. It dethrones kings, it abrogates laws, it changes customs. It is stronger than armies. Barriers and *cordon*s cannot shut it out. Fortresses and citadels are no defence against it. It spreads every where, and conquers wherever it spreads. God grant, that it may continue to spread and to conquer, till every throne of tyranny shall be overturned, and every altar of superstition broken down !

But the most wonderful consequence resulting from the introduction of machinery is, that it has, to all intents and purposes, greatly prolonged the term of human existence. This is not fancy, but fact ; not imagination, but reality. Human life should be measured by deeds, rather than by years. He lives long, who accomplishes much ; and he lives longer than other men, who accomplishes more than they. And how much more can he accomplish, whose active existence is but beginning now, than was performed, or could have been performed by one, who lived fifty years ago ! The multiplied facilities of intercourse, and the cunningly abbreviated methods of doing every thing at the present day, have introduced extraordinary despatch into all the operations of life, and increased a hundred fold the active power of each individual. Whole communities feel the power of these strong exciting influences. Not only are more important and numerous private acts performed by individuals, than have ever before been done in the compass of one life, but public events, more astonishing and of greater consequence, than were wont to happen in former times in the course of centuries, are now crowded into the history of a single generation. And when we look around us, and behold these strong agents of improvement, acting, at the present moment, with greater energy than ever, and producing every day still more wonderful results, we confess, we are filled with astonishment and admiration. We do not claim, as we have already said, for machinery, the sole agency in producing these magnificent effects. We know that they are principally owing to the operation of moral causes. But we say, that it is machinery, which has removed obstructions out of the way of their action, and brought them into contact with the objects on which they are to act ; and that, without the

aid of machinery, these causes, whatever inherent energy they might possess, could have produced little or no effect on the condition of society.

We have mentioned some of the general results, which machinery has contributed to produce. There is one particular consequence, that should never be forgotten when machinery is spoken of. It was the inventions of two mechanics, that carried England triumphantly through the contest with Napoleon. Arkwright invented a machine for spinning cotton, and Watt perfected the application of steam power to manufacturing purposes. These inventions conquered Bonaparte. They enabled Great Britain to manufacture for the world. Wealth flowed into her treasury in copious streams, from every quarter. With this wealth she maintained her own armies, and subsidized those of almost all the nations on the continent. She took the lead in the struggle that ensued, and maintained it, until the battle of Waterloo finally decided the fate of Napoleon and of Europe. These inventions made no great show. They attracted little of popular admiration. No laurels bound the brows of the inventors, though, in our esteem, they were far worthier of the laurel wreath, than the proudest conqueror that ever desolated the earth. Their names have not been blazoned in song. The historian honors them but with a cursory notice. Yet did these men, by their astonishing genius, confer on England power to control the issue of the most momentous and fearful struggle, that ever put in peril the best interests of man.

How does machinery produce these almost miraculous results? How long have these strong influences been acting on society? A few words by way of answer to these questions, shall conclude this article. We have already remarked, that the invention of some machines of a simple construction, is dated far back in remote antiquity; but these were all helps to individual labor, and are never thought of now, when machinery is named. Then, almost every thing was done by hand. Navigation clung timidly to the shore. Labor performed its task tediously and imperfectly. Knowledge was diffused in scanty measures and by tedious processes. Human improvement advanced, if indeed it did advance, imperceptibly. It is but recently, that any great change has taken place. The era of machinery may be said to have commenced within the last fifty years. Man has called upon the

unwearied powers of nature to bear his burdens, and they have obeyed the call. Whatever agency expands, contracts, impels, retards, uplifts, or depresses, is set at work. We confine elasticity in our watches, and bid it measure our time. With pulleys and levers, we compel gravitation to undo its own work. We arrest the water as it flows onward to the ocean. It must do so much spinning, so much weaving, or so much grinding, before it can be allowed to pass on. With the help of pumps and other machinery, we force the very atmosphere we live in, to raise our water from the wells and from the rivers, and to aid in an uncounted and countless variety of other operations. Last, and most wonderful of all, by the application of fire we transform water into that most potent of all agents, steam. Man, as it were, yokes the hostile elements of fire and water, and subjects them to his bidding. It is hardly a metaphor, to call steam the vital principle, the living soul of modern machinery. There is hardly any sort of work, in which this mighty agent may not be employed. It is equal to the vastest operations, and it will perform the most minute. It delves in the mines, it lifts the oré to the surface, and converts it into a thousand forms. It helps to make the engine, which it afterwards inhabits. It brings the cotton to the manufactory, picks it, cards it, spins it, weaves it, stamps it, and then distributes the fabrics for sale. It works on the land and on the water, on the rivers and on the seas. It is found on the Rhine and on the Danube, driving huge fabrics impetuously along through the echoing forests, and by the old castles of chivalrous ages, accustomed to behold far different scenes; and it performs on land the work of many thousand hands. It quickens the activity of commerce on the Indian seas, at the very moment when it is doing the same on the Mississippi and the Ohio. Invention seems to rest from the effort to discover new forces, and to bend all her energies to multiply the applications of this. Friction and gravity alone continue to oppose the dominion of steam over space. Numberless subtle contrivances have been resorted to, to evade the power of these stubborn antagonists of motion. Railways are constructed, stretching over mountains and plains, linking together and making near neighbors of distant territories. Long trains of cars, moving on wheels so peculiarly constructed, that their friction is scarcely perceptible, are placed on them. The horse is unharnessed. He is too slow and too weak to per-

form the required service. At command, the whole moves, hurrying on, under the strong impulse of an invisible power, with a velocity that defies description. The lover need no longer pray for wings to bear him through the air. A railway car will bear him swifter than the swiftest wing. The exclamation of the poet no longer startles us. His description of the physical achievements of man's 'genius, spirit, power,' are no longer extravagant. It falls far short of the reality.

'Look down on Earth!—What seest thou?—Wondrous things!  
 Terrestrial wonders that eclipse the skies!  
 What lengths of labored lands! What loaded seas!  
 Loaded by man for pleasure, wealth or war!  
 Seas, winds and planets, into service brought,  
 His art acknowledge, and subserve his ends.  
 Nor can the eternal rocks his will withstand;  
 What levelled mountains! and what lifted vales!  
 High through mid air, *here*, streams are taught to flow;  
 Whole rivers, *there*, laid by in basins, sleep.  
*Here*, plains turn oceans; *there*, vast oceans join  
 Through kingdoms, channelled deep from shore to shore.  
 Earth's disembowelled! Measured are the skies!  
 Stars are detected in their deep recess!  
 Creation widens! Vanquished Nature yields!  
 Her secrets are extorted! *Art* prevails!  
 What monument of genius, spirit, power!'

This was a just description when it was written; and it describes splendid triumphs of the intellect over matter. Let our readers add to it all the wonders which have been achieved by steam, and they will have a tolerably accurate idea of what the mechanical powers have done, and are doing for man.

We subjoin a striking passage from Cicero's eloquent treatise *De Natura Deorum*, descriptive of the extent of man's dominion over matter in his day, with the view of enabling our readers to compare the present with the former condition of our race in this respect.

' Jam vero operibus hominum, id est, manibus, cibi etiam varietas invenitur, et copia. Nam et agri multa ferunt manu quæsita, quæ vel statim consumantur, vel mandentur condita vetustati. Et præterea vescimur bestiis, et terrenis, et aquatilibus, et volatilibus, partim capiendo, partim alendo. Efficimus etiam domitu nostro quadrupedum vecções: quorum celeritas, atque vis, nobis ipsis affert vim, et celeritatem. Nos onera quibusdam bestiis, nos juga imponimus: nos elephantorum acutissi-

mis sensibus, nos sagacitate canum, ad utilitatem nostram abutimur : nos e terræ cavernis ferrum elicimus, rem ad collendos agros necessariam : nos æris, argenti, auri venas, penitus abditas, invenimus, et ad usum aptas, et ad ornatum decoras : arborum autem consecione, omnique materia, et culta, et silvestri, partim ad calefaciendum corpus, igni abhibito, et ad mitigandum cibum utimur : partim ad ædificandum, ut tectis septi, frigora caloresque pellamus. Magnos vero usus affert ad navigia facienda, quorum cursibus suppeditantur omnes undique ad vitam copiæ ; quasque res violentissimas natura genuit, earum moderationem nos soli habemus, maris, atque ventorum, propter nautiarum rerum scientiam : plurimisque maritimis rebus fruimur, atque utimur. Terrenorum item commodorum omnis est in homine dominatus. Nos campis, nos montibus fruimur : nostri sunt amnes, nostri lacus : nos fruges serimus, nos arbores : nos aquarum inductionibus terris fecunditatem damus ; nos flumina arcemus, dirigimus, avertimus ; nostris denique manibus in rerum natura quasi alteram naturam efficere conamur.'

---

#### ART. XI.—*Military Academy.*

#### *Reports of the Boards of Visitors of the Military Academy at West Point, in June, 1830, and June, 1831.*

Those who have been accustomed to observe the progress, and reflect upon the tendency of our institutions, have doubtless remarked the rapid progress of the Military Academy at West Point in the public estimation ; nor can they have failed to notice the important position which it now occupies, among those objects that ought to be well understood by all who pretend to a knowledge of our national policy, and of the means by which that policy can best be cherished and sustained.

The comprehensive mind of Washington first suggested the necessity of an establishment, where a portion of our youth might be constantly employed in acquiring such fundamental principles of knowledge, as are generally esteemed indispensable for the attainment of much proficiency in the science of modern warfare. The views of Mr. Adams were in harmony with those of General Washington on this subject, but circumstances prevented their consummation during the administration of either ; nor was this finally accomplished, until Mr. Jefferson was placed at the head of the Government. Under his fostering care, this noble seminary was first organized, though on a

plan differing considerably from the existing one, and much more limited in its objects and operations. Since its establishment, through the influence of various changes and modifications, introduced by Congress, and those who have the more immediate direction of its concerns, it has risen with a steady and rapid progress to its present flourishing condition. Until within the last few years, however, it has not much engaged the public attention ; but the constant and zealous assiduity of those charged with its management, has now placed it on an eminence, where it must infallibly attract the public gaze, and receive decided condemnation or applause. We feel, however, very little apprehension for the result of this scrutiny ; for the indications of warm approbation and cordial support have been so numerous and unequivocal, as to leave little doubt, that it will continue to be sustained and cherished by Government and the people.

But however great and obvious the utility of this establishment may appear to those who know it well, there are others, of great intelligence and respectability, who, from ignorance of its true character, regard its progress with an unfavorable eye. It is to such persons, that our observations will be chiefly addressed, while we endeavor briefly to examine a few of the principal objections that have been made to the Military Academy, and, if possible, to remove some of the obstructions, that tend to impede its progress in the public favor. We shall, also, in the course of our remarks, take occasion to refer to some leading points in the present system of instruction, and to suggest, for the consideration of those more immediately concerned, a few alterations, which appear to us to be necessary for the more complete attainment of the ends proposed by the friends and supporters of the institution. In performing this task, we propose, instead of making a separate statement of the objections which occur to us, and attempting to give to each a formal answer, to offer our ideas in a more general form ; hoping, that we shall be able, in this manner, to give to every material point, a full and satisfactory examination.

The following extract from the Report of the Board of Visitors for 1830, explains the general objects of the West Point Academy.

' We consider, then, that this Academy is expected to furnish to the army a supply of efficient officers ; to the militia, an intermixture of well trained citizens, qualified, on emergency, to dis-

cipline that last and best arm of republics ; to internal improvement a corps of engineers, capable of giving wholesome direction to the spirit of enterprise which pervades our country. It ought to furnish science for exploring the hidden treasures of our mountains, and ameliorating the agriculture of our valleys ; nor is it upon inert matter alone, that it ought to exercise a vivifying influence. Inheriting from our varied ancestry the discordant characteristics of every people on the globe, it yet remains to form a specific and all-pervading character for the American nation ; nor do we conceive any surer method of stamping upon the yet glowing wax a more majestic form, than by sending into every district, young men, emphatically the children of our country, trained to the manly exercise of arms, and imbued with the tastes of science and literature ; instructed in the principles and action of our political system, and the living exemplar, from which sound education may rear the social edifice.'

This, we think, is a tolerably fair statement of what is expected of our National Military School ; and perhaps it will be well, in this place, to take a cursory view of the subjects embraced in its course of study, in order that we may ascertain how far these expectations will be likely to be realized.

The first year is devoted entirely to the French language and Mathematics, in each of which there is a daily recitation. During the second year, Mathematical studies are attended to every day, and French three times a week, the other days of each week being partially devoted to copying with crayon models of the human figure. The Mathematical and French courses are completed in the two first years. The Mathematical course consists of Algebra, Geometry, Trigonometry, Surveying, Descriptive Geometry, the principles of Shades and Shadows and Perspective, Analytical Geometry, Conic Sections, and the Integral and Differential Calculus. These subjects are all pursued assiduously, and to a considerable extent, and occupy about three fourths of the student's time for two years. Many are of opinion, that the Mathematical department is made to engage too much of the cadet's attention ; and this opinion was adopted by the Board of Visitors for 1830, from whose report we extract the following paragraph.

' This, (Mathematics,) appears to us a pursuit of somewhat all-engrossing character. To a certain extent, Mathematics are indispensable, and must occupy much time ; but, beyond that universal test, utility, we think they ought to give place to studies of equal importance.'

Whether this opinion is well founded, is perhaps questionable. Some portions of the Mathematical course are undoubtedly not very essential to a strictly military education, nor for those who are in pursuit of merely general knowledge; but to one who desires a full acquaintance with scientific subjects, the whole is not only useful, but indispensable. One object of the Military Academy, independently of the chief one, ought certainly to be the encouragement and promotion of what are commonly called the exact sciences; and there is no other institution in our country, where so thorough a foundation can be laid for the attainment of eminence in these departments of learning. If the value of this advantage be duly appreciated, may we not reasonably hope, that, hereafter, some Newton, Davy, or La Place,—some Franklin, Bowditch, or Fulton, will rise from this cradle of science to ameliorate and elevate the condition of man, and thereby repay to the country, with abundant interest, the small pittance it bestows? Considerations of this nature plead strongly in favor of continuing the present system; yet, on the whole, we think it may well be doubted, whether, while the term of study is limited to four years, some portion of the second year which is now given to Mathematics, might not, with more profit, be devoted to other pursuits. The Mathematical course might be considerably curtailed, and there would still be left enough to keep the advantages of this school far above those afforded by our colleges, and at the same time to comprehend every thing requisite for the education of a military man. One reason for introducing French into the course of studies, independently of the consideration, that every well-educated young man ought to be acquainted with that language, is to enable the cadets to read French works with facility, many of their text-books being the productions of French authors. It is, we believe, the universal opinion of scientific men, that French writers have been much more successful and happy in their investigations and explanations of the sciences generally, and of that of war in particular, than those of any other nation. It is both an evidence and an effect of this opinion, that a large portion of the works on scientific and military subjects, contained in the library at West Point, are the productions of French authors; and the cadets derive great benefit from this collection, by means of the explanations and

illustrations given by the professors, who are, of course, familiar with these authorities.

The third year is devoted to the various branches of Natural Philosophy and Chemistry, and to the completion of the course of Drawing. The afternoons of about half of this year are employed in copying sketches of landscapes, etc. with the lead pencil, and the remainder in copying topographical drawings with the pen and hair-pencil. The Board of Visitors for 1831, in alluding to this subject in their Report, use the following language :

'In Drawing, the cadets of the second and third classes have made surprising progress. In topography, landscapes, and the delineation of the human figure, their performances are excellent, and in a high degree creditable to themselves and to the accomplished artist from whom they have received their instructions.'

The Board of Visitors for 1830, in reference to the same subject, say :

'An astonishing proficiency in Drawing, proves that no ordinary praise is due to that department ; but its character is entirely civil, and confined to the imitation of pictures and models. Is it not essential that military surveyors should be accustomed to sketch nature readily and accurately ?'

It should be observed, that three afternoons of each week in the second year, as well as all the afternoons during half of the third year, are employed in copying, with crayon and the lead pencil, from models of the human figure, landscapes, &c. The principal object of this is said to be, to prepare the student for entering with advantage upon the subject of topographical drawing ; but we are inclined to doubt, whether enough is gained by this preparatory course, to compensate for the time consumed by it. If crayon-drawing, which engages so very large a portion of the cadet's attention, were dispensed with, and the time now devoted to pencil-drawing principally given to learning to sketch from nature, instead of copying from painted landscapes, we conceive that the cadets would acquire a more complete knowledge of topography, than they can be expected to obtain under the present arrangement ; and the time devoted to crayon-drawing might, as it seems to us, be more profitably employed in attention to some other branch of study.

Topography is a highly useful part of a military education ; and it is especially necessary that it should be well attended to

in this country, where few persons are now very conversant with the subject. But to be a good military topographer, supposes the ability to 'sketch nature readily and accurately ;' and the neglect of this art at West Point is very justly criticised by the Board of Visitors for 1830.

Thus far, every thing is but preliminary. The main object of the institution is to qualify the pupil for the performance of all the duties of a military life ; and by way of preparation, he is carefully disciplined in the various duties of a soldier and officer, from the handling of a musket, to the commanding of armies. The use of the various instruments of attack and defence ; the construction of military works, both permanent and temporary, and the most approved methods of attacking and defending these works ; the manner of conducting the marches of armies, and of disposing of the different arms, with a view to their mutual protection and assistance in cases of emergency ; minor tactics, or the evolutions of troops, whether in small or large numbers ; and the more complicated and exalted principles of grand tactics, or *strategy*, are each in turn carefully attended to, so far as theory and the lessons of experience extend.

Besides these military subjects, studies of a different nature are made to engage a large portion of the pupil's attention during the last year. Civil engineering, in its multifarious departments, viz. the construction of roads, canals, bridges, and rail-roads, together with the elements of carpentry and architecture, holds an important rank. As architecture is becoming daily more interesting to the public at large, its encouragement and advancement cannot be too strongly recommended. A deficiency of taste and information upon this subject is but too evident in many parts of our country ; and any institution, which tends to diffuse the correct and chaste principles of this art, deserves to be cherished and encouraged. A fine collection of casts, representing the most celebrated buildings of antiquity, has recently been procured from France for the Military Academy ; and there is every reason to hope, that it will tend materially to improve the department of architecture.

The studies that have now been enumerated, together with rhetoric and national and constitutional law, embrace the chief objects of attention at this institution. A cursory glance at this course of instruction will be sufficient to con-

vince the observer, that it comprehends much useful information. Yet there are many, who profess to believe the Academy not only useless, but absolutely injurious in its effect upon the public interests. They maintain, that genius and courage alone are enough to ensure distinction in the military profession. They say, that all our citizens are soldiers, and that competent officers can be selected from among them, whenever military services are necessary ; and they, doubtless, honestly believe, that to become an able officer is not a very difficult matter. But, with due respect for the sincerity of these opinions, we must confess our preference for the doctrine of our illustrious Hamilton ; who says, that ‘war, like most other things, is a science to be acquired and perfected by diligence, by perseverance, by time and by practice.’ These few words, coming as they do from a statesman of acknowledged genius and wisdom, are entitled to the most respectful and deliberate attention. That great man had investigated with the most keen and discerning scrutiny, the many and complicated causes of national grandeur and infirmity. He had especially weighed the mighty causes, which had elevated, sustained, and overthrown the various contrivances of men for self-government ; and he was of the unqualified opinion, that a national military establishment was indispensable to our peace and security. The reasons which led him to this conclusion are, doubtless, familiar to most of our readers,\* and still operate with undiminished influence. All, however, must acknowledge, that a military establishment without skilful officers, would be about as inefficient as powder and bullets without a gun, or a vessel without helm or compass. But how is this skill to be ‘acquired and perfected ?’ Doubtless, ‘by diligence, by perseverance, by time, and by practice.’ These objectors should hesitate, before they destroy one of the most useful instruments by which this benefit is to be secured.

Such an instrument is the Military Academy. Before they attempt to subvert so noble an edifice, they should reflect, that it is not always wise to suffer speculation to prevail over experience. They should remember the consequences, which have heretofore resulted from the want of military science and skill, before they labor to expose us anew to similar evils. They ought not to forget, that nations, as well as individuals, are liable to be overwhelmed by adverse events, whose approaches

---

\* See *Federalist*, Nos. 24 to 28.

cannot be foreseen, or guarded against by any sudden exertion of art or power; that a hitherto unknown responsibility rests upon the citizens of this republic, an obligation greater than ever was imposed upon any other political society; and that we ought, at least, to pause, before we divest ourselves of any of those securities, upon which the peace, the progress, and the stability of our institutions may depend.

One objection, which has frequently been urged against this institution is, that it is used as a mere engine of favoritism, for the benefit of the wealthy and influential; and many who highly approve the policy of maintaining a National Military School, are hostile to the existing one, in consequence of this supposed partiality in the appointment of cadets. Now, nothing can be more unjust or unsubstantial than this objection. It is unnecessary to waste words upon it, for the inspection of a single document will exhibit it in its true light, and prove it to be wholly groundless. Those who wish fully to satisfy themselves upon this point, can do so by referring to a paper published in the spring of 1830, by order of Congress, containing the names and parentage of all, who have ever been members of the Academy.

There are some who maintain, that the sons of rich men should be wholly excluded, and that those of the indigent only, who are destitute of the ordinary means of education, should be admitted into the Military Academy. They have evidently come to this conclusion, without sufficiently considering the effect of such an eleemosynary establishment. It would invest the indigent of the community exclusively, with the most unlimited power over liberty and property in times of danger; and, although, under such a system, there might be no want of fidelity and patriotism, is it probable that the same interest would be felt, either for, or by the army, or the same confidence inspired by it, as if the officers were taken indiscriminately from all classes of society? Certainly not. The spirit of our institutions is decidedly in favor of placing, as far as practicable, persons of all ranks in those stations which they are qualified to fill. In this way, only, can we provide for the preservation of republican principles, and the stability and harmony of the Union. If none but the sons of the wealthy and influential were appointed cadets, we should be among the first to object to such partiality: if none but the sons of the poor and obscure, we should be just as ready to enter our

protest against it. But we are persuaded, that neither principle prevails in their selection, and think that a moment's attention to the rules of appointment will convince the reader, that our opinion is well founded. The number of cadets is limited by law to two hundred and sixty ; and every State is entitled to have one cadet always at the Academy, from each of its congressional districts. The aggregate of the quotas of the several States being but two hundred and thirteen, there remain more than forty vacancies, which are usually filled by young gentlemen from the territories and District of Columbia ; by sons of officers of the army and navy ; and other persons selected by the President and Secretary of War. The most fastidious could hardly imagine a more equitable method of selection ; but as there are always many more applicants than vacancies, it of necessity follows, that where one is gratified, several are disappointed. The Secretary of War has doubtless a delicate and sometimes exceedingly unpleasant duty to discharge, in making a selection from the multitude of candidates. As a general rule, however, it is believed that he is governed by the wishes of the representatives in Congress from the particular State, to which the applicant belongs. This system of appointment is as free from objection as any that could be adopted, and ought to give universal satisfaction. It is, indeed, sometimes made a subject of complaint, that citizens are precluded, under the present system, from receiving commissions in the army ; but we trust that enough has already been said, to show that a preparatory course of study, such as citizens have not generally an opportunity of pursuing, is indispensable ; and as, moreover, the cadets who are sent to West Point are selected according to an equitable rule from the mass of citizens, we are at a loss to perceive, why this is not in fact a virtual compliance with the principle in question.

We come now to the consideration of another objection ; which is, that young men, after having been educated at the national expense, often resign their commissions in the army. Those who make this objection contend, that no one should be admitted to the Academy, who does not intend permanently to pursue the military profession. This we cannot admit. We are persuaded, that it would be very advantageous to the country to educate even a considerably larger number than is required by the immediate necessities of the army, and not only to permit those who choose to resign, but

even to refuse to commission any more of the graduates than the service absolutely demands, leaving the remainder to pursue whatever calling suits them best. Suppose, for example, that fifty should be graduated annually, and only twenty be required for the army. We would retain twenty in the service, and discharge the others. We would not, however, as a matter of course, retain the *first twenty* of each class, as they are arranged at the Academy according to their comparative proficiency ; but we would have each State and territory proportionately represented ; and this proportionate representation might be secured by the observance of the following rules.— Let one be commissioned from each of the twenty largest States, provided the graduating class contains one from each of those States, who is desirous of entering the army ; but if not, then let the selection be made from other States in succession. If several from the same State should be candidates for a commission, let it be given to him who is most distinguished as a scholar. When it becomes necessary to commission twenty more, let them be selected according to the same rule, from the remaining States, the District of Columbia, and the territories. Let this principle be observed from year to year, extending equal privileges to every State. When a number shall have been commissioned from any State, equal to the number of its representatives in Congress, let the graduates belonging to that State be precluded from receiving commissions, till all the other States and territories are represented in the same ratio. As the army has now its full complement of officers, it becomes a matter of some importance to determine what disposition shall hereafter be made of the graduates of the Military Academy, or what modification of that institution circumstances may render it necessary to adopt. Either the number of cadets must be very much reduced, or provision must be made for an increase of the army, or some arrangement like the one here proposed must be adopted. After much reflection upon the subject, we do not hesitate to express the opinion, that a reduction of the number of cadets would be extremely injudicious. There are on an average about forty who annually leave the Academy ; and if the system should be adopted, of commissioning each year as many as are required for the immediate necessities of the service, and of discharging the others, after having given them diplomas as testimonials of their character and standing,

we doubt not, that the individuals thus discharged would do vastly more to advance the real objects of the Military School, than they could possibly do by being retained in the service. They would enter upon civil life, not only well qualified for its ordinary pursuits, but with the possession of that military knowledge, which it is the purpose of the National Academy to disseminate. In a few years there would be several of these graduates in every State, who would aid greatly in establishing a uniform system of discipline throughout the militia of the different parts of the country. If so desirable a result could be obtained so easily, we should have abundant cause to rejoice. The present militia system is generally allowed to be a very defective one. As respects discipline, or any important knowledge of military matters, they are wholly out of the question, and must ever remain so, as long as the subject excites so little attention. One valuable end, and only one, is now attained, that of ascertaining the effective numbers of armed men in the country. But this might be done at a vastly less expense of time, money, industry, and morals. Relying as we now do upon the militia as our chief security against foreign invasion and domestic convulsions, it behoves every one to lend his zealous efforts to raise it from its present comparatively impotent condition, and to give it an efficient and useful organization. The necessity of some change is apparent to all; but the difficulty is, to ascertain the means, by which it may best be accomplished. It is much to be regretted, that, although the subject has been earnestly recommended to the attention of Congress, that body has not yet given to it the consideration, which its importance obviously demands. It is only by means of officers instructed in military affairs, that we can ever hope to reduce to any thing like discipline, the present unwieldy mass of our militia; but with the assistance of such officers, large levies of recruits might in a little time be prepared for efficient service in the field.

Every one is aware of the importance of military science in the conduct of warlike operations; and the cultivation of that science is, as we have already remarked, the prominent object of the West Point Academy. With the assistance which the graduates would be capable of rendering, might we not reasonably hope, that in a few years, with a moderate degree of attention to the subject, the officers of the militia throughout the country would become familiar with a uniform system of

tactics, which would always enable them, in cases of emergency, to act effectively together? In this way, raw troops might soon be disciplined, and an army of efficient men brought into the field in a far shorter time, than can possibly be hoped for now. Unless some measures are soon adopted to give more vigor to our militia, it will become necessary to increase to a considerable extent the force of our regular army, or we may be destined again to hear of those scenes of desolation and horror, that have so recently spread sorrow and dismay through a portion of our land.

Our regular military force is, and has for some years been, reduced to the smallest possible limit. It is but a mere fragment, scattered in small detachments over an immense frontier; and has hitherto been found scarcely sufficient to preserve our munitions, and impose a salutary check upon the numerous tribes of Indians on our Western borders. A large standing army has no advocates among us, and is wholly adverse to the spirit of our Government and to public sentiment. But it would be equally adverse to the dictates of justice and humanity, so to curtail its limits, as to leave it entirely inadequate to the performance of its duties. Whether the symptoms of disaffection that have at various times appeared among our black and red inhabitants, or whether the rapid augmentation of our wealth and population, and the consequent increase of the number of important military positions, require or would justify an increase of our army, are questions, which do not properly fall within the immediate purpose of this article; and we leave them to the consideration of our statesmen and legislators.

We trust that enough has already been said to prove the great utility of the West Point Academy, in the education of officers suitable for the army, and in disseminating correct military information through the country. It has also, we hope, been made to appear, that the sciences and arts are likely to be advanced in time by the same means. We now ask the attention of the reader to another of the benefits, which the public will derive from this Academy. We mean the aid which it gives, in perfecting the system of internal improvements. The extensive works in progress or in contemplation in various parts of our country, require a far greater number of skilful civil engineers, than can now be readily obtained. This demand will continue to increase for many years to come; and there is no school in the country, where the knowledge

necessary to satisfy it can be acquired so well, as at this institution.

It is well known, that a number of gentlemen, distinguished for political and scientific attainments, are annually invited to attend the public examination at West Point, and to make a detailed report of their impressions concerning the institution, to the Secretary of War; and the opinions of these visitors, for the last few years, as exhibited by their reports, bear the most ample testimony to its utility and excellence. The reports of the Boards of Visitors for 1830 and 1831, are full of almost unqualified encomium; and the other reports, for several years past, are scarcely less favorable. What higher or more honorable evidence in its favor, can be expected or desired? And yet, we regret to see, that there are those, who would impair its usefulness, by detracting from its well earned fame. Of this class is the author of a pamphlet, published at Washington, in the winter of 1829—1830, under the signature of 'Americanus.' This writer altogether denies the utility of the Academy, as it is now conducted; condemns the course of those intrusted with its management; and pronounces the education given to its graduates wholly unsuited to the ends proposed. His pamphlet is strongly tinctured with prejudice; his assertions are, in many instances, notoriously unfounded; and his reflections upon particular individuals, are rather too indicative of disappointed ambition and personal resentment. The candid reader, in comparing the assertions of 'Americanus' with the language of the reports above alluded to, will doubtless form a fair estimate of the merits and justice of the former; and we take our leave of him, with a simple expression of our regret, that his eagerness to gratify any personal feelings should have hurried him so far beyond the limits of prudence and propriety.

Those who have never visited this school, can form only an inadequate idea of the manner in which it is conducted; but of the multitudes who have, few, we are persuaded, have ever left it with other than friendly feelings. It has often happened, that persons going there with strong prejudices against it, have found reason to alter their opinions, and have frankly acknowledged their previous misconception of its character. There, assembled on terms of the most perfect equality, is a large number of young men, from every quarter of the country, and from all classes of society. Wealth, so often in other stations the

criterion of worth, is there deprived of its baneful influence over the youthful mind ; which, animated by a salutary discipline, strives with generous and ardent emulation for a nobler prize. The rich and the poor, the high and the low, are clad with the same garments, fed at the same board, and subjected to the same discipline. Artificial distinction, with its unsubstantial appendages, avails nothing in the contest for intellectual superiority. We doubt whether our boasted principle of equality is any where more completely exemplified or more carefully cherished ; the only aristocracy which is known there, is that of intellect and character ; and honors are awarded only, where the title to them has been fairly earned by diligence and merit. Such a system of instruction forcibly teaches the young mind, that intellectual wealth has no exclusive proprietors ; and that men's deserts are to be measured, not by gold and genealogy, but by genius and moral worth. By the free and familiar association of persons from every portion of our country, the asperities of sectional jealousy are smoothed away. It is, indeed, no rare occurrence, for young men, whose homes are most widely separated, to contract at this school attachments of the warmest and most enduring character. It is situated, as is well known, on one of the most romantic spots on the banks of the beautiful Hudson ; and its seclusion, together with the wholesome effects of rigid but well regulated discipline, combine to render it almost unrivalled, as a place for study and improvement. Whatever is most conducive to corporeal vigor and elasticity of mind ; whatever is calculated to create or cultivate independence and decision of character ; and whatever has a tendency to nourish noble and generous sentiments, are concentrated in this lovely region. We may not immediately experience all the benefits of this admirable school, but time will continue to develope them more and more. Its interests, in the mean time, ought to be guarded with careful solicitude, especially from the assaults of ignorance and malignity.

We are bound to express our conviction, however, that although much which is highly useful is now undoubtedly acquired by the pupil, much more is requisite to give a proper finish to his elementary attainments. To become an accomplished officer of either the army or navy, requires not only minute professional skill, but an acquaintance with all subjects commonly embraced in a polite education. It is the duty of

those who have the direction of early studies, to point out with careful and considerate attention, all the paths that lead to excellence ; but he who wins the prize, must be indebted for success to his own diligent and judicious exertions. At West Point, the youthful mind, untaught by experience, and in a great measure excluded from the beneficial influence of an association with those of more advanced age and experience, does not readily appreciate the value of that general information, which is deemed of the first importance in all refined society ; and in consequence of this, it too often happens, that graduates, who have entered the Academy at an early age, find themselves, on mingling with the world, greatly deficient in much of that knowledge which ought to be deemed indispensable in every *élève* of our national seminary. Our impression may be erroneous ; we hope it is so ; but if it be well founded, the fault is not so much with the pupil, as with those who have the charge of his instruction. Perhaps the term of four years is not enough to accomplish all that is desirable ; and if it is not, the period of study should be extended so far, as to give the students time enough to attend to the outlines at least, of civil, natural, and military history, and the elements of geography, political economy, and literature in general ; all of which are now almost wholly if not entirely neglected. Some knowledge of these subjects is certainly indispensable to a finished education. Any considerable progress in them can only be effected by time and continued exertion ; but the outlines of each and their general utility, should be pointed out and illustrated, in every well regulated system of instruction. History is a subject of far greater importance in this view, than is apt to be supposed by the superficial thinker. To the military man, it is of the last importance. Bolingbroke, in drawing a parallel between Lucullus and Marlborough, makes the following forcible remarks :

' The Roman had on his side, genius and experience, cultivated by study ; the Briton had genius improved by experience, and no more. The first, therefore, is not an example of what study can do alone ; but the latter is an example of what genius and experience can do without study. They can do much, to be sure, when the first is given in a superior degree ; but such examples are very rare ; and when they happen, it will be still true, that they would have had fewer blemishes, and would have come nearer to the perfection of private and public virtue, in all the

arts of peace and achievements of war, if the views of such men had been enlarged, and their sentiments ennobled, by acquiring that cast of thought, and that temper of mind, which will grow up and become habitual in every man, who applies himself early to the study of history, as to the study of philosophy, with the intention of being wiser and better, without the affectation of being more learned.'

Why then, is the study of history totally neglected at a school, where the professed object is to render the pupils accomplished military men? Were the term of study at West Point made five, instead of four years, there would be time enough to attend to all the subjects that we have mentioned, and perhaps to other useful ones, that now receive no attention.

It appears to us, that it would be a decided improvement in the present system, to introduce to a considerable extent, the exegetical method of instruction, especially if those subjects to which we have referred, should be added to the list of studies. The exegetical, if judiciously combined with the existing method, would probably render the system of instruction at West Point much more thorough, than that of any of our other institutions.

As this Academy is a national institution, and one, respecting which considerable interest is beginning to be felt, we should, perhaps, be justified, in laying before the public a much more minute account of its affairs, than we have here attempted; but we trust that what we have said is sufficient to show that its defects, when contrasted with its merits, are but as the glimmering of a taper to the brightness of the sun. In comparing its present condition with its situation ten or twelve years since, we are forcibly impressed with the rapid improvement that has already been made. Our observations upon it have been rapid and general, being only intended as a hasty commentary on the existing organization of a noble institution, which, we believe, we shall ere long behold complete in majesty and strength; and we hope that the time is not far distant, when it will become a model to all others of a similar character, and an imperishable monument of the wisdom of its founders.

ART. XII.—*Encyclopædia Americana.*

*Encyclopædia Americana. A Popular Dictionary of Arts, Sciences, Literature, History, Politics and Biography, brought down to the present time; including a copious Collection of Original Articles in American Biography; on the basis of the seventh Edition of the German Conversations-Lexicon.* Edited by FRANCIS LIEBER, assisted by E. WIGGLESWORTH and T. G. BRADFORD. Philadelphia. 1829—1831.

This work, which has now proceeded as far as the seventh volume, deserves to be recommended to the great body of our people, as a library of itself;—cheap, comprehensive, exceedingly well executed, and of the highest authority. The German Conversations-Lexicon, which is properly acknowledged to be the basis of the *Encyclopædia Americana*, is in fact nothing more: a large part of the superstructure, which is made up of biography, politics, and history, having been added here; while even the basis, which contained much that was originally important, but owing to the progress of time, materially diminished in value, has been greatly improved both here and abroad, by the substitution of new and better materials. The articles on the subject of English and American law are entirely new; and, with the treatises in the original on Roman, German and French law, render the work very complete for the historical, and of great use to the professional lawyer. Some articles, those, for example, on the subjects of Zoology, Mineralogy and Chemistry, have been altogether re-written; while others, including the departments of Political Economy and Geography, have been greatly enlarged. Add to this, that the subject of American Biography is wholly new; and those who are acquainted with the original German work will at once perceive the nature, scope and value of the *Encyclopædia Americana*.

For the information of those who may not be acquainted with the Conversations-Lexicon of Germany, either directly, or through any of its numerous translations, it may be proper very briefly to state a few facts in regard to its origin, history and success, which we have borrowed from the preface to the work before us. The *Allgemeine deutsche Real-Encyklopädie für die gebildeten Stände* originated with Mr. Brockhaus, an

eminent bookseller of Leipsic, who was its publisher and principal editor. He called it the *Conversations-Lexicon*, as being a work chiefly designed for the use of persons, who would take a part in the conversation and society of well-informed circles. The character of the work, however, has been to a certain degree changed by numerous improvements, in each successive edition ; and its original title has therefore ceased to be strictly appropriate. But as the book had become known, and had gained its well deserved popularity under that name, it was thought inexpedient to change the title.

The value attached to this undertaking of Mr. Brockhaus is evident from the fact, that about eighty thousand copies of the work, now consisting of twelve volumes, have been published since 1812 ; besides which, two pirated editions have appeared in Germany. There have been, moreover, Danish, Swedish and Dutch translations of it ; and a French one is in progress at Brussels. More than two hundred contributors are enumerated in the preface, most of whom are distinguished not only at home but abroad, while many of them are recognised throughout the scientific and literary world, as among the most eminent men of the age.

' In presenting this work to the public in the English language,' says Dr. Lieber, ' my intention has been, by making such changes and additions as the circumstances of this country required, to render it as useful and acceptable to the general reader here, as the original is in Germany ; and I have cherished the hope, that the circumstance of its being an *American Encyclopædia*, not merely in name, but as constituting an extensive repository of information relating to America, as well as to the various branches of general knowledge, would give it a peculiar value with that great European nation, whose language and literature are the common property of themselves and their descendants in the United States.

' In the title-page, this work is stated to be formed upon the basis of the German *Conversation-Lexicon* : and if the reader will compare it with the original, and consider the numerous additions and corrections which have been made, I hope he will not find cause to charge this title with being too pretending. My idea of a good American *Encyclopædia* has been, that it should contain, besides the most valuable portions of the English *Encyclopædias*, and the topics of peculiar value to an American reader, information upon all subjects of general interest on the continent of Europe.'

It will be conceded by all, who have bestowed any serious attention upon the subject, that, however numerous may be the *Encyclopædias* that have hitherto appeared in our language, there is no one, which fully corresponds with this in its principal characteristics, or is at all likely to interfere with it, if this prove what it is designed to be,—a compilation adapted to the use of our country and people, as well as of those abroad, whoever they may be, that speak the same language, and feel interested in the growth of their own distinguishing usages and institutions, though transplanted into another hemisphere. It may be admitted also, that such a work is needed here, and that, if well executed, and placed within the reach of those, who, without the ability to procure large libraries, and without leisure to use, if they had them, are nevertheless able to expend something every year in the purchase of books, and sufficiently inquisitive in regard to the subjects which occur in the course of their general reading, to desire a more extensive, if not a complete knowledge of them. Our citizens, with their abundant leisure, their multitude of newspapers, and their opportunities for acquiring elementary knowledge, appear in fact, to require some such work, more than almost any other people ; not excepting the thinking, studious, indefatigable German ; nor the shrewd Scot, whose prevailing character is in nothing more evident, than in his efforts to add strength to his understanding ; nor the sprightly Frenchman, who is hardly ever so illiterate, as to be ignorant of the principal writers of his own country. A work, which occupies a sort of middle place between our newspapers and our libraries, being more deliberately prepared than the former, and less expensive and more easily mastered than the latter ; avoiding the disadvantages, and uniting the benefits of both, at least with most readers, who require summaries and works of reference, rather than voluminous treatises upon the subjects in which they are interested, would appear to be singularly well fitted to our wants and wishes. This is what the editors of the *Encyclopædia Americana* have attempted to prepare. That there is nothing of this sort within the reach of our people now, may be proved by referring to the *Encyclopædias* now in use ; all of which are too expensive, too bulky, and too learned, or in other respects ill adapted to the use of the many. If we turn to Chambers's *Cyclopædia*, or the *Universal Dictionary of Arts and Sciences*, which has already passed through several editions ; to the *Encyclopædia*

of Rees, which was re-published in this country, at a very low price, but was disposed of by lottery at last, in consequence of the magnitude and difficulty of the enterprise; to the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, of which six editions have already appeared, and the seventh is in the course of preparation, containing at different times from ten to twenty volumes, with a supplement of six volumes by Napier; to the Edinburgh *Encyclopædia*, re-published in Philadelphia, which must consist, when completed, of hardly less than forty volumes; to the *Encyclopædia Londinensis*; to the *Encyclopædia Edinensis*; to the *Encyclopædia Metropolitana*, to consist of twenty-five volumes quarto; and to many more in all the languages of Europe:—or if we run over the list contained in the work before us, we shall be satisfied, that such works are required for the general reader, as well as for those who have libraries, and leisure to enjoy them; and that there is not, as we have already said, one in existence, adapted to the wants of our people. On reviewing the works mentioned above, no one appears to be so well fitted for our purposes, taking all circumstances into consideration, as the German Conversations-Lexicon would be, if translated for us; any improvement, therefore, upon it, would be entirely decisive in its favor. There can be little doubt, that it fully deserves the reputation it enjoys. A work, which has passed through so many editions at home, and has been translated into so many languages abroad, must be,—it cannot be otherwise,—of great value, not only in the country in which it first appeared, but in every other, where it has been allowed to re-appear. Men do not enter into such expensive undertakings without deliberation. They are unlike all others; and they cannot continue, year after year, unless they have something else to depend upon, than merely popular favor. Perhaps two hundred thousand copies of the original work have been distributed through Europe, in different languages, since its publication in 1812; while probably not more than twenty-five thousand copies of the Library of Useful Knowledge have been disposed of. This fact alone is sufficient to convince us, that this age has produced nothing better fitted to the wants of society at large; to the necessities rather; for its circulation could not have been so extended, unless it had been regarded almost as a necessary of life.

One word in regard to the mechanical execution and price of the *Encyclopædia Americana*; for whatever might be its

scientific or literary value, if it were badly printed, or expensive, it would be hardly suitable for us. The price of the whole work is thirty dollars, or two dollars and a half a volume. There are to be twelve volumes, seven of which are already published, each containing between six and seven hundred pages octavo. Owing to the dimensions of the paper, and the mode of printing, they contain as much as three or four English octavos of a similar quality, of which the price would be twelve shillings sterling ; so that the whole work will contain as much matter as from thirty-six to forty-eight English octavos, which would cost in this country nearly one hundred and fifty dollars. Nor should it be forgotten, that there are in each volume about fifteen hundred articles, together with a general index. Here then, are nearly twenty thousand articles,—abridged treatises,—upon every subject of inquiry to which the well informed or the ill informed can possibly have occasion to refer ; and to be purchased at such a price, that few indeed, in our country, can have the wish, without the means of obtaining the publication which contains them. Six cents a week, laid aside for ten years,—or two dollars and fifty cents a year,—will furnish every individual with a library of his own. Subscribers are found in every part of our country, for the Library of Useful Knowledge, and other cheap publications ; and the case will be the same, when the work is properly understood, with the *Encyclopædia Americana*.

The alterations, omissions, and additions, made by Dr. Lieber, and the gentlemen associated with him, are, as they were designed to be, great improvements upon the original work, so far at least as relates to this country. What interest, for example, is felt here, on the subject of Heraldry, which occupies so large a portion of English Encyclopædias ? Occasionally, perhaps, when reading a novel of Sir Walter Scott, or examining a Liverpool coat-of-arms burnt into opaque china, we might wish for something in the shape of a dictionary, to enable us to look into its mysteries ; but there are few, indeed, who would care to purchase or possess a work upon the subject. Of course, the place usually occupied by Heraldry, in our Encyclopædias, may be surrendered to something better, in a work which is designed for all classes ; and the editors have done wisely, in excluding it from this. It is another material improvement, that they have gone very largely into the

subjects of American law, the natural sciences, political economy, American history, and American biography ;—subjects of vast importance to ourselves, and to those who are to tread in our footsteps, and inherit our libraries. On the two last, particularly, nothing sufficiently clear, condensed, and satisfactory, is to be found elsewhere. We have Delaplaine's Repository, and Sandsford's Biography of the Signers of the Declaration of Independence, together with a few volumes, and only a few, of unconnected individual biography ; and in the department of history, we have, perhaps, a hundred volumes, purporting to be histories of these States, many of which are well executed ; but we had before no epitome,—no abridgment,—no map of the whole, to which any one may instantly refer, without resorting to a capacious library.

The article, *Statistics of Crime*, may also be mentioned as a particularly valuable paper. We know nothing of the author, and have no means of authenticating his calculations with certainty ; but from our general impressions, and the data within our reach, we are inclined to believe, that he has not been led astray by the enthusiast Colquhon, and that he has brought together the substance of much close, thoughtful, and long-continued observation, made under the most advantageous circumstances. Many abridged reports of the House of Commons appear to be still farther abridged, in this brief and very complete summary. If our limits permitted, we should be pleased to follow the author through an inquiry so interesting, not only to the philanthropist, but to the lawgiver, and the philosopher. A very learned paper, embodying in the form of principles, maxims fortified by authorities from every quarter, and comprehending matter enough to fill a volume, as volumes are now made, within the compass of three pages, may be found in the appendix to Volume IV. under the head of *Domicil*. We are satisfied, indeed, by examination of a number of the legal articles, that no lawyer, certainly no lawyer who desires to be acquainted with the Roman law, and its numberless European modifications, ought to think his library complete, without the *Encyclopædia Americana*. The article *Dyspepsia* is a very original and satisfactory treatise on that subject. The Zoological and Biographical parts, the first prepared by the late Dr. Godman, and the latter by Mr. Walsh, are also executed with great ability.

We conclude with expressing our best wishes for the success

of this valuable publication. In order to secure it a very wide circulation, nothing more is necessary, than that its character should be fully understood, its price known, and specimens of its execution occasionally given in our newspapers. Great things are doing on every side, in the cause of popular education; but none worthier of the object, none better calculated for the safe and certain promotion of it, than the multiplication of cheap standard works, the value of which will not depend upon the caprice or fashion of the day.

## QUARTERLY LIST OF NEW PUBLICATIONS.

---

### ANNUALS.

Porter's Health Almanac, for 1832. Calculated generally for all parts of the United States; containing the Maxims and Rules for the Preservation of Health. Philadelphia. Henry H. Porter. 12mo. pp. 80.

The Pearl; or Affection's Gift, for 1832. Philadelphia. T. T. Ash. 18mo. pp. 223.

Affection's Gift; or a Holiday Present. New-York. J. C. Riker. 12mo. pp. 288.

The Vocal Annual; or Singer's Own Book, for 1832. Boston. F. S. Hill. 18mo. pp. 280.

The American Almanac and Repository of Useful Knowledge, for 1832. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 12mo. pp. 327.

The American Annual Register, for the year 1829-30; or the Fifty-fourth Year of American Independence. 8vo. pp. 996. Boston. Gray & Bowen.

The Christian Offering. Boston. Lincoln & Edmands. 12mo. pp. 231.

### BIOGRAPHY.

Remains of Rev. E. D. Griffin. By Francis Griffin. With a Biographical Memoir. By Rev. John Mc Vickar, D. D. Two Volumes. 8vo. New-York. G. & C. & H. Carvill.

Memoir of Rev. Joseph Stibbs Christmas. By E. Lord. New-York. J. P. Haven. 18mo. pp. 213.

A Narrative of the Military Actions of Col. M. Willett; taken chiefly from his own Manuscript. Prepared by his Son. New-York. G. & C. & H. Carvill. 8vo. pp. 162.

A Brief and Impartial History of the Life and Actions of Andrew Jackson, President of the United States. By a Free Man. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp.

### EDUCATION.

The Catechism of Health; or Plain and Simple Rules for the Preservation of the Health and Vigor of the Constitution, from Infancy to Old Age, for the Use of Schools. Philadelphia. Literary Rooms. 18mo. pp. 195.

A Book for the Children of Maine ; for the use of Schools and Families. Portland. S. Colman. 12mo. pp. 220.

An Economical Atlas ; for the use of Families and Young Persons. Containing thirty-four Maps ; to which are added, various Tables of Population, extent of Canals, Rail Roads, &c. Boston. Gray & Bowen. .

Lectures on Chemistry ; with a small Apparatus, intended for Lyceums, Academies, and Private Students. By W. G. Hanniford, M. D. Boston. Richardson, Lord & Holbrook. 12mo. pp. 140.

The American School Geography ; containing a General View of Mathematical, Physical, and Civil Geography, with an Atlas. By Barnum Field, A. M. Boston. William Hyde. 12mo. pp. 152.

The Child's Own Book of American Geography. By the Author of Peter Parley ; with sixty Engravings, and sixteen Maps. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 12mo. pp. 64.

Interrogative Grammar ; in a Series of Progressive and Practical Questions. Boston. Hilliard, Gray & Co. 12mo. pp. 70.

Le Ministre de Wakefield. Traduction Nouvelle, Précedée D'un Essai Sur la Vie et les Ecrits D'Oliver Goldsmith. Par M. Henneqain. D'Apres L'Edition de Paris. Intended as a French Class Book. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 12mo. pp. 211.

Hints for Conversing with the Children of Infant Schools upon the Texts of Scripture hung round the Room. By the 'Author of Bible Stories.' Boston. Munroe & Francis. 18mo. pp. 68.

## HISTORY.

Annals of Tryon County ; or the Border Warfare of New York, during the Revolution. By W. W. Campbell. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 8vo. pp. 279.

History of Scituate, Mass. By Samuel Deane. Boston. James Loring. 8vo. pp. 400.

The History of New England ; illustrated by Tales, Sketches, and Anecdotes. By Lambert Lilly. Philadelphia. Key & Meilke. 24mo. pp. 184.

The History of America. By T. F. Goodwin. In Two Volumes. Containing the History of the Spanish Discoveries prior to 1520. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo.

History of Ancient and Modern Greece. Illustrated with Maps and Engravings. Edited by John Frost. Boston. Lincoln & Edmands. 8vo.

Conversations on the History of Massachusetts ; for Children. By a Friend of Youth. Boston. Munroe & Francis. 18mo. pp. 180.

## LAW.

Precedents of Indictments ; to which is Prefixed a Concise Treatise upon the Office and Duty of Grand Jurors. By Daniel Davis. Boston. Carter, Hendee & Babcock. 8vo. pp. 319.

A Summary of the Law and Practice of Real Actions. By Asahel Stearns. Second Edition. Hallowell. Glazier, Masters & Co. 8vo. pp. 495.

## MEDICINE AND SURGERY.

Remarks on the History and Treatment of Delirium Tremens. By John Ware, M. D. Boston. Hale's Power Press. 8vo. pp. 61.

## MISCELLANEOUS.

Explanation, or Eighteen Hundred and Thirty ; being a Series of Facts connected with the Life of the Author, from Eighteen Hundred and Twenty-Five to the Present Day. By John C. R. Palmer. Boston. Printed for the Author. 18mo. pp. 191.

The Flowers of Anecdote, Wit, Humor, Gaiety, and Genius. Boston. F. S. Hill. 12mo. pp. 285.

Counsels on Matrimony, or Friendly Suggestions to Husbands and Wives ; with an Appendix. By John Morrisson. Newark, New Jersey. William Warts. 32mo. pp. 126.

Hints to a Fashionable Lady. By a Physician. New York. C. S. Francis. 18mo. pp. 242.

The Caricature Scrap-Book ; consisting of Original and Humorous Designs. New York. Peabody & Co. 4to.

The Criminal Calendar. An Awful Warning to the Youth of America, being a True Account of the most Notorious Pirates, Highway-men, &c. of America. Boston. F. S. Hill. 12mo. pp. 356.

Remarks on the Life and Writings of Daniel Webster, of Massachusetts. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 48.

The Progress of Intemperance, designed, engraved, and published by E. Gallaudet. Boston. 24mo. pp. 24.

Plain Letters on Important Subjects. By Jonathan Farr. Boston. L. C. Bowles. 18mo. pp. 230.

The Working Man's Companion. Cottage Evenings. Philadelphia. Cary & Hart. 18mo. pp. 214.

The American Library of Useful Knowledge. Vol. III. Containing a Universal History, in Four Books. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp. 12mo. pp. 286.

American Girl's Book, or Occupation for Play Hours. By Miss Leslie. Boston. Munroe & Francis. 18mo. pp. 303.

A Guide for Emigrants ; containing Sketches of Illinois, Missouri, and the adjacent parts. By J. M. Peck. Boston. Lincoln & Edmonds. 18mo. pp. 333.

The Book of the Seasons, or the Calendar of Nature. By William Howitt. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo. pp. 312.

A Manual containing Information respecting the Growth of the Mulberry Tree ; with Suitable Directions for the Culture of Silk. By J. H. Cobb, A. M. Boston. Carter, Hendee & Babcock. 12mo. pp. 68.

Tales of Egypt ; comprising Histories of Joseph, Moses, and Joshua. By the 'Author of Family Temperance Meeting.' Boston. James Loring. 18mo. pp. 212.

A Statistical Table ; showing the Influence of Intemperance on the Churches. By J. Richmond Barbour. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 1831. 12mo. pp. 24.

## NOVELS AND TALES.

Tales of the Indians; being prominent passages of the History of North American Natives, taken from Authentic Sources. By B. B. Thacher, Esq. Boston. Waitt & Dow. 18mo. pp. 253.

The Bravo, a Tale. By the 'Author of the Spy, Water Witch, &c.' In Two Volumes. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo.

## ORATIONS AND ADDRESSES.

A Eulogy on the Life and Character of James Monroe, Fifth President of the United States. Delivered at the request of the Corporation of the City of Boston, on the 25th of August, 1831. By John Quincy Adams. Boston. J. H. Eastburn. 12mo. pp. 100.

An Address before the Working Men's Society of Dedham. By Samuel Whitcomb, Jr. Dedham. L. Powars. 8vo. pp. 24.

A Tribute to the Memory of the late Jeremiah Evarts, Secretary of the American Board of Foreign Missions. By Gardner Spring, D. D. New York. Sleight & Robinson. 8vo. pp. 32.

A Discourse on the Philosophy of Analogy; delivered before the Phi Beta Kappa Society of Rhode Island. By Francis Wayland, Jr. D. D. Boston. Hilliard, Gray & Co. 8vo. pp. 32.

Inaugural Discourse delivered before the University in Cambridge. By Charles Follen. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 8vo. pp. 28.

An Address delivered before the Boston Sunday School Society, on the Celebration of the Fiftieth Anniversary of the Sunday School Institution. By E. S. Gannett. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 12mo. pp. 42.

An Address delivered before the Phi Beta Kappa Society. By James Kent. New Haven. H. Howe. 8vo. pp. 48.

An Address delivered before the Adelphic Union Society of Williams College. By Hon. D. D. Barnard. Williamstown. 8vo. pp. 36.

An Address delivered before the American Institute of the City of New York, at their Fourth Annual Fair. By Edward Everett. New York. Norden & Mason. 8vo. pp. 50.

Address at the Consecration of Mount Auburn. By Joseph Story. Boston. J. T. & E. Buckingham. 8vo. pp. 32.

An Appeal to the People of the United States. By a Freeholder. Boston. Hilliard, Gray & Co. 8vo. pp. 88.

An Oration before the Federal Adelphi. By Tristram Burges. Boston. Hilliard, Gray & Co. 8vo. pp. 36.

## POETRY.

A Poem delivered before the Society of United Brothers at Brown University, with other Poems. By N. P. Willis. New York. J. & J. Harper. 8vo. pp. 76.

The Buck Wheat Cake; a Poem. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 8vo. pp. 14.

The Year, with other Poems. By the 'Author of the Fall of the Indian.' A New Year's Gift. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 8vo. pp. 60.

## THEOLOGY.

A Sermon on the Death of Jeremiah Evarts, Esq. By Leonard Woods, D. D. Andover. Flagg & Gould. 1831. 8vo. pp. 27.

Prayer considered, Experimentally and Practically, in reference to the Existing State of the Churches, and the World. New-York. Jonathan Leavitt. 1831. 18mo. pp. 122.

The Ten Commandments, briefly Explained and Enforced, in the form of Questions and Answers, with Scripture Proof. By Luke A. Spofford. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 1831. 18mo. pp. 54.

A Sermon preached at the Ordination of Mr. Thomas B. Fox, as Pastor of the First Church and Religious Society in Newburyport. By Charles Lowell. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 1831. 8vo. pp. 44.

Lectures and Sermons. In Two Vols. By H. C. Knight, A. M. Vol. I. Boston. Lilly & Waitt. 12mo. pp. 278.

A Selection of Hymns; adapted to the Devotions of the Closet, the Family, and the Social Circle. By A. Alexander, D. D. New-York. J. Leavitt. 24mo. pp. 624.

An Essay on Repentance. By Thomas Scott. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 18mo. pp. 116.

A Sermon preached in the Chapel of the Theological Seminary. By W. G. Schauffler, A. M. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 8vo. pp. 22.

A Sermon preached at the Installation of the Rev. W. M. Cornell. By Erastus Maltby. Taunton. E. Anthony. 8vo. pp. 24.

The End and Essence of the Sabbath School Teaching, and the Lesson System of Teaching the Scriptures. New-York. J. Leavitt. 18mo. pp. 215.

The Spiritual Mirror; or Looking Glass, Exhibiting the Human Heart, as being either the Temple of God, or Habitation of Devils; with ten Engravings. By Peter Bondar. Newburyport. Charles Whipple. 12mo. pp. 80.

The Pleasing Expositor; or Anecdotes, illustrative of Passages of the New Testament. By John Whitecrop. New-York. J. Leavitt. 18mo. pp. 288.

A Discourse delivered at the Dedication of the North Meeting-house in Braintree. By Richard S. Storrs. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 8vo. pp. 32.

A Sermon preached by Appointment, before the Prayer Book and Homily Society, of Portland. By G. W. Doane, A. M. Stimpson & Clapp. 8vo. pp. 32.

Religious Cases of Conscience; answered in an Evangelical Manner. By J. Pike & S. Heyward; to which is added the Spiritual Companion; or the Professing Christian. Philadelphia. Tower & Hogan. 12mo. pp. 436.

Parish Scenes; or a Minister's Sketches; principally illustrative of the Utility of Sabbath Schools. Boston. James Loring. 18mo. pp. 198.

A Sermon. By J. H. Fairchild. On the Deity of Christ. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 12mo. pp. 36.

Salvation achieved only in the Present Life. A Sermon from Luke xiii. 24. By S. H. Cox, D. D. New-York. J. Leavitt. 8vo. pp. 40.

A Sermon Preached at the Park Street Meeting-house. By W. G. Schauffler, A. M. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 12mo. pp. 20.

A Sermon preached at the Ordination of Rev. Oliver Stearns. By Convers Francis. Nov. 9, 1831. Northampton. J. W. Shepherd. 8vo. pp. 36.

Church Psalmody. A Collection of Psalms and Hymns, adapted to Public Worship, selected from Dr. Watts and other Authors. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 12mo. pp. 576.

An Appeal in behalf of the Church Scholarship Society. Hartford. H. & F. J. Huntington. 12mo.

Mission Scenes in India. By John Chamberlain. Boston. James Loring. 18mo. pp. 108.

The Missionary Spirit; introductory to the Course of Monthly Lectures established by the Bishop and the Clergy of Boston and the Vicinity, to be denominated the Episcopal Missionary Lecture; delivered in Christ Church, Boston. By George W. Doane, A. M. Rector of Trinity Church. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp. pp. 34.

The Sunday School Teacher; a Sermon preached by appointment, before the Board of Managers of the General Protestant Episcopal Sunday School Union. By George Washington Doane, A. M. Rector of Trinity Church, Boston. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp. pp. 32.

#### AMERICAN EDITIONS OF FOREIGN WORKS.

The Annual Retrospect of Public Affairs. Vol. II. For 1831. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp. 1831. 12mo. pp. 322.

Female Scripture Biography; including an Essay on what Christianity has done for Women. In Two Volumes. By F. A. Cox, A. M. Boston. Lincoln & Edmands. 18mo.

Memoir of Sebastian Cabot; with a Review of the History of Maritime Discovery. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 327.

Memoirs of the Life of Sir Walter Raleigh; with some Account of the Period in which he lived. By Mrs. A. T. Thompson. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo. pp. 287.

The Library of Old English Prose Writers. Vol. II. and III. Sir Philip Sidney's Defence of Poesy.—Selden's Table Talk. Sir T. Browne's Works. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown.

The Effects of the Principal Arts, Trades, and Professions; and the Civic States, and Habits of Living in Health and Longevity; with a particular reference to the Trades and Manufactures of Leeds; and Suggestions for the removal of many of the Agents which produce Disease and shorten the duration of Life. By C. Turner Thackrah. From the London Edition, with Improvements. Philadelphia. Literary Rooms. No. 121. Chestnut St. 18mo. pp. 202.

A Practical View of Christian Education. From the Seventh London Edition. By T. Babington, Esq. With a Preliminary Essay. By T. H. Gallaudet. Hartford. Cooke & Co. 18mo. pp. 212.

The Mirror of the Graces; containing General Instructions for Combining Elegance, Simplicity, and Economy, with Fashion in Dress. By a Lady of Distinction. From the London Edition. Boston. F. S. Hill. 18mo. pp. 192.

The Light of Nature. Pursued by Abraham Tucker. With some Account of the Life of the Author. By Sir H. F. St. John Mildmas. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. Four Volumes. 8vo.

Harper's Family Library, No. XXV. Festivals, Games, and Amusements. By Horatio Smith, Esq.; with Plates. Complete in One Vol. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo. pp. 355.

The Cabinet Library. No. II. The Journal of a Naturalist. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo. pp. 286.

Knowledge for the People; or the Plain Why and Because. By John Timms. Boston. Lilly & Waitt. 18mo. pp. 72.

The Imitation of Christ; in Three Books. By Thomas a Kempis. With an Introductory Essay. By Thomas Chalmers, of Glasgow. Edited by H. Malcolm. Boston. Lincoln & Edmands. 18mo. pp. 228.

Exercises for the Closet, for Every Day in the Year. By William Jay. Second Boston Edition. Boston. Crocker & Brewster. 12mo. pp. 396.

A Practical Grammar of the French Language. By J. Rowbotham. First American Edition. By F. M. J. Surault. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 12mo. pp. 324.

An Introduction to the Study of the Greek Classic Poets. By Henry Nelson Coleridge, M. A. Part I. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo. pp. 259.

The Lives of the Players. By John Galt, Esq. In Two Volumes. Boston. F. S. Hill. 12mo. pp. 315.

The Works of the Rev. H. Scougal, A. M. S. T. P. Containing the Life of God in the Soul of Man. With nine other Discourses. Second Edition. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 18mo. pp. 272.

Library of Select Novels. No. IX. Anastasius; or Memoirs of a Greek. Written at the Close of the Eighteenth Century. In Two Volumes. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

Library of Select Novels. No. X. Philip Augustus. In Two Vols. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

The Christian Ministry; with an Inquiry into the Causes of its Inefficiency. By Rev. Charles Bridges. In Two Vols. New-York. Jonathan Leavitt. 12mo.

The Family Monitor; or a Help to Domestic Happiness. By John A. James. Boston. Crocker & Brewster. 12mo. pp. 205.

The Book of the Priesthood. An Argument in Three Parts. By Thomas Stratton. New-York. J. Leavitt. 12mo. pp. 285.

Sir Edward Seaward's Narrative of his Shipwreck, and the consequent Discovery of certain Islands in the Carribean Sea. Edited by Miss Jane Porter. Three Vols. 12mo.

Select Works of the British Poets. With Biographical and Critical Prefaces. By Dr. Aikin. Philadelphia. Thomas Wardle. 8vo. pp. 807.

Sixty Years of the Life of Jeremy Levis. In Two Vols. 12mo. New-York. G. & C. & H. Carvill.

History of Chronic Phlegmasie; or Inflammations founded on Clinical Experience. By F. I. V. Broussais, M. D. Translated from the French. By Isaac Hays, M. D. Two Volumes. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo.

The Life and Times of Rev. Richard Baxter; with a Critical Examination of his Writings. By Rev. William Orme. In Two Volumes. Boston. Crocker & Brewster. 8vo.

The Life and Death of Lord Edward Fitzgerald. By Thomas Moore. In Two Volumes. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

A Popular Treatise on Health, and the Means of Preserving it; or the Dyspeptic's Pocket Companion. By Dr. William Turnbull. New York. J. K. Porter. 18mo. pp. 92.

The Dying Thoughts of Rev. Richard Baxter; abridged by Benjamin Fawcett, M. A. New York. C. A. Wells. 18mo. pp. 186.

The Friend; a Series of Essays to aid in the Formation of Fixed Principles in Politics, Morals, and Religion. By S. T. Coleridge, Esq. First American from the Second London Edition. Burlington. Chauncy Goodrich. 8vo. pp. 500.

Classical Family Library, Nos. 3 and 4. Demosthenes. Translated by Thomas Leland, D. D. In Two Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo.

The Cabinet of Natural Philosophy; conducted by Rev. D. Lardner, LL. D. F. R. S. L. & E. A Preliminary Discourse on the Study of Natural Philosophy. By J. F. W. Herschel, M. A. Philadelphia. Carey and Lea. 12mo. pp. 275.

Family Library; Dramatic Series, No. 4. Ford's Plays. Two Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo.

Sculpture; a Poem. By Edward L. Bulwer, with a Portrait. New York. Peabody & Co. 8vo. pp. 16.

Jacqueline of Holland; an Historical Tale. Two Volumes. By Thomas C. Grattan. New York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

Library of Select Novels, No. XII. Adventures of Caleb Williams. By William Godwin, Esq. Two Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

Library of Select Novels, No. XIII. The Club Book. Two Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

A Treatise on the Education of Daughters; translated from the French of Fenelon. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 18mo. pp. 182.

Library of Religious Knowledge, No. I. Journal of Rev. Daniel Tyerman and George Bennett, in the South Sea Islands, China, India, &c. in the Years 1821 and 1829. Compiled by James Montgomery. Three Volumes. Boston. Crocker & Brewster. 12mo.

Addresses for Sunday Schools; with appropriate Prayers. By Rev. Samuel Wood, B. A. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 18mo. pp. 175.

A Treatise on Primitive or Secondary Disguised or Misplaced Fever, as a Single Disease; with the Varieties, Cause, and Treatment. By M. E. Sawyer, M. D. New York. Peabody & Co. 12mo. pp. 306.

The Tuilleries, a Tale. By the 'Author of Hungarian Tales, Romances of Real Life, &c. &c.' In Two Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

The King's Secret. By the 'Author of the Lost Heir.' In Two Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 12mo.

Adventures of a French Soldier; exemplifying the Evil, Crime, and Suffering of War. Boston. James Loring. 18mo. pp. 108.

Roxobel. By Mrs. Sherwood. In Three Volumes. New York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo.

The Poetical Works of Coleridge, Shelley, and Keats, complete in one Volume. Philadelphia. John Grigg. 8vo. pp. 430.

Bell on Baths and Mineral Waters, in Two Parts. By John Bell, M. D. Philadelphia. Literary Rooms. 12mo. pp. 532.

## CONTENTS

OF

### No. LXXXV.

ART.	PAGE.
I. SPANISH DEVOTIONAL AND MORAL POETRY . . . . .	277
1. Obras de Gonzalo de Berceo. (Coleccion de Poesias Castellanas anteriores al siglo XV. Por T. A. SANCHEZ. Tom. II.)	
2. Rimas Sacras y Doctrinales. (Floresta de Rimas Antiguas Castellanas, ordenada por J. N. BÖHL DE FABER.)	
II. AUTHORSHIP OF JUNIUS . . . . .	316
1. Memoirs of JOHN HORNE TOOKE, together with his valuable Speeches and Writings ; also, containing Proof, identifying him as the AUTHOR of the celebrated Letters of Junius. By JOHN A. GRAHAM, LL. D.	
2. The Posthumous Works of JUNIUS, to which is prefixed an Inquiry respecting the Author, and a Sketch of the Life of John Horne Tooke.	
3. Junius Unmasked, or LORD GEORGE SACKVILLE proved to be JUNIUS, with an Appendix showing that the Author of the Letters of Junius was also the Author of the History of the Reign of George III., and Author of the North Briton, ascribed to Mr. Wilkes.	
4. An Essay on Junius and his Letters, embracing a Sketch of the Life and Character of WILLIAM Pitt, Earl of Chatham, and Memoirs of certain other distinguished Individuals, with Reflections, Historical, Personal, and Political, relating to the Affairs of Great Britain and America, from 1763 to 1785. By BENJAMIN WATERHOUSE, Member of several Medical, Philosophical, and Literary Societies in Europe and America.	
5. Letters of Junius, addressed to John Pickering, Esq. showing that the Author of that celebrated Work was EARL TEMPLE. By ISAAC NEWHALL.	

CONTENTS.

<b>III. AUDUBON'S BIOGRAPHY OF BIRDS . . . . .</b>	<b>364</b>
Ornithological Biography ; or an Account of the Habits of the Birds of America ; accompanied by Descriptions of the objects represented in the work entitled the Birds of America, interspersed with Delineations of American Scenery and Manners. By JOHN JAMES AUDUBON, F. R. SS. L. and E., &c. Philadelphia. 1831.	
<b>IV. LIFE OF SEBASTIAN CABOT . . . . .</b>	<b>405</b>
A Memoir of Sebastian Cabot ; with a Review of the History of Maritime Discovery. Illustrated by Documents from the Rolls, now first published. Philadelphia. 1831.	
<b>V. INDIAN BIOGRAPHY . . . . .</b>	<b>429</b>
Collections of the Maine Historical Society. Vol. I. Portland. 1831.	
<b>VI. SPARKS'S LIFE OF GOVERNEUR MORRIS . . . . .</b>	<b>465</b>
The Life of Gouverneur Morris, with Selections from his Correspondence and Miscellaneous Papers ; detailing Events in the American Revolution, the French Revolution, and in the Political History of the United States. By JARED SPARKS. In three volumes. Boston. 1832.	
<b>VII. BARBER ON ELOCUTION . . . . .</b>	<b>494</b>
A Grammar of Elocution, containing the Principles of the Arts of Speaking and Reading ; illustrated by appropriate Exercises and Examples. By JONATHAN BARBER. New Haven. 1830.	
<b>VIII. BRYANT'S POEMS . . . . .</b>	<b>502</b>
Poems. By WILLIAM CULLEN BRYANT. New York. 1832.	
<b>IX. NORTH-EASTERN BOUNDARY . . . . .</b>	<b>514</b>
1. The Decision of the King of the Netherlands considered, in reference to the Rights of the United States and of the State of Maine. Portland. 1831.	
2. Report of the Committee of Public Lands, on the Subject of the North-Eastern Boundary. Boston. 1832.	
3. Report of the Joint Select Committee of the Legislature of Maine, on so much of the Governor's Message, as relates to the North-Eastern Boundary. Augusta. 1832.	

# NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW.

No. LXXV.

---

APRIL, 1832.

---

## ART. I.—*Spanish Devotional and Moral Poetry.*

1. *Obras de Gonzalo de Berceo.* (Coleccion de Poesias Castellanas anteriores al siglo XV. Por T. A. SANCHEZ. Tom. II.)
2. *Rimas Sacras y Doctrinales.* (Floresta de Rimas Antiguas Castellanas, ordenada por J. N. BÖHL DE FABER.)

There is hardly a chapter in literary history, more strongly marked with the peculiarities of national character, than that which contains the moral and devotional poetry of Spain. It would naturally be expected, that in this department of literature, all the fervency and depth of national feeling would be exhibited. But still, as the spirit of morality and devotion is the same spirit, wherever it exists,—as the enthusiasm of virtue and religion is every where essentially the same feeling, though modified in its degree and in its action, by a variety of physical causes and local circumstances,—and as the subject of the didactic verse and the spiritual canticle cannot be materially changed by the change of nation and climate, it might at the first glance seem quite as natural to expect, that the moral and devotional poetry of Christian countries would never be very strongly marked with national peculiarities. In other words, we should naturally expect it to correspond to the warmth or coldness of national feeling, for it is the external and visible expression of this feeling; but not to the distinctions of national character, because its nature and object being

every where the same, these distinctions become swallowed up in one universal Christian character.

In moral poetry, this is doubtless true. The great principles of Christian morality being eternal and invariable, the verse, which embodies and represents them, must, from this very circumstance, be the same in its spirit through all Christian lands. The same, however, is not necessarily true of devotional or religious poetry. There, the language of poetry is something more than the visible image of a devotional spirit. It is also an expression of religious faith ; shadowing forth with greater or less distinctness, its various creeds and doctrines. As these are different in different nations, the spirit that breathes in religious song, and the letter, that gives utterance to the doctrine of faith, will not be universally the same. Thus Catholic nations sing the praises of the Virgin Mary in language, in which nations of the Protestant faith do not unite ; and among Protestants themselves, the difference of interpretations, and the consequent belief or disbelief of certain doctrines, give a various spirit and expression to religious poetry. And yet in all, the devotional feeling,—the heavenward volition,—is the same.

So far, then, as peculiarities of religious faith exercise an influence upon intellectual habits, and thus become a part of national character, just so far will the devotional or religious poetry of a country exhibit the characteristic peculiarities, resulting from this influence of faith, and its assimilation with the national mind. Now Spain is by pre-eminence the Catholic land of Christendom. Most of her historic recollections are more or less intimately associated with the triumphs of the Christian faith ; and many of her warriors,—of her best and bravest,—were martyrs in the holy cause, perishing in that war of centuries, which was carried on within her own territories between the crescent of Mahomet and the cross of Christ. Indeed, the whole tissue of her history is interwoven with miraculous tradition. The intervention of her patron saint has saved her honor in more than one dangerous pass ; and the war-shout of *Santiago, y cierra España*, has worked like a charm upon the wavering spirit of the soldier. A reliance on the guardian ministry of the saints pervades the whole people, and devotional offerings for signal preservation in times of danger and distress, cover the consecrated walls of churches. An enthusiasm of religious feeling, and of external, ritual ob-

servances, prevails throughout the land. But more particularly is the name of the Virgin honored and adored. *Ave Maria* is the salutation of peace at the friendly threshold, and the God-speed to the way-farer. It is the evening orison when the toils of day are done ; and at midnight it echoes along the solitary street in the voice of the watchman's cry.

These and similar peculiarities of religious faith are breathing and moving through a large portion of the devotional poetry of Spain. It is not only instinct with religious feeling, but incorporated with 'the substance of things not seen.' Not only are the poet's lips touched with a coal from the altar, but his spirit is folded in the cloud of incense that rises before the shrines of the Virgin Mother and the glorious company of the saints and martyrs. His soul is not wholly swallowed up in the contemplation of the sublime attributes of the Eternal Mind, but with its lamp trimmed and burning, it goeth out to meet the bridegroom, as if he were coming in a bodily presence.

We shall first endeavor to exhibit the devotional poetry of Spain, as modified by peculiarities of religious faith. In doing this, we shall be obliged to speak of certain points of belief, which do not make a part of the Protestant faith ; but we shall do so in the spirit of those who regard the sects into which Christianity is divided, as merely different forms of the same common religion.

The history of the devotional poetry of Spain commences with the legendary lore of Maestro Gonzalvo de Berceo, a secular priest, whose life was passed in the cloisters of a Benedictine convent, and amid the shadows of the thirteenth century. The name of Berceo stands foremost on the catalogue of Spanish poets, for the author of the Poem of the Cid is unknown. The old patriarch of Spanish poetry has left a monument of his existence in upwards of thirteen thousand alexandrines, celebrating the lives and miracles of Saints, and the Virgin, as he found them written in the Latin chronicles and dusty legends of his monastery. In embodying these in rude verse in *roman paladino*, or the old Spanish romance tongue, intelligible to the common people, Fray Gonzalvo seems to have passed his life. His writings are just such as we should expect from the pen of a monk of the thirteenth century. They are more ghostly than poetical ; and throughout, unction holds the place of inspiration. Accordingly they illustrate very fully our preceding remarks, and the

more so, inasmuch as they are written with the most ample and childish credulity, and the utmost singleness of faith, touching the events and miracles described.

The following extract is taken from one of Berceo's poems, entitled 'Vida de San Millan.' It is a description of the miraculous appearance of Santiago and San Millan, mounted on snow-white steeds and fighting for the cause of Christendom, at the battle of Simancas in the *Campo de Toro*. We make our translation as literal as possible, without attempting to give it much ease or polish ; qualities which, as will be perceived, do not characterize the original.

' And when the Kings were in the field,—their squadrons in array,  
With lance in rest they onward pressed to mingle in the fray ;  
But soon upon the Christians fell a terror of their foes,—  
These were a numerous army,—a little handful those.

' And whilst the Christian people stood in this uncertainty,  
Upward toward Heaven they turned their eyes and fixed their thoughts on high ;  
And there two persons they beheld, all beautiful and bright,  
Even than the pure new-fallen snow, their garments were more white.

' They rode upon two horses more white than crystal sheen,  
And arms they bore such as before no mortal man had seen,  
The one, he held a crosier,—a pontiff's mitre wore,  
The other held a crucifix,—such man ne'er saw before.

' Quando estaban en campo los Reys, azes paradas,  
Mezclaban las feridas, las lanzas abaxadas,  
Temiense los Christianos de las obras mesnadas,  
Ca eran ellos pocos, è ellas mui granadas.

' Mientre en esta dubda sedien las buenas yentes,  
Asuso contral Cielo fueron parando mentes :  
Vieron dues personas fermosas è lucientes,  
Mucho eran mas blancas que las nieves recientes.

' Vienen en dos caballos plus blancos que cristal,  
Armas quales non vio nunqua ome mortal :  
El uno tenie croza, mitra pontifical,  
El otro una cruz, ome non vio tal.

' Their faces were angelical, celestial forms had they,—  
And downward through the fields of air they urged their rapid  
way,

They looked upon the Moorish host with fierce and angry look,  
And in their hands, with dire portent, their naked sabres shook.

' The Christian host beholding this, straightway take heart again,  
They fall upon their bended knees, all resting on the plain,  
And each one with his clenched fist to smite his breast begins,  
And promises to God on high he will forsake his sins.

' And when the heavenly knights drew near unto the battle  
ground,

They dashed among the Moors and dealt unerring blows around,  
Such deadly havoc there they made the foremost ranks along,  
A panic terror spread unto the hindmost of the throng.

' Together with these two good knights, the champions of the  
sky,

The Christians rallied and began to smite full sore and high,\*  
The Moors raised up their voices, and by the Koran swore,  
That in their lives such deadly fray they ne'er had seen before.

' Avien caras angelicas, celestial figura,  
Descendien por el aer à una grand pressura,  
Catando à los Moros con turva catadura,  
Espadas sobre mano, un signo de pavura.

' Los Christianos con esto foron mas esforzados,  
Fincaron los ynoios en tierra apeados,  
Firien todos los pechos con los puños cerrados,  
Prometiendo emienda à Dios de sus peccados.

' Quando cerca de tierra fueron los caballeros,  
Dieron entre los Moros dando golpes certeros,  
Ficieron tal domage en los mas delanteros,  
Que plegó el espanto à los mas postremeros.

' A vuelta destos ambos que del Cielo vinieron,  
Aforzaron Christianos, al ferir se metieron,  
Juraban los Morellos por la lei que prisieron,  
Que nunqua en sos dias tal priesa non ovieron.

\* We use the word in the signification it bore in the ages of Chivalry:

' Bon chevalier, n'en doutez pas,  
Doit ferir haut, et parler bas.'

'Down went the misbelievers,—fast sped the bloody fight,—  
Some ghastly and dismembered lay, and some half-dead with  
fright,  
Full sorely they repented that to the field they came,  
For they saw that from the battle they should retreat with shame.

'Another thing befell them,—they dreamed not of such woes,—  
The very arrows that the Moors shot from their twanging bows,  
Turned back against them in their flight and wounded them full  
sore,  
And every blow they dealt the foe was paid in drops of gore.

\* \* \* \*

'Now he that bore the crosier and the papal crown had on,  
Was the glorified Apostle, the brother of Saint John ;  
And he that held the crucifix, and wore the monkish hood,  
Was the holy San Millan of Cogolla's neighborhood.'

'Caien à mui grand priessa los Moros descreidos,  
Los unos desmembrados, los otros desmedridos,  
Repisos eran mucho que hi eran venidos,  
Ca entendien del pleyto que serien mal exidos.

'Cuntioliis otra cosa que ellos non sonnaban,  
Essas saetas mismas que los Moros tiraban,  
Tornaban contra ellos, en ellos se fincaban,  
La fonta que ficieron carament la comprabán.

\* \* \* \*

'El que tenie la mitra è la croza en mano,  
Essi fue el Apostol de Sant Juan ermano,  
El que la cruz tenie è el capiello plano  
Esse fue Sant Millan el varon Cogollano.'

Berceo's longest poem is entitled '*Miraclos de Nuestra Señora*,' Miracles of Our Lady. It consists of nearly four thousand lines, and contains the description of twenty-five miracles. It is a complete homily on the homage and devotion due to the Glorious Virgin, *madre de Jhu Xpo*, mother of Jesus Christ ; but is written in a low and vulgar style, strikingly at variance with the elevated character of the subject. Thus, in the twentieth miracle, we have the account of a monk who

'Entró enna bodega un dia per ventura,  
Bebió mucho del vino, esto fo sin mesura.'

Having lain on the floor till the vesper bell aroused him, he staggers off toward the church in most melancholy plight. The Evil One besets him on the way, assuming the various shapes of a bull, a dog, and a lion ; but from all these perils he is miraculously saved by the timely intervention of the Virgin, who finding him still too much intoxicated to make his way to bed, kindly takes him by the hand, leads him to his pallet, covers him with a blanket and a counterpane, smooths his pillow, and after making the sign of the cross over him, tells him to rest quietly, for sleep will do him good.

*' La Reina preciosa è de precioso fecho  
Prisolo por la mano, levólo por al lecho,  
Cubriólo con la manta è con el sobrelecho,  
Pusol so la cabeza el cabezal derecho.'*

*' Demas quando lo ovo en su lecho echado  
Sanctiguol con su diestra, è so bien sanctiguado :  
Amigo, dissol, fuelga, ca eres mui lazrado,  
Con un pocco que duermas luego seras folgado.'*

To a certain class of minds, there may be something interesting and even affecting in descriptions, which represent the spirit of a departed saint as thus assuming a corporeal shape, in order to assist and console human nature even in its baser infirmities ; but it ought also to be considered, how much such descriptions tend to strip religion of its peculiar sanctity, to bring it down from its heavenly abode, not merely to dwell among men, but like an imprisoned culprit, to be chained to the derelict of principle, manacled with the base desire and earthly passion, and forced to do the menial offices of a slave. In descriptions of this kind, as in the representations of our Saviour, and of sainted spirits in a human shape, execution must of necessity fall far short of the conception. The handiwork cannot equal the glorious archetype, which is visible only to the mental eye. Poetry, painting and sculpture are not adequate to the task of embodying in a permanent shape, the glorious visions, the radiant forms, the glimpses of heaven, which fill the imagination, when purified and exalted by devotion. The hand of man unconsciously inscribes upon all his works the sentence of imperfection, which the finger of the invisible hand wrote upon the wall of the Assyrian monarch. From this it would seem to be not only a natural, but a necessary conclusion, that all the descriptions of poetry, which bor-

row any thing either directly or indirectly from these bodily and imperfect representations, must partake of their imperfection, and assume a more earthly and material character, than those which come glowing and burning from the more spiritualized perceptions of the internal sense.

Of Berceo's Miracles of Our Lady, the following is one of the shortest and best.

' Saint Miguel of the Tumba is a convent vast and wide,  
The sea encircles it around, and groans on every side ;  
It is a wild and dangerous place, and many woes betide  
The monks who in that burial-place in penitence abide.

' Within those dark monastic walls, amid the ocean flood,  
Of pious fasting monks there dwelt a holy brotherhood ;  
To the Madonna's glory there an altar high was placed,  
And a rich and costly image the sacred altar graced.

' Exalted high upon a throne the Virgin mother smiled,  
And as the custom is, she held within her arms the child :  
The kings and wise men of the East were kneeling by her side,  
Attended was she like a queen, whom God had sanctified.

\* \* \* \*

' Descending low before her face a screen of feathers hung,  
*A moscader*, or fan for flies, 'tis called in vulgar tongue ;

' San Migael de la Tumba es un grand monesterio,  
El mar lo cerca todo, elli iaci en medio ;  
El logar perigoso, do sufren gran lacerio  
Los monges que hi viven en essi cimiterio.

' En esti monesterio que avemos nomnado,  
Avie de buenos monges buen convento probado,  
Altar de la Gloriosa rico è mui onrrado,  
En el rica imagen de precio mui granado.

' Estaba la imagen en su trono posada,  
So fijo en sus brazos, cosa es costumnada,  
Los Reis redor ella, sedie bien compannada,  
Como rica Reina de Dios santificada.

\* \* \* \*

' Colgaba delant ella un buen aventadero,  
En el seglar lenguage dicenli moscadero :

From the feathers of the peacock's wing 'twas fashioned bright  
and fair,  
And glistened like the heaven above when all its stars are there.

' It chanced that for the people's sins fell the lightning's blasting  
stroke,  
Forth from all four the sacred walls the flames consuming broke,  
The sacred robes were all consumed, missal and holy book,  
And hardly with their lives the monks their crumbling walls for-  
sook.

\* \* \* \*

' But though the desolating flame raged fearfully and wild,  
It did not reach the Virgin Queen,—it did not reach the child ;  
It did not reach the feathery screen before her face that shone,  
Nor injure in a farthing's worth the image or the throne.

' The image it did not consume, it did not burn the screen,  
Even in the value of a hair they were not hurt, I ween ;  
Not even the smoke did reach them, nor injure more the shrine,  
Than the Bishop hight Don Tello has been hurt by hand of mine.

' *Continens et contentum*,—all was in ruins laid,  
A heap of smouldering embers that holy pile was made ;

De alas de pavones lo hizo el obrero,  
Lucie como estrellas semeiant de lucero.

' Cadió rayo del Cielo por los graves peccados,  
Encendió la eglesia de todos quatro cabos,  
Quemó todos los libros è los pannos sagrados,  
Por pocco que los monges que non foron quemados.

\* \* \* \*

' Maguer que fue el fuego tan fuert è tan quemant,  
Nin plegó à la duenna, nin plegó al infant,  
Nin plegó al flabello que colgaba delant,  
Ni li hizo de danno un dinero pesant.

' Nin ardió la imagen, nin ardió el flabello,  
Nin prisieron de danno quanto val un cabello,  
Sola miente el fumo non se llegó à ello,  
Nin micio mas que nuzo io al Obispo Don Tello.

' *Continens et contentum*, fue todo astragado,  
Torno todo carbones, fo todo asolado :

But where the sacred image sat, a fathom's length around,  
The raging flame dared not approach the consecrated ground.

' It was a wondrous miracle to those that thither came,  
That the image of the Virgin was safe from smoke and flame,  
That brighter than the brightest star appeared the feathery screen,  
And seated there the child still fair,—and fair the Virgin Queen.

\* \* \* \*

' The Virgin Queen,—the sanctified, who from an earthly flame  
Preserved the robes that pious hands had hung around her frame,  
Thus from an ever-burning fire her servants shall deliver,  
And lead them to that high abode, where the good are blessed  
forever.'

Mas redor de la imagen quanto es un estado,  
Non fizo mal el fuego ca non era osado.

' Esto lo vieron todos por fiera maravella,  
Que nin fumo nin fuego non se llegó à ella,  
Que sedie el flabello mas claro que estrella,  
El ninno mui fermoso fermosa la poncella.

\* \* \* \*

' La Virgo benedicta Reina general  
Como libró su toca de esti fuego tal,  
Asin libra sus siervos del fuego perennal,  
Lievalos à la gloria do nunqua vean mal.'

The devotion paid at the shrine of the Virgin, is one of the most prominent and characteristic features of the Catholic religion. In Spain it is one of its most attractive features. In the Southern Provinces, in Granada, and in Andalusia,—*la tierra de Jesus, y de Maria santissima*, the land of Jesus and the blessed Mary,—this adoration is most ardent and enthusiastic. There is one of its outward observances which has struck us as beautiful and impressive, and which is there performed with peculiar decorum and solemnity. We refer to the *Ave Maria*, or evening service of the Virgin. Just as the evening twilight commences, the bell tolls to prayer. In a moment, throughout the crowded city, the hum of business ceases. The thronged streets are still ; the gay multitudes, that crowd the public walks, stand motionless ; the angry dispute ceases ; the laugh of pleasure dies away ; life seems for

a moment to be arrested in its career, and to stand still. The multitude uncover their heads, and with the sign of the cross, whisper their evening prayer to the Virgin. Then the bells ring a merrier peal ; the crowds move again in the streets, and the rush and turmoil of business re-commence. We have always listened with feelings of solemn pleasure to the bell that sounded forth the Ave Maria. As it announced the close of day, it seemed also to call the soul from its worldly occupations to repose and devotion. There is something beautiful in thus measuring the march of time. The hour, too, naturally brings the heart into unison with the feelings and sentiments of devotion. The close of the day,—the shadows of evening,—the calm of twilight,—inspire a feeling of tranquillity ; and though we differ from the Catholic in regard to the object of his supplication, yet it seems to us a beautiful and appropriate solemnity, that at the close of each daily epoch of life, which, if it have not been fruitful in incidents to ourselves, has, nevertheless, been so to many of the human family,—the voice of a whole people, and of the whole world, should go up to Heaven in praise, and supplication, and thankfulness.

The foregoing remarks will enable our readers to understand more perfectly the following lines from the pen of Alonso de Bonilla. They contain a kind of dialogue between the soul and the heavenly bridegroom, and refer to the twilight hour of the *oracion*, as one of more than common sanctity, in which the heavenly spirit seems most willing to penetrate and pervade the soul.

' Beloved bride, come, let us hold  
 Sweet converse now.'—Yes, if it be,  
 Fair bridegroom of my heart, with thee.  
 ' Then in the garden I will wait.'  
 When ?—' When the vesper bell hath tolled.  
 And in that solemn hour of prayer,  
 My spirit shall commune with thine,—

' ¡Quieres hoy conversacion  
 querida esposa ?'—Sí quiero,  
 esposo del corazon.—  
 ' Pues en el jardin te espero.'  
 — ¡A que hora ?'—' A la oracion.  
 A la oracion no me niego,  
 que esta es la perfecta hora,

Thy soul its host,—thy breast its shrine.'  
 —If at that hour I am not there?—  
 ' Soul, soul!—will thou resist the power  
 And holy influence of that hour?  
 Oh no!—oh no!—where'er thou art,  
 I come, sweet bridegroom of my heart!  
 ' Then in the garden I will wait.  
 When?—' When the vesper bell hath tolled.  
 If thy devotion constant be,  
 Within my bosom thou shalt see  
 Diviner flowers their leaves unfold.'  
 —And wilt thou give me one sweet flower?  
 ' Yes,—give thee many rich and rare,  
 If at that consecrated hour  
 Thy soul shall meet me in the prayer  
 Of its affections uncontrolled.'  
 —Thou bridegroom of my heart,—it will.  
 ' Then in the garden wait I still.'  
 When?—' When the vesper bell hath tolled.'

en que á las almas me entrego.'  
 —*i* Y si a la oracion no llego?—  
 ' Has por llegar á tal hora,  
 goza tan buena ocasion,  
 alma, *i* no quieres?—Sí quiero,  
 esposo del corazon.—  
 ' Pues en el jardin te espero.'  
 —*i* A que hora?—' A la oracion.'  
 ' Si acaso te determinas  
 en mi pecho hallaras  
 jardin de flores divinas.'  
 —*i* Y alguna flor me darás?  
 ' Darte he flores peregrinas  
 pero con tal condicion  
 que me has de querer.'—Sí quiero  
 esposo del corazon.—  
 ' Pues en el jardin te espero.'  
 —*i* A que hora?—' A la oracion.'

The following singular production on the Nativity of Christ, is from the pen of Luis de Gongora, a poet who flourished in the last half of the sixteenth century.

'To day from the Aurora's bosom  
 A pink has fallen,—a crimson blossom :  
 And oh, how glorious rests the hay  
 On which the fallen blossom lay.

'When silence gently had unfurled  
 Her mantle over all below,  
 And, crowned with winter's frost and snow,  
 Night swayed the sceptre of the world,  
 Amid the gloom descending slow,  
 Upon the monarch's frozen bosom  
 A pink has fallen,—a crimson blossom.

'The only flower the Virgin bore  
 (Aurora fair,) within her breast,  
 She gave to earth, yet still possessed  
 Her virgin blossom as before :  
 The hay that colored drop caressed,—  
 Received upon its faithful bosom  
 That single flower,—a crimson blossom.

'The manger, unto which 'twas given,  
 Even amid wintry snows and cold,

'Caido se le ha un clavel  
 hoy á la aurora del seno :  
 ¡que glorioso que está el heno  
 porque ha caido sobre él !

'Cuando el silencio tenia  
 todas las cosas del suelo,  
 y coronada de hielo  
 reinaba la noche fria,  
 en medio la monarquia  
 de tiniebla tan cruel :  
 caido se le ha un clavel.

'De un solo clavel ceñida  
 la Virgen (aurora bella)  
 al mundo le dió, y ella  
 quedó cual antes florida :  
 á la púrpura caida  
 siempre fué el heno fiel :  
 caido se le ha un clavel.

'El heno pues que fué dino  
 á pesar de tantas nieves,

Within its fostering arms to fold  
 The blushing flower that fell from Heaven,  
 Was as a canopy of gold,—  
 A downy couch,—where on its bosom  
 That flower had fallen,—that crimson blossom.'

de ver en sus brazos leves  
 este rosicler divino,  
 para su lecho fué lino,  
 oro para su dosel :  
 caido se le ha un clavel.'

We have selected this, as well as the preceding piece, in preference to any of the numerous hymns and direct ascriptions of praise and glory to the Virgin, as better illustrating how far this peculiarity of religious faith, thus faintly traced in song, has passed into a mental habit. These indirect allusions, these more remote and imperfect delineations of a sacred doctrine, show how closely and deeply its belief has become inwrought with all the religious associations of the mind. There are also very numerous examples of more direct appeals to the Virgin Mary, and of hymns of praise and adoration addressed to her. These are not, however, generally speaking, remarkable for their poetic merit. The following is one of the best. It is from the pen of Fray Luis Ponce de Leon, one of the most beautiful and harmonious of the Spanish Poets. The subject is the Assumption of the Virgin.

'Lady! thine upward flight  
 The opening heavens receive with joyful song :  
 Blest, who thy garments bright  
 May seize, amid the throng,  
 And to the sacred mount float peacefully along.

'Bright angels are around thee,  
 They that have served thee from thy birth are there :

'Al cielo vais, Señora,  
 y allá os reciben con alegre canto :  
 ¡o quien pudiese agora  
 asirse de vuestro manto,  
 para subir con vos al monte santo !

'De angeles sois llevada,  
 de quien servida sois desde la cuna :

'Their hands with stars have crowned thee ;  
 Thou,—peerless Queen of air,  
 As sandals to thy feet the silver moon dost wear.

' Celestial dove ! so meek  
 And mild and fair !—oh, let thy peaceful eye  
 This thorny valley seek,  
 Where such sweet blossoms lie,  
 But where the sons of Eve in pain and sorrow sigh.

' For if the imprisoned soul  
 Could catch the brightness of that heavenly way,  
 'Twould own its sweet control  
 And gently pass away,  
 Drawn by its magnet power to an eternal day.'

de estrellas coronada  
 tal reina habrá ninguna,  
 pues por chapin llevais la blanca luna.

' Volved los blandos ojos,  
 ave preciosa, sola humilde y nueva,  
 al val de los abrojos,  
 que tales flores lleva,  
 do suspirando estan los hijos de Eva.

' Que si con clara vista  
 mirais las tristes almas de este suelo,  
 con propriedad no vista  
 las subireis de vuelo,  
 como perfecta piedra de iman al cielo.'

It is far from our intention to utter any sweeping denunciation against the divine arts of painting and sculpture, as employed in the exhibition of Scriptural scenes and personages. These we esteem meet ornaments for the house of God. Though, as we have already said, their execution cannot equal the high conceptions of an ardent imagination, yet whenever the hand of a master is visible,—when the marble almost moves before you, and the painting starts into life from the canvass, the effect upon an enlightened mind will generally, if not universally, be to quicken its sensibilities and excite to more ardent devotion, by carrying the thoughts beyond the representations of bodily suffering, to the contemplation of the intenser mental agony,—the moral sublimity exhibited by the martyr. The im-

pressions produced, however, will not be the same in all minds ; they will necessarily vary according to the prevailing temper and complexion of the mind, which receives them. As there is no sound where there is no ear to receive the impulses and vibrations of the air, so is there no moral impression,—no voice of instruction from all the works of nature, and all the imitations of art,—unless there be within the soul itself a capacity for hearing the voice, and receiving the moral impulse. The cause exists eternally and universally ; but the effect is produced only when and where the cause has room to act, and just in proportion as it has room to act. Hence the various moral impressions, and the several degrees of the same moral impression, which an object may produce in different minds. These impressions will vary in kind and in degree, according to the acuteness and the cultivation of the internal moral sense. And thus the representations spoken of above might exercise a very favorable influence upon an enlightened and well regulated mind, and at the same time a very unfavorable influence upon an unenlightened and superstitious one. And the reason is obvious. An enlightened mind beholds all things in their just proportions, and receives from them the true impressions they are calculated to convey. It is not hood-winked,—it is not shut up in a gloomy prison, till it thinks the walls of its own dungeon the limits of the universe, and the reach of its own chain the outer verge of all intelligence ; but it walks abroad ; the sunshine and the air pour in to enlighten and expand it ; the various works of Nature are its ministering angels ;—the glad recipient of light and wisdom, it develops new powers and acquires increased capacities, and thus, rendering itself less subject to error, assumes a nearer similitude to the Eternal Mind. But not so the dark and superstitious mind. It is filled with its own antique and mouldy furniture, —the moth-eaten tome,—the gloomy tapestry,—the dusty curtain. The straggling sunbeam from without streams through the stained window, and as it enters assumes the colors of the painted glass ;—whilst the half-extinguished fire within, now smouldering in its ashes, and now shooting forth a quivering flame, casts fantastic shadows through the chambers of the soul. Within the spirit sits, lost in its own abstractions. The voice of nature from without is hardly audible ; her beauties are unseen, or seen only in shadowy forms, through a colored medium, and with a strained and distorted vision. The

invigorating air does not enter that mysterious chamber ; it visits not that lonely inmate, who, breathing only a close exhausted atmosphere, exhibits in the languid frame and feverish pulse, the marks of lingering, incurable disease. The picture is not too strongly sketched ;—such is the contrast between the free and the superstitious mind. Upon the latter, which has little power over its ideas,—to generalize them,—to place them in their proper light and position,—to reason upon, to discriminate, to judge them in detail,—and thus to arrive at just conclusions, but on the contrary receives every crude and inadequate impression as it first presents itself, and treasures it up as an ultimate fact,—upon such a mind, we think that representations of Scripture scenes, like those mentioned above, exercise an unfavorable influence. Such a mind cannot rightly estimate,—it cannot feel the work of a master, and a miserable daub, or a still more miserable caricature carved in wood, will serve only to strengthen the chains,—to drive the rivet closer in the fetters,—which hold it down to earth. Thus in the unenlightened mind, these representations have a tendency to sensualize and desecrate the character of holy things. Being brought constantly before the eye, and represented in a real and palpable form to the external senses, they lose, by being made too familiar, that peculiar sanctity, with which the mind naturally invests the unseen and invisible.

It is curious to observe the influence of the circumstances just referred to, upon the devotional poetry of Spain.\* Some-

\* The following beautiful little hymn in Latin, written by the celebrated Francisco Xavier, the friend and companion of Loyola, and from his zeal in the Eastern Missions, surnamed the Apostle of the Indias, would hardly have originated in any mind, but that of one familiar with the representations, of which we have spoken above.

‘ O Deus ! ego amo te :  
Nec amo te, ut salves me,  
Aut quia non amantes te  
Aeterno punis igne.  
  
‘ Tu, tu, mi Jesu, totum me  
Amplexus es in cruce.  
Tulisti clavos, lanceam  
Multamque ignominiam :  
Innumeros dolores  
Sudores et angores,  
Ac mortem : et haec propter me  
Ac pro me peccatore.

‘ O God ! my spirit loves but thee,  
Not that in heaven its home may be,  
Nor that the souls which love not  
thee  
Shall groan in fire eternally.

‘ But thou on the accursed tree  
In mercy hast embraced me.  
For me, the cruel *nails*,—*the spear*,  
The ignominious scoff didst bear,  
Countless, unutterable woes,—  
The bloody sweat,—death’s pangs  
and throes,  
These thou didst bear,—all these for  
me,  
A sinner and estranged from thee.

times it exhibits itself directly and fully ; at others, more indirectly and incidentally ; but always with sufficient clearness to indicate its origin. Sometimes it destroys the beauty of a poem by a miserable conceit ; at others, it gives it the character of a beautiful allegory. In illustration, we give the following sonnets. They are from the hand of the wonderful Lope de Vega.

‘ Shepherd ! that with thine amorous sylvan song,  
Hast broken the slumber that encompassed me,—  
That madest thy crook from the accursed tree,  
On which thy powerful arms were stretched so long,

‘ Lead me to mercy’s ever-flowing fountains,  
For thou my shepherd, guard and guide shalt be,  
I will obey thy voice, and wait to see  
Thy feet all beautiful upon the mountains.

‘ Hear, Shepherd !—thou that for thy flock art dying,  
O wash away these scarlet sins, for thou  
Rejoicest at the contrite sinner’s vow.

‘ Pastor, que con tus silvos amorosos  
me despertaste del profundo sueño :  
tú, que hiciste cayado de ese leño  
en que tiendes los brazos poderosos.

‘ Vuelve los ojos á mi fe piadosos,  
pues te confieso por mi amor y dueño,  
y la palabra de seguir empeño  
tus dulces silvos y tus pies hermosos.

‘ Oye, pastor, que por amores mueres,  
no te espante el grandor de mis pecados,  
pues tan amigo de rendidos eres.

‘ Cur igitur non amem te  
O Jesu amantissime ?  
Non ut in cœlo salves me,  
Aut ne æternum damnes me,  
Nec præmii ullius spe :  
Sed sicut tu amasti me,  
Sic amo et amabo te :  
Solum quia rex meus es,  
Et solum quia Deus es.  
Amen.’

‘ And wherefore no affection show,  
Jesus, to thee that lov’st me so ?  
Not that in Heaven my home may be,  
Not lest I die eternally,—  
Nor from the hope of joys above me ;  
But even as thyself didst love me,  
So love I, and will ever love thee :  
Solely because my King art thou,  
My God forevermore as now.  
Amen.’

‘O wait!—to thee my weary soul is crying,—  
Wait for me!—yet why ask it, when I see,  
*With feet nailed to the cross*, thou art waiting still for me.’

‘Espera, pues, y escucha mis cuidados :  
pero como te digo que me esperes,  
si estas para esperar *los pies clavados*.’

The sonnet which follows, though of a higher cast, had its origin in the same kind of feelings and reflections as the foregoing.

‘Lord, what am I, that with unceasing care  
Thou didst seek after me,—that thou didst wait  
Wet with unhealthy dews, before my gate,  
And pass the gloomy nights of winter there ?

‘O strange delusion!—that I did not greet  
Thy bless’d approach, and oh, to Heaven how lost,  
If my ingratitude’s unkindly frost  
Has chilled the bleeding wounds upon thy feet.

‘How oft my guardian angel gently cried,  
Soul, from thy casement look without and see  
How he persists to knock and wait for thee !

‘And oh! how often, to that voice of sorrow,  
To-morrow we will open, I replied,  
And when the morrow came, I answer’d still, to-morrow.’

‘¡Qué tengo yo que mi amistad procuras?  
¡qué interés se te sigue, Jesus mio,  
que á mi puerta cubierto de rocío  
pasas las noches del invierno escuras ?

‘¡O cuanto fueron mis entrañas duras!  
pues no te abrí : ¡que extraño desvarío!  
si de mi ingratitud el hielo frio  
pasmó las llagas de tus plantas puras.

‘Cuantas veces mi Angel me decia :  
alma, asómate ahora á la ventana,  
verás con cuanto amor llamar porfia.

‘Y cuantas, hermosura soberana,  
mañana le abriremos, respondia,  
para lo mismo responder mañana.’

We recollect but few instances of this kind of figurative poetry in our language. There is, however, one of most exquisite beauty and pathos, far surpassing any thing we have seen of the kind in Spanish. It is a passage from Cowper.

'I was a stricken deer, that left the herd  
 Long since : with many an arrow deep infixt  
 My panting side was charged, when I withdrew  
 To seek a tranquil death in distant shades.  
 There was I found by one, who had himself  
 Been hurt by the archers : in his side he bore,  
 And in his hands and feet, the cruel scars.  
 With gentle force soliciting the darts,  
 He drew them forth, and healed, and bade me live.'

Here the reader will be at no loss to decide upon the comparative merits of the different manners, in which the allusion is made by the Spanish and by the English poet.

Lope de Vega, the writer whom we have just quoted, is the most voluminous author of Sacred Poetry, recorded in the literary history of Spain. Most of his pieces, however, in this department, are of a very unedifying kind. He is too much given to quibbles and levity.

The most remarkable portion of the devotional poetry of the Spaniards, is, however, to be found in their sacred dramas, their *Vidas de Santos* and *Autos Sacramentales*. These had their origin in the *Mysteries* and *Moralities* of the dark ages ; and are indeed monstrous creations of the imagination. The *Vidas de Santos* or Lives of Saints, are representations of their miracles, and of the wonderful traditions concerning them. The *Autos Sacramentales* have particular reference to the eucharist and the ceremonies of the *Corpus Cristi*. In these theatrical pieces, are introduced upon the stage, not only Angels and Saints, but God, the Saviour, the Virgin Mary, and in strange juxtaposition with these, devils, peasants, and kings ; in fine, they contain the strangest medley of characters, real and allegorical, which the imagination can conceive. As if this were not enough, in the midst of what is intended as a solemn religious celebration, scenes of low buffoonery are often introduced.

The most remarkable of the *Autos* which we have perused, is *La Devocion de la Cruz*; The Devotion of the Cross. It is one of the most celebrated of Calderon's sacred dramas;

and will serve as an example of that class of writing. As it will throw much light upon this part of our subject, we shall give a brief analysis of it, by way of illustration to our foregoing remarks. The piece commences by a dialogue between Lisardo, the son of Curcio, a decayed nobleman, and Eusebio, the hero of the play and lover of Julia, Lisardo's sister. Though the father's extravagance has wasted his estates, Lisardo is deeply offended that Eusebio should aspire to an alliance with the family, and draws him into a secluded place in order to settle their dispute with the sword. Here the scene opens, and in the course of the dialogue, which precedes the combat, Eusebio relates that he was born at the foot of a cross, which stood in a rugged and desert part of those mountains; that the virtue of this cross preserved him from the wild beasts; that being found by a peasant, three days after his birth, he was carried to a neighboring village, and there received the name of Eusebio of the Cross; that being thrown by his nurse into a well, he was heard to laugh, and was found floating upon the top of the water, with his hands placed upon his mouth in the form of a cross; that the house, in which he dwelt, being consumed by fire, he escaped unharned amid the flames, and it was found to be Corpus Cristi day; and in fine, after relating many other similar miracles worked by the power of the cross, at whose foot he was born, he says that he bears its image miraculously stamped upon his breast. After this they fight, and Lisardo falls mortally wounded. In the next scene, Eusebio has an interview with Julia at her father's house;—they are interrupted, and Eusebio conceals himself;—Curcio enters, and informs Julia that he has determined to send her that day to a convent, that she may take the veil, *para ser de Cristo esposa*. Whilst they are conversing, the dead body of Lisardo is brought in by peasants, and Eusebio is declared to be the murderer. The scene closes by the escape of Eusebio. The second act or *jornada*, discovers Eusebio as the leader of a band of robbers. They fire upon a traveller, who proves to be a priest, named Alberto, and who is seeking a spot in those solitudes, wherein to establish a hermitage. The shot is prevented from taking effect by a book, which the pious old man carries in his bosom, and which he says is a 'treatise on the true origin of the divine and heavenly tree, on which, dying with courage and fortitude, Christ triumphed over death; in fine, the book is called the Miracles of the Cross.' They suf-

fer the priest to depart unharmed, who in consequence promises Eusebio, that he shall not die without confession, but that wherever he may be, if he but call upon his name, he will hasten to absolve him. In the mean time, Julia retires to a convent, and Curcio goes with an armed force in pursuit of Eusebio, who has resolved to gain admittance to Julia's convent. He scales the walls of the convent by night, and silently gropes his way along the corridor. Julia is discovered sleeping in her cell, with a taper beside her. He is, however, deterred from executing his malicious designs, by discovering upon her breast the form of a cross similar to that, which he bears upon his own, and 'Heaven would not suffer him, though so great an offender, to lose his respect for the cross.' To be brief, he leaps from the convent walls, and escapes to the mountains. Julia, counting her honor lost, having offended God, *como á Dios, y como á esposo*, in despair pursues him,—descends the ladder from the convent wall, and when she again seeks to return to her cell, finds the ladder has been removed. In her despair, she accuses Heaven of having withdrawn its clemency, and vows to perform such deeds of wickedness as shall terrify both Heaven and earth, and cause

'Horror al mismo pecado  
y terror al mismo infierno.'

The third *jornada* transports the scene back to the mountains. Julia, disguised in man's apparel, with her face concealed, is brought to Eusebio by a party of the banditti. She challenges him to single combat; and he accepts the challenge on condition, that his antagonist shall declare who he is. Julia discovers herself; and relates several horrid murders she had committed since leaving the convent. Their interview is here interrupted by the entrance of banditti, who inform Eusebio that Curcio, with an armed force, from all the neighboring villages, is approaching. The attack commences. Eusebio and Curcio meet, but a secret and mysterious sympathy prevents them from fighting; and a great number of peasants coming in at this moment, rush upon Eusebio in a body, and he is thrown down a precipice. There Curcio discovers him, expiring with his numerous wounds. The *denouement* of the piece commences. Curcio, moved by compassion, ex-

anines a wound in Eusebio's breast, discovers the mark of the cross, and thereby recognises him to be his son. Eusebio expires, calling on the name of Alberto, who shortly after enters, as if lost in those mountains. A voice from the dead body of Eusebio calls his name. We transcribe a part of the scene, with a literal translation.

' *Eusebio.* Alberto !  
 ' *Alberto.* Hark !—what breath  
     Of fearful voice is this,  
     Which uttering my name  
     Sounds in my ears ?

' *Eus.* Alberto !  
 ' *Alb.* Again it doth pronounce  
     My name : methinks the voice  
     Came from this side : I will  
     Approach. . . . .

' *Eus.* Alberto !  
 ' *Alb.* Hist ! more near it sounds.  
     Thou voice, that ridest swift  
     The wind, and utterest my name,  
     Who art thou ?

' *Eus.* I am Eusebio.  
     Come, good Alberto, this way come,  
     Where sepulchred I lie ;

' *Eusebio.* Alberto !  
 ' *Alberto.* ¡ Qué aliento es este  
     de una temerosa voz,  
     que, repitiendo mi nombre,  
     en mis oídos sonó ?

' *Eus.* Alberto !  
 ' *Alb.* Otra vez pronuncia  
     mi nombre, y me pareció  
     que es á esta parte ; yo quiero  
     ir llegando . . . .

' *Eus.* Alberto !  
 ' *Alb.* Mas cerca suena.  
     ¡ Voz, que discurses veloz  
     el viento, y mi nombre dices,  
     quien eres ?

' *Eus.* Eusebio soy.  
     Llega, Alberto, hácia esta parte,  
     adonde enterrado estoy ;

Approach, and raise these branches :  
Fear not.

‘ Alb. I do not fear.  
[*Discovers the body.*

. . . Now I behold thee.  
Speak, in God’s holy name  
What would’st thou with me ?

‘ Eus. In his name,  
My faith, Alberto, called thee,  
That previous to my death  
Thou hearest my confession.  
Long since I should have died,  
And this stiff corpse resigned  
The disembodied soul ;  
But the strong mace of death  
Smote only, and dissevered not  
The spirit and the flesh. [*Rises.*  
Come, then, Alberto, that I may  
Confess my sins, for oh !—they are  
More than the sands beside the sea,  
Or motes that fill the sunbeam.

Llega, y levanta estos ramos ;  
no temas.

‘ Alb. No temo yo.  
[*Alberto le descubre.*

. . . Ya estás descubierto.  
Dime de parte de Dios,  
i qué me quieres ?

‘ Eus. De su parte  
mi fe, Alberto, te llamó,  
para que, antes de morir,  
me oyeses de confesión.  
Rato ha que hubiera muerto,  
pero libre se quedó  
del espíritu el cadáver ;  
que de la muerte el feroz  
golpe le privó del uso,  
pero no le dividó. [*Levántase.*  
Ven adonde mis pecados  
confiese, Alberto, que son  
mas que del mar las arenas,  
y los atamos del sol.

So much with Heaven avails  
Devotion to the Cross.'

Tanto con el cielo puede  
de la Cruz la Devacion.'

Eusebio then retires to confess himself to Alberto ; and Curcio afterwards relates, that when the venerable saint had given him absolution, his body again fell dead at his feet.' Julia discovers herself, overwhelmed with the thoughts of her incestuous passion for Eusebio and her other crimes, and as Curcio in a transport of indignation endeavors to kill her, she seizes a cross which stands over Eusebio's grave, and with it ascends to Heaven, while Alberto shouts *gran milagro*, and the curtain falls.

Thus far we have spoken of the devotional poetry of Spain as modified by the peculiarities of religious faith and practice. Considered apart from the dogmas of a creed, and as the expression of those pure and elevated feelings of religion, which are not the prerogative of any one sect or denomination, but the common privilege of all, it possesses strong claims to our admiration and praise. We know of nothing in any modern tongue so beautiful, as some of its finest passages. The thought springs heavenward from the soul,—the language comes burning from the lip. The imagination of the poet seems spiritualized ; with nothing of earth and all of Heaven ;—a Heaven, like that of his own native clime, without a cloud, or a vapor of earth to obscure its brightness. This voice, speaking the harmonious accents of that noble tongue, seems to flow from the lips of an angel,—melodious to the ear, and to the internal sense,—breathing those

'Effectual whispers, whose still voice  
The soul itself more feels than hears.'

The following sonnets are by Francisco de Aldana, a writer remarkable for the beauty of his conceptions, and the harmony of his verse. In what glowing language he describes the aspirations of the soul for its paternal Heaven,—its celestial home ! —how beautifully portrays in a few lines the strong desire, the ardent longing of the exiled and imprisoned spirit, to wing its flight away and be at rest !

‘ Clear fount of light ! my native land on high,  
 Bright with a glory that shall never fade !  
 Mansion of truth !—without a veil or shade,  
 Thy holy quiet meets the spirit’s eye.

‘ There dwells the soul in its ethereal essence,  
 Gasping no longer for life’s feeble breath ;  
 But, sentinelled in Heaven, its glorious presence  
 With pitying eye beholds, yet fears not death.

‘ Beloved country !—banished from thy shore,  
 A stranger in this prison-house of clay,  
 The exiled spirit weeps and sighs for thee !

‘ Heavenward the bright perfections I adore  
 Direct, and the sure promise cheers the way,  
 That whither love aspires, there shall my dwelling be.’

‘ ¡Clara fuente de luz !.¡ nuevo y hermoso  
 ríco de luminarias, patrio cielo !  
 ¡casa de la verdad, sin sombra ó velo,  
 de inteligencias ledo almo reposo !

‘ ¡O como allá te estás, cuerpo glorioso,  
 tan lejos del mortal caduco anhelo.  
 casi un Argos divino, alzado á vuelo  
 de nuestro humano error libre y piadoso.

‘ ¡O patria amada ! á tí sospira y llora  
 esta en su cárcel alma peregrina,  
 llevada errando de uno en otro instante.

‘ Esa cierta beldad que me enamora  
 suerte y sazon me otorgue tan benina  
 que do sube el amor llegue el amante.’

The thought, with which the following sonnet closes, strikes us as uncommon and beautiful.

‘ O Lord ! that seest from yon starry height  
 Centred in one the future and the past,  
 Fashioned in thine own image, see how fast  
 The world obscures in me what once was bright !

‘ ¡Señor ! que allá de la estrellada cumbre  
 todo lo ves en un presente eterno,  
 mira tu hechura en mí, que al ciego infierno  
 la lleva su terrena pesadumbre.

‘ Eternal Sun !—the warmth which thou hast given  
To cheer life’s flowery April, fast decays,  
Yet in the hoary winter of my days,  
Forever green shall be my trust in Heaven.

‘ Celestial King ! O let thy presence pass  
Before my spirit, and an image fair  
Shall meet that look of mercy from on high,

‘ As the reflected image in a glass  
Doth meet the look of him, who seeks it there,  
And owe’ its being to the gazer’s eye.’

‘ ¡ Eterno Sol ! ya la encendida lumbre  
de este mi alegre Abril florido y tierno  
muera, mas siento en el nevado invierno  
tan verda la raiz de su costumbre.

‘ En mí tu imágen mira, ¡ O Rey divino !  
con ojos de piedad, que al dulce encuentro  
del rayo celestial verás volvella :

‘ que á verse como en vidrio cristalino  
la imágen mira el que se espeja dentro,  
y está en su vista de él, su mirar de ella.’

Foremost among the sacred poets of Spain stands the gentle enthusiast, Luis Ponce de Leon. He was born at Granada, in the year 1527, and died at the advanced age of sixty-three, while exercising the high functions of general and provincial Vicar of Salamanca. Though descended from the noble family of the Ponces de Leon, the pleasures and honors of the great world seem to have had no attractions for him. From early youth, his mind was wrapt up in the study of poetry, and in moral and religious contemplations. At the early age of sixteen, he made his theological profession in the Order of St. Augustine, and in his thirty-third year was invested with the dignity of Doctor of Theology. In the retirement of the cloister, his ardent mind gave itself up to its favorite pursuits ; and his poetic imagination was purified and exalted by a strong moral sense and a pure and elevated piety. His devotional poems, which, according to his own testimony, were composed in his youth, exhibit the amiable enthusiasm of that age, and all the beauty of a religious mind, abstracted from the world, and absorbed in its own meditations and de-

votions. He seems, however, to have been at no period of his life a fanatic. Indeed he was himself thrown into the dungeons of the Inquisition, for having translated into the vulgar tongue the Song of Solomon, at a time when all translations of the holy Scriptures were strictly prohibited. There he remained for nearly five years ; but even in the darkness of his dungeon, enjoying the light of his own pure mind,—free, though imprisoned,—injured, yet unrepining. In one of his letters he says, ‘ Shut out not only from the conversation and society of men, but from their very sight, for nearly five years I was surrounded by darkness and a dungeon’s walls. Then I enjoyed a tranquillity and satisfaction of mind, which I often look for in vain, now that I am restored to the light of day, and to the grateful intercourse of friends.’ It was the visitation of holy thought, like a messenger from Heaven,—the bright presence of his own spirit, like the presence of an angel, which, in imagination, made the iron gate of his prison swing open, and set the prisoner free.

Emanating from a mind like this, the poems of Luis de Leon flowed sweetly from his pen. They are equally remarkable for beauty of thought, and harmony of versification. Thoroughly acquainted with the odes of Horace, he transferred the classic elegance of their style to his own compositions ; and at the same time breathing into them a tone of high and sincere devotional feeling, he has given them a character unsurpassed, if not unequalled in modern devotional poetry.

As a specimen of the Odes of Luis de Leon, we select the following. We avail ourselves of Bryant’s beautiful translation, although, as the reader may see, it departs in one or two instances from the strict meaning of the original.

#### ON HEAVENLY LIFE.

‘ Region of life and light !  
 Land of the good, whose earthly toils are o’er !  
 Nor frost nor heat may blight  
 Thy vernal beauty ; fertile shore,  
 Yielding thy blessed fruits for evermore !

#### DE LA VIDA DEL CIELO.

‘ Alma region luciente,  
 prado de bienandanza, que ni al hielo  
 ni con el rayo ardiente  
 falleces, fertil suelo,  
 producidor eterno de consuelo,

‘ There, without crook or sling,  
 Walks the good shepherd ; blossoms white and red  
 Round his meek temples cling ;  
 And, to sweet pastures led,  
 His own loved flock beneath his eye are fed.

‘ He guides, and near him they  
 Follow delighted ; for he makes them go  
 Where dwells eternal May,  
 And heavenly roses blow,  
 Deathless, and gathered but again to grow.

‘ He leads them to the height  
 Named of the infinite and long sought Good,  
 And fountains of delight ;—  
 And where his feet have stood  
 Springs up, along the way, their tender food ;

‘ And when in the mid skies  
 The climbing sun has reached his highest bound,  
 Reposing as he lies,  
 With all his flock around,  
 He witches the still air with modulated sound.

‘ De púrpura y de nieve  
 florida la cabeza coronado,  
 á dulces pastos mueve  
 sin honda ni cayado  
 el buen pastor en tí su hato amado.

‘ El va, y en pos dichoses  
 le siguen sus ovejas do las pace  
 con inmortales rosas,  
 con flor que siempre nace,  
 y cuanto mas se goza mas renace.

‘ Ya dentro á la montaña  
 del alto bien las guia, ya en la vena  
 del gozo fiel las baña,  
 y les da mesa llena,  
 pastor y pasto el solo y suerte buena.

Y de su esfera cuando  
 la cumbre toca altísimo subido  
 el sol, él seseando  
 de su hato ceñido  
 con dulce son deleita el santo oido.

‘ From his sweet lute flow forth  
 Immortal harmonies, of power to still  
 All passions born of earth,  
 And draw the ardent will  
 Its destiny of goodness to fulfil.

‘ Might but a little part,  
 A wandering breath of that high melody,  
 Descend into my heart,  
 And change it, till it be  
 Transformed and swallowed up, oh love, in thee ;

‘ Ah then my soul should know,  
 Beloved ! where thou liest at noon of day,  
 And from this place of woe  
 Released, should take its way  
 To mingle with thy flock, and never stray.’

Toca el rabel sonoro  
 y el inmortal dulzor al alma pasa,  
 con que envilece el oro,  
 y ardiendo se traspasa  
 y lanza en aquel bien libre de tasa.

¡ O son ! ¡ o voz ! siquiera  
 pequeña parte alguna descendiese  
 en mi sentido, y fuera  
 de sí el alma pusiese  
 y toda en tí, o amor, la convirtiese ! —

‘ Conoceria donde  
 seseas, dulce esposo, y desatada  
 de esta prision adonde  
 padece, á tu manada  
 se juntaria sin vagar errada.

Upon such descriptions as this we love to pause, that the mind may fix its eye more steadily upon the scene described, and register every point and feature. There lies ‘ the fair bright region,—the Holy Land on high,—blighted by no wintry frost,—parched by no summer sun,—but blooming with eternal joys. There, crowned with white and purple flowers, walks the good shepherd, and without crook or sling leads his beloved flock to green pastures and beside still waters ;—there, sheltered from the noon-day sun, he blows his heavenly pipe,

whose melody pervades and kindles the hearts of the blessed company around him, and whose faintest echo, could it descend and reach the poet's ear, transporting the soul beyond itself and transforming it all to love, would lead the spirit to its rest on high!—How beautiful is this! How high in conception,—how melodious in language! The strain does not die upon the ear, till a response is given from the heart. It carries our thoughts with it;—it transports us to the heavenly country;—it whispers to the soul, higher, immortal Spirit!—higher!

We shall close our extracts from the sacred or devotional poetry of Spain, by a beautiful poem from the Spiritual Canciones of the younger Argensola. It is an apostrophe to Mary Magdalene. This translation also is from the pen of Bryant, and rivals the original in beauty.

- ‘Blessed, yet sinful one, and broken-hearted!  
The crowd are pointing at the thing forlorn,  
    In wonder and in scorn!
- ‘Thou wepest days of innocence departed,  
Thou weepest, and thy tears have power to move  
    The Lord to pity and love.
- ‘The greatest of thy follies is forgiven,  
Even for the least of all the tears that shine  
    On that pale cheek of thine.
- ‘Thou didst kneel down to him that came from Heaven,  
Evil and ignorant, and thou shalt rise  
    Holy, and pure, and wise.
- ‘It is not much, that to the fragrant blossom  
The ragged briar should change, the bitter fir  
    Distil Arabian myrrh;
- ‘Nor that upon the wintry desert's bosom .

‘O tu siempre dichosa pecadora,  
la que fuiste por tal con grande espanto  
del vulgo con el dedo señalada!

‘Tus lagrimas con Christo pueden tanto,  
que la menor lo enciende y enamora,  
y a la culpa mayor dexa anegada.

‘Tu quedas en Apostol transformada,  
y de ignorante y mala, santa y sabia.  
No es mucho que la zarza en flor se mude,  
y que el álamo sude  
en competencia de la mirra Arabia;  
y que quando de yerba al campo priva,

The harvest should rise plenteous, and the swain  
 Bear home the abundant grain.  
 'But come and see the bleak and barren mountains  
 Thick to their tops with roses : come and see  
 Leaves on the dry dead tree :  
 'The perished plant set out by living fountains,  
 Grows fruitful, and its beauteous branches rise,  
 Forever, towards the skies.'

la mies en abundancia se recoja.  
 ' Venid á ver de rosas y azucenas  
 las montañas esteriles mas llenas,  
 y un arbol seco revestido de hoja.  
 La planta antes inutil Dios cultiva ;  
 regada en su jardin con agua viva,  
 es fructífera ya, y sus ramas bellas  
 tocan continuamente en las estrellas.'

The general and prevailing characteristics of Spanish devotional poetry are warmth of imagination, and depth and sincerity of feeling. The conception is always striking and original, and when not degraded by dogmas, and the poor, puerile conceits arising from them, beautiful and sublime. This results from the frame and temperament of the mind, and is a general characteristic of the Spanish poets, not only in this department of song, but in all the others. The very ardor of imagination, which, exercised upon minor themes, leads them into extravagance and hyperbole, when left to act in a higher and wider sphere, conducts them nearer and nearer to perfection. When imagination spreads its wings in the bright regions of devotional song,—in the pure empyrean,—judgment should direct its course, but there is no danger of its soaring too high. The heavenly land still lies beyond its utmost flight. There are heights it cannot reach; there are fields of air, which tire its wing; there is a splendor which dazzles its vision;—for there is a glory, ‘which eye hath not seen, nor ear heard, nor hath it entered into the heart of man to conceive.’

But perhaps the greatest charm of the devotional poets of Spain is their sincerity. Most of them were ecclesiastics,—men who had in sober truth renounced the realities of this life, for the hopes and promises of another. We do not suppose that all who take holy orders are saints; but we are still farther from believing that all are hypocrites. It would be even

more absurd to suppose, that none are sincere in their professions, than that all are. Besides, with whatever feelings a man may enter the monastic life, there is something in its discipline and privations, which has a tendency to wean the mind from earth,—and to fix it upon Heaven. Doubtless many have seemingly renounced the world from motives of worldly aggrandizement; and others have renounced it because it has renounced them. The former have carried with them to the cloister their earthly ambition, and the latter their dark misanthropy; and though many have daily kissed the cross, and yet grown hoary in iniquity, and shrived their souls that they might sin more gaily on,—yet solitude works miracles in the heart, and many who enter the cloister from worldly motives, find it a school wherein the soul may be trained to more holy purposes and desires. We do not believe there is half the corruption and hypocrisy within the convent's walls, that the church bears the shame of hiding in its bosom. Hermits may be holy men, though knaves have sometimes been hermits. Were they all hypocrites, who of old for their soul's sake exposed their naked bodies to the burning sun of Syria? Were they, who wandered houseless in the solitudes of Engaddi? Were they, who dwelt beneath the palm-trees by the Red Sea?—Oh, no! They were ignorant,—they were deluded,—they were fanatic,—but they were not hypocrites,—if there be any sincerity in human professions and human actions,—they were not hypocrites. During the middle ages, there was corruption in the church,—foul, shameful corruption; and now also hypocrisy may scourge itself with the twisted cord, and ambition hide its face beneath a hood; yet all is not therefore rottenness that wears a cowl or cassock. Many a pure spirit, through heavenly-mindedness, and an ardent, though mistaken zeal, has fled from the temptations of the world to seek in solitude and self-communion, a closer walk with God. And not in vain. They have found the peace they sought. They have felt, indeed, what many profess to feel, but do not feel,—that they are strangers and sojourners here, travellers who are bound for their home in a far country. It is this feeling, which we speak of as giving a peculiar charm to the devotional poetry of Spain. We compare its spirit with the spirit which its authors have exhibited in their lives. They speak of having given up the world, and it is no poetical hyperbole;—they speak of longing to be free from the

weakness of the flesh, that they may commence their conversation in Heaven, and we feel that they had already begun it in lives of penitence, meditation, and prayer.

With regard to the moral poetry of Spain, we shall not be prolix in our remarks. In common with the devotional, it possesses the glow and fervor of Spanish feeling, and so far exhibits the national character. At the same time, as we have already had occasion to observe, the principles of Christian morality being every where the same throughout Christendom, moral poetry must every where display to a great extent a common and homogeneous character. The only variety it exhibits will be found, we apprehend, to consist not in the general tenor of the thought, but in the tone of feeling and consequent warmth of language, in which the thought is expressed. In all Christian countries, the prevailing thought is the perishable nature of all things earthly, and that kind of contemplative and philosophic content so well expressed by Francisco de Rioja, in one of his moral epistles,—‘a little nook among my household gods, a book and friend, and light slumbers, that neither cares nor creditors disturb,—these are enough for me :’

‘ Un ángulo me basta entre mis lares,  
un libro y un amigo, un sueño breve  
que no perturben deudas ni pesares.’

Still, something of a national character will occasionally present itself, either in the point of view or in the coloring of the picture. It would, however, be useless to follow out this idea into detail, and to show wherein the moral poetry of Spain exhibits the features and shadows of national character. Though such a discussion might be interesting to some of our readers, we think the majority of them would prefer to have its place occupied by the extract, which follows. It is from the noble poem of Don Jorge Manrique on the death of his father, a model in its kind. The thoughts it contains are beautiful and true, and in accordance with them, the style moves on,—calm, dignified, and majestic.

‘ O let the soul her slumbers break,  
Let thought be quickened and awake,

‘ Recuerde el alma adormida,  
avive el seso y despierte,

Awake to see  
 How soon this life is passed and gone,  
 And death comes softly stealing on,  
 How silently !  
 Swiftly our pleasures glide away,  
 Our hearts recall the distant day  
 With many sighs ;—  
 The moments that are speeding fast,  
 We heed not,—but the past,—the past,  
 More highly prize.

‘ Our lives are rivers gliding free,  
 To that unfathomed, boundless sea,  
 The silent grave :  
 Thither all earthly pomp and boast  
 Roll, to be swallowed up and lost  
 In that dark wave.  
 Thither the mighty torrents stray,  
 Thither the brook pursues its way,  
 And tinkling rill ;  
 There all are equal ;—side by side,  
 The poor man and the son of pride  
 Lie calm and still.

contemplando  
 como se pasa la vida,  
 como se viene la muerte,  
 tan callando.  
 Quan presto se va el placer,  
 como despues de acordado,  
 da dolor ;  
 como á nuestro parecer  
 qualquiera tiempo pasado  
 fué mejor.

‘ Nuestras vidas son los ríos  
 que van á dar en la mar,  
 que es el morir ;  
 allí van los señores  
 derechos á se acabar,  
 y consumir :  
 allí los ríos caudales,  
 allí los otros medianos,  
 y mas chicos,  
 allegados son iguales,  
 los que viven por sus manos,  
 y los ricos.

‘ This world is but the rugged road,  
 Which leads us to the bright abode  
 Of peace above ;  
 So let us choose that narrow way,  
 Which leads no traveller’s foot astray  
 From realms of love.  
 Our birth is but the starting-place,  
 Our life the running of the race ;  
 We reach the goal,  
 When, in the mansions of the blest,  
 Death leads to its eternal rest  
 The weary soul.

‘ Behold, of what delusive worth  
 The bubbles we pursue on earth,  
 The shapes we chase  
 Amid a world of treachery ;—  
 They vanish ere death shuts the eye,  
 And leave no trace.  
 Time steals them from us,—chances strange,  
 Disastrous accident and change  
 That come to all ;  
 Even in the most exalted state,

‘ Este mundo es el camino  
 para el otro que es morada  
 sin pesar ;  
 mas cumple tener buen tino,  
 para andar esta jornada  
 sin errar.  
 Partimos quando nascemos,  
 andamos mientras vivimos,  
 y allegamos  
 al tiempo que fenescemos ;  
 así que quando morimos  
 descansamos.

‘ Ved de quan poco valor  
 son las cosas tras que andamos,  
 y corremos  
 en este mundo traydor ;  
 que aun primero que muramos  
 las perdemos.  
 Dellas deshace la edad,  
 dellas casos desastrados,  
 que acaescen,  
 dellas por su calidad

Relentless sweeps the stroke of fate,  
The strongest fall.

' Tell me,—the charms that lovers seek  
In the clear eye and blushing cheek,  
The hues that play  
O'er rosy lip and brow of snow,—  
When hoary age approaches slow,  
Ah, where are they ?  
The cunning skill, the curious arts,—  
The glorious strength that youth imparts  
In life's first stage,  
These shall become a heavy weight,  
When Time swings wide his outward gate  
To weary age.

' Where are the high-born dames,—and where  
Their gay attire, and jewelled hair,  
And odors sweet ?  
Where are the gentle knights that came  
To kneel, and breathe love's ardent flame  
Low at their feet ?  
Where is the song of Troubadour,

en los mas altos estados  
desfallecen.

' Decidme, ¡ la hermosura,  
la gentil frescura y tez  
de la cara,  
la color y la blancura,  
quando viene la vejez,  
qué se para ?  
Las mañas y ligereza,  
y la fuerza corporal  
de juventud,  
todo se torna graveza,  
quando llega al arrabal  
de senetud.

' ¡ Qué se hicieron las damas,  
sus tocados, sus vestidos,  
sus olores ?  
¡ Qué se hicieron las llamas  
de los fuegos encendidos  
de amadores ?  
¡ Qué se hizo aquel trobar,

Where are the lute and gay tambour  
They loved of yore ?  
Where is the mazy dance of old,  
The flowing robes inwrought with gold,  
The dancers wore ?

‘ So many a Duke of royal name,  
Marquis and Count of spotless fame,  
And Baron brave,  
That might the sword of empire wield,  
All these, O Death, hast thou concealed  
In the dark grave !—  
Their deeds of mercy and of arms,  
In peaceful days or war’s alarms,  
When thou dost show,  
O Death, thy stern and cruel face,  
One stroke of thy all-powerful mace  
Can overthrow.

‘ Unnumbered hosts, that threaten nigh,  
Pennon and standard flaunting high,  
And flag displayed,  
High battlements, entrenched around,

las musicas acordadas  
que tañian ?  
¿ Qué se hizo aquel danzar,  
aquellas ropas chapadas,  
que traian ?

‘ Tantos Duques excelentes,  
tantos Marqueses y Condes,  
y Barones  
como vimos tan potentes,  
dí, muerte, ¿ dó los escondes  
y traspones ?  
Y sus muy claras hazañas  
que hicieron en las guerras  
y en las paces,  
quando tú, cruel, te enseñas,  
con tus fuerzas las aterraas  
y deshaces.

‘ Las huestes innumerables,  
los pendones, estandartes  
y banderas,  
los castillos impunables,

Bastion, and moated wall, and mound,  
And palisade,  
And covered trench, secure and deep,  
All these cannot one victim keep,  
O Death, from thee,  
When thou dost battle in thy wrath,  
And thy strong shafts pursue their path  
Unerringly.'

los muros, y baluertes  
y barreras,  
la cava honda chapada,  
ó qualquier otro reparo,  
i qué aprovecha ?  
que si tú vienas ayrada,  
todo lo pasas de claro  
con tu flecha.'

These are dismembered fragments, taken here and there from Manrique's long and beautiful ode. The reader, who is curious to peruse the entire poem, will find it among the *Rimas Doctrinales* in the *Floresta* of Böhl de Faber. To the same work we refer those, who may wish to pursue more in detail the subject of this article, and who have not an extensive Spanish library within their reach. In our remarks upon the devotional and moral poetry of Spain, we have not attempted to sketch their history, nor have we even observed the order of time in the selection of our extracts. This was of little importance to us. Our object has been merely to exhibit some of the more striking peculiarities and beauties of this class of poems. The Spanish scholar, who has not already made himself familiar with this department of literature, will find it a delightful region, in which to wander and muse.

---

ART. II.—*Authorship of Junius.*

1. *Memoirs of JOHN HORNE TOOKE, together with his valuable Speeches and Writings; also, containing Proof, identifying him as the AUTHOR of the celebrated Letters of Junius.* By JOHN A. GRAHAM, LL. D.
2. *The Posthumous Works of JUNIUS, to which is prefixed an Inquiry respecting the Author, and a Sketch of the Life of John Horne Tooke.*
3. *Junius Unmasked, or Lord GEORGE SACKVILLE proved to be JUNIUS, with an Appendix showing that the Author of the Letters of Junius was also the Author of the History of the Reign of George III., and Author of the North Briton, ascribed to Mr. Wilkes.*
4. *An Essay on Junius and his Letters, embracing a Sketch of the Life and Character of WILLIAM Pitt, Earl of Chatham, and Memoirs of certain other distinguished Individuals, with Reflections, Historical, Personal, and Political, relating to the Affairs of Great Britain and America, from 1763 to 1785.* By BENJAMIN WATERHOUSE, Member of several Medical, Philosophical, and Literary Societies in Europe and America.
5. *Letters of Junius, addressed to John Pickering, Esq. showing that the Author of that celebrated Work was EARL TEMPLE.* By ISAAC NEWHALL.

An attentive examination of the theories and arguments, which have been put forth on the subject of the authorship of Junius, will detect certain prevailing fallacies, which have run through nearly all of them. If the true theory have ever been advanced, it wears the same colors of sophistry as the false, and is not at present distinguishable from them. It remains to be proved, as much as it did when Junius ceased or began to write, and when men were watching for external indications of the author, instead of studying his works and searching contemporary writings for resemblances.

Most of the examiners of this question have thought it necessary to place an implicit reliance on each of the assertions, which Junius made touching himself. But in doing this they have violated a rule of evidence, which requires that the confessions of a party be all taken together. Each writer has usually selected those, which were favorable to his theory,

and without attempting to discredit the remainder, has omitted them in the discussion.

It will not be difficult to show, that many of the assertions of Junius respecting his own character and connexions, are to be taken with considerable qualifications ; and that others are to be totally rejected. In his Private Letter No. 8, addressed to Woodfall, he requests the printer to impose a fiction upon the public. Of the same character were all the innocent deceptions of writing under various signatures in defence and aid of Junius, and especially under that of *Philo-Junius*. He calls it ‘a fraud,’ but adds that it was ‘innocent,’ and that he ‘always meant to explain it.’ In the Miscellaneous Letter signed *Anti-Fox*, there is an instance of artifice, a little more peculiar. He says, ‘I know nothing of Junius.’ Again, notwithstanding his habitual contempt and abuse of the Scotch, he attacks Lord Barrington under the signature of *Scotus*, commencing with, ‘I am a Scotchman ;’ and vindicates the national character of his fictitious brethren, with as much zeal as that with which he usually abused them. It is evident, that Junius did and naturally would practise every harmless deception in relation to his circumstances, associations and character, which was adapted to give effect to his writings, and security to his person.

We give full credit to his declaration in the Dedication to the English nation, ‘I am the sole depositary of my own secret, and it shall perish with me.’ There is an earnestness and solemnity in these words, which convey a strong impression of their truth. It may be said, that if we believe all that Junius asserts respecting himself and his works, we shall believe contradictions. This proposition is true, and forms an essential part of our theory, but a distinction is to be made between spontaneous and voluntary statements, and those extorted by fear or interest. ‘There is a great difference between what is said without our being urged to it, and what is said from a kind of compulsion.’ This was the remark of Dr. Johnson in relation to the inquiry now before us. It may be further remarked, that the motive which urges to an avowal or confirmation of a fact is not always without, but quite as often within ourselves. Thus vanity, when unrestrained by fear, might admit the charge, or voluntarily claim the credit, of writing the Letters of Junius. So the recklessness of one who had nothing to lose, and to whom chances were rare blessings, would be likely to produce the same result ; with this modification, the

principle of Dr. Johnson will be found of great service, when applied to declarations of or concerning Junius. A confession, to be of any value, must be voluntary, the confessor being at perfect liberty to make it or not, and uninfluenced by motives of hope, fear, or interest.

The only passage of Junius, which has been cited to prove the privy of other persons to the composition of the letters, occurs in his private correspondence with Woodfall, though there is one in his public letters, which seems to imply the same fact. The first which we shall quote is in his Private Letter No. 8, to Woodfall. ‘The last letter which you printed, was idle and improper, and I assure you printed against my own opinion. The truth is, *there are people about me*, whom I would wish not to contradict, and who had rather see Junius in the papers ever so improperly, than not to see him at all.’ If this confession be voluntary and disinterested, then by our rule it must be true, and if true it is decisive on the point before us. What was the motive for recalling and partially disclaiming the public letter, to which the private note refers? It was an apprehension in the mind of Junius, that he had impaired his credit with the public, by offending them with a publication which was coarse and trifling; or, as he himself says, ‘idle and improper.’ When we consider what a strict regard Junius generally paid to the public sense of decorum, and the masterly tact and skill with which he struck every chord of English feeling, and sounded every note of English passion, it is truly surprising, that he should ever have erred as he did on this occasion. It was, however, a mistake, from which the most sensible and prudent are not always exempted. He was misled by a witty conceit, and followed it up, though it left him in the mire. In these circumstances, it was necessary that he should make the best retreat he could from a situation incompatible with the grave and austere character and authoritative tone, which he had assumed. He therefore in the first place, requests Woodfall to state an untruth to the public. With this request Woodfall readily complies; and thus the *faux pas* was remedied so far as respected the public. The only other person to be operated upon was Woodfall himself, and those familiar friends and advisers to whom he might show the private correspondence of Junius. The confession then of the privy of other persons to the writings of Junius, was intended to affect Woodfall. Why, and in what way? In the

same way and for the same object, that several other declarations and promises were scattered through the private letters, viz. to encourage the printer to perseverance, to discourage a dangerous curiosity, and to secure more completely his fidelity. He was taught to believe, that the great secret of the time was not always to be concealed, that it was not even then withheld from the author's intimate friends, and would one day be imparted to himself, whom Junius always addressed as if he had a great regard for him, and would one day take pleasure in enrolling him in that select troop, by which it might naturally be supposed that such a man was surrounded.

In addition to this, we may see throughout Junius's intercourse with Woodsfall a determination to stand particularly well with him, because he had resolved to make Woodsfall the reflector of all the light, which the public were to receive immediately or perhaps for ever on the subject of Junius's moral character, so far as that character depended on actions instead of words. To have somewhat of a personal character, and to have that, however little, so free from reproach, as to authorize the most flattering inferences as to the whole, was an important point with Junius, and evidently auxiliary to his great design. The same motive, then, which induced him to wish the letter to *Junia* 'recalled,' would make him seek to clear himself as far as possible in the eyes of Woodsfall, from the acknowledged weakness of having published it. Junius had then a double, and certainly an important purpose in view, in deceiving Woodsfall. He wished to flatter his hopes of knowing 'the Great Unknown,' and to impress upon him and propagate through him the most favorable opinion of his character as a man and a gentleman.

There is another passage, which contains a feebler implication, of the same kind as that in the private letter. In Letter No. 36, to the Duke of Grafton, he says, 'But in the relation you have borne to the country, you have no title to indulgence; and if I had followed the dictates of my own opinion, I never should have allowed you the respite of a moment. In your public character, you have injured every subject of the empire; and though an individual is not authorized to forgive the injuries done to society, he is called upon to assert his separate share in the public resentment. I submitted however to the judgment of men more moderate, perhaps more candid than myself.' This we conceive does not necessarily imply

that ‘the judgment’ to which Junius ‘submitted,’ was given to him as Junius. In his real character, he might, and must have propounded questions, and listened to facts and opinions, which he made use of as Junius, without being known or suspected from that cause to be the writer. The political and personal opinions of a party are common property, and when one adds anything to the store, it immediately vests in all concerned. A new fact, or a new idea, will generally in twenty-four hours, or in half that time, have been so widely spread among ardent politicians, at an excited period, that it may appear in a gazette the next morning, without the originator having the slightest knowledge of the channel through which it got there. This consideration shows how Junius may have received in his real character, suggestions which he used in his fictitious one, without furnishing thereby any clue to lead to his detection. In this manner the last passage may be explained, and reconciled with the passage from the ‘Dedication.’ Perhaps, also, that contained in Private Letter No. 8, may be explained in the same way ; we have sometimes thought so ; but it is not very important.

Let then these passages, so explained, be compared with the following : ‘I have faithfully served the public, without the possibility of personal advantage. As JUNIUS, I can *never* be rewarded. The secret is too important to be committed to any great man’s discretion. If views of interest or ambition could tempt me to betray my own secret, how could I flatter myself, that the man I trusted would not act upon the same principles, and sacrifice me at once to the King’s curiosity and resentment. Speaking therefore as a disinterested man, I have a claim to your attention.’ This is in a private letter to Wilkes. In another private letter to Woodfall he says, ‘Be assured that it is not in the nature of things that they, or you, or any body else should *ever* know me, unless I make myself known. All arts or inquiries or rewards will be equally ineffectual.’ In another private letter to Wilkes he says, ‘I willingly accept as much of your friendship, as you can impart to a man whom you will assuredly *never know* ;’ and to Sir William Draper ; ‘motives very different from any apprehension of your resentment make it impossible you should *ever* know me.’ ‘I should be exposed to the resentment of the worst and most powerful men in this country, though I may be indifferent to yours. Though *you* would fight, there are others who would assassinate.’

We conclude this topic with the asseveration, with which we started, that Junius *was* ‘the sole depositary of his own secret,’ and that it *has* ‘perished with him,’ and perished for ever; unless time and a better understanding of the methods of argument and the weight of evidence shall effect the discovery by means not yet known, and by facts which Junius could not disguise; for as far as in *him* lay, he has provided that all traces of himself should be concealed while he lived, and be buried with him when he was dead.

It is sometimes asked, why Junius should have wished for a continued concealment after his death, and when it could affect none connected with him, unless it were to invest them with a portion of the honor of all that was great and good in him, while all that derogated from either would be charitably ‘interred with his bones.’ We believe that he has answered the question himself. *One* of the reasons he assigned for taking and maintaining his *incognito* at first, has now, and will ever have the same force as then. He says to Wilkes, ‘Besides every personal consideration, if I were known I could no longer be a useful servant to the public. At present there is something oracular in the delivery of my opinions. I speak from a recess which no human curiosity can penetrate, and darkness we are told is one source of the sublime.—The mystery of JUNIUS increases his importance.’ The interest arising from the concealment of Junius is an interest superadded, and even superior to that which the intrinsic importance of his works would command. It keeps up a more lasting and lively attention to these letters, than that which any didactic compositions, without the aid of something extraneous, could ever sustain. In adopting therefore a stern and soul-subduing purpose of self-denial in regard to the harvest of fame, Junius showed a deep knowledge of mankind, who have never placed among their consecrated things, aught which had not mystery about it,—aught which limited entirely the imagination, and set bounds to ‘thoughts that wander through eternity.’ Thus did Mahomet and Numa sanctify the revelations of genius, and make them as universal and lasting as the nations, whom they wished to influence and to serve. The great writer, whose era is called ‘the Reign of Junius,’ left a sort of sanction to his doctrines, in the mystery with which he enveloped their origin.

‘The *more* to raise our reverence she chose,  
The *less* the sacred Sybil did disclose.’

Such was one of the original reasons of secrecy. Junius has declared it, and any man would have presumed it, if he had not. This reason remains in all its force, perhaps with accumulated force. For the allusions to local, personal, and party feelings and facts, though calculated to produce the most intense interest at the time, would soon not only cease to be interesting, but would even detract from other merit. Instead of wings to bear him up, they would be weights to drag him down. Whatever interest could be retained or inspired by secrecy, would therefore become more necessary, in proportion as ephemeral circumstances and passions passed away. Junius delivered a system of political ethics, and constitutional liberty, primarily intended for his own nation, but applicable and now applied in all nations, who possess or seek rational, impartial, and just systems of administration and government. With admirable wisdom and forecast he provided, that as one source of curiosity and attention should be diminished or lost, another should supply its place, and rivet the eyes and minds of beholders. The ‘Dedication’ says, ‘When kings and ministers are forgotten, when the force and direction of personal satire are no longer understood, and measures are only felt in their remotest consequences, this book will, I believe, be found to contain principles worthy to be transmitted to posterity.’

There is no doubt that the first and most necessary object of secrecy was personal safety, and liberty to write and lay before the country and the king,—to use the language of Mr. Burke upon this subject,—‘many truths, many bold truths, by which a wise prince might profit.’ In a private letter to Woodfall, Junius says, ‘I must be more cautious. I am sure I should not survive a discovery three days. If I did, they would attain me by bill. Change to the Somerset Coffee House.’ Again, ‘When you consider to what excessive enmities I may be exposed, you will not wonder at my caution.’ Sir William Draper challenged Junius, and other antagonists left their names with the printer, and called upon Junius to come forth, and try the questions between them by mortal combat.

To show, that Junius did not over-rate the importance of his secret, we produce the following contemporary testimony. Mr. Whitesfoord, one of Junius’s antagonists, says, September, 1769, when but twenty-two out of sixty-nine letters had been published, ‘Various have been the conjectures formed on the

question, “Who is Junius?” I have heard at least twenty persons named, whom suspicion points the finger at. Nay, I have been assured at different times, that each of them was the author in question. They could not all be the writer, perhaps none of them.’ Wilkes says, in the private correspondence with Junius, ‘I do not mean to indulge the impertinent curiosity of finding out the most important secret of our times, the author of Junius. *I will not attempt with profane hands, to tear the veil of the sanctuary. I am disposed, with the inhabitants of Attica, to erect an altar to the unknown God of our political idolatry, and will be content to worship him in clouds and darkness.*’ Burke said in the House of Commons, ‘the myrmidons of the Court have been long and are still pursuing him in vain. They will not spend their time upon me, or you, or you. No, they disdain such vermin, when the mighty boar of the forest, that has broke all their toils, is before them.’ Lord North, in the same debate, said, ‘Why should we wonder that this great boar of the wood, this mighty Junius, has broke through the toils and foiled the hunters? Though there may be at present no spear that will reach him, yet he may be some time or other caught.’

These were the mixed motives which first prompted Junius to secrecy. But when he found by experience, if he did not fully foresee, how much his ‘mystery increased his importance,’ and when, by his knowledge of the philosophy and history of man, he foresaw that the same effect would not only continue, but be increased as the stream of time rolled on, there cannot, we think, be a doubt that he resolved in the solitary recesses of his heart, that his secret should be eternal. That he had a prophetic insight into the future, is manifested in the sentiment, which we have quoted from the ‘Dedication.’ In one of his letters to Horne he says, ‘Without meaning an indecent comparison, I may venture to foretell that the Bible and Junius will be read, when the commentaries of the Jesuits are forgotten.’

If all these expressions, and they are all the important ones of their kind contained in the work, be taken together, the impression upon the whole will be, that Junius was known and intended to be known to none. To suppose that when the danger of discovery was nearly over, or greatly diminished, when his machinery had been tried, and found safe and adequate to its purpose, and when there was no need of multiply-

ing precautions, to suppose that Junius would sit down to his ‘Dedication,’ and falsely make to the people of England that solemn asseveration, is to suppose what is inconsistent not only with the sagacity, wariness, and practical good sense of Junius, but with the common sense of mankind. No motive, apart from its truth, can be assigned for the declaration. It could no longer contribute to the safety or liberty of writing and publishing. The work was done and bequeathed to Woodsfall, as one of the last acts of the author. On the other hand, could Junius be so absurd, so suicidal, so ineffably stupid, as to place on the frontispiece of his great work, *ever to remain there*, a declaration which he knew, and intended to be false, gratuitously and unprofitably false? To suppose this is inconsistent with the smallest modicum of intellect which nature in her most niggardly mood ever vouchsafed to a rational being. It must have been obvious, that it would forever impair the respectability and popularity of the work, if it were tainted with wanton trick and deceit, of which it would be difficult to say, whether the silliness or the disinterested knavery were most conspicuous. To cry out in the market place, ‘I am Junius, but none of you shall ever know it,’ is a madness, which must be reconciled with the deep subtily and strong sense of Junius, by those who maintain that the implication of the privity of other persons contained in the letter to Woodsfall, is to be believed in preference to the opposite declaration in the Dedication and elsewhere. In the former case, there was an obvious and reasonable object, in the latter no imaginable one; there, it was convenient and useful, here gratuitous; there it was to do him good, here it was to do his writings harm; there it was private, here public. It might well be demanded of Junius, ‘was not the secret your own, to impart or conceal at your pleasure? Then why have you, intending to tell it, surrounded it with trumpery and falsehood? Why, by an empty parade of self-denial, of which you knew that you were incapable,—by an affectation of a superiority to vanity, which was in itself egregiously vain,—have you impaired the credit of principles, and brought ridicule upon labors, which otherwise would always have been respectable, coming from any source, known or unknown? This is a question, which we apprehend to be unanswerable. At all events there is no answer to it, except the actual production of the author. That it was his design never to be known, we fully believe; that he provided wisely and se-

curely, as far as possible, for its accomplishment, we also believe ; that he did so effectually, must always be self-evident, until he is discovered.

Those who recollect how much use has been made of the circumstance of the supposed privity of other persons to the composition of the letters, in almost all the discussions of this subject, and how important the solution of the question is to the settlement of various claims to the honors of Junius, will not think that we have occupied an undue space for presenting it fully and fairly to our readers.

Several persons have said that they knew the author. Others, when charged with being the author, have denied it evasively, or have blushingly submitted to have ‘greatness forced upon them ;’ and one has affirmed, that he was himself the veritable Junius. Dr. Parr was in the habit of saying that he knew the author of Junius, and he one day invited a gentleman to his house to meet the son of Junius, or in his classical nomenclature, *Juniades*, meaning thereby a natural son of Charles Lloyd, private secretary of George Grenville.

Sir Nathaniel Wraxall states in his Memoirs, that the late king George III. declared that he knew the author ; and that he would write no more. This was not said at the time when Garrick had communicated such a story, and could not have been founded upon it ; for Junius had meantime continued to write. Probably the king like many others thought he knew, and announced as a fact, what was merely an opinion. Upon the principle, which we have endeavored to establish, to know the author is equivalent to being the author, unless the knowledge were the result of study and investigation, or of other means in which *he* had no agency.

Alexander Stephens, in his Memoirs of John Horne Tooke, informs us, that in answer to the interrogatory, ‘do you know the author of Junius?’ Mr. Tooke said, in presence of his biographer, and with a peculiar look and emphasis, ‘I do.’ Mr. Graham, whose work is at the head of this article, testifies, that ‘one day in his presence a mutual and reverend friend put the question directly to Mr. Tooke ; ‘do you know the author of Junius?’ ‘Yes,’ replied he, ‘I do know him better than any man in England.’ ‘Pray, is he now living?’ ‘Yes, he is yet alive.’ ‘He must then be an old man. Do you know his age?’ Mr. Tooke replied, ‘strange as it may seem,

I can assure you, that Parson Horne and Junius were born on the same day in the city of Westminster.'

Reverend Philip Rosenhagen is said to have imposed upon Lord North a story that he was the author, in order to induce his Lordship to buy him in with a pension.

The present Duke of Buckingham, and his uncle, Lord Grenville, are said to have severally declared that they know the author.

Another class of persons connected in a more important manner with this question are those, who being accused, have tacitly admitted or have evaded the charge of the authorship. The earliest of them, we believe, was Hugh Macauley Boyd. Almon, the bookseller and publisher, states, that he got sight of part of a letter of Junius, in the hands of Woodfall, and knew that it was in Boyd's hand-writing; whereupon he charged Boyd with being the writer. Boyd *instantly changed color*, and after a short pause, said, 'similitude of hand-writing is not a conclusive fact.' Almon, it seems, was convinced that his suspicion was correct; and ever after maintained his theory with zeal and constancy.

Lord George Sackville was early accused, and does not appear to have directly denied the charge. On one occasion, his Lordship is said to have replied to a friend, 'I should be proud to be thought capable of writing as Junius has done, but there are many passages in his letters, I should be very sorry to have written.' A few days before his death he told Richard Cumberland, his private secretary, in a laughing way, that he had been accused of writing the Letters. It does not appear, that on this occasion he added any other observation.

William Gerard Hamilton, who was generally known to be among the reputed authors, is not known to have denied it, otherwise than by criticising with some rigor one of Junius's metaphors; and by observing one day to a friend in a tone between seriousness and pleasantry, 'you know that I could have written better papers.' Mr. Malone, editor of his speeches and parliamentary logic, states, however, that he denied on his death-bed that he was Junius.

The most remarkable confession, which has been made upon this subject, was that of General Charles Lee. In this, as in other things, he was a being *sui generis*. In the fall of the year 1773, Mr. T. Rodney was in company with him in America, and the Letters of Junius were mentioned. Mr.

Rodney expressed the opinion, that no other person than Lord Chatham could have been the author. General Lee immediately replied with considerable animation, affirming that ‘to his certain knowledge, Lord Chatham was not the author ; neither did he know who was the author any more than I did ; that there was not a man in the world, no, not even Woodsfall, who knew who the author was ; that the secret rested solely with himself, and would forever remain with him. Feeling in some degree surprised at this unexpected declaration, after pausing a little, I replied, ‘No, General Lee, if you certainly know what you have affirmed, it can no longer remain solely with him, for certainly no one could know what you have affirmed, but the author himself.’ Recollecting himself, he replied, ‘I have unguardedly committed myself, *and it would be but folly to deny to you, that I am the author* ; but I must request that you will not reveal it during my life ; for it never was, and never will be revealed by me to any other man.’

Sir Philip Francis, when directly inquired of by Sir Richard Phillips, replied in a manner which has been variously interpreted. It is certainly not quite satisfactory, and as Sir Philip lived some years afterwards, and saw that his reply was in effect ambiguous, and omitted to make it certain, we may conclude beyond a doubt, that he was willing to be thought the author. We know of no others, who were accused or interrogated in such a manner as to elicit a reply. If there were, we presume that their replies have not been preserved. The only person, who appears to have given a prompt and categorical denial, was Edmund Burke. He denied it to Dr. Johnson, in a manner which satisfied him ; and to Dean, afterwards Bishop Morley, he said, ‘I could not write like Junius, and if I could, I would not.’

From these facts, it is manifest, that if confessions, direct, tacit, or implied, of knowing or being the author, prove any thing, they prove too much, and instead of one, would give us twenty Juniuses, and as Mr. Whitefoord said, sixty years ago, ‘they could not *all* be the writer.’ Yet we are far from affirming that expressions may not have been dropped by the real Junius, which, together with other facts and circumstances, may tend to show who he was. This, however, we think is certain, that they must be expressions, which do not necessarily and of themselves direct or tend to that result. They would involve Junius in the folly and absurdity, which we have pointed

out, and of which the *naiserie* of General Lee furnishes a good illustration. It is probable, also, that if he ever did utter any such expressions, it must have been after the public had become so familiar with this kind of evidence, as to think little of it, and when to add any thing to it would not be a distinction. Upon such fallacious confessions and admissions, various theories have been constructed with great labor and sometimes with ingenuity, and presented to the public with great expense. We have read fifteen volumes, written expressly to establish the authorship, and many incidental discussions in other works. There are several, which we know only by their titles ; and in addition to these, various claims have been brought forward in magazines and other periodicals, which never emerged into an independent volume. These discussions are at least amusing ; they are not without their use in a historical point of view, and they go to increase the great sum total of literary taste and liberal study. Above all, they have had the effect, which Junius probably foresaw, of keeping up and increasing to a singular intensity, the interest in his works ; which, in and of themselves, masterly and perfect as they are, would not have been half, perhaps not a hundredth part as much read, if the author had been known. Thus much for confessions.

We now proceed to a second species of fallacy, more extensive and important than the foregoing. This consists in taking up a coincidence or two, perhaps in some cases striking ones, between the situation, life, personal enmities, or something else of the claimant, with the like circumstances of Junius. A single coincidence has sometimes been enough to convince a reader that he had made the great discovery, and he has thereupon become a writer, and made a book. Five candidates have been set up, because they were clerks in some ministerial department, or secretaries to some eminent statesmen, and therefore had facilities for obtaining Junius's prompt, important, and authentic private information. In our opinion, the information which Junius obtained in so remarkable a degree, was not that which the small clerks at their desks would be much more likely to have, than any other citizen of London. Some have been brought forward, because they esteemed a man or men whom Junius praised ; others, because they hated men whom Junius hated ; others, because Junius defended their personal rights or interests on some occasion, where those rights or interests involved public principles.

Among all these, the real Junius *may be embraced*; but if he be, this partial and narrow method of proof does not establish, but rather obscures his claims, and sinks him to a level with a multitude of vain pretenders. The same remark is applicable to this, as to the preceding species of fallacy. It gives us too many Juniuses. This fallacy, in its proper place, and with sufficient induction of facts, might be legitimate and valuable proof; but when isolated and elevated to an undue importance, it has deplorably misled the investigators of this question. Possessed with one master notion, they have closed their eyes and minds to facts, which they should have carefully considered. They have not sought the author of Junius, but plausible arguments to support the pride of pre-conceived opinion. The favorite, and almost the only means, which have been employed for this purpose, has been the collating of parallel phrases, and identical words and ideas; and in this consists the third and most dangerous fallacy on this subject.

Dr. Paley has remarked, that 'every party, in every country, has a vocabulary.' Wherever there is a free press, and consequently discussions and parties, there are words, phrases, and doctrines, which circulate in the respective parties, and in fact, are mutually exchanged in the intercourse of opposite ones, as commonly as the coin which they carry in their pockets. In the political pamphlets, speeches, and newspapers of our country at the present moment, who does not know, that the words 'tariff,' 'judicious tariff,' 'free trade,' 'protection,' 'restriction,' 'American system,' 'home industry,' 'domestic manufactures,' 'reform,' 'freedom of elections,' and the leading ideas and arguments which the respective parties connect with them, are so familiar, that any political writer would have to make them a particular study, and would find considerable difficulty in avoiding them? It would be unnatural, if not impossible, for any two persons, even of different sides, to address the public without using a great many similar, and some identical words and phrases; and any two or more persons, of the same side would, for a stronger reason, have a great many ideas and opinions as well as terms in common; otherwise they would not be of the same party. Thus it was in England, in the time of Junius, and so it will ever be there and here. There was not a distinguished writer or orator of the whig party, who may not be proved by this sort of reasoning to be Junius. Indeed, we have sometimes thought that there was no political writer, distinguished or

not, of whom this might not be proved ; and that a recipe for making a good Junius might be given in some such form as this ;—take any writer of ‘Junius’s reign,’ who published a pamphlet, or any man, who was suspected of having talent enough to write one ; if you can find nothing under his name, take the best anonymous pamphlet you can find, and assume that it was his. Sort the words well, and pick out some dozen or twenty, which are also in Junius, a circumstance which is as remarkable as that they are in the dictionary. Pick out four or five phrases from both works, or if there are not so many at hand, one or two will answer ; place them side by side, and *underscore*. Locate your candidate in London, though it will do if he make a few trips to Paris and Spaa, provided it cannot be *proved* that he was in those places at the very time when Junius must have been in London, replying to attacks on the next morning, or next but one, after their appearance. Connect him with an under clerk or a great man in the Government ; let him receive an affront from the Duke of Grafton’s fifth cousin, and you have a Junius made “good cheap” as any of my Lord Coke’s “gentlemen of England.”’

The following are set down as parallels between Sir Philip Francis and Junius, in the work called Junius Identified, by Mr. Taylor.

‘*Junius*. As it is, whenever he changes his servants, he is sure to have the people in that instance, of *his side*.

‘*Francis*. But he who knows that he has the law of *his side*, will never think of appealing to necessity, for a defence of the legality of his measures.

‘*Junius*. *So far forth* as it operates, it constitutes a House of Commons, which does not represent the people.

‘*Francis*. *So far forth*, I also meet the opinion of the Governor General and Mr. Boswell.

‘*Junius*. I am sorry to tell you, Sir William, that in this article your *fact is false*.

‘*Francis*. This part of the motion, I say, implies a *false fact*.’

The next examples are from Mr. Coventry’s book in favor of Lord Sackville.

‘In his private letter to Mr. Woodfall, he says, “that Swinney is a *wretched*, but dangerous fool.” In the instance before us, Lord George publicly remarked, “that he despised that honorable member, but would level himself with his *wretched* character and malice.”’

‘The freedom of election is the sacred *palladium* of English liberty.’ To this passage from Sackville’s speech on the Middlesex election, the following observation is subjoined in a note by Mr. Coventry.

‘This speech was made long before Junius’s “Dedication” of the Letters to the English nation, wherein he says, “Let it be impressed upon your minds, let it be instilled into your children, that the liberty of the press is the *palladium* of all the civil, political, and religious rights of an Englishman.”

‘*Junius.* We see the prophecy verified in every particular, and if *this great and good man* was mistaken in any one instance, it was perhaps that he did not expect his predictions to be fulfilled so soon as they have been.’

‘*Sackville.* The author of this bill, Mr. Grenville, had preserved a *good name*, while in office, and when out. And he sincerely hoped the noble Lord would endeavor *to have his name handed down to posterity with the same honor as Mr. Grenville had.*’

We take one example from a work in support of the claims of Richard Glover, as follows: ‘In the preliminary part of his address, *Glover* expresses his acknowledgments to the Livery in general, “for their candor, *decency* and indulgence.” In the memoir, he attributes to Pitt, “hot and unguarded expressions in Parliament, the most *indecent* of which was a needless encomium on the late Sir Robert Walpole.” These words are frequently used in this sense by *Junius*, and I do not remember their being used in any other. “The man I have described, would never prostrate his dignity in Parliament by an *indecent* violence, either by opposing or defending a minister.”’

We take the following from the first work at the head of this article.

‘*Horne.* Sermons, petitions, books against plays,—saying that money will corrupt men,—nothing but barely mentioning the effects of money;—all have been prosecuted and punished, and ears cut off, and those things for libel.

‘*Junius.* Cutting off ears and noses, might still be inflicted by a resolute Judge.

‘*Horne.* I have laid before you a sacred principle, with which I am much better acquainted than with any precedents, and for one of which I would willingly give up all the precedents that ever existed.

‘*Junius.* It is not that precedents have any weight with me

in opposition to principles, but I know they weigh with the multitude.'

The third work at the head of this article furnishes the following, among other comparisons, between the writings of Junius and a pamphlet, entitled 'Considerations on the German War,' supposed by the author to be written by Sackville.

'*Considerations*. If from *reason* we recur to *facts*.

'*Junius*. It depends upon a combination of *facts* and *reasoning*.

'*Considerations*. It is not now the business of France to erect its *whole force*.

'*Junius*. He must now erect the *whole power* of his capacity.

'*Considerations*, "Many persons *I know* will think it strange." "*I know* it is said we have money enough." "*I know* that it has been said that England paid." "*I know* that it has been said that our allies."

'*Junius*. "My premises *I know* will be denied in argument." "*I know* it has been alleged in your favor." "A courtier, *I know*, will be ready to maintain the affirmative."

In addition to the resemblances, which Mr. Taylor, the advocate of Sir Philip Francis, finds between the speeches and writings of Sir Philip and Junius, he discovers that the former, who is also the latter, reported two speeches of Chatham, delivered in the House of Lords on the 9th and 22d January, 1770; and taking it for granted, that the *Reporter* gave to his report his own spirit and style rather than those of the orator, he proceeds to institute comparisons between Chatham's speeches and Junius, to prove that Sir Philip was the latter. The author of the fourth work at the head of this article takes these comparisons from Taylor, but applies them to prove that the *orator*, and not the *reporter*, was Junius. We think that they prove one as much as they do the other. We take the following example.

'*Francis's Report of Chatham*. He owned his natural partiality for America, and was inclined to make allowance even for their excesses. That they ought to be treated with tenderness, for in his sense *they were ebullitions of liberty that broke out in the skin*, and were the sign, if not of a perfect, at least of a *vigorous constitution*; and must not be driven in too suddenly, lest they should strike to the heart.'

'*Junius*. No man regards *an eruption upon the surface*, when the vital parts are invaded, and he feels a *mortification* approach-

ing his heart.' 'I shall only say, give me a healthy *vigorous constitution*, and I shall hardly consult my looking-glass to discover a blemish upon my skin.'

'*Francis's Report of Chatham.* The rights of the greatest and meanest subjects now stand upon the same foundation,—the security of law, common to all.'

'*Junius.* However distinguished by rank or property, in the rights of freedom we are all equal. As we are Englishmen, the least considerable man among us has an interest equal to the proudest nobleman, in the laws and constitution of his country.'

The author of the Letters on Junius, addressed to Mr. Pickering, in support of Lord Temple's pretensions, produces a pamphlet, which is anonymous, but which he supposes to have been written by his candidate. On this corner-stone he places the main pillar of his theory. The pamphlet is entitled 'An Enquiry into the conduct of a late Right Honorable Commoner.'

'*Junius.* Until they thunder at our gate.'

'*Enquiry.* He thundered against Hanover.'

'*Junius.* The incapacity of their [the administration] leaders to promote any other without widening their bottom.'

'*Enquiry.* In order to widen and strengthen the bottom of his administration.'

We might proceed to fill a volume with couplets of this sort, which every writer upon the authorship has collected from Junius, and from some acknowledged or supposed production of his favorite candidate. Gen. Lee, Burke, Boyd, Lloyd, Wilkes, and many others, have been proved to be Junius by similar evidence, and we shall presently show, if the above examples have not shown already, that any writer might by the same means be proved to be Junius. It is true that there is a distinction, which however has seldom been made, between the cases of claimants whose writings were published *before*, and those whose writings were published *after* Junius; for the resemblances in the latter may be the effect of mere imitation. We think they are of very little value in any case, for they are witnesses which can be called, and will answer equally well for any case; like those standing in the purlieus of the courts at Naples, who, if asked what their business is, answer 'I swear.'

Thus much for parallel expressions of political writers of Junius's era and country. We will now proceed a step further, and show that similar coincidences may be found in writers of different eras, different countries, and different walks of literature.

The work which we shall take to illustrate the two first points, is an American pamphlet, which made some sensation in its day, and although erroneous, as it appears to us, in some of its views, is written with considerable ability.

**'Pamphlet.** A statement which the writer undoubtedly believed to be true, but which comes *only from one side of the question.*'—'They must have been compelled either to act upon the views of this representation, without hearing the counter statement of *the other side*, or seemingly to disregard the pressing interests of their constituents.'

**'Junius.** One would think that all the fools were of the *other side of the question.*'

**'Pamphlet.** However differing in my conclusions upon questions of the highest moment, from any other man of *whatever party*, I have never upon suspicion imputed his conduct to corruption.'

**'Junius.** To write for profit without taxing the press, to write for fame and to be unknown, to support the intrigues of faction and be *disowned* as a dangerous auxiliary by *every party*, are contradictions, which the minister must reconcile before I forfeit my credit with the public.'

**'Pamphlet.** This open-hearted imputation of *honest intentions* is the only adamant, which can bear all the *thunder* of foreign hostility.'

**'Junius.** I should be sorry to injure any man, who may be *honest* in his *intentions.*'

American politicians of 1807-8 may recollect the pamphlet which we have quoted, and have proved to be written by the author of Junius by just as good an argument, as any which has been used to prove Francis, or Sackville, or Chatham, or Temple, or any other of a score of candidates, to be the author of Junius. The above examples are taken from the first *seven* pages of the pamphlet in question. From this a judgment may be formed, of what we might present upon an examination of the whole with the same view. Politicians and others who were not on the stage in 1808 may need to be informed, that our new candidate for Junius is none other

than President John Quincy Adams; and the above pamphlet, which proves the validity of his claims, is his reply to senator Timothy Pickering's letter to his constituents on the Embargo.

We present one more example of this sort of coincidence, which is quite as remarkable as the above, and it is the more valuable, because it is found between Junius and the first and only author in a totally different walk, and of a totally different character from Junius, whom we took up with a view to note similitudes, if any appeared. For the present, we shall designate the work to which we refer as a *book* simply.

'*Book.* I felt some *reluctance* at parting.'

'*Junius.* I write to you with *reluctance*.'

'*Book.* I can say no more for Mr. Pope (for what you keep in reserve may be worse than all the rest). It is natural to wish the finest writer, at least one of them, we ever had, should be an *honest man*.

'*Junius.* If any *honest man* should still be inclined to leave the construction of libels to the Court, I would entreat him to consider what a dreadful complication of hardships he imposes upon his fellow-subjects.'

'*Book.* The unhappy news I have just received from you equally *surprises and afflicts me*.'

'*Junius.* It is the conduct of our friends that *surprises and afflicts me*.'

Those who have been accustomed to regard such coincidences of expressions as proof of identity of authorship, will be '*surprised*,' and possibly some of them '*afflicted*' to learn, that the *book* is Gray's Letters; and they will be further surprised to hear, that we examined but three of the letters to obtain the above couplets. There is no doubt that they might be greatly extended. In short, we should as soon think of proving that Johnson was Junius, by finding the words of the letters in the Dictionary, as by selecting and yoking together words and phrases from Junius and any author, to prove that author and him the same. One of the Petitions of the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Livery of the city of London, presented to his Majesty George III, in 1770, in allusion to the supposed power and intrigues of the Princess Dowager of Wales, and her faction, a set of irresponsible persons '*behind the throne*,' called in the phrase of the day '*the King's friends*,' uses precisely the term **MALIGN INFLUENCE**. But we are not

therefore to conclude, that Mr. Secretary Branch wrote the London Petition.

To present a final and still more surprising example of the fallacy, which we have been endeavoring to expose, we shall present passages from three documents, one of which is among the most known and celebrated in the world. The others are less known, but in point of composition are not less entitled to celebrity. For the present, we shall designate them by the letters A, B, and C.

'A. They [the ministers] have wantonly and wickedly sacrificed the lives of your Majesty's innocent subjects.'

'C. He [the king] has destroyed the lives of our people.'

'A. After having insulted and defeated the law, \* \* \* they have at length completed their design, by wresting from the people the last sacred right of election' [of representatives.]

'C. He has obstructed the administration of justice. He has dissolved representative houses repeatedly, for opposing with manly firmness his invasions of the rights of the people.'

'A. They avow and endeavor to establish a maxim absolutely inconsistent with our Constitution, that an occasion for effectually employing a military force always presents itself, when the civil power is trifled with or insulted.'

'C. He has affected to render the military power independent of, and superior to the civil.'

'A. They have established numberless unconstitutional regulations and taxations in our colonies. They have caused a revenue to be raised in some of them by prerogative. They have appointed civil law Judges to try revenue causes, and to be paid out of the condemnation money.'

'C. He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction, foreign from our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his assent to their pretended acts of legislation;

'For imposing taxes on us without our consent;

'He has made Judges dependent on his will alone for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.'

We now give some comparisons from the documents B and C.

'B. Wicked attempts to increase and establish a standing army.'

'C. He has kept among us in times of peace standing armies.'

'B. The military introduced at every opportunity, unnecessarily and unlawfully patrolling the streets, to the alarm of the inhabitants.'

'C. For quartering large bodies of *armed troops among us.*'

'B. *Unjust treatment of petitions.*'

'C. Our repeated *petitions* have been answered only by repeated *injury.*'

'B. Unwilling to interrupt your royal repose, though ready to lay down *our lives and fortunes* for your Majesty's service, and *for the constitution*, as by law established, we have *waited patiently*, expecting a constitutional remedy. We see ourselves left without hopes or means of redress, but from your Majesty or God.'

'C. Such has been the *patient* sufferance of these colonies.'

\* \* \* 'And *for the support of this declaration*, with a firm reliance on the protection of *Divine Providence*, we mutually pledge to each other *our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor.*'

In passing we remark, that fine scholars have considered the last clause as a blemish in a composition, which for the most part is highly finished and perfect.

We now present some coincidences from the documents A, B, and C, *together.*

'A. They have *screened* more than one *murderer from punishment.*'

'C. For *protecting* them [the military] by a mock trial, *from punishment* for any *murders* they should *commit* on the inhabitants of these States.'

'B. *Murder abetted, encouraged, and rewarded.*'

'A. They have *purposely furnished a pretence* for calling in the aid of *military power.*'

'C. He has *excited domestic insurrections amongst us.*'

'B. *Mobs and riots, hired and raised* by the ministers in order to *justify* and *recommend* their own illegal proceedings.'

'A. Your ministers have \* \* *invaded* our invaluable and unalienable *right of trial by jury.*'

'C. For *depriving* us in many cases of the *benefits of trial by jury.*'

'B. *Trial by jury discountenanced.*'

'A. All this they have been able to effect, by a *shameless prostitution of public honors and emoluments.*'

'C. He has erected a multitude of *new offices*, sent hither *swarms of officers* to *harass* our people, and to *eat out their substance.*'

'B. *Prostitution of public honors and rewards to men*, who can neither plead public virtue nor services.'

These are verbal, phraseological, and mental resemblances, which would prove a vast deal, if it had happened to be neces-

sary to any theory of ours, to prove that the three documents were from one and the same hand.

But there is another and higher species of internal evidence, than any which we have mentioned, or any which coincidences of mere words, phrases, or even of sentiment, can ever afford. This is general resemblance of style, or as Dr. Parr calls it, '*general lexis*' of writings. It is the compendious result of all particular resemblances. It is that which the mind intuitively detects, because it feels itself in the presence of something known and familiar. In men, it is that by which we recognize them without hearing their voice or examining their features. We will therefore present extracts from the above documents, of sufficient length to prove a similitude of *style* in its largest sense.

'A. Your ministers, from corrupt principles, and in violation of every duty, have by various enumerated means, invaded our invaluable and unalienable right of trial by jury.

'They have with impunity issued general warrants, and violently seized persons and private papers.

'They have rendered the laws non-effective to our security by evading the *Habeas Corpus*.

'They have caused punishments and even perpetual imprisonments to be inflicted, without trial, conviction, or sentence.

'They have brought into disrepute the civil magistracy, by the appointment of persons, who are in many respects unqualified for that important trust, and have thereby purposely furnished a pretence for calling in the military power.'

'C. He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the repository of their records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into a compliance with his measures.

'He has dissolved representative houses repeatedly, for opposing, with manly firmness, his invasions on the rights of the people.

'He has refused for a long time after such dissolutions, to cause others to be elected; whereby the legislative powers, incapable of annihilation, have returned to the people at large for their exercise, the State remaining in the mean time exposed to all the dangers of invasions from without and convulsions within.

'He has endeavored to prevent the population of these States; for that purpose obstructing the laws for naturalization of foreigners; refusing to pass others to encourage their migration hither, and raising the conditions of new appropriations of lands.'

From a subsequent part of the same document, we give an extract, in which the sentences are constructed in a manner quite peculiar.

' *C.* He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction, foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his assent to their acts of pretended legislation,—

‘ For quartering large bodies of armed troops amongst us.

‘ For protecting them, by a mock trial, from punishment for any murders which they should commit on the inhabitants of these States.

‘ For cutting off our trade with all parts of the world.

‘ For imposing taxes upon us without our consent.

‘ For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.

‘ For transporting us beyond seas to be tried for pretended crimes.’

A similar peculiarity of construction may be seen in the following from document B.

‘ *B.* Under the pretence of this discretion, or as it was formerly, and has been lately called,—law of State,—we have seen,

‘ English subjects, and even a member of the British Legislature, arrested by a general warrant, issued by a Secretary of State, contrary to the law of the land.

‘ Their houses rifled and plundered; their papers seized and used as evidence on trial.

‘ Their bodies committed to close confinement.

‘ The *Habeas Corpus* eluded.

‘ Trial by jury discountenanced; and the first law officer of the crown publicly insinuating that juries are not to be trusted.

‘ Printers punished by the ministry in the Supreme Court, without a trial by their equals,—without any trial at all.

‘ The remedy of the law for false imprisonment debarred and defeated, &c.'

A represents the Petition of the Livery of London, presented to the king July 5, 1769; B represents a Petition of the Freeholders of Middlesex, presented to the king about the same time; and C represents the American Declaration of Independence, adopted and signed just *seven* years and *one* day after the first of the above petitions was presented. That these could all have been written by the same hand, or in the same country, is of course out of the question. The example shows the extreme liability of writers to deceive themselves, and

mislead others, by relying upon similitudes of thought, diction, or even of style, to prove identity of authorship. It may be alleged, that the above resemblances are not accidental, but the result of imitation. Jefferson might, and probably did read these English documents, which were published in the London, and not improbably in the American papers; and he was then a young lawyer and an old politician. As a whig, he must have approved their principles, and as a man of sense and taste, he must have admired the concise, nervous and eloquent style, in which they were drawn up. If they made that impression, which they were calculated to make, upon such a mind as his, he might, and probably would insensibly slide into the same method and style of stating the rights and wrongs of a great nation. Be this as it may, the resemblances are undeniable and striking. If they be accidental, then they show that there is no need of supposing or inferring the same mind and the same hand, where they occur; if they be imitation, then they show conclusively the fallacy of the pretensions of any writer, who wrote subsequently to Junius. But in truth, the argument is worth very little in any case, and in the one last supposed, it is an *ignis fatuus*, which is as likely to lead any where else as to truth. In an article in the Edinburgh Review, in 1826, attributed to Sir James Mackintosh, it is observed, that for twenty years Junius was the model of almost every political writer. We may add that he is still so, oftener than readers or writers are always aware of.

We believe that the argument from internal evidence of style, in a comprehensive sense, though often deceitful and rarely conclusive, is the best that can be employed in solving a literary problem like the one before us. It is precisely the one which has been employed least. Undoubtedly it is the one, which demands the greatest familiarity with the respective writings which are to be compared. It is a high and refined exercise of intellect, and requires a vigorous application of the powers of logic, rhetoric and criticism. We know from daily experience, how widely men differ in judgment, in cases where they have only to exercise the physical senses of sight and taste. Of course the difficulty and uncertainty must be greatly increased, where the analogous faculties of mind are to be employed, in the same manner as our reasonings on moral subjects are more loose and unsatisfactory, than in the physical and mathematical sciences. How often do the greatest epicures differ in their opinions of

the quality, age and even the kind of wines ; Cervantes relates a story in point. Two delicate tasters were asked their opinions of a certain butt of wine ; one thought there was a disagreeable taste of *iron* in it, and the other that it had the flavor of old *leather*. In fact, when the wine was drawn off, a *key* with a *leathern* thong attached, was found in the bottom of the cask. This is probably the *nec plus ultra* of connoisseurship in the article of wine.

Erasmus wrote a tract entitled *Ciceronianus*. It was answered anonymously. Erasmus perused the reply and fixed it upon Hieronimus Aleander, as the author of the whole or the greater part. The words of Erasmus on the occasion were very remarkable. ‘From the *phraseology*, the *style* and *diction*, and a great many other things, I am persuaded that this, or at least the greater part of it, is the work of Hieronimus Aleander. Because his genius has become so thoroughly known to me from our domestic intercourse, that he is not better known to himself.’ And yet Erasmus was mistaken. Julius Scaliger was the author of the piece. There cannot be a stronger case than this. Greater learning, judgment and sagacity cannot be expected, nor a fairer occasion for their application : yet they failed of attaining to truth ; they deceived their possessor, and he deceived others. The authorship of the Dialogue de *Claris Oratoribus sive de Causis Corruptæ Eloquentiæ* has been ascribed to Tacitus, Quinctilian, and Pliny. Learned men have advocated the claims of each, and do so still, and will for ages to come.

But to show that the internal evidence of style is not without great value, we mention the following anecdote of Ruhnken. He was reading Apsines, one of the minor Greek rhetoricians, when he suddenly perceived that he had passed into another style, resembling that of Longinus, with which he was very familiar. As he proceeded, he detected new traces of the same author, and he felt certain, that he had found a piece from some work of Longinus. To confirm the acuteness of Ruhnken, a passage of Longinus, cited by an old commentator, was found word for word in the piece which he was reading. In short, the incident proved to be a discovery of a part of a lost work of Longinus on rhetoric. The questions of the authorship of Eikon Basilike, Gil Blas, Phalaris’s Epistles, and The Whole Duty of Man, have exercised without satisfying the critical learning and curiosity of the greatest scholars.

There is one case more modern, and more remarkable than any of the above. Edmund Burke was the author of an ingenious stratagem to discredit the writings of Bolingbroke, then greatly in vogue, and very generally thought to be inimitable in his style and sound in his philosophy. Burke's design was to mimic the style, to seem to adopt the principles, and then to run them out boldly, but with apparent sincerity, to their remote consequences; and thus to demonstrate their dangerous tendency. Bolingbroke's philosophy was 'the newest pattern of the day,' and both on account of its style and as the posthumous work of a distinguished politician, excited great attention; a direct attack upon it would probably have failed. Burke's treacherous torpedo was sent out in 1756, and while it was floating under the enemy's bows to blow him out of the water, was taken for his own buoy. 'The imitation was so perfect, as to constitute identity rather than resemblance.' Lord Chesterfield and Bishop Warburton for a short time believed it to be genuine. Mallet, 'the beggarly Scotchman,' as Dr. Johnson called him, whom 'the scoundrel' that 'loaded a blunderbuss against Christianity hired to pull the trigger' after he was dead,—went to Dodsley's, when filled with *literati*, purposely to disavow for his deceased Lordship, Burke's work. Dr. Joseph Warton observes, that 'Bolingbroke's manner of reasoning and philosophizing has been so happily caught in a piece entitled, "A Vindication of Natural Society," that many even acute readers mistook it for a genuine discourse of the author, whom it was intended to expose; it is indeed a master-piece of irony. No writings, that raised so mighty an expectation in the public as those of Bolingbroke, ever perished so soon and sunk into oblivion.'

Hand-writing has been produced as one of the most certain and satisfactory criterions for determining the authorship of Junius. The zeal, confidence, and pains with which several claims have been placed and urged, on the ground of identity of hand-writing, shows (if it prove nothing else), how men will differ, even about things which are subjected to their natural senses, *oculis fidelibus subjecta*. To show the fallaciousness of this test, we state the following cases.

Judge Johnstone of Ireland was convicted of a libel published in Cobbett's Register about twenty years ago. Two witnesses swore positively that it was the Judge's hand-writing. The case was never called up for judgment, and the defendant

retired from the bench upon a pension. Eighteen years after, namely, in 1827, he assured his friend and guest, Gen. Cockburne, that he never wrote a word or line of it, and explained the manner in which the affair happened. Judge J. had kept a diary, in which he had entered political observations during or soon after Emmet's rebellion. A young man on a visit to his house had copied some of them. The young man was afterwards persuaded by a noble lord to write against another lord, and in so doing, he used some hints contained in the diary. When the Judge was prosecuted, the young man came and offered to avow himself, which the Judge refused, thinking it impossible that he should be convicted, and that it would be said that he got his young friend to avow, for the purpose of screening himself.

Another case occurred not long since in the United States. A young man was arraigned and put on trial for passing counterfeit money. Several witnesses, and among them brokers, testified that the bill produced as one passed or attempted to be passed, was counterfeit, and the signatures of the cashier and president forged. On this evidence, the case was about to go off, and of course fatally to the young man. It occurred to the friend, or counsel of the defendant, that it was barely possible that the bill might after all be genuine; and it was requested that the cashier of the bank should be summoned. He was so, and he pronounced the bill a genuine bill. It is unnecessary to multiply examples. As might be expected, a great many Juniuses have been established on this species of proof. No two manuscripts, written by professional or business men can be found, in which resemblances of some letter, line, or junction may not be detected. If this be true, it follows that some plausible coincidences of strokes, turns, or hair-lines, may be found in favor of any claimant whatever, especially when every argument from non-resemblance meets with the ready rebuff, that the hand is disguised, and could not without ceasing to be so, and defeating the object, possess any but slight, and to ordinary observers, imperceptible resemblances.

After what we have said, and the examples we have given under other heads, it is not necessary to take a distinct view of the subject of identical ideas and opinions. These are the common property, and cant words and phrases the circulating medium, of parties; and so far as these are concerned, any whig in England might have written Junius. It is laid down

as a canon in the Edinburgh Review, that any pretender to the authorship of Junius must unite the support of the stamp-act and taxation of America, with a warm partisanship of Wilkes; that these opinions are incongruous, and were to be found only in George Grenville and a very few of his adherents; and that therefore Junius must be one politically connected with George Grenville. This may be true or not. It is of no great importance. It is however strange, that a sagacious and learned critic should never imagine that Junius might seem to fall in with a popular doctrine, which he did not approve, but which he saw that nothing would arrest, with a view not to impair the general credit and popularity of his writings to the detriment of other objects, which he deemed of paramount importance.

We know that Junius did not hesitate to assume any disguise, to represent himself as of any place, or country, or profession, and to change totally his tone and manner towards individuals, when any of these things could conduce to his main design. At one time Lords Camden and Chatham are depreciated, at another extolled to the skies: Wilkes, when he complains privately of the wounds inflicted upon him in a public letter, is told that it was 'necessary to the plan of that letter.' On the American question, too, Junius expressly renounces and denounces all practical use of the power, and merely stickles for the right. To have done less would have set the British public against him, and destroyed or greatly impaired his usefulness; and to do no more was evidently a most lame and impotent support of Mr. Grenville's stamp-act. He may however have been a personal, as he was in the main a political friend of George Grenville; but we protest against that narrow, niggardly, and grovelling view of Junius's high talents, which makes him a mere understrapper or puppet to any great man whomsoever. Junius was made to give, not to receive the impulses of opinion; to command, not to obey. Whenever discovered, he will be seen dictating to other minds.

Having thus exposed some of the leading fallacies that have appeared in the reasonings which we have met with on this subject, it would not be difficult to lay down certain rules, according to which, in our opinion, the investigation of this question must be conducted, and a solution obtained, if it be susceptible of a solution. Our space would not now permit us to adduce the proofs necessary to establish the authority of such

rules, and without proof they would be esteemed mere dogmas, presumptuous in us, and unprofitable to others. Still less will the present occasion permit us to examine and reject or modify many, perhaps most of the numerous rules, which have been set up by others. We would observe of these rules in general, that some of them are frivolous, some of them positively fallacious, and every set of them which we have seen, defective and redundant, inasmuch as they omit some of the most essential requisites for the author of Junius, and as a natural counterpart, embrace some that are perfectly indifferent. With one exception, they appear to have been framed expressly to suit the pretensions of favorite candidates; as the house-wife whose carpet would not fit her stairs, altered the stairs to fit her carpet.

The persons to whom the letters of Junius have been from time to time attributed, are, so far as we know, as follows: viz. Edmund Burke, John Dunning, Lord Ashburton, Henry Flood, Lord Chesterfield, Samuel Dyer, John Roberts, Thomas Whately, Dr. Butler Bishop of Hereford, William Gerard Hamilton, Richard, otherwise called Leonidas Glover, Charles Lee, Hugh Macauley Boyd, Sir Philip Francis, Charles Lloyd, Edward Gibbon, Sir William Jones, William Greatrakes, J. P. de Lolme, Thomas Hollis, William H. C. Bentinck Duke of Portland, Philip Rosenhagen, Dr. Gilbert Stuart, Lord Shelburne, Horace Walpole Earl of Orford, Colonel Barré, John Wilkes, Dr. Wilmot, John Horne Tooke, Lord George Sackville, Lord Chatham, and Earl Temple.

Burke, Dunning, and Flood, appear to have been named, because they were capable of writing Junius, or rather were supposed capable of writing as well. It would have saved much learned trifling, if capacity, the foundation of all other pretensions, had been ranked among the requisites for the author of Junius; and demanded at the threshold of every inquiry. It is easy however to show, that neither of these gentlemen could have been Junius. Besides the denial to Dean Morley, Dr. Johnson stated that Burke denied it 'spontaneously' to him. Junius refers to Burke as authority, and Burke eulogizes Junius both in and out of Parliament. Dunning, (as Solicitor General) was engaged in professional, official, and parliamentary business during the whole or nearly the whole period, and in the former and latter capacity, for some time afterwards. He was at the height of his fame, and immersed in business. If any rule can be laid down with entire confi-

dence for conducting the inquiry after Junius, it is that the author must have been a man, who could constantly devote the most of his time, and occasionally the whole of it, to the composition of these letters, and the extensive, minute and prompt researches which many of them required. The greatest interruption of Junius's correspondence was three weeks, and much of the time he must have written more or less every day. His published letters amount to two hundred and forty ; an average of one a week. Many of them could not have been written without many days of preparation ; and accordingly he says in a private letter to Woodfall, 'this [the first letter to Mansfield] though begun within these *few days*, has been greatly labored.' It is twenty-three pages in length, and the labor alluded to must have been chiefly that of composition, as the plan required little or no reference to books, being chiefly employed upon the topics of the day. It is very keen, pointed and elegant. There are many other letters, which must have required more time for examining and copying authorities, than for composition. Such letters must have occupied him twice a 'few days : ' take for example the one to Lord Mansfield on the Law of Bail. It begins with the statute of Westminster passed in the year 1275, and traces the current of legislation through weeds and rubbish down to the time of writing, and then takes up the judicial authorities, which it treats in like manner. The letter contains thirty-six printed pages. About fifty statutes and law authorities are copied or abridged, and the references given. It appears by the law reports of the time, that at the date of the first letter to Mansfield so 'greatly labored,' and for nine days preceding, Dunning was engaged in every cause, which was argued in the Court of King's Bench at Westminster. One of these was the novel and famous case of the 'appeal of blood,' of the Widow Bigby against the Kennedys for the murder of her husband. This occupied five days, from the 6th to the 11th of November, 1770. The next case occupied four days, from the 11th to the 14th, including Sunday, 13th, and the third and last the 15th ; on the 14th the letter to Mansfield was dated. These facts are irreconcilable with the supposition that Dunning wrote this letter. We believe that a great number of the letters might be proved by the same infallible test, not to have been written by him. And if we possessed equal evidence of the occupations, and residences of other claimants, as the law reports furnish of Dunning's, it cannot be doubted

that the claims of a multitude would vanish once for all. Mr. Barker justly states, and he is the first who has done it, that ‘not merely leisure was wanted for the composer of the letters, but the consciousness of full leisure, the feeling of a mind at ease, unencumbered by official duties, unexhausted by the performance of them, undistracted in moments of relaxation by the remembrance of them, powers fresh and vigorous, and capable of being at the shortest notice waked into active and awful energy, striking the object of its wrath with the divine force of lightning, rending the knotted oak, and scattering its honors in the dust.’ We may obtain further light as to the labor and time bestowed by Junius, by observing the dates of his replies to his principal antagonists, Draper and Horne. The shortest of them, being four pages, followed Sir William’s after an interval of four days ; the least elaborate of the longer ones after six days, and the rest from eleven to thirteen days, averaging about ten days each. The longest and most labored of these replies are among the minor letters. The inference then, is, that such letters as that to the king, those to Lord Mansfield, those on the Middlesex election, several to the Duke of Grafton, one to the Duke of Bedford, and some dozen addressed to the printer, must have occupied Junius from fifteen to twenty days each. Dunning was a whig, but a man of ‘high and unblemished honor,’ and he would not have employed his leisure, if he had had any, in attacking the king, from whom he was receiving the highest favors. He left nothing but speeches and legal arguments ; and that he could write as well as Junius, is matter of inference, not of fact. The disclaimer of Junius that he was a lawyer is the ordinary objection to Dunning’s claim, but we attach no importance to that ; though we believe that Junius was not a lawyer, but we believe it for other reasons.

Henry Flood was a great and noble-minded man, and a distinguished but not polished writer ; he was in Ireland during the whole summer of 1768, during which Junius was constantly writing. One of his letters, dated May 12th, is in answer to one which appeared on the morning of that day. Such facts could be multiplied ; one of them is decisive.

The claims of Chesterfield, Dyer, Roberts, and Whately, are easily disposed of. They all died before Junius had done writing. We know of no particular reason for their being brought forward, except that Chesterfield was a celebrated

writer ; and the others, except Dyer, who was a literary man, were clerks in the ministerial departments, and supposed to have had facilities for obtaining the secret intelligence of Junius. Dr. Butler was suspected by Wilkes, but it is not distinctly known on what ground. He had formerly been private Secretary to Right Hon. Henry Bilson Legge, Chancellor of the Exchequer, during Pitt's ministry. William Gerard Hamilton seems to have been supposed to be Junius, from the singular fact, that he was reported to have told to a friend the contents of one of Junius's letters, as though it had already appeared, when in fact it did not appear until the next day. This is easily accounted for, by supposing that Hamilton had seen the manuscript at Woodsall's office. The claims of Glover were based on some coincidences of language, and a general conformity of political sentiment with Junius. We do not deem Glover's pretensions worthy of a serious reply. The same remark is applicable to Lee, the origin of whose claims we have already mentioned. Hugh Macauley Boyd is supported by verbal and sentimental resemblances, and by blushes,—nothing more. He was an indefatigable imitator of Junius.

The case of Sir Philip Francis has been one of the most imposing, and yet we think that there is none more easily refuted. Sir Philip never wrote a word for the public, until eight or ten years after Junius ceased to write. Whatever resemblances he may exhibit to Junius, may be accounted for from imitation ; but they do not require such explanation. They may be found in all writers of the same era, the same side, and even as we have shown, in writers of different eras and different literary pursuits. To adduce such phrases as 'on my side,' 'on your side,' as proof of the identity of two authors, is as absurd as to say, that breathing the same air or speaking English, constitutes personal identity. Sir Philip was a clerk in the war-office, when Junius began to write, and continued so 'until the beginning of the year 1772.' Junius's last publication is dated May 10th, 1772. In the last but two, Mr. Francis is incidentally mentioned as having resigned, and Lord Barrington is reproached with the fact, on account of Francis's excellent character. It was this introduction of his name by Junius, which led to the extravagant, but extremely delusive theory of Mr. Taylor. There are many and overwhelming objections to Sir Philip, but it is enough, that he was a young man, sitting during the five years of Junius at a recording clerk's desk, a

dependant on Government patronage, and bound by every tie of gratitude and honor to Lord Chatham and Welbore Ellis, the former of whom Junius loaded, for a long time, with his fiercest invectives, and the latter of whom he treats with sovereign contempt and unmitigated scorn, throughout his work. Sir Philip Francis acknowledges, that he owed to Mr. Pitt his early advancement in life, and two or three honorable and lucrative posts; and ten years afterwards, he repeatedly eulogized his deceased benefactor, declaring that he had left nothing, which resembled him, behind. Can it be believed, that this man, whom we are taught to believe honorable, applied to Chatham, even while enjoying the fruit of his favor, such appellations, as ‘traitor,’ and ‘black villain?’ Again, no adequate motive can be assigned, why Francis should assail Lord Mansfield so furiously as Junius has done. Again, Francis was never in Paris, until the year 1772, nine years after the Jesuits were expelled and *their books burnt*; which last act, Junius says he *saw*, and he is to be believed; for no reason but its truth can be given, why he should have stated that fact. There are numerous other objections. We do not pretend to exhaust any of these topics. One alone is sufficient. Francis had not time to write these letters, in addition to his daily duties in the war-office. He *may* have possessed the necessary knowledge, though there is not the slightest proof of it; but if that be admitted, he had no time to use it. Lastly, his style is as inferior to that of Junius, as the movement of a Dutch dray-horse to that of the Arab steed. He was comparatively a man of heavy and moderate faculties. He was not capable of writing Junius. He died without admitting or denying the authorship. All who knew him agree, and any one who has read his letter on the regency question, in 1810, will agree, that his vanity would not have permitted him to conceal the fact, if it had been true. To this point, we have the testimony of Dr. Parr and others, who knew him well. The Doctor also pronounces ‘the *general lexis*’ of Francis an essentially different one from that of Junius. If it be said in vindication of Sir Philip’s character, that he did deny the authorship, we reply, that he lived several years to see men contending and shedding ink, to prove that it was *not* a denial, but an evasion,—and virtually an admission. Any man, who permits an important ambiguity to rest upon his words, year after year, is little better than a falsifier. Mr. Barker, in the work to which we have so often referred, has

completely demolished the light oriental fabric of Mr. Taylor, in which he had placed Sir Philip as the deity. We add a single remark, in relation to the hand-writing, upon which Mr. Taylor lays uncommon stress; and that is, that cases equally strong have been made out for Lee, Sackville, Tooke, Burke, and Boyd.

After refuting the claims of Francis, Mr. Barker concludes in favor of Charles Lloyd. His treatise, or rather tracts, on this subject, are mere collections of *on dits* and opinions. There are no facts or arguments, which strike the reader with any new light. We are totally incredulous as to Lloyd's claim. There is no proof that he was capable of writing the book. He was one of those writers, who were early mentioned among the conjectural authors; and his pretensions were considerably patronized. So far as we have been able to learn, the opposition and rumor in regard to him, were based wholly upon the fact that he was private secretary to George Grenville, and hence might have possessed the secret information, and the necessary attachment to Grenville. This was the single slender pillar, upon which a towering fabric has been raised, which cannot stand. Lloyd was afterwards private secretary to Lord North.\* If Junius's praise of Grenville be proof that he was Lloyd, his satire and invective against Lord North are, by parity of reasoning, proof that he was not Lloyd. One fact neutralizes the other.

Butler remarks in his Reminiscences, that Lloyd died, and Junius ceased to write at the same time, and that this fact furnishes a strong presumption in his favor. It was a coincidence, which, if true, and united with acknowledged capacity, and other coincidences, sufficient to furnish a fair logical induction, would pass for something. But Great Britain at that time had a population, from which the average deaths were at least four hundred a day. Could not any one of those, who died in the same week or month with Junius's disappearance, be with equal propriety brought forward, on the strength of that circumstance? But this alleged coincidence is not proved, but disproved, for the purpose for which it is here used. Junius wrote his last letter to Woodfall, long enough to cover a page or page and a half of letter paper, with the same steady, elastic,

---

\* Letter of Rev. Thomas Kidd, published in 'Barker's Authorship of Junius's Letters.'

rapid, and vigorous pen and spirit, as usual. No difference is discernible. But Lloyd died on the third day after the date of that letter. In addition to this, we are told, and it is not contradicted, that he was in declining health during the whole period of Junius,—was more or less absent in France, for his health, (some say all the time,) and his friends admit, that if *he* were the author, then Junius is a prodigy of physical as well as intellectual effort. Dr. J. M. Good, the reputed editor of Woodfall's Junius, says that Lloyd was on his death-bed, when Junius penned his last letter, upon city and other politics ; yet some men, and great men, still contend, that this fact, instead of destroying, lays a foundation for his claim. The fact, whether he was really in a condition to write, might probably be ascertained in England, if it were thought worth the while. We have no life of Lloyd ; he has not even found a place in the biographical dictionaries ; but it is probable that the revival of his claim, on authority so various and respectable, may lead to a more minute inquiry into the circumstances of his life and death.

Of the cases of Gibbon, Jones, Greatrakes, de Lolme, Hollis, the Duke of Portland, Rosenhagen, Stuart, Shelburne, Walpole, Barré, Wilkes, and Wilmot, we have only to remark, that we know of nothing that has been alleged in their favor, of sufficient importance to require a formal refutation or further notice. The remainder of this article we shall devote to our own countrymen, who have very considerable claims to attention on this subject.

The first in the order of time is Mr. Graham. He supports Horne Tooke. The book is a respectable one, but three fourths of its contents consist of extracts from the letters of Junius, and the political writings and speeches of Tooke. There is a great similarity of opinion between Tooke and Junius ; so there is between Junius and Glover. There are verbal and phraseological resemblances ; so there are in twenty other cases. Tooke is said to have declared that he knew who Junius was ; so have several others, and a number have openly or impliedly avowed the work. Besides, Junius abused Horne Tooke, attributing to him ‘the solitary vindictive malice of a monk, brooding over his friend’s infirmities, and feeding with a rancorous rapture, upon the sordid catalogue of his distresses.’ It is true, that according to Graham’s reasoning, this abuse of Horne is an argument in favor of his identity with the abuser ;

it being a stratagem, resorted to the more effectually to conceal the author; an argument which was broached by an anonymous writer in Boston, about three years before Mr. Graham's book appeared. According to this theory, no one is so likely to have written Junius as Lord Mansfield, the Duke of Bedford or the Duke of Grafton, the King, or Lord Barrington. It is unfortunate for Mr. Graham's case, that the stratagem deemed so important was not resorted to until the last *six months* of Junius's existence. After the controversy with Horne, he writes but *nine* letters under the signature of Junius, and but *thirteen* under others,—*twenty-two out of two hundred and forty!* One would suppose that Tooke, if he were Junius, having got along comfortably to the two hundred and eighteenth letter, without 'dividing himself and going to buffets,' would have been content to go on upon the same friendly footing with himself, until he arrived at the two hundred and fortieth. No proof is adduced of immediate and particular danger of detection at that juncture. Mr. Graham's book is however worthy of perusal, as a tribute, if nothing else, to a great man, whom none can know without admiring for his abilities, respecting for his honest, magnanimous and intrepid character, and for his services in the cause of English and American liberty, and loving for the generosity and benevolence of his heart.

The next work at the head of this article supports the same theory. It was issued anonymously in the same city. It consists:—1. Of examinations of the claims of several candidates, particularly of Francis and Lloyd. The whole of this part is taken from Dr. Good's Essay, and Barker's work. 2. Of a sketch of the Life of Tooke, extracted and abridged from Stephens's Memoirs of Tooke. 3. Of a portion of the controversial letters of Tooke and Wilkes. 4. Of some of the miscellaneous letters of Junius, in which those written by an apparent opponent under the signature of *Cleophas*, in defence of the Earl of Hillsborough, are assumed to be written by Junius, and adduced as a new and corroboratory instance of Junius, *i. e.* Horne Tooke attacking himself. This was in 1768. The motive could not be the same as in the other case, because Cleophas attacks *Junius*, and loads him with opprobrious epithets. This could contribute nothing to the concealment of Junius, or to any other valuable purpose. The remaining portion of this work consists of all the private correspondence of Junius with Woodfall and Wilkes, and some short and

miscellaneous extracts and pieces in an Appendix. We have read the work with pleasure, as we should any one made up of these materials. The title, the propriety of which, as the compiler seems to admit, remains to be proved, (and the same remark is applicable in a little less degree to others,) contributes nothing towards effecting his purpose. It begs the question, and seems an invasion of our mental independence. Much of the matter and arrangement is identical with the preceding, and we imagine that the compiler of both is one and the same person. Some omissions in the earlier work are supplied. The coincidences in political sentiment between Junius and Horne Tooke are set forth as before, and an attempt is made to reconcile a notorious discrepancy between Horne and Junius, on the subject of the rights of America. Junius constantly maintains that Parliament had the *right* to tax us, and he supported the stamp-tax, but admitted the inexpediency of exercising the right, and condemned the tea-tax. Horne Tooke, on the contrary, so far as his sentiments are known, denied the right, and maintained essentially the doctrines of our Declaration of Independence, and of those petitions which we have compared with it, and of which we believe him to have been the author. The compiler of the 'Posthumous Writings' makes a rather disingenuous attempt to show, that at one time Horne held the same opinions as Junius. This may be true, but it is not proved. The evidence adduced is a statement of Stephens, that Horne taxed Wilkes with inconsistency, when, in consequence of 'a flattering letter from the Bostonians, accompanied by a valuable present, the Representative for Middlesex, who had always expressed hatred and contempt for the Americans, changed his mind, and transmitted a flaming reply, in which he maintained that the colonies were the *propugnacula imperii*.' The mistake of the compiler consists in assuming, that a simple reproof of the inconsistency, ridiculous self-love, and vanity of Wilkes, which were calculated to injure his party in England without benefiting America, was a reproof of the principles of America. Upon the whole, although we place Horne in the front rank of conjectural Juniuses, we do not perceive, that these two works have established any *identity*, except that of the avowed compiler of the one, with the anonymous compiler of the other. The claims of Horne Tooke were brought forward as early as 1789, in a work by Philip Thicknesse. Another work in his favor has

recently appeared in England, but we have seen neither of them. He has been occasionally mentioned elsewhere, and particularly in the newspapers of this city.

*Junius Unmasked* is a Boston work, not wholly destitute of merit. It supports the pretensions of Sackville. The writer tells us, that some years before its publication, he became convinced by ‘internal evidence’ that Sackville was Junius; that ‘the comparison of a short piece, written by him before the letters were published, exhibited such striking coincidences of style, as left with him no doubt on the subject.’ After the proofs which we have given of the extreme uncertainty of the highest results of this sort of reasoning, the reader will no doubt think, that he has encountered a sanguine and off-hand investigator. The details of the work, though written with creditable talent, will confirm this impression. For example; he thinks that Taylor’s argument drawn from similarity of handwriting, ‘amounts to nothing,’ and immediately after adds, ‘Sackville’s writing, though twenty-five years earlier, has a strong resemblance to that of Junius. In my judgment, they are the same.’ Now of the two, we think Francis’s writing rather more like Junius’s than Sackville’s is. Both however present some evident resemblances, and if the argument founded on it do not help either, it certainly serves to destroy the claim of the other. A portion of this work is substantially the same as that of Mr. Coventry, published in England in 1825. But in addition to Coventry’s views, the author has presented new matter, curious in itself, however little it may bear on his design. He supposes that Junius was the writer of the ‘North Briton,’ of the ‘History of the reign of George III. to the end of the session of Parliament in May 1770,’ and of the ‘Political Register,’ published in London from 1767 to 1771; a work attributed to Wilkes and Lloyd, but which he says could not have been theirs, because Wilkes was an outlaw at Paris, and Lloyd was dead. This is an error. We have seen that Lloyd died in January, 1773.

The new arguments, which the author claims to have added to those of Coventry, rest entirely on the supposition that Sackville was the author of a pamphlet, entitled ‘Considerations on the present German War.’ The only proof of this, with which we are favored, is, that ‘the work presents such views as Sackville would be likely to entertain;’ and that in the answers to the work, the author is addressed as ‘Mr., or My

Lord Considerer,' and that the Critical Review attributes it to a man, who has 'withstood *the blasts* of popular clamor.' Not much reliance can be placed upon reasoning, where the premises are conjectural. There were so many 'Masters and My Lords' in England, who had 'withstood' those 'blasts,' that we must presume so far as to withhold our assent from the inference, even as such; and still more from adopting it as a basis of argument. Our author having thus fixed the pamphlet upon Sackville, proceeds to collate passages from it and Junius, as has been seen in our extracts, and he arrives at his conclusion with as much regularity, and states it with as much confidence, as the best of his predecessors. And because he finds coincidences of language and sentiment between the pamphlet, Junius, and the History aforesaid, he incontinently concludes, and certifies, that Sackville is the author of the History. The argument has two defects. The premises are uncertain, and the reasoning false. A Reply to General Burgoyne's 'Letter to his Constituents' is also assumed to be the work of Sackville, because the style resembles that of the 'Considerations,' and because the author assumes again, that Sackville was the most interested to answer Burgoyne, who revenged himself for his bad luck in America, by attacking the Ministry at home. Why Sackville was more interested than Barrington, the Secretary at War, we are not informed. A triumphant comparison is next instituted between words and phrases, of the pamphlet and Junius. There is no lack of ingenuity in this curious operation, but there is 'a plentiful lack' of utility and common sense.

The old argument in favor of Sackville, a soldier, from Junius's use of military terms, is renewed, but in the same way any clergyman, lawyer, chemist, surgeon, or stock-jobber, may be proved to be Junius.

No motive is assigned for the vehement personal attacks, except Sackville's misfortune and disgrace in Germany, *ten years* before the Letters, a sufficient time for the most choleric to cool, and the most vindictive to forget. Why should he have waited so long? No reason is assigned. Besides, Lord Mansfield was his friend and legal adviser in that very business, while Chatham was among his proscribers. Yet Junius is uniformly hostile to Mansfield, but ultimately the panegyrist of Chatham. The Duke of Grafton, what had he done to Sackville? Why, his brother, Colonel Fitzroy, had been a

witness at the court martial, which convicted Sackville of disobedience of orders, and hence all those tremendous philippics, not against Fitzroy, but against the Duke ! This is too puerile. It degrades both Junius and Sackville. We cannot think, that the sublime and enduring energy of Junius proceeded from such an ephemeral and base motive. His steady and brilliant light burned on no such unhallowed altar. Besides, Junius sneers in the most cutting manner at Sackville, on the most tender point, his misfortune and alleged cowardice at Minden, for which he was stripped of all his honors and emoluments. Junius alludes to him as indulging a particular *penchant* for being in ‘the *rear*,’ italicising the word, so as to render it in the highest degree offensive to his Lordship; and the whole passage, the only one in which Sackville is mentioned, is supremely sarcastic and insulting. Finally, although Sackville had talent, he was at an immeasurable distance from Junius. The only writings which we have of his, known to be genuine, are some letters which Coventry publishes. These are so clumsily and affectedly written, as to be quite beneath criticism. They present Lord George’s talents in too unfavorable a light. We admit with pleasure, that they are altogether beneath his speeches, or even his *actions*. But it is as a *writer*, that we are called upon to view him.

The fourth American work which we are to notice, is that of Dr. Waterhouse. This is an amusing *mélange*, but as an argument, merits less consideration than the preceding one. The title would be more appropriate, if it were Historical and Biographical Illustrations of the Ministry and Times of William Pitt, Earl of Chatham. It is as an argument on the authorship of Junius, that we are concerned with it at this time, and as such we can have no hesitation in pronouncing it a total failure. He sets out with affirming, that he was first convinced that Chatham was Junius, by the well-known panegyric of Junius, (*i. e.* of Chatham) upon Chatham. If we believed that a character which we respected, and wished others to respect, at least while they were reading our encomiums, could be guilty of a folly so degrading, as that of puffing himself in the newspapers, we should certainly wish to conceal our belief, until the last sentence of the last chapter of the book in which it was expressed.

But if Lord Chatham were Junius, he did not content himself with the dishonest and unworthy trick of praising himself,

in a strain however so noble and eloquent, that a false designing knave could never have risen to it; but he also flies, and as before without any assigned or assignable motive, into the other extremity of folly, to call it by a gentle name, and abuses and execrates himself. Does this comport with the character of the proud and dignified lord, or of the grave and fastidious Junius?

We shall quote some of the invectives of Junius against Lord Chatham, and leave the question to our readers. In the first of the Miscellaneous Letters, which is signed *Poplicola*, he calls him ‘a man purely and perfectly bad,’ ‘a traitor,’ ‘an advocate for rebellion,’ ‘a black villain;’ ‘guilty of crimes,’ ‘of artifices, intrigues, hypocrisy and impudence,’ ‘of prostrate humility in the closet,’ ‘lordly dictation to the people by whose interest he has been supported,’ ingratitude to his friends, truckling to his enemies, and ‘the upstart insolence of a dictator;’—concluding as follows: ‘though we have no Tarpeian rock for the immediate punishment of treason, yet we have impeachments; and a gibbet is not too honorable a situation, for the carcase of a traitor.’

In the second Miscellaneous Letter, of May, 1767, under the same signature, he says, that because ‘Mr. Pitt was respected and honored, it does not follow that the Earl of Chatham should be so too; that ‘a very honest Commoner may become a very corrupt Peer;’ again accuses him of ‘a daring attack upon the Constitution’ in ‘suspending a law by proclamation;’ says that the people ought never to forgive him; and that ‘his conduct and that of his miserable understrappers deserved nothing but contempt and detestation.’

In the fourth Miscellaneous Letter, of June 24, he says: ‘It was his [Lord Bute’s] good fortune to corrupt one man, from whom we least expected so base an apostacy. Who, indeed, could have suspected, that it should ever consist with the spirit or understanding of that person, to accept of a share of power under a pernicious court minion, whom he had himself affected to detest or despise, as much as he knew he was detested and despised by the whole nation? I will not censure him for the avarice of a pension, nor the melancholy ambition of a title. These were objects, which he perhaps looked up to, though the rest of the world thought them far beneath his acceptance. But to shake hands with a Scotchman; to fight under his auspices against the Constitution; and to receive the word

from him, ‘prerogative and a thistle’ (by the once respected name of Pitt !), it is beneath contempt. But it seems this unhappy country had long been distracted by their divisions; in this last instance it was to be oppressed by their union.’

In the fifth Miscellaneous Letter, he calls him ‘a lunatic brandishing a crutch, or bawling through a grate, or writing with desperate charcoal a letter to North America.’ In the tenth of the same letters, ‘a lunatic,’ who ‘sacrificed honor, conscience and country to carry a point of party;’ ‘the frantic high priest, who offered up his bleeding country a victim to America;’ and accuses him of ‘treachery’ in co-operating with ‘designing, seditious spirits in that country.’ In the eleventh Miscellaneous Letter, Dec. 22, 1767, he says, sarcastically, in reply to an opponent who talked of the country’s ‘owing to Lord Chatham more than it could ever repay,’—‘the country *does* owe to him the greater part of the national debt, and *that* he is sure it can never repay.’ In the twelfth, Feb. 16, 1768, he says, ‘Why the Earl of Chatham should continue to hold an employment of this importance [Lord Privy Seal], while he is unable to perform the duties of it, is at least a curious question.’ In the thirty-fifth Miscellaneous Letter, Aug. 29, 1768, he says, ‘His [Chatham’s] infirmities have forced him into a retirement, where I presume he is ready to suffer with a sullen submission, every insult and disgrace, which can be heaped upon a miserable, decrepid, worn-out old man.’ \* \* \* ‘He is, indeed, a compound of contradictions.’ And in the forty-eighth, of Oct. 19, 1768, he says, ‘The Earl of Chatham,—I had much to say; but it were inhuman to persecute, when Providence has marked out the example to mankind.’

In the first letter under the signature of Junius, Jan. 21, 1769, he says, ‘Unfortunately for this country, Mr. Grenville was to be distressed because he was a minister, and Mr. Pitt and Lord Camden were to be patrons of America because they were in the opposition.’ And to fill up the picture of selfish ambition, he adds, that ‘to accomplish the ruin of a minister, they in effect divided one half of the empire from the other.’ In the twelfth letter, under the signature of Junius, of May 30, 1769, he says, ‘In America, we trace you [the Duke of Grafton] from the first opposition to the stamp-act, on principles of convenience, to Mr. Pitt’s surrender of the right.’ Lastly, in Private Letter No. 23, to Woodfall, Oct. 19, 1770, he says: ‘I neither admire your correspondent *nor his idol*’ [Lord Chatham]. The *italics* are Junius’s.

These are not all the examples of the harsh and painful invective of Junius against Lord Chatham. It is suggested in a neighboring work, which undertakes the defence of this book, that the two letters signed *Poplicola* are not genuine; and that Woodfall, who had the best and only direct evidence on the subject, was mistaken in attributing them to Junius. Be it so, (which it is not;) what will be said to twenty others of a similar character, scattered promiscuously through the work? Will the writer deny the genuineness of these also, though bearing the proper signature of Junius? He must do so, or his defence fails. It is true that Junius at length begins to change his tone towards Chatham, after Chatham had retrieved his reputation by acknowledging the illegality of 'the proclamation' dispensing with the law, and by leading in several powerful attacks upon the Administration, on the subject of the Middlesex election, and other great grievances of which Junius and the people complained. It is true, also, that Junius finally passed into panegyric upon Chatham; but if Chatham were what we are willing to believe, and did not indeed deserve the harshest denunciations of Junius; if he were a man of any delicacy, conscience or honor, the praises of Junius constitute as strong an objection to the theory before us, as his invectives. Severe as the language of Junius was for a long time, and unjust as it upon the whole is felt to be now, though it was otherwise then, it constitutes a conclusive proof of Junius's integrity; for Chatham's conduct unquestionably afforded great cause for it, and Junius's changing when the man changed, is a proof that he was no hireling, no personal politician, but a patriot; and it is no slight objection to the hypothesis in question, that it destroys the most beautiful proofs of the disinterestedness and integrity of Junius.

There is another thing to be considered. Chatham was the victim of an afflicting disease, and in the closest retirement at Hayes, twelve miles from London, during about three years of the period of Junius, viz. from 1767 to 1770. During this interval, he was for the most part confined to his house, and much of the time to his bed. Dr. Waterhouse describes his condition some time between October 19th, 1768, more than a year after his confinement had begun, and in 1770, when his health was restored, as follows; 'Lord Chatham's disordered body and distempered mind needed tranquillity, to recruit both.' 'Disease forbade him the benefit of travelling, prohibited hunt-

ing, and the easier gestures of ordinary horseback exercise, and, what makes his bodily decrepitude still stronger, he was *unable to perform on any musical instrument*, so cruelly had the gout sed *on his extremities*.' In June, 1769, Junius speaks of 'the age and incapacity of Lord Chatham.' We have already for another purpose quoted several passages to the same point, to which the reader, if he think proper, may recur.

The fifth and last American work on Junius is Mr. Newhall's. This is at least an original performance. It is evidently the work of an industrious and thinking man; but it is nearly destitute of method, and in a considerable degree, of comprehensiveness of views. The writer is an enthusiast in his theory, and perceives few facts or arguments, except those which appear to be on his side. He claims to have discovered, twenty years ago, that Lord Temple was the author of Junius. He was first impressed with this opinion, by the fact, that Earl Temple's portrait fronts the title page in Heron's Junius, though he is not once mentioned in the text. He afterwards discovered a pamphlet written against Mr. Pitt, which he attributes to Lord Temple, not only without, but against positive evidence; for he quotes a statement of Almon, that Humphrey Coates\* was the author;—but then our author supposes that Temple furnished some of the materials, dictated a portion of it, and in short was the author. This is a more immoderate demand upon our credulity, than that in favor of Lord Sackville's supposed 'Considerations.' A comparison is then instituted between Junius and Mr. Coates's pamphlet, and as they are found to agree 'excellent well' in sundry words and phrases,—being both written in the King's English,—the conclusion follows as regularly and naturally, as the 'argal' of the philosophic grave-digger.

We will state another point which the author treats as his strong one, and then his theory will be all told. It is, that Lord Temple, who had quarrelled with his brother, Lord Chatham, on account of the latter taking office with the Duke of Grafton and the Bute party in 1766, was reconciled to him at the *same time* that Junius changed his tone towards Chatham. This was not a singular coincidence. A great many changed their tone and treatment towards Chatham, when he

---

\* Page 8.

retired, driven by infirmity, from a ministry, which he had joined in violation of his political and personal pledges, apparently for the sake of a title and a pension, and greatly to the injury of the country. If Chatham had held firmly to his friends and his principles, the Government must have gone to him, instead of his going to the Government; so great were his popularity and power. In that case, the American war would probably have been averted, at least for many years. Lord Chatham resigned Oct. 19, 1768, and his brothers, G. Grenville and Earl Temple, were immediately reconciled to him. ‘From that period,’ says Mr. Newhall, ‘Chatham gradually becomes the subject of Junius’s praises.’ ‘He grows upon his esteem.’ ‘From that moment I began to like him.’’

There is an anachronism in this arrangement of the above quotations from Junius. The first of these sentences occurs in Letter fifty-four, dated Aug. 3, 1771,\* nearly *three years* after the reconciliation. The second occurs in a private letter to Wilkes, of Oct. 16, 1771,† *three whole years* after the epoch with which it is here connected. The whole context of those letters shows, that these favorable expressions are bestowed upon Chatham for his noble defence of the people’s cause in the debates on the Middlesex Election, and Parliamentary Reform. Of course there is no need of referring them to any such cause, as the personal reconciliation of Chatham with his brother. It would be unphilosophical in argument, and we are moreover expressly precluded from it by Junius’s own avowals. Even at the last date, Junius, to guard his consistency, apologizes to Wilkes for praising Chatham. Sept. 7, 1771, he says, ‘I think it good policy to pay these compliments to Lord Chatham, which in truth he has nobly deserved.’ We refer the reader back to the bitter passages against Lord Chatham, which we had occasion to quote in our notice of Dr. Waterhouse’s work. It will be seen, that as late as Oct. 1770, two full years after the reconciliation, Junius still speaks very disparagingly of Chatham. The coincidence, therefore, which Mr. Newhall relies upon, does not exist. There is another more important point, on which Mr. Newhall’s theory is very deficient. He furnishes no evidence, that Temple was capable of writing the letters. He does furnish some, that he was not. The pamphlet, if proved to be Temple’s, would not do it. It is very inferior to the letters; but the weight of evidence, as

stated by the author himself, is against his inference that the pamphlet was Temple's. He quotes the opinion of Lord Chesterfield, a man of exquisite literary taste and acumen, that Temple was not capable of composing the pamphlet. We have great respect for the character and talents of Earl Temple, but we have no proof that he was capable of writing the Letters of Junius. Mr. Newhall exalts his ability at the expense of his honor and sincerity, when he represents him as reconciled to his brother, and makes him persevere for two years afterwards, in publicly abusing him.

We are not aware, that any farther fact or argument in this work requires particular notice. The position of Earl Temple's portrait in Heron's Junius, is not of much importance. It was probably the result of accident or caprice, or of a slight preference, because he was a handsome man. At any rate, when the author will tell us why Chatham, and Wilkes, and Fox, and Onslow, and Oliver, and Beckford, though mentioned so often in the letters, are placed nowhere, we will tell him why Lord Temple is placed where he is, though not mentioned at all. If he will clear up Mr. Graham's difficulty, and tell him why a *fac simile* of Horne Tooke's writing is attached to Woodfall's Junius, and yet his name and claims are not mentioned in the discussion or list of claimants, Mr. Graham will probably reciprocate the favor, by a satisfactory elucidation of the arrangement of the portraits. Mr. Graham sees in this singular circumstance proof positive that Horne Tooke was Junius, and that Good and Woodfall, knowing that he was so, avoided mentioning him at all, because they could not do so with truth, without betraying the secret. If it were so, they were very unfortunate, for it seems that this stroke of policy, instead of concealing, has actually disclosed it to Mr. Graham. If these men had entertained such a design, and had known what they were about, it is to be presumed that they would have suppressed also the *fac simile*. So in regard to the portrait. If the secret had been intended to be shadowed forth in types, as Mr. Newhall supposes, why should it not have been told at once? If, on the contrary, it were to be concealed, why should a hint be given, by thus distinguishing the author? Mr. Newhall appears to us a little too acute in this affair.

If the view we have taken of the intentions of Junius, the view which he himself gives, be correct, then the secret will never be known by any external evidence, unless it shall be of a kind, which eluded the knowledge and forecast of Junius.

In regard to the rumor of papers being discovered at Eaton, disclosing the secret, we are for the same reason totally incredulous. Lord Grenville, at whose request they are said to be kept back from the world, though it does not appear that he has been spoken to about it, was not an actor on the public stage in Junius's time : he was but eight or ten years old. His father George Grenville has been dead sixty years. If he aided Junius, or even had himself been Junius, the fact could do no harm to his memory or to his descendants. Two kings have since gone down to the tomb. In short, no statesman or politician of that day is yet alive, so far as we know. We see no necessity for supposing any peculiar connexion between Junius and George Grenville. He praised and never censured him ; so it was with Littleton, and Temple, (who is repeatedly mentioned in the Miscellaneous Letters) and Rockingham, and Sawbridge, and Sir Geoffrey Amherst. Yet we see no improbability in it, notwithstanding Junius's assertion that he was unknown to George Grenville. The fact is, that George Grenville was an industrious, efficient, and honest statesman, ready at all times to unite with enemies, or to separate from friends, for promoting what he conceived to be the good of his country. It would have been a contradiction, for Junius to have been hostile to such a man. How Mr. Newhall got the idea that he was not in fact the author of the stamp-act, we cannot imagine. We find no confirmation of it. In fact, in a letter signed by himself, which we have seen, he claims the credit of the policy. We should be happy if it were otherwise. We have no doubt, however, that his intentions were honest and patriotic, and that he sincerely believed that Britain had a right to tax us, and that it was our duty to pay. His eldest son succeeded Earl Temple, who died without issue, and was afterwards created Marquis of Buckingham. His son, Richard Plantagenet, is the present Duke of Buckingham. William Wyndham Grenville, Lord Grenville, is the third son of George Grenville and uncle to the Duke. Both of these persons are said to know the author of Junius. But such knowledge is no novelty. Lord Grenville was 72 years old on the 18th day of July last. Of course, if the gratification of the public curiosity depend on his demise, it will not be a great while longer delayed.

ART. III.—*Audubon's Biography of Birds.*

*Ornithological Biography; or an Account of the Habits of the Birds of America; accompanied by Descriptions of the Objects represented in the Work entitled the Birds of America, interspersed with Delineations of American Scenery and Manners.* By JOHN JAMES AUDUBON, F. R. SS. L. and E., &c. Philadelphia. 1831.

Many years ago, the first wit of his day, representing the character and habits of John Bull, stated that, although he was peaceable in his disposition, and fully convinced of the fact, that whosoever goeth to war must do it at his own charges, he did nevertheless, if he heard the sound of a fray, however distant, rise from his warm bed at night, put on such clothing as came to hand, grasp his cudgel, and go forth to the scene of action, where he generally received a battering, which would have cracked a crown less substantial. When this ceremony was over, the parties repaired to a tavern, where John, in consideration of receiving many praises for his valor, closed the concern by paying the bill, and departed extremely well satisfied with his own exploits. This account, though meant for an individual, describes to the life almost every war, in which any country has been engaged for the last two centuries; and nations are growing so well persuaded of this, that the great body of the human race, who were formerly too happy to be permitted to die for the glory of one or two, now testify a strong reluctance to making themselves food for powder, without strong reasons for such a proceeding. This grand discovery on the part of the multitude, however auspicious to themselves, is exceedingly inconvenient to those who are ambitious of fame. Happily other paths to distinction are still open, which are trodden with a zeal and spirit as resolute and somewhat more rational, than ever was found in the blood-shod march of glory. Some esteem it a privilege to be frozen up during three quarters of the year, in the dead night-calm of a polar sea; others spring forward to seize the fortunate chance of leaving their bones whitening on the sands, beneath the red heat of an African sun; some are enchanted with the idea of tracing the course of rivers, which, according to the best authorities, have neither beginning nor end; others can die contented when they have scaled the tops of mountains,

where they stand, petrified with cold, several inches higher than man ever stood before. Now, all this restless energy, withdrawn from the fields of war, is like the electric fluid, harmless and useful when diffused among the elements of nature, though so disastrous when concentrated in the thunder-cloud.

There are many men in the world sufficiently intellectual in their tastes, but too active in their habits to submit to quiet literary labor. There are some, whose minds can never exert themselves, except when their frame is in action ; and doubtless that employment is best suited to our nature, which engages at the same time the physical and intellectual powers. The pursuit, in which the author of the work before us is of late so honorably distinguished, is of this description ; it combines within itself many circumstances which give it attraction ; it requires the self-complacent skill of a sportsman, and the wild romance of an adventurer ; it opens a field for the beautiful powers of an artist, and the fine discrimination of a man of taste ; it adds the dignity of science to the exciting consciousness of danger. We do not wonder in the least, that the heart of such a man is bound up in it, nor that he should be willing to sacrifice the ordinary comforts of life in his devotion to a pursuit, which must be a happy one, because it requires the full and constant exertion of all his powers ; and in which, if he need anything more than his own feeling to sustain him under his various difficulties and disappointments, he is sure to be followed, sooner or later, by the general applause of the world. But in truth he needs nothing more than the glowing inspiration within ; though many,—wise persons too,—would be as sorely puzzled to understand this self-supporting principle, as the Mississippi boatmen were to comprehend the miracle of Wilson's supporting life without whiskey.

In the original constitution of things it is wisely ordered, that happiness shall be found every where about us. We do not need to have a rock smitten, to supply this thirst of the soul ; it is not a distant good ; it exists in every thing above, around us, and beneath our feet ; and all we want is an eye to discern, and a heart to feel it. Let any one fix his attention on a moral truth, and it spreads out and enlarges its dimensions beneath his view, till what seemed at first as barren a proposition as words could express, appears like an interesting and glorious truth, momentous in its bearing on the destinies of men. And

so it is with every material thing ; let the mind be intently fixed upon it, and hold it in the light of science, and it gradually unfolds new wonders. The flower grows even more beautiful, than when it first opened its golden urn and breathed its incense on the morning air ; the tree, which was before thought of only as a thing to be cut down and cast into the fire, becomes majestic, as it holds its broad shield before the summer sun, or when it stands like a ship, with its sails furled, and all made fast about it, in preparation for the winter storm. All things in nature inspire in us a new feeling, and we begin to consider their fate and fortunes, their birth and decay, as resembling those of man. The truth is, that ignorance and indifference are almost the same, and we are sure to grow interested as fast as our knowledge extends, in any subject whatever. This explains how men of great ability are so engaged in what are often ignorantly regarded as little things ; how they can watch with the gaze of a lover, to catch the glance of the small bird's wing, or listen to its song, as if it were the breath of a soul ; how the world and every thing in it looks so spiritually bright to them, when to others the bird is but a flying animal, and the flower only the covering of a clod. It explains many things, which are perfect mysteries to vulgar minds. For example, Wilson tells a friend in one of his letters, that he sat down one evening to draw a mouse, and all the while the pantings of its little heart showed it to be in an agony of fear. He had intended to kill it, but happening to spill a few drops of water where it was tied, it lapped them up eagerly, and looked up in his face with such an expression of supplicating terror, that it overcame his resolution, and he let it go. Here, we think we hear some voice exclaiming, 'the man was a fool ;' but we recommend to the speaker to wait awhile, seeing there may be different opinions respecting the party to which that generic name belongs.

A devoted attachment like this to the works of nature, is an evidence of delicacy and refinement ; and we have cited this incident to show that the common prejudice, which regards it as inconsistent with energy of thought and action, is entirely unfounded ; for assuredly, the radiant files of war can show no spirits more resolute than those of the men, who leave the abodes of civilized life, launch their canoes on unbroken waters, depend on their rifle for subsistence, keep on their solitary march till the bird has sung his evening hymn, and have

no society at night but the beating sound of their fire. Neither is it inconsistent with a strict regard to all the duties of life; on the contrary, it is the part of duty to draw happiness from these sources, which, in all the changes and misfortunes of life, will never cease to flow. The poet Gray, one of the most intellectual and fastidious of men, says, ‘happy they who can create a rose-tree, or erect a honey-suckle; who can watch the brood of a hen, or a fleet of their own ducklings as they sail upon the water.’ The words are true as inspiration, and we recommend them to our readers, of whom a due proportion no doubt are miserable. They will learn from them, what is of great importance to know in such calculations,—that their unhappiness is owing, not to the want of pleasures, but to their not understanding how to select and enjoy those which they possess, or we may say those which all possess, since they are given freely and impartially to all, so that no avarice can monopolize them and no oppression take them away. This being the case, those who point out to us the extent and variety of such resources, and show by their own example how full, rich, and inspiring they are, deserve to be recorded among the benefactors of mankind. No greater treasures can be offered to human desire than enjoyments like these, which at once exercise the mind and improve the heart, repel the influence of sordid passions, and encourage the suggestions of humanity, virtue, and religion. Men do well to secure them, even if, in order to do it, they must sacrifice some other objects of ambition; for their drafts upon the applause of future ages may be dishonored, and disappoint them of renown. The gold which they have collected, perhaps by such means that they had better drunk it melted from the crucible, may fall from their grasp as the fires consume and the floods drown; but these pleasures are always within their reach; they do not lose their charm in the hours of anxiety and sorrow; and those who possess them have the satisfaction of knowing, that they will last as long as the soul.

But we have little hope of convincing men of the truth of these things; it is less hopeless to undertake to show them what is for the interest of others, than what is for their own. We can therefore state with confidence to the rich, that it would be much for the interest of their children,—of the society in which they live, and of science and literature in general, if they would buy this work with its magnificent illustrations.

We are not so visionary as to expect that they will all read it themselves; wealth and taste do not invariably go together. We recommend it as a favor to others, and at the same time would suggest, that such acts of munificence come with much more grace from the living hand than from the last will; for men are seldom grateful to those who do not give till they can keep no longer. They ascribe whatever they receive in this way to the charity of death, and not of the dead. When a man has given up other employments and other prospects, to devote himself to a pursuit like this; when he has spent days of toil and nights of danger to accomplish a purpose, which he feels entitles him to encouragement and applause, it is not refreshing to be told, that he may spread out his treasures on the pages of a magazine, for the recompense of a dollar an acre; or that he may have the privilege of publishing, if he will advance a few thousands. He has no resource in such a case, except to give up the favorite wish and long devotion of his heart and life, or to range through the United States, as Wilson did, to find two hundred subscribers among ten million people;—an employment hopeless and humiliating enough, to break a tin pedlar's heart. The great work of Mr. Audubon is such an one, as could not probably under any circumstances have been published in this country, and we rejoice that he was so kindly encouraged and welcomed in the home of our fathers. But since much talent is likely to be turned in this direction, of which the benefits may be lost for want of just rewards, we wish it were possible to hold out inducements large enough to satisfy reasonable expectations, and to reflect honor on our great and growing country. We regret to see that Mr. Nuttall, in his valuable work on the birds of the United States, which will demand a more extended notice when it is completed, was compelled to restrict himself in the number of his illustrations by the expense of obtaining them, fearing lest an increased price of the work would interfere with its circulation. We hope that no apprehension of this kind will prevent his giving colored illustrations of every subject he describes, in the larger work, which he proposes to publish at a future time. Without being very costly or elegant, they may be exact enough to answer the purpose of the reader, if not to satisfy the delicate taste of the connoisseur. Not one in a hundred of those who are really interested in these subjects, know a bird, an insect, or a flower, by its scientific distinctions; and a work of the kind

must be suited to all who have any taste for the study, as well as those who aim at a thorough knowledge of it, or it can have no great circulation in a country like ours.

It is surprising to see how few of all the birds which annually visit us, are known by name, and how little their habits are understood. Most natives of New England are acquainted with the bluejay, one of the earliest of our visitors, who comes sounding his penny trumpet as a herald of the spring, and either amuses himself by playing pranks upon other more serious birds, or entertains them by acting, to the life, the part of an angry Frenchman. Every miller and vagrant fisherman knows the belted kingfisher, who sits for hours upon his favorite dead branch, looking with his calm, bright eye, to the lowest depth of the waters. The robin, also, makes himself welcome, not only by the tradition of the kindness shown by his European relation to the children in the wood, but by his hearty whistle, lifted up as if he knew that all would be thankful to hear that the winter is over and gone, and his familiarity with man, whereby he shows his belief, that they who least deserve confidence, are sometimes made better by being trusted. The solemn crow, who is willing to repose the same confidence in man, taking only the additional precaution of keeping out of his reach,—the quizzical bobolink, or ricebunting, who tells man in so many words, that he cares nothing about him, not he,—the swallow, that takes his quarters in our barns, or the one that passes up and down our chimneys with a noise like thunder,—the purple martin, that offers to pay his house-rent by keeping insects from our gardens,—the snow-bird, that comes riding from the Arctic circle upon the winter storm,—and the baltimore, or golden-robin, that glances like a flame of fire through the green caverns of foliage,—will almost complete the list of those, which are familiarly known to man.

We say familiarly known, because there are many which people in general think they know, and which are yet sadly misrepresented. The farmer, for example, accuses the woodpecker of boring his trees, when he only enlarges with his bill the hole which the grub had made, and darting in his long arrowy tongue, puts a stop to its mining forever. Many a poor bird, in like manner, after having slain his thousands of insects which were laying waste the orchard and the garden, is sentenced to death as guilty of the very offences, which he has been laboriously preventing. There are few scenes in which justice is so

completely reversed, as when we see some idle young knave permitted to go forth with a fowling-piece, to murder creatures, of which it is not too much to say, that they have done more good in the world (it is a bold speech, we confess) than ever he will do evil, and applauded for his exploits by his old father, who, in rejoicing ignorance, congratulates himself on having a son so efficient and useful. We hear complaints annually from all parts of the United States, that some insect or another is destroying the fruit, and proposing to offer a large reward to any one who will discover a remedy. Lest we should be anticipated in our design, we would say that we mean to contend for that prize, and to secure the orchards and gardens by protecting the birds, and offering a handsome bounty for the ears of those who shoot them. Kalm tells us, that the planters in Virginia succeeded at last by legislative enactment, in exterminating the little crow, and exulted much on the occasion. But it was not long, before their triumph was changed to mourning. They found that the acts had been passed for the benefit of insects, not their own, and they would gladly have offered a larger bounty to bring back the persecuted birds. We shall not plead for the crow, who is fully able to take care of himself; but we must file a protest against the practice of destroying the birds of the garden, for, besides depriving us of the beauty of their appearance and the music of their song, it lets in a flood of insects, whose numbers the birds were commissioned to keep down; and when we find this evil growing year by year, as most assuredly it will, there will be little consolation in reflecting, that we have brought it upon ourselves.

The song of birds is not much better known, than their habits and persons. We have been assured by several individuals, that they have heard the mocking-bird in Massachusetts; and in some instances, we thought it probable from their description that they were correct, though this bird is seldom found in so high a latitude; but in other cases, we were convinced that they had been listening to the performance of the cat-bird. Most persons would as soon expect to hear the cat herself uplifting her voice in melody; but the powers of this bird are by no means confined to the mew and squeal. Though sadly afraid of man, and with sufficient reason, he is a fine singer, a great wag, and in mimicry is not far inferior to the mocking-bird; but he has so little peace of mind, that he seldom dares to let us know where he is by his note, till after the fall of evening

or before the dawn. We venture to predict, that in the month of May, strangers will hear from the windows of the Tremont House, a delicious note, that seems to proceed from some singing leaf of the topmost tree in that mall, which bore the once distinguished name of Paddock,—a hero, who has almost perished from the traditions of narrative old age. He will hear it, rising high above the hackman's whistle and the rattling wheel. Few will be able to tell him more, than that the sound proceeds from a bird; while the warbler, and his brother of the red eye, will sing on, in happy indifference both to the attention and neglect of man. But their favors will not be confined to the city: they will be heard in the country, from the broad arm of the elm that overhangs the cottage door, singing on, at morning, noon and night, with a taste and science that fill other listening birds with admiration and despair. There is another bird, well known by the name of the brown thrasher, whose musical talent is but little understood. It is said that he is called the French mocking-bird at the South, and we have heard that name given to him here, not on account of his imitations, but the extent and variety of his powers. He has no ambition to display himself to the sight of man, but he excites the astonishment of all who hear him, by the luxurious fulness of his song. How many have ever seen the crimson linnet, as he sits playing the flute on the very summit of the loftiest tree, sometimes sinking his strain almost to silence, then pouring it out in bursts of rapture? It is common to say, that beauty of plumage and sweetness of song are not found together. It may be true, that they are seldom united in the highest perfection; but every child knows, that the clear piping of the baltimore and the varied whistle of the goldfinch, are as pleasant to the ear, as their fine colors are to the eye; and the brilliant redbird, which sometimes visits New England, is not more distinguished for the bright scarlet of his dress, than for the sweet and bold expression of his song.

There is so much that inspires curiosity about the various tribes of birds, that it is difficult to account for this contented ignorance of their ways, in which so many spend their lives. When the snows retreat to the mountains, the friendly voice of the robin, telling us that he is glad to see us all again, has a magical effect upon every one; it calls the heart and memory into action, and reminds us of all we love to remember. Here he is again, but he cannot tell us where he has been; what regions

he has traversed, nor what invisible hand pointed out his path in the sky. If this inquiry interest us, we begin to look about us in the closing year; we see, that when the leaf grows red, the birds are disappearing,—some assembling in solemn deliberation, to make arrangements for the purpose; others taking French leave, as it is unsitely called, without ceremony or farewell. Some, like the great white owl, delight in the prospect of moonlight gleaming on the snowy plains of the north, where all is still as death; others, like the snowbunting, rejoice to accompany the storm, as it rushes down from the frozen lakes and oceans. But most birds secure a mild climate and perpetual verdure, by retreating from the wintry tempest with a fleetness greater than its own. Some, like the sagacious crow and the light swallow, which was formerly thought to drown itself by way of escaping the winter, fly only by day; while others, like travellers in the desert, rest by day and go on their way by night. It is curious to observe the order in which some arrange themselves. The wild-geese, for example, whose word of command we so often hear above us in the stillness of night, form two files, which meet in a sharp angle at the head, where the leader cleaves the air and guides the course of the procession, giving up his place when he is weary, to the next in order. All similar caravans move on with a regularity and precision, that do them infinite honor. If they can secure a favorable wind, they consider it an advantage; but if not, they *beat* and *tack* so as to overcome its resistance as well as they can. They make every thing subordinate to the great business of migration;—the swallow snatches the insect and the kingfisher his fish, without suspending their flight; and if they are late in their journey, they allow themselves no rest till they reach their destination. Hard times these for birds of large size and little wings; on they must go,—and partly by trudging, and partly by swimming, they relieve the hardship of flying, and contrive to reach a place of safety and rest. It seems at first like a prodigious undertaking, for a bird to pass from Hudson's Bay to Mexico or South America; but as some of them can fly at the rate of sixty miles an hour, and more with a favorable wind, the journey is soon over, and the shelter they gain well worth the toil of reaching it. We wonder not that they should go: we are rather tempted to say to some poor goldfinch, which we occasionally see pale and starving in the dead of winter, as Dr. Johnson did to the crow in Scotland, 'What! have wings, and

stay here!' We know not that birds have much imagination themselves, but they certainly inspire it in others; witness the wish which Logan sang, and a thousand hearts have echoed,—to travel and return with the bird in the heavens, which knoweth its appointed time, a perpetual companion of the spring.

It is well worth while, also, to observe the provision which birds make for their own wants, and to see how, when reason sometimes falters, instinct always operates with the same certainty and success. We have already mentioned the wood-pecker, who grasps the trunk of a tree with his claws, and stands upon his tail, drawing out insects from their burrows in the wood. It is said, that he goes to an ant's nest and lies down pretending to be dead, with his tongue out, drawing it in, however, as often as it is covered with the ants, which are a favorite article of his food. The nuthatch opens nuts, or the stones of fruit, by repeated blows of his sharp, horny bill. The butcher-bird, which lives on insects and smaller birds, is said to attract the latter by imitating their call, and has also a habit of impaling upon thorns such insects as he does not need at the moment. Some have thought this a trap set for other birds; but this is improbable, because unnecessary. It seems more likely that this trick of gathering what he does not want, and keeping it till it is of no use to him, is one which he has learned in his intercourse with man. The whippoorwill sits upon the fence or the step of a door, singing mournfully, as if he had lost all his friends; but woe to the moth, who believes in the mourner's having lost his appetite also; the bird seizes and swallows him, without any suspension of his song. The raven and the gull, who are fond of shell-fish, but are not provided with instruments to open them, carry them high into the air, and let them fall on rocks, in order to break the shell. In this way it is said that a philosopher's head was broken in ancient times, being accidentally mistaken for a stone; whether this be true or not, we cannot say; the heads of sages are harder now. The bald eagle, proud and disdainful as he seems, gets a great part of his living in a manner that does more credit to his ingenuity and strength, than to his morals. He sits in gigantic repose, calmly watching the play of the fishing-birds over the blue reach of waters, with his wings loosely raised, as if keeping time with the heaving sea. Soon he sees the fishhawk dive heavily in the ocean and re-appear with a scream of triumph, bearing the sluggish fish. Then the gaze of the eagle grows

fiery and intense ; his wings are spread wide, and he gives chase to the hawk, till he compels him to let fall his prize : but it is not lost, for the eagle wheels in a broad circle, sweeps down upon the edge of the wave, and secures it before it touches the water. Nothing can be more majestic, than the flight of this noble bird ; he seems to move by an effort of will alone, without the waving of his wings. Pity it is, that he should dishonor himself by such unworthy robbery as this,—though it by no means destroys the resemblance between the king of birds and the kings of men.

The art which birds display in their nests deserves admiration. We are in the habit of speaking of the nest as the home of the bird ; but it is nothing more than the cradle of the young. Birds of mature years are exposed to all the elements, but are provided with oil to spread upon their plumage, which enables it to shed the rain. This supply ceases in a measure, when birds are sheltered by the care of man ; while the small bird is dry and active through all the heaviest showers, the wet human being does not look more sorrowful, than the drowned and drizzling hen. The nest of the humming-bird, that little creature, so beautiful, and like most other beauties, so deficient in temper, is the choicest piece of work than can be imagined ; being formed and covered with moss, in such a manner as to resemble exactly a knot of the limb on which it is built. But this is exceeded by the little tailor-bird of India, which, living in a climate where the young are exposed to all manner of foes, constructs its nest by sewing together two large leaves of a tree at the very extremity of the limb, where neither ape, serpent, nor monkey, would venture for all beneath the moon. It uses its bill for an awl, and fibres for threads, and thus unites them in a workmanlike manner, placing its nest between, lined with gossamer, feathers, and down. We can see something resembling this in the nest of the baltimore-oriole, which is so common in our gardens in summer. It is formed by tying together some forked twigs at the extremity of a limb, with strings either stripped from vegetables, or, if more convenient, stolen from a graft or a window. These twigs form a frame-work, round which they weave a coarse covering to enclose the nest, composed of thread, wool, or tow. The inner nest is at the bottom of this external pocket, where it swings securely in the highest wind, and is sheltered by the arbor of leaves above it, both from the rain and sun. This intelligent bird was not slow to

discover, that much trouble might be saved by employing strings which have been already prepared by the hands of man ; and if skeins of thread or any thing of the kind come in his way, he makes use of them without asking to whom they belong. This is the most remarkable structure of the kind in our country ; but if we may believe the accounts of others, a bird in India makes a similar nest, with several apartments, which it lights up with fire-flies by night.

There are birds, which construct their nests with less delicacy, but more hard labor ; the woodpecker, for example, which chisels out its gallery in the trunks or limbs of trees, and thus prepares a lodging, not only for itself, but for the nuthatch, black cap<sup>t</sup> titmouse, and other birds, which take advantage of the woodpecker's deserted mansions. The kingfisher chooses a bank near the scene of his labors ; and here, with his mate, works with his bill and claws,—rather ineffective tools for the purpose,—till he has scooped out a tunnel of the depth of several feet horizontally. The extreme part is spacious and ovenlike, but the entrance is only large enough for one. This bird does not waste its labor, like many others, but makes the same cavern answer its purpose for a number of years. The little sand-martin follows the kingfisher's example. The purple martin and the republican swallow, which is now emigrating to us from the West, defend their habitations with a mud wall. The golden-crowned thrush makes its nest in the ground, diffusing it so as to resemble the turf around it. But some birds show great indifference to this subject, from whom it would least be expected ; as the hen, which merely scratches a place for its nest, though it is afterwards so attentive to its young. The sea-birds, in general rough and hardy in their habits, leave their eggs lying loosely on the sand. The duck, however, the eider particularly, which is one of our northern visitors, is so motherly in its habits, as to strip the down from its own breast, to line the nest for its young. In the northern regions, where they breed, the natives plunder the nest ; the bird again lines its habitation, and again it is plundered. Many an individual in civilized countries feathers his nest at the expense of the poor eider, who is thus a martyr to her maternal affection.

Most birds make their nests in an honest and industrious way ; but there is a knavish crew, which, for reasons which we cannot fathom, are permitted to save themselves the trouble, both of providing lodging and education for their young, by

imposing the burden upon others. In foreign countries, the cuckoo is guilty of this unnatural proceeding, which combines the sins of desertion and imposture. The reproach is of course transferred to our American bird of that name ; but our yellow-billed cuckoo is very motherly in its habits and feelings. It is true that its eggs have been found in the nests of other birds, but a distinguished naturalist conjectures that its intention was to steal the nest, and not to leave its young to the care of others. The worst thing known of our cuckoo is, that it feeds upon the eggs of other birds. The unnatural parent in this country, is the well known low blackbird, the pest of almost all the feathered race. She lays her egg in the nests of various other birds, without much concern in the selection, and seems fully conscious, that she is acting a disgraceful part. If the owner of the nest have any eggs of her own, she takes care of the strange one, rather than desert them ; if not, she generally gives up the work she has finished with the sweat of her brow. Sometimes the birds throw out the egg that has no business there ; sometimes they lay a new floor to the nest ; but in many cases, affection for their own induces them to submit with a good grace to the imposition. When the young foundling is hatched, the quarters are so small for him, that he often stifles the other young birds, merely from want of room. He retreats the moment he is able to fly, as if conscious that he has no right to his home. This reproach should be given to the real sinner, and not to the cuckoo ; for the latter bird does actually patch up something, which, considering that it is honestly made, may be dignified with the name of nest.

Birds, like men, are apt to regard each other as lawful prey ; which renders various provisions of nature necessary to secure the weak against the strong. The structure of the eye gives an advantage to the cannibal, as well as to his victim. It is suited in a wonderful manner to the wants of the animal, and to the element in which it lives. It has an apparatus, by which the bird can push it out and draw it in, thus extending or lessening the sphere of vision at pleasure ; the nictitating membrane covers it with a partially opaque curtain, when it would reduce the light without closing the lid ; the nerve is quick in its sensibility to every impression, and birds are thus enabled either to pick up insects close before them, or to look abroad over miles of earth and sea. The fishhawk sees the fish at an immense distance beneath it ; and others of the same race

discern their prey on the ground or flying, when an object so small would be wholly invisible to the human eye. Under these circumstances, the smaller birds sometimes borrow resolution from despair. The graceful little kingbird, whose military habits are signified by the red plume which he sometimes displays, will attack the largest tyrant of the air, and not only crows, but hawks and eagles retreat from him with an expedition, which signifies that they have gained neither profit nor honor in the encounter. When the smaller birds think it unwise to do battle, they retire under hedges and brush-wood, and the hawk looks after them, as British frigates did after the little Greek pirate boats, sorely puzzled to tell whether they had passed into the earth or air, while they were quietly sunk along the shore, ready to float again as soon as the danger was past. When this cannot be conveniently done, they sometimes rush out to meet the bird of prey in great numbers, and by flying about him in all directions, attempting to get above him, and setting up a general outcry, they bewilder his brain, —never very bright,—in such a manner, that he is compelled to retreat, in order to collect his scattered wits. When they have no other resort, they sometimes put themselves under the protection of man, but they consider this a choice of evils, and to be done only in desperate cases. Nature has provided for the security of some, which have not ingenuity to defend themselves. Some are made to resemble the tree so closely, as to escape unpleasant observation ; some find the same security in their likeness to earth and stones. Many of our readers have doubtless met the quail, with her thriving family of children, in their rambles through the woods. If they are so well aware of the artifices of the mother, as not to regard her pretence of lameness, they may attempt to secure the young ; but fortunate and sharp-sighted must they be to discover them, such is their resemblance to the dried leaves in which they nestle. The young of the whippoorwill, also, seem aware of this advantage, and retain great composure in danger, trusting that they shall not be distinguished from the ground. It is this fear, so necessary to their defence, which makes birds so reserved in their intercourse with men, that their characters are but little understood. The crow, for example, never acts himself till he is tamed and made familiar with man. In his wild state, he is eminently suspicious ; let him see but a string near the corn-field, and he imagines it a snare ; let any one attempt to approach him with

a gun, and he keeps at a respectful distance, while he manifests no fear of an unarmed man. When domesticated, the grave and jealous wiseacre lays aside his solemnity, and becomes mischievous as a monkey, showing in his tricks astonishing sagacity, in selecting both subject and occasion. Most birds can be tamed ; but man has not a good reputation among them in general ; and it is not easy to quiet their fears, lest he shall abuse his power.

The voice is the power for which birds are most remarkable, and this depends very much upon the quickness of their hearing, in which they excel most other animals. The lungs bear a very large proportion to the frame, which is so constructed as to receive great admissions of air, which aids the energy of sound. The distance at which the soaring birds can be heard, is almost incredible. The cry of the eagle will reach us from his most towering height, and the wild scream of the sea-bird rises above the thunder of the beach. The variety of their tones is not less surprising ; the common barn-door fowl is an example ; its tones are ludicrously *human*, running through all changes expressive of passion, but most eloquent in discontent, anxiety, sorrow, and despair. But the smaller birds are those, which fill the garden and the wood with their spiritlike song. Their strains are poured forth to swell that stream of blended melodies, which form the voice of spring ; a voice full of pleasing and tender associations, which comes upon the ear, reminding us of all most dear to remembrance, and often fills the soul with happiness and the eyes with tears. No country can exceed our own in this music of nature ; the European nightingale has been long regarded as unrivalled, but now it is conceded that its strain owes something of its charm to the hour when it is heard, when the sounds of the day are over, and all around is listening, breathless, and still. But our mockingbird, so unworthily named, since he introduces snatches of songs of other birds into his voluntary, not from poverty of invention, but in wantonness, and to show how his own surpasses them all, is rather an enthusiast than an imitator ; as any one may know who has seen him at his matins, with every nerve in motion trembling with delight, and resembling St. Ignatius, who, as Maffei tells us, was often lifted several feet from the ground, by the intenseness and spirituality of his devotions. These fine powers of song, however, are not confined to one or two birds ; where the mocking-bird is never heard, there are

strains not so various and striking perhaps, but equally plaintive, original, and sweet.

Every one hears the voice of the bird with interest and pleasure, and any explanation of the habits and history of the wild and retiring musician, will be generally welcome. For reasons which will easily suggest themselves to the reader, no general attention has been hitherto given to the subject. The heavy works in which information can be found, have been treasured in expensive libraries only, where they are out of the reach of the great proportion of those who are most interested in these things. But a few such men as Audubon will soon place the results of their adventurous travels, where men shall see and know them ; a taste for their favorite sciences will gradually be created, and they will be sure of the general applause. But we hope that the melancholy line, ‘*Sic vos non vobis nidificatis aves !*’ will not apply to them as truly as it does to many of their favorite race. Those who have labored and suffered in the cause of science, are entitled to something more substantial than golden opinions ; for if fame be a reward, it is one for which they are indebted to themselves, and not to others.

The most celebrated adventurer in this charming pursuit was Alexander Wilson ; a name not sufficiently known when fame would have been of use to him, but now surrounded with many interesting associations. He was, till the 18th year of his age, apprentice to a weaver ; but he never seemed to regard his trade as an employment at all sedentary, and he was in the constant habit of making pilgrimages through his native land, Scotland, in the capacity of a pedler, displaying at the same time an indifference to profit, and a passion for poetry, not often found in that estimable race. This latter propensity was encouraged by the success of Burns, with whom he was personally acquainted ; but Wilson, when he attempted to publish his inspirations, met with no good fortune, except once, when compelled to burn, with his own hands, at the town cross, a satire, which he had written upon some individual, by whom he thought the weavers had been oppressed, upon which occasion he was cheered by the multitude as a patriot and a martyr. We can hardly account for his entire failure in his poetical attempts ; one would have supposed, that with a glowing imagination, a quick and delicate sensibility, a melancholy and sometimes majestic tone of thought, and a perseverance untir-

ing as an eagle's wing, he must have become distinguished in an art, where many have secured eminence without half his powers. But so it was, that he might as well have attempted to weave the visions of his fancy in the tapestry of a Paisley loom, as express them in such numbers as those which he gave triumphantly to the world, and which the world, fortunately for science, rejected.

Wilson came to this country in 1794, so forlorn in circumstances, that he slept upon deck through the whole voyage, and when he arrived, had no property but a fowling-piece. He landed at Newcastle, and as he was walking to Philadelphia, shot a redheaded woodpecker. It is said that he often mentioned afterwards, what delight the sight of this beautiful bird gave him ; and as this was a time when he was naturally full of excitement, the incident probably had much effect in determining his mind to that pursuit, which resulted in his becoming the historian of the feathered race. After a few years of depression, variegated by an occasional change from the employment of schoolmaster to that of pedler, he found a resting-place on the banks of the Schuylkill; the same region which afterwards inspired Audubon with taste and enthusiasm similar to his own. Here he was fortunate enough to find friends, who, though they dared not encourage him in a pursuit, where the sacrifices were likely to be great, and the substantial rewards very few, seem, nevertheless, to have sympathized with him, and to have believed as he did, that the volume of nature deserved to be read, as well as the day-book and ledger. This was precisely the encouragement, which his energetic spirit wanted ; his plans were already rough-hewn in his own imagination ; and once assured that his object was properly estimated by others whose judgment he valued, he knew how to make minor difficulties give way before him. He applied himself earnestly to the study of natural history, in the intervals of his labor as a teacher, and made various attempts at delineating birds, but so unsuccessfully, that for a long time the sight of them filled him with indignation and despair. Still he persevered, wisely resolving to make that preparation for his rambles, without which his labor would be thrown away. He went on foot to Niagara in 1805, and on his return, we find him with a spirit undaunted, but a fortune considerably less than a dollar, expressing a manly confidence that he had the resources which his enterprise required, —a constitution, which hardship only strengthened,—a heart,

unchained by domestic affections,—a disposition, equally satisfied with a comfortable bed, or an Indian fire, in the heart of the woods;—and above all, a resolution, which no failure could depress and no obstacle withstand. He made engagements with a bookseller in Philadelphia, who was to advance the funds required for an edition of two hundred copies, while Wilson was to furnish the drawings and descriptions, receiving meantime a small sum for coloring the plates, which formed his only support. He thought it necessary to make a commencement of his work, in order that he might use it to gain subscribers, while wandering through the country to collect materials for his future numbers.

In 1808, he went forth, directing his steps eastward; and arranged his outposts and spies in such a manner, that he expressed his confidence, that not a wren could travel from York to Canada, without his receiving immediate information. But subscribers did not abound, and the whole number he was able to collect amounted only to forty-one, while the drudgery of making his proposals again and again, only to hear them rejected, was extremely grating to a spirit like his, melancholy and somewhat proud. So little was his object appreciated, that in Haverhill, New Hampshire, he was apprehended as a spy, the inhabitants supposing that some foreign power had fallen in love with their paradise, and was preparing plans for an invasion. When he returned from the East, after resting but a day or two, he made a tour through the Southern States, and succeeded in adding one hundred and twenty-five to his subscription list, beside gaining subjects for his pencil from the cypress swamps and pine savannas. All his remarks upon men and manners, are those of a sharp, thoughtful, and rather sad observer; but in a third tour, where his route led him through the vast Western regions of our country, which he visited before the steam-boat had supplanted the ark and the bush-whacker upon the rivers, thus removing solitude and extending civilization, by crowding the work of a hundred years into ten, he seems to travel with a lighter step and heart, as if he had learned distrust from those subjects of his art, that spread their wings and fly from the presence of man. But he did not escape mortifications even there; a certain judge told him that his book, being out of the *reach of the commonalty*, was anti-republican, and ought not to be encouraged. Wilson asked him, what he thought of his own handsome three-story house?

—whether such buildings were within the reach of the *commonality*, as he called them?—a question, to which it is not stated, that the bench made any satisfactory reply. He evidently felt such coarse remarks, much more than the serious difficulties and hardships of his way. In fact he held those labors very light; and there is, to our apprehension, something grand and striking, in the thought of a man going forth alone, in the strength of his own heart, with none to share his trials, or even understand his feelings,—seeing what others could not see,—hearing what others could not hear,—bearing gallantly onward, like a light vessel over the unsounded seas, while all who crowd the shore as it departs, prophecy that it was ‘built in the eclipse,’ and they never shall see it again.

Lest we be taken for enthusiasts, which would be fatal to our reputation as reviewers, we would say, that it is not every great naturalist, who makes a sublime and affecting impression; witness Mr. Audubon’s picturesque account of his visit from M. de T—, a blank which some readers will probably be able to fill. One day, when walking by the river, he saw an individual land from a boat with a bunch of hay upon his back, who seemed to occasion some speculation among the boatmen. The stranger inquired for Mr. Audubon, and learning that he was the person, gave him a letter of introduction from a friend, which began, ‘I send you an odd fish, which I hope you will describe.’ Mr. Audubon read the letter aloud, and asked him ‘*where it was?*’ The stranger rubbing his hands with much glee, replied, ‘I am the odd fish, I presume, Sir.’ After such an apology as was forthcoming, Mr. Audubon offered to send for his baggage, but was saved the trouble by M. de T—’s informing him that he had none, save the cargo of weeds upon his back. When introduced to the ladies, he thought it necessary to improve his appearance, and accordingly pulling off his shoes, began to draw down his stockings to hide the holes about the heels, remarking that his dress had suffered a little in his journey. It consisted of a long loose coat of yellow nankeen, which had been stained into a resemblance to that of Joseph’s, by the juice of various plants and flowers,—a waist-coat of the same, with unfathomable pockets, and buttoned up to the chin, covering a large portion of his tight pantaloons,—the whole raiment surmounted by long hair and a beard, which were left to the care of nature. The spectre conversed in a very intelligent and agreeable manner, but was impatient

to see Mr. Audubon's drawings of birds and flowers. On looking at one of the latter, he shook his head, and declared that there was no such plant. Mr. Audubon at once silenced his doubts by taking him to the spot where it grew, upon seeing which, he danced and shouted in ecstasy, declaring that he had found not only a new species but a new genus, and appearing as if he could have died happy. At midnight a great uproar was heard in the naturalist's apartment, and Mr. Audubon running thither in alarm, found him racing round the room with the handle of a violin in his hand, having already demolished the body of it in attempts to beat down some bats, nothing regarding his own want of drapery, nor the destruction he was making. Having secured one of the intruders for his collection, he retired to bed with singular satisfaction. After remaining an inmate in the family for three weeks, he suddenly disappeared, and they could only account for his absence by supposing that he had himself been taken and secured as a specimen, till a letter of thanks from him came to hand some time after. Mr. Audubon seems to have taken vengeance on the naturalist for the destruction of his fiddle and the various other inconveniences he had occasioned, by showing him the interior of a cane-brake, where they encountered a bear who was upon the same expedition, and were overtaken by a thunder-storm, which made the man of science for once forget his enthusiasm in his fears. We can forgive this, inasmuch as the jest was in the way of their profession; but we feel bound to declare our entire disapprobation of his proceeding, in exposing a fellow-traveller to the wrath of a pole-cat. This gentleman, struck with the beauty of the animal, dismounted in order to secure it; but was soon convinced, that because the creature was pleasing to one sense, it did not follow that he should be equally acceptable to another. We should as soon have thought of exposing a human being to the attacks of a party newspaper, on the eve of a presidential election. How far this unsavory jest was carried, we are not precisely informed; but, though reviewers by profession, we can see no sport in the suffering of our fellow-creatures, and we undertake to assure Mr. Audubon, that the least play of such humor is extremely offensive.

But to return to Wilson. When Mr. Audubon resided in Louisville, Wilson came into his counting-room one morning, with the two numbers of his work then published, and offered

his proposals. Mr. Audubon describes his appearance as rendered striking by the keenness of his eyes, and the prominence of his cheek bones; and his peculiarities of look were probably heightened by an expression of surprise, at finding another person engaged at the moment in a pursuit similar to his own. As Mr. Audubon was about to write his name as a subscriber, his partner advised him rather abruptly to forbear, assuring him in French, that his own drawings were superior to those of Wilson, and that his acquaintance with the habits of birds could not be less; this advice prevailed, and he declined subscribing. Mr. Audubon observes, that Wilson did not appear pleased, either because he understood the language in which the remark was made, or because he was disappointed in the hope of adding to his list. He probably did not understand French, but the language of manner is the same all the world over. It requires but little study to discover the meaning of expressions of light esteem; and beside this, a man who has given his life and heart to the accomplishment of an object, believing that he has no rival, must be somewhat more than human, if he be delighted to find that another is engaged in the same purpose, with equal energy and advantages far greater than his own. They, however, compared notes in a friendly manner, and ranged the woods together; Mr. Audubon introduced him to his family, and did all in his power to make his visit pleasant, but he seemed oppressed by constant melancholy, which was only relieved by the Scotch airs, which he played sweetly on his flute, social enjoyments having for him no charm nor attraction. Mr. Audubon offered him his own drawings for the American Ornithology, only stipulating that they should bear his own name; but Wilson did not accept the proposal. Mr. Audubon afterwards waited upon him in Philadelphia, and was kindly received, but nothing was said of the subject which was nearest to their hearts. When the ninth number of the Ornithology was published, Mr. Audubon was surprised and not particularly delighted, to find a note from Wilson's journal, dated March 23d, 1810, in which he remarks, that in Louisville, he received no attention, and gained neither new subscriber nor new bird. 'Science and literature,' said he, 'have not one friend in the place.'

Mr. Audubon relates these circumstances with a tone which does him honor; without making complaints of Wilson, who certainly appears at disadvantage, and without losing his respect

for the talent and enterprise of a very remarkable man. He had a right to justify himself, and this is all he attempts in his explanation. The note was probably written in a moment of disappointment and depression, and was an exact description of the writer's feelings. We can do more justice to both, if we remember that neither party was then known to the world. If we think of Wilson at the time, as one whose acquaintance was thought an honor, or whose genius was respected as it now is, we shall widely mistake his condition. He was a man of plain appearance, of manners not prepossessing to strangers, engaged in a pursuit which not one in ten thousand knew how to appreciate, and which indeed owes its fame in our country principally to his exertions. His features were rather coarse, and his dress better suited to the forest than the drawing-room; moreover he carried with him a subscription list, and was thus connected with a class of visitors, which no man welcomes to his house with rapture. Under these circumstances, though we have no doubt that Mr. Audubon treated him with kind attention and felt respect for his enthusiasm, still it required a prophet's eye to discover his full claims, and to assign him that high place, which, as a man of genius, he felt he had a right to demand. All who knew Wilson unanimously testify, that, although irritable, and unable to endure the least disrespect, his disposition was remarkably kind, liberal and just. In all his dealings with others, he was the very soul of honor; so that he was doubtless misled by feelings of despondency, which often attach unpleasant associations in an unjust and unaccountable manner, to places and persons which by no means deserve them. We observe that the American Quarterly Review, in noticing the work before us, justifies Philadelphia from an implied censure cast upon it by Mr. Audubon. He says that Liverpool freely accorded to him honors, which, on application made by his friends, Philadelphia had refused him. We do not profess to understand the allusion. That city is the last to deserve a charge of want of hospitality, and Mr. Audubon is evidently not the man to make unreasonable complaints or demands. We think it probable, that he wrote thus from having accidentally connected depressing associations with a place, where he had hoped to publish his work, and where he found himself disappointed, and that it never occurred either to him or to Wilson, that in expressing their feelings, they were bringing grave charges against any place or people.

It does not seem probable to us, that if Wilson and Audubon had been acquainted with each other, more intimately and under more favorable circumstances, they would have been very well suited to each other. Those who agree in being devoted to a similar object, are generally said to have similarity of taste ; but this does not follow ; and where they are unlike in feeling, their pursuit of the same object is more likely to make them rivals than friends. Wilson was a man, whose powers were concentrated upon a single purpose ; he pursued it, not as an amusement, nor even an employment, but as the great object of his life, and with a deep and determined spirit, which few could understand. The subjects of his art and inquiry were not playthings to him, they were intimate and familiar friends ; their voice was not music, but language ; instead of dying away upon the ear, it went down into his soul. To him, the notes with which they heralded the spring were full of glory, and when in the autumn, they heard far off the trumpet of the storm, and sang their farewell to the woods, it was solemn and affecting, as if it were breathed from a living and beating heart. To others, this interest seemed senseless and excessive ; but he was one of those, who never smile at the depth and earnestness of their own emotions. When he described the birds, he spoke of their habits, and manners, as if they were intelligent things ; and thus has given a life and charm to his descriptions, which will make his work the chief attraction of the science in our country, for many years to come. But as might be supposed, this very enthusiasm, which was so strong that he kept it as much as possible to himself, thinking it would find no sympathy with those who never had felt it, has led him into many errors. He trusted too much to his imagination ; from what he saw he inferred much that he did not see, and therefore his successors have been constantly employed in correcting the mistakes of their master. Audubon entered upon the pursuit with an enthusiasm equally resolute, but much more light-hearted. It began in childhood, and as it grew with his growth and strengthened with his strength, it was more judicious and discriminating than if he had, late in life, turned the whole current of his feeling in this new direction. Beside this material difference, he was more fortunate than Wilson in having a family who sympathized with him, when other friends discouraged him and complained of the waste of his time and exertions. Being more a man of the world than Wilson, though without

losing the simplicity of his mind, we feel that he is less likely to be led away by his fancy, and therefore trust him as a safer guide, though not a more fascinating companion. But if he is less poetical than Wilson, he has much of the spirit of his predecessor. The very name which he has given to his work,—biography,—shows that he feels as if he were describing intelligent and spiritual things, and thus inspires a sort of Pythagorean interest, such as natural history is seldom fortunate enough to awaken. When he introduces a bird to our acquaintance, he is evidently solicitous to place its virtues and attractions in the most flattering light, as if he were speaking in favor of a friend. We need hardly say that his work is very engaging. The singleness of heart, which is always found connected with an enthusiastic love of nature, speaks volumes in favor of such men: and if it were not so, their various and amusing adventures, the wild aspects of the country which they describe, their escapes and dangers, their hardships and pleasures, all alike unknown to ordinary life, give to their writings a romantic charm.

Mr. Audubon was born in America, but was descended from a French family, and was sent early in life, to receive his education in France. This would be sufficiently evident from the peculiar style of his writings, which are fluent and eloquent, but carry evidence with them that they never proceeded from an English pen. It would seem that the direction in which he has been so successful, was given to his taste in early childhood; it must have been partly inherited; for the passion rose at a period earlier than he can remember, and he tells us that his father encouraged it, pointing out to him the graceful movement and beautiful forms of birds. There was no need, however, of fanning the flame; for, from the first, he was never happy when removed from the forests and fields, and his chief enjoyment was to find out the homes of the small birds in the green masses of foliage, or to follow the curlew and cormorant to the retreats where they sought shelter from the fury of the storm. To look upon their eggs in the downy nest, or on the burning sands, and to trace their history from the shell through all their migrations and changes, was then, as it is now, the favorite desire of his heart. It might seem a dangerous thing in a parent to encourage a taste which was already so strong, and which, if it became engrossing, threatened to interfere so much with the more practical pursuits of life. He probably was willing that his son should make this the business of his life, and ap-

pears to have taken judicious care to impress upon his child, that all the admiration and love which nature inspires, should remind us of Him who made it.

He was desirous of keeping these subjects of study always before him ; but he found no satisfaction in looking upon the stuffed birds of collections, which, like the Egyptian mummies, retain but a small portion of their living attractions. These would not answer ; and the beauties of their plumage seemed to him as perishable as sunset clouds, till his father, at the proper time, set before him a book of Illustrations. This awakened a new ambition, and he determined to rival, and if possible excel what he saw. But he was obliged to go through the usual discipline ; his first efforts seemed like caricatures ; and every new advance he made, rendered him discontented with what he had done before. It is a grievous thing to man to be compelled to laugh at his own productions, because he feels that another year's improvement may render his present efforts as ludicrous to himself as the former. But this is one of the evidences of real taste and talent. It shows that the standard of excellence in the artist's mind is set high, and this is an advantage both in youth and manhood ; for the moment one begins to be satisfied with his own productions, he shows that he has lost his enthusiastic desire to improve,—a desire which forms the inspiration of genius, and without which no one ever was great.

While receiving his education in France, from which country he returned at the age of seventeen, Mr. Audubon took lessons in drawing from David, which, though the subjects were not such as he would have chosen for himself, doubtless gave him an ease and freedom with the hand and eye, which he found of great advantage. He immediately commenced the great undertaking, which is now well known to the world. His father gave him an estate on the Schuylkill,—a residence well suited to his purpose, and here, he says, it was his constant practice to commence his rambles at daybreak, it being his happiness and triumph to return wet with dew, with the bird which was to ornament his page. Those who are acquainted with birds, know how much they are in the habit of following the course of rivers in their periodical journeys, and that a diligent observer, near one of our larger streams, will be likely to see nearly all the inland birds. But it was not enough for him to know their forms ; he wished to learn their history in every particular ;

and to gain this information, he undertook long and hazardous expeditions, being sometimes absent from his family for years, engaged in exploring prairies, mountains, lakes, and seas. We said, that he was from the beginning engaged in this undertaking; but we must not give the impression, that he had in view the publication before us; on the contrary, he assures us that he was led onward solely by the love of the pursuit, from which he derived constant gratification. His friends were as earnest as those of Job, to convince him that he was much to blame; and he confesses that any one who saw his habits, might have supposed him negligent of every domestic duty; but his wife and children, who were certainly most interested in his movements, did not join in the censure. They will now be rewarded for their forbearance, by enjoying the reflection of his fame.

How much he was in earnest in his rambles, appears from his account of a visit to Niagara, in which he has given a picture of himself, as life-like as any of his colored illustrations. He had been wandering near the lakes for months, and was returning with his drawings of plants and birds. The last vestige of his linen had long ago been devoted to the purpose of cleaning his gun; he was dressed like one of the poorest Indians; his beard covered his neck, and his hair flowed down his back; his leathern raiment was crying loudly for repair; a large knife hung at his side, and a worn-out blanket, containing his tin box of drawings, was buckled to his shoulders. In this guise he walked into the public house, and demanded breakfast, all present being amazed to hear from such a figure anything that denoted a resemblance to civilized man. The landlord seemed anxious to secure him as a lion, and he had in fact come for the sake of sketching the Fall; but he made a discovery which may well be published for the benefit of painters, viz;—that in a miniature picture of such a scene, no very impressive idea can be given of the extent or the sound. It would save many a painting, in which the falling ocean dwindles to a mill-dam.

The idea of making a collection for publication never suggested itself to Mr. Audubon, till he visited Philadelphia in 1824, on his way to the eastward through the Atlantic States. He was then a stranger to all but Dr. Mease, who introduced him to the well-known Charles Bonaparte, whose name we observe is sometimes decorated with a title, though we doubt not he looks to science for his most honorable distinctions.

From Philadelphia he proceeded to New-York, where he was received with flattering attention, and after ascending the Hudson, traversed the great western lakes, making probably the tour to which we have just alluded. The thought of publishing to the world the results of his labors, supplied him with a new inspiration and a more definite object; the thought of a solitary individual like himself, gaining a name in the old world by his laborious pilgrimages through the desert regions of the new, came in aid of his attachment to nature. He thought of it by day and dreamed of it by night; and by constantly endeavoring to bring his designs to perfection, succeeded at last to his own satisfaction and the surprise of others;—we say to their surprise, because we are not in the habit of seeing one man make himself familiar with every subject of a science and inquire into all its particulars, in any other way than by studying at home, and depending in part on the authority of others.

Whoever reads Mr. Audubon's account of his various tours, will see that he had a mind, which, in the midst of its devotion to a single object, found time to meditate upon all that was before him. When he embarked on the Ohio in his own boat, with his wife and his infant son, he is very eloquent in his description of the beauty of the river. It was in October, in the season called in this country the Indian summer, when the early frosts are over, and winter, after having given a gentle warning of his coming, suspends his step, as if unwilling to destroy the glory of the year. The trees had put on their rich and glowing colors, which, with the wild garlands of the vine that covered them, were darkly reflected in the waters. The haze that covered the landscape softened its lines and shadows, melting down the brightness of the sun, and changing the pale waning moon into a golden semicircle, seen as distinctly in the stream as in the sky. The ripple of their boat was the only sound which broke the silence, except when some large fish sprang upwards in pursuit of a shoal that darted out like silvery arrows, and fell in a little shower of light. At evening they heard the distant tinkling, as the cattle were returning to their homes, and saw the shadows mysteriously darken the shores. As the night fell, they caught the sound of the boatman's horn, as it came softened almost into music by the distance, and at times heard the solemn hooting of the great owl, or the muffled noise of its wings, as it sailed gently across the stream. We give the substance of this description, in order to

show our readers in what scenes his fancy was kindled and his taste formed. He had here the charm of solitude, together with the society,—which, for the time, he was anxious to secure,—of that race, which had excited in him from his earliest years, an interest deeper than man is often fortunate enough to inspire in man.

But Mr. Audubon affords us the contrast to this picture of solitude without desolation. Our readers have doubtless seen extracted in many of our papers, an account of his adventure in a cabin on his return from the upper Mississippi. He was crossing a prairie, and in taking shelter in this hut for the night, he happened to display his watch to the landlady, who immediately devised measures to secure it for herself, by removing him to a world where measures of time are not wanted. She was prevented by the seasonable arrival of two travellers, armed as usual in such journeys, who aided to secure her with her two sons. These, however well disposed to aid her, seem to have been at that time in no state to profit by her maternal instructions. For this design to murder, the wayfarers burned down the cabin, gave the furniture to an Indian who had warned Mr. Audubon of his danger, and justified the delinquents after the manner of the Regulators ; a kind of extemporal police, established by volunteers, to supply the defective shortness of the arm of the law. When an individual is discovered to have committed an offence of this or any other dangerous description, a court of rather a popular character assembles and takes the case into serious consideration ; the accused is arrested and brought before them, his character and proceedings sharply investigated, and if the verdict of his peers pronounce him guilty, he is advised as a friend to seek out some other climate, more favorable to his constitution. As there may be some little want of formality in the movements of the court, and the evidence may be at times deficient in precision, they judiciously lean to the side of mercy. In such cases, it is thought better for the suspected person to take the hint, and transport himself beyond the bounds of their jurisdiction ; but if he choose to remain, and is found repeating his transgressions, at the next term of the court he is put on trial, and severely punished if guilty. In many cases, the punishment is inflicted by castigation of the person, and destroying his house by fire, as in the instance of the lady above-mentioned. Sometimes it is thought necessary to resort to the punishment of death, in which case the head is affixed to

a pole, as a terror to evil-doers. All these punishments are found effectual, particularly the last. This kind of legal process is fast disappearing from the West. As we have said, Mr. Audubon affords us the contrasts to his pictures. On the spot where the soul of the Ornithologist had so nearly taken flight, are found taverns, those outposts of civilization, and roads and cultivated fields, all redeemed from the wilderness in the short space of fifteen years. Now, the axe is heard ringing from the banks of the rivers, and the fire by night clears out a path through the oceans of wood ; the elks, deer, and buffaloes are passing to other regions ; our Government is aiding the cause in its own way, by grinding the Indians to powder, preaching all the while of mercy, justice, and protection ; words, which make those who understand our language, decamp with all possible expedition. But we will not dwell on those surprising changes, which Mr. Flint has made familiar, in one of the most interesting works ever published in this country. Suffice it to say, that as men, not birds, are likely to be gainers by this miraculous transformation of a vast region, it is well that Mr. Audubon began his pilgrimages twenty years ago. We know not where the lover of a wilderness will go twenty years hence, to find the solitude he desires. Long before that time, we shall hear from travellers who have dammed up with their hands the parent fountains of the great western rivers, and shared with the eagle his perch on the highest turret of the Rocky mountains.

Mr. Audubon gives us a pleasing picture of the hospitality which prevails in the western country ; a virtue, which by no means gains in the progress of civilization, but is apt, on the contrary, to retreat when the sign of the tavern is displayed ; being unlike many other things in this world, most abundant when and where it is most wanted. Once, when journeying with his son, he chartered a wagon for a portion of his journey, and the wagoner engaging to take him by a 'short cut,' he had the satisfaction to find himself exposed to a storm of thunder, in a night so dark that they could not have proceeded even if they had known the way, every trace of which was lost. While sitting disconsolate and dripping like Naiads, they determined to try, since the sagacity of man had brought them into difficulty, whether the sagacity of the horses would take them out. They left the animals to arrange matters at their discretion, and they set forward, soon changing their course, and bringing them to a place where they heard the barking of dogs, and saw

a light through the trees. They were soon received into the cabin of a young couple, who were delighted with the opportunity of giving them a welcome. The negro boys were waked from their slumbers, and while some repaired the fire, others went forth to the hen-roost, whence proceeded notes, which indicated that the poultry were bearing their part, though reluctantly, in the duties of hospitality. The table was soon spread, but the whiskey was wanting, and the master of the house, afflicted at this destitution, mounted his horse, rode through the storm to his father-in-law three miles off, and returned with a keg of cider. Mr. Audubon says, that his son, who was about fourteen years old, drew near to him, and remarked 'how pleasant it was to have met with such good people.' The cabin afforded but one bed, and in spite of all remonstrances, the host and his wife insisted upon making a division of its component parts, which was done accordingly, and they were soon put into a sound sleep by a long story of the wagoner, showing how mysterious it was that he should have lost his way. This temple of hospitality was constructed of logs, and the floor formed of coarse *slabs* of tulip-tree. A spinning-wheel was standing in one corner ; the wardrobe of the host was suspended from the wall on one side, and that of his wife from the other. A small cupboard contained a few dishes, cups, and tin pans ; every thing was as neat as possible, but nothing indicated a condition above poverty, except an ornamented rifle. Nothing would induce the inmates to accept present or compensation ; they detained the travellers as long as possible, and gave them up with regret. Truly, we should be inclined to call such a householder the most remarkable *rara avis* of Mr. Audubon's collection ; but there is reason to believe, that such liberal kindness to the stranger is by no means uncommon, in any part of our western country.

Our traveller appears to be one of those who can make himself easy under any circumstances, and therefore is not quite so dependent on such attentions, as many others in the world. When he was patrolling the shores of Upper Canada, he says that some person stole his money, supposing that a naturalist could do very well without it. We would not defend the knavery, but the event showed, that the thief was not mistaken in his calculation. 'To have repined when the thing could not be helped, would not have been acting manfully,' says Mr. Audubon. It is a manly sentiment, but when things *can* be helped

there is no particular call for repining. He and his companion were left with seven dollars and a half, at the distance of fifteen hundred miles from home. At this time they were upon the water : when they landed, they procured a conveyance for five dollars to the town of Meadville, and took lodgings at a tavern upon the way. At night, they were shown into a room in which there were several beds ; some time after they had retired, three young girls came into the chamber, and having put out the light, placed themselves in a bed most distant from theirs. We beg our English readers, if such there be, to take notice that this was not in New-York nor Boston ; and in order to relieve as far as possible the fears of the worthy travellers of that nation, we think we can safely assure them, that if they venture into the United States, judging of those who follow from those who have gone before, neither man, woman nor child will have the least disposition to force themselves into their society, either by night or day. This custom is peculiar to the back-woods, and there seems to be some little excuse for it in the necessity of the case, where the whole house affords but one chamber. Mr. Audubon had thrown out a hint concerning portrait painting, and the damsels, supposing the travellers asleep, descended concerning the taking of portraits, explaining to each other how delightful it would be to see their own. In the morning he commenced the sketches, and beside paying for his lodging, had the satisfaction of making some young hearts happy. When they arrived at Meadville, he took his portfolio under his arm, and after walking the streets awhile, begged permission to rest in a shop ; it was granted, and as a matter of course, the contents of the portfolio shown to the trader ; who not only contracted for a portrait of himself, but offered to find him as many sitters as were wanted. He procured a painting room, ornamented with hogsheads of oats, rolls of sole-leather, a drum and bassoon in the corner, fur caps along the wall, and a clerk's bed, swinging like a hammock, near the centre. Here he closed the windows with blankets to secure a painter's light, and sketched his sitters much to their satisfaction. The result was, that his pockets grew heavy and his heart light. At the *ordinary* of the public house, Mr. Audubon, being taken for a missionary, on account of his flowing hair, was asked to say grace, which he says he did with a fervent spirit. His pursuits seem to have had the right and natural effect upon his feeling ; for he tells us that he never has despaired of di-

vine protection, while engaged in studying the grand and beautiful works of God.

Among the entertaining incidents of his narrative, we find an account of his meeting with Daniel Boon, the celebrated patriarch of Kentucky. He happened to pass a night under the same roof with this remarkable man. Every thing about him, Mr. Audubon remarks, was striking. His stature approached the gigantic ; his form indicated great personal strength, and his countenance bore an expression of thoughtfulness and resolution. At night, when Mr. Audubon undressed as usual, he merely took off his hunting-shirt and spread a blanket on the floor, which, he said, he preferred to the softest bed. He told Mr. Audubon, that many years before, he was taken prisoner by a party of Indians,—bound, and carried to their camp,—where he was frankly assured, by signs sufficiently expressive, that the next day would put an end to his mortal cares. The ladies of the party searched his dress, and much to their satisfaction laid their hands on a flask of *monongahela*, now a historical name, but then the designation of very strong whiskey. They drank freely of its contents, till the distant sound of a gun roused them ; and the warriors immediately went to ascertain the cause, leaving his fair guardians to their vigils and their whiskey. Fortunately for him, they showed a decided preference for the latter, to which they paid such unceasing attention, that they were soon asleep. He then rolled himself to their fire, where he burned off his cords and seized his rifle. He was strongly tempted to return evil for good to his snoring body-guard, but he resisted, and after striking two or three chips with a tomahawk from an ash-tree, in order to mark the spot, he departed in peace.

Thirty years after this, when Colonel Boon had retreated before the approaching deluge of population, a person removed into Kentucky, where he laid claim to a large tract of land, one of the corners of which was marked, as the deed ran, ‘by an ash, which was notched by three blows from the tomahawk of a white man.’ The object was to find this tree, in order to ascertain the boundary of the land. But the tree had grown, and the wood had covered the scars ; no trace of it could be found. Under these circumstances, the owner, who had heard of Colonel Boon’s adventure, sent to him to come and ascertain, if possible, the situation of the tree. Having no particular professional business, nor domestic cares to detain him at home, the veteran came as desired. Every thing was changed in the

country ;—but having formed a party, and waited for the moon to rise, he endeavored to find the spot where the Indians had encamped ; and having as he thought succeeded, they remained there till the break of day. When it was light, he examined the spot, and declared that an ash, then in sight, was the one. Proper witnesses being brought, he struck the bark ; no signs were seen ; he then cut deep into the tree, and at last found the distinct marks of the three notches, covered with thirty years' growth of wood. He was, when Mr. Audubon saw him, on his return to his favorite solitudes. This was a surprising effort of memory, when we consider what a near resemblance one such spot bears to another, and what a difference the hand of man soon makes in them all. Mr. Audubon saw the old hunter perform the favorite Kentucky feat of *barking off a squirrel*. He pointed to a squirrel on a tree at the distance of fifty paces, raised his piece slowly, and at the moment of the sharp, whip-like report, the bark immediately under the animal flew off in splinters, and the squirrel was whirled into the air, from which it fell dead. The dress of this 'stoic of the woods,' was a home-spun hunting-shirt ; his feet were defended with moccasins, and his legs bare. It is difficult to explain the fascination of savage life,—but there are more examples than one, which prove that it is much more difficult to tame the wild, than to make a savage of the civilized man. It cannot be ascribed to an aversion to restraint,—for such men as this are in general self-denying in every respect. There must be some delight in the excitement of solitude, independence, and adventure, which strangers to them cannot understand. When the gates of the West were first thrown open, they were thronged with many such adventurers,—who pushed their way through the deep forests, guided by the sun by day, and sleeping at night by their fires. Their furniture, and in fact all their wealth, consisted of an axe and the all-important rifle ; these, with their horses, were all their preparation, except we take into account what was worth all the rest, a bold and resolute heart. Their way was beset with the Indians, who seem to have had prophetic misgivings that all these movements boded no good to them, and who had the advantage of matchless cunning, and perfect familiarity with the country. Others, who carried more baggage with them, built *arks* on the rivers, which, like that of Noah, were filled with all manner of living things,—but not equally secure of divine protection ; for the heavy-laden vessel

floated lazily down the stream,—in silence by day, and without light or fire by night, lest they should be discovered by the enemy on the shores. When the voyage or the journey was over, a shelter was to be provided, the soil to be subdued, and the enemy repelled. It is not strange that many became attached for life to adventure, when for years there was not a moment in which they could lay aside their arms. Wherever a settlement has been made in the deserts of our country, it has been, both at the East and West, established in the face of many dangers, threatened by the wild inhabitants; but there are some indications in our history of late, which show that it was easier to gain, than it is now to refrain from abusing our power.

Beside the opportunity of becoming acquainted with man, under wild and peculiar circumstances, Mr. Audubon has had the advantage, which as a naturalist he doubtless appreciates, of witnessing several convulsions of nature. He does not mention the years,—but we remember, that about twenty years ago, earthquakes became unpleasantly abundant in the South and West. It was probably at that time, that he was one day, when riding, surprised by a darkness in the heavens. Being as much accustomed to thunder-storms as the birds themselves, he took but little notice of it further than to urge his horse forward; but the animal paid no regard to his recommendation, and instead of advancing, planted his feet deliberately and firmly upon the ground. The rider was upon the point of dismounting to lead him, when the horse began to groan, hung down his head, and spread out his limbs as widely as possible. He was entirely at a loss to know what all this might mean, and could only suppose, that the animal was suddenly seized with mortal agony; when the earth began to roll, the shrubs and trees rocked and waved before him, and the convulsive shuddering of the whole frame of nature made it evident, that an earthquake was passing by. Shocks succeeded each other for several weeks; and as most of the houses were by no means towering structures, he became familiar with the prospect of being buried under their ruins. One night, after attending a wedding, he slept in the house of a physician, which was constructed of logs, and large enough to receive a considerable number of persons. At night, the earthquake lifted up its voice in such a manner, that all started from their slumbers, and rushed out, without waiting for the ceremony of the toilet, or even taking

care to secure any drapery at all. The clouds were floating wildly past the full moon, the trees waving like grass in the breeze,—when the Doctor, his prudence getting the better of his fears, ran to save his gallipots, which were dancing on their shelves in an awful manner, and about to leap to the floor ; but arrived too late to prevent a general wreck. The moment the danger was past, and the promiscuous assembly began to consider their defect of raiment, a consternation of a different sort succeeded, and drove them back to bed with equal expedition.

Mr. Audubon was also fortunate enough to witness a hurricane. We say fortunate, since it crossed his path without injury to him. He describes it admirably, and we wish we had room to give his own full picture of the scene. He saw in the south-west a yellowish oval spot, and felt a sharp breeze passing, which increased rapidly, tearing away twigs and smaller branches from the trees, till the whole forest was in dizzy motion. The largest trunks of the wood were bent, and at last broken. The stormy whirlpool carried thick-rolling masses of foliage and boughs, together with a cloud of dust ; and the gigantic trees were seen writhing and groaning, as if in agony, for a moment, when they fell in shapeless heaps of ruin. This great work of destruction was over soon ; but a shower of small branches followed in its wake, as if drawn onward by some mysterious power ; the sky had a lurid, greenish hue, and the atmosphere was filled with a sulphur-y smell. The path of this tornado extended many hundred miles. Mr. Audubon was on horseback this time, as well as before, but the animal betrayed no alarm. The reason, doubtless, of his perceiving the earthquake so much earlier than his master, was that his feet were on the ground, and his rider's were not ; and had they been in the same circumstances, the biped would probably have been less affected than the animal, who was shaken at four points instead of two.

We have given this general account of the work before us, to show the variety of entertaining subjects, which the writer has introduced ; and we commend his judgment in so doing. It takes from the scientific air of the work, and offers an attraction to a greater number of readers. It also serves to show, through how many and various scenes he has passed in his wanderings, and thereby gives a livelier impression of the enthusiasm and resolution, which such an enterprise requires. On one occasion his fortitude was severely tried. Having secured two hundred of his original drawings in a wooden box, he left them

in the care of a friend, during his absence on a journey. When he returned, he re-claimed his treasure ; and found, that a couple of Norway rats, acting, doubtless, on the principle that ‘a living dog is better than a dead lion,’ had gnawed his papers to pieces, and feathered their nest with one thousand painted inhabitants of the air. This was a severe blow ; and many men under it would have forsaken the pursuit forever. But Mr. Audubon thought as Bottom did, that ‘what could not be endured must be cured ;’ and after a short period of suffering, took his gun, note-book and pencils, and went forth into the woods again. Nothing daunted him, where he could revive his strength by communion with nature ; but when he was on the way to England, and when first walking the streets of Liverpool, he says that his heart almost failed him, and that he longed to retreat into the woods. But this desolate feeling only made the kindness of enlightened men in that city, which was freely given to him, more animating and delightful. After receiving the most encouraging attentions there, he proceeded to Edinburgh, where his reception was equally flattering ;—and there he commenced the publication of his Illustrations. It would have been continued there, had not his engraver advised him to seek an artist in London.

Mr. Audubon, we observe, addresses a word to critics : but these are works with which critics have not much to do ; or with respect to which, they can only discharge that part of their duty which is generally thought to give them least pleasure,—we mean, praise. No one can see these splendid drawings, and compare them with the ordinary illustrations of natural history,—in which animals appear as spiritless as if they had been sitting for their portraits,—without admiring his taste and skill. Instead of a solitary individual, we have here groups of each kind, in all the attitudes of life ; and as the plumage of birds is often entirely changed in passing from youth to maturity,—as the female, also, generally differs very much in color from the male,—a single representation would be of little value. We might easily criticise the drawing and coloring in some small respects, and say that it differs from our limited observation ; but the obvious reply is, that he has seen hundreds where we have seen one. The history of the birds of our country is still imperfect, and whoever undertakes to reduce it to a system, will find every new explorer correcting some of his errors. What he describes as the constant habits of a class,

may appear to be only accidental peculiarities of individuals ; and as birds are affected by climate, food, and various other circumstances, the result of many observations will be exceedingly apt to overturn the theories and systems built upon a few. We do not, therefore, complain of the want of systematic order in the arrangement of the subjects of this work ;—at present, there would be no advantage in such an undertaking. But when this great work is completed, we think Mr. Audubon will do well to follow his own suggestion, and to give a systematic view of the American birds, and his own contributions to the known number. It is well that the world should know the exact value of his labors, before he gives the work over to other hands.

The science of Ornithology is indebted to Mr. Audubon for the discovery and description of an eagle, to which he has appropriately given the name of Washington. It is the largest and most powerful of all the race of birds. Mr. Nuttall suspects that it may exist in Europe, and be the same with the *great sea-eagle*, described by Brisson, which in size and plumage resembles this species, more than any other. Mr. Audubon first met with it, when engaged in a trading voyage on the upper Mississippi. An intelligent Canadian, on seeing this bird floating above them, remarked that it was the great eagle, and the only one he had seen since he left the lakes. He described it as a bird, which built its nest in shelves of rocks, and lived by fishing like the fishing-hawk, sometimes following the hunters to secure the animals they slew. Mr. Audubon was convinced from this account, that the bird was undescribed, and says that the feelings of Herschel, when he discovered his planet, must have been less rapturous than his own.

But several years passed, before he encountered it again. He was one day engaged in collecting cray-fish near Green river, in Kentucky, where a range of high cliffs approaches the stream, when he found traces of an eagle, which his companion said was the bald eagle in its immature state. Mr. Audubon, knowing that this species builds in trees, and not on the rocks, was persuaded that this was an error ; his companion maintained the contrary, and assured him that he had seen the old eagle dive and catch a fish. This also was unlike the bald eagle, which, as all know, gets his living in a less honest way. Not being able to decide the point, they agreed to wait till the old birds came to feed their young. Two hours passed

heavily away, when the coming of the parent was announced by the loud hissing of the two young ones, which crawled to the edge of the rock, to receive a fish which was brought them. The observers kept a profound silence, but when the mother returned shortly after, also bearing a fish, her quicker eye detected the spies, and she set up a loud scream, when both birds hovered over them with a growling cry, till they left the spot. When they returned a day or two after, intending to scale the cliff and storm the nest, they found that the birds had anticipated their design, and that the whole family had retreated. It was not till two years afterward, that he saw this bird again. He was near the village of Henderson, with his double-barrelled gun, when he saw it rising from an enclosure where some animals had been slaughtered, and alight upon a low tree. Thence the eagle looked at him calmly and fearlessly, till he fired, and it fell dead. The bird which he describes, is an adult male, and measures in length three feet seven inches, in extent ten feet and two inches. This is a prodigious size ; but among all birds of prey, the female is larger than the male. If this rule hold good here, and there is no reason to doubt it, we may account for its not building on trees, as a French writer explains the reason of the condor's laying its eggs on the naked rock ; 'because the excessive sweep of its wings makes it impossible for it to enter the woods !' Mr. Audubon compares this bird minutely with the sea-eagle, and shows wherein they differ ; in the bird of Washington, the tail is considerably longer than the closed wings ; in the sea-eagle the length is equal. The sea-eagle resembles it in most points, but cannot be the same, being merely the young of the white-tailed eagle. Mr. Nuttall suggests, that a larger species may be confounded with this young bird by European naturalists, a thing which has often happened in other similar cases.

Another of Mr. Audubon's discoveries, is the *Muscicapa Bonapartii*, or Bonaparte's flycatcher ; so called in honor of the naturalist of that name. It is a small bird, with a simple note ; he was not fortunate enough to meet with another, though Mr. Nuttall, who is not likely to be mistaken, thinks that he has seen the same bird in the Botanic Garden at Cambridge. The one here described was found in Louisiana, near St. Francisville. It was engaged in a quarrel with another. When Mr. Audubon fired, this one fell, slightly wounded, but

still full of life and spirit, so that he was able to give a lively representation of it. He has placed this bird on the *magnolia grandiflora*, but he tells us that we are not to suppose, that he has undertaken to place every bird on the plant with which it is most familiar, or from which it gathers its food. He has, however, added much to the beauty and value of his work, by this happy alliance between birds and flowers. Another fly-catcher, which Mr. Audubon has discovered, is named in honor of Mr. Selby. It was also found near St. Francisville, and was so fearless, that it came up within the reach of his gun. It kept on snapping its bill and swallowing insects with great indifference to his presence or his opinion, till he felt obliged to shoot it, lest he should lose the opportunity. He afterwards shot the female near the same place.

Mr. Audubon has been able in the same way to compliment other friends and distinguished persons. In Louisiana he found a beautiful wren, to which he gave the name of Bewick, whose engravings on wood, and work on the birds of Great Britain, are well known in this country. In these plates a bird is represented, which Mr. Audubon has named the Louisiana water-thrush, having assured himself, as he believes, that it differs from the New-York thrush, which we sometimes find in Massachusetts late in the season. The common water-thrush is very shy, but this, he remarks, is very unsuspecting, and familiar. The color of the feet and the shape of the tail are different, and the Louisiana thrush does not, like the other, wade in the water. The common water-thrush, while on its eastern visits, does not sing; while this bird, which he has never seen further east than Georgia, has a note as powerful, mellow, and almost as varied as the nightingale itself. It resides in the low grounds of the State whose name it bears; it is seen perched on a low bough, standing erect, and with its throat swelling, running through changes of tone, as clear and well defined as the notes of the piano. Its compass embraces two octaves; it begins on the highest, and moves gradually to the lowest note, which is sometimes lost, if there be any agitation in the air. Its voice is sometimes heard in the water and at night.

Mr. Audubon has named a warbler, which resembles the Maryland yellow-throat, *Sylvia Roscoe*, as a mark of gratitude for the kind attentions which he received from that distinguished man. To show the difference between this bird and the pre-

ceding, the author has made the description and illustration of the new species to follow immediately that of the other. Their habits are different, he says, though in form and plumage they are very much alike. In honor of another naturalist, he has named another warbler *Sylvia Vigorsii*, Vigors's warbler, but has given us no description of the habits of the bird, having never met with more than one, a male which he found upon the spiderwort on his farm, when he resided on the shore of the Schuylkill. The same family has furnished him with the opportunity of complimenting another friend; he has called it Children's warbler, in honor of Mr. Children of the British Museum.

Among the hawks, he has found one to which he has given the name of Stanley, after the President of the Linnean Society of London, in gratitude for kindness received from that nobleman. Mr. Nuttall seems to suggest a doubt, whether this be not the same with one described by Bonaparte, which bears the name of Mr. Cooper. It is a strong and daring bird. The author says, that one morning, in the State of Louisiana, he heard a cock crow, and immediately one of these hawks flew by, so near him that he might have struck it down with his gun. Very soon he heard the cackling of the hens, and saw the hawk, after rising a few feet in the air, fall again to the ground. On approaching, he found the hawk grappling with the cock, who was soon killed by his powerful foe, his neck being pierced and his breast torn by his claws. Mr. Audubon shot him, and honored him with a place in this work. He afterwards saw a female hawk of this species attack a party of chickens, but the hen came up in time, and flew against the hawk with such violence, as to throw it on its back, and beat it with her feet and bill, till he came up and secured it for his collection. This bird feeds on partridges, hares, and pigeons. In the southern States, it is known by the name of the great pigeon-hawk. Its nest resembles that of the crow.

Traill's fly-catcher, Rathbone's warbler, Henslow's bunting, and Harlan's hawk, are birds named for various friends of the writer. Cuvier's regulus is so called, in compliment to that eminent man; and a small hawk is oddly enough called, in honor of Napoleon, by the nickname which he bore among his soldiers, 'the little corporal.'

Beside adding to the list of our birds, Mr. Audubon has increased our stock of information concerning those already

known, by relating anecdotes of his own intercourse with them, and facts in their history, which had escaped all other observers. The mocking-bird appears in his description, like a new creation of fancy. You see him flying in graceful circles round his mate, with his eyes gleaming with wild delight ; then alighting near her, and bowing with his wings lightly opened, you hear him pouring out a concert of all sweet sounds, as if his heart were bursting with rapture. When they have made their nest, if the eggs are displaced or removed during the short absences of the mother, they breathe a low mournful note, as if in sympathy with each other. They do not fear the presence of man, for they know that they have enemies more dangerous than he ; they come familiarly to the gardens and plantations, sometimes perching on roofs and chimney-tops, and enchanting all who hear them with their unrivalled song. One thing in their history is very remarkable. It is known that some of them visit the eastern States, being seen occasionally in the vicinity of Boston. When these wanderers return, they are instantly known by the others, who attack them, as if to punish them for wishing to be wiser than their neighbors ; and instead of listening to the story of their travels, force them to keep apart, at least till they have ascertained that their manners are not, as is sometimes the case, altered for the worse by making the grand tour. We knew that these sectional jealousies were tolerably strong in men, and why wonder that they are found in birds ? Really, the creature that lacks discourse of reason, might most naturally be expected to indulge such feelings and passions.

We have endeavored to give such an account of the contents of this work, as would induce our readers to make themselves acquainted with it, and have not said a word respecting the doctrine of types, affinities, analogies, progress, development, or quinary circles. If Mr. Audubon had contented himself with Linnean descriptions, he would have had the honor of discovering more birds than readers. Such books as Dr. Lasham's General History of Birds, though convenient works of reference for those who are acquainted with the subject, are not particularly fascinating to those who desire to learn. We are not so much troubled in mind, however, as Mr. Rennie, well known as the author of Insect Architecture and Architecture of Birds, who is for cutting up all system and casting it away ; on the contrary, we think his own entertaining writings

would be improved by a little more attention to arrangement; for though a work which is nothing but index is dry reading, a work without index is at times exquisitely provoking, as in reading the history of France, Mezerai is less agreeable than Henault. Classification we take to be mere matter of convenience; and in a collection of specimens, we certainly would rather have the birds without the labels, than the labels without the birds. The way to become interested in this study and to pursue it with success, is to learn it in the book of nature; its pages are full of inspiration; and while the hundred volumes of scientific ornithologists create no general interest in their favorite pursuits, whoever will go into the fields and forests, and look about him with an attentive eye, will study the science most successfully, learning it not by memory, but by heart.

---

#### ART. IV.—*Life of Sebastian Cabot.*

*A Memoir of Sebastian Cabot: with a Review of the History of Maritime Discovery. Illustrated by Documents from the Rolls, now first published.* Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 1831.

The present age is honorably distinguished by that spirit of thorough investigation into the history of the past, of which Niebuhr and Sharon Turner afford the most remarkable, but by no means the only instances. The evidence upon which we formed our belief in the events of by-gone ages, has been carefully sifted by an accurate examination of original documents and contemporaneous authorities, and we have been taught to correct the erroneous impressions we had imbibed from the pages of popular historians. The consequences have, in some cases, been startling. We find that many insulated transactions have been greatly over-rated, as to the magnitude of their effects; while in others, that have been regarded as little more than mere personal anecdotes, we can now trace the germs of changes, which have affected the destinies of millions. The agency of distinguished men in the events of their time has been incorrectly estimated; the profound and just thinkers have passed for visionaries and dreamers, while the weak and

the cunning,—for the qualities are inseparable,—have received the honors due to wisdom and sagacity. And most of all, we have been mistaken in our opinions of character. The names of good men and patriots have called forth undeserved execration, while knaves and traitors have been buried and embalmed in the hearts of an admiring posterity. For all this we have generally to thank the poets and novelists, who fill up the outlines which history draws, with the shades and colors which best suit their own purposes. It is to be lamented that there is so much error on record; which must first be expunged, before the truth can be written down; and there are some who, from an indolent aversion to engage in the painful search and comparison of facts and dates, or from a wayward peculiarity of mind, sit down with the conclusion that there is not, after all, so much difference between truth and falsehood as the world thinks, and that the safest plan is to feel a wholesome doubt about every thing. But he, who doubts the credibility of history, because it presents us with conflicting statements, shows an ignorance of the human mind, which he may correct at any time, by walking into a court-room, and listening to the evidence presented to the jury by the two parties at issue. Truth both claims and deserves severe application; she is to be wooed and won in the patriarchal fashion, by years of hard labor. It is only by the light of the student's lamp, that the dark places of the past are to be illumined. In all matters of fact, though the forms of error may be infinite, the truth must be one and the same; 'your glass may be blue and mine may be green,' but the object continues unaltered by the different media, through which we contemplate it. So far as mental development is concerned, it cannot be doubted, that a superficial acquaintance with history in general is less to be valued, than an accurate and profound knowledge of one of its minute departments or separate portions. The great misfortune is, that erroneous statements have become so prevalent, from their being recorded by men of genius, who have hastily and carelessly admitted facts, and devoted their principal efforts to those original speculations which are most conducive to their own fame, that it is hardly possible to disabuse the public mind. Falsehood has gone about the world with the graces in her train, while truth has lagged behind with no attendant, but the drooping form of modesty.

These remarks have been naturally suggested by the perusal

of the work now under consideration, which is a most elaborate and successful examination into the records of the past, for the sake of doing justice to the character of an eminent man, whose merits have been strangely overlooked by most historians and biographers. The author has accomplished his task with signal ability, and has dispelled the darkness, which prejudice and carelessness had suffered to gather over the fair fame of a great navigator. He apologizes in his preface, for the somewhat repulsive form in which he has presented to the world the results of his labors, with so much good sense, and in so satisfactory a manner, that we should do him injustice not to quote his words.

'What is now submitted made part, originally, of a much more extensive plan.' But there was found, at every time, so much to clear up, and the materials for rectification were so multiplied, that it seemed impossible to treat the subject, without giving to it in connexion with any other, a cumbrous and disproportioned air. To hazard assertions, and to venture on the requisite plainness of criticism, without producing the evidence which justified a departure from received opinions, could have effected no good purpose, and would justly have incurred the charge of presumption. Error was too deeply intrenched to permit a hope of dislodging it, unless through the regular, though tedious forms of investigation. The author is very sensible of the dry and argumentative manner here imparted to topics, which have usually been viewed, and treated, as susceptible of the highest embellishment. He can only hope that others may catch a feeling, such as gained on himself, at every step, which, in the disentanglement of facts, rejects impatiently, rather than solicits, whatever does not conduce directly to the result. The mind seems to demand, with sternness, that this labor shall first be gone through, as the eye requires a solid foundation, and an assured elevation, before it can rest with complacency on the decorative acanthus.'

The author has well kept the honorable promise, which he has thus virtually made. He never points out an error where he is not able to substitute the truth, and never sets up a theory or a conjecture, till he has a solid foundation of fact for it to rest upon. The field of his researches is not a very extensive one, but the light he is enabled to shed upon it, is proportionally intense. In his search after materials, he has shewn an untiring industry and perseverance, which remind us of the finest efforts of German scholarship. He seems perfectly acquainted with the contents of many rare and curious books of

reference, the very titles of which are probably new to ninety-nine out of a hundred of his readers. He has dragged into light manuscripts, with the mould of centuries upon them, and forced them to give their tardy testimony in favor of the truth. Nor is it alone from works directly bearing upon the subject, that he has gathered his facts and drawn his proofs. From the copious stores of information derived from an extensive acquaintance with the whole subject of maritime discovery, he has drawn a multitude of indirect and collateral arguments, the value of which is greatly enhanced, by their being pressed into a service for which they were not originally destined. Nothing escapes his acuteness and penetration. Hints carelessly dropped, loose opinions thrown out at random, minute contradictions and inconsistencies become, in his hands, the successive links of a perfect and continuous chain of reasoning. The skill with which he builds up an important argument out of a few detached fragments of evidence, reminds us of that power, by which the philosopher is enabled, out of a few decayed bones and teeth of a fossil remain, to construct the entire skeleton of the animal, and to ascertain the genus and species to which it belongs. Even the enemies and detractors of Cabot are forced to give their testimony in his favor; and their own works are made to furnish an antidote for the mischief they have done. The book is indeed unrivalled in its way, and is well worth the attentive study of a young lawyer, as a model of a learned, acute, and profound argument upon certain obscure and disputed points of history, which admits nothing that is irrelevant, and rejects nothing that is important, and by which a cause that looks desperate at first is so triumphantly supported, that we wonder how the contrary impression could ever have prevailed. His ingenuity, indeed, is sometimes pushed to an extreme, and his reasoning weakened by the means he takes to make it doubly strong.

The result of his labors has filled us with surprise, and almost alarm. The amount of the errors and misrepresentations current upon the subject of Cabot's history, and the gross injustice done him, not only by foreigners but by his own countrymen, must go a great way to confirm the scepticism of those who agree with Sir Robert Walpole, in his well-known opinion of history. That the notice of Cabot, in a work which lays claim to such uncommon and incontrovertible accuracy as the French *Biographie Universelle*, should contain the most ex-

travagant blunders, does not greatly surprise us; for with relation to the English character and history, there seems to be that defect in the minds of most Frenchmen, that there is in their organs, when they attempt to pronounce the language; but that the popular writers of a people so fond of truth as the English, so commercial, so proud of their past annals, should have been so indifferent to the reputation of so great a navigator, a leading spirit in his own time, and one to whom the interests of trade and commerce are so largely indebted, does indeed fill us with amazement. Our wonder, however, is a good deal diminished, since, in the work before us, we are enabled to trace most of the errors to obvious sources. Many of them are drawn from the pages of Hakluyt, whose collection of voyages in three volumes folio was published in London at the close of the sixteenth century, and has become so much the standard work upon the subject, that his statements have been generally adopted, without taking the trouble to refer to the sources from which he drew them. Many circumstances have tended to give him this elevation. He was a clergyman, and a man of education, patronized and assisted by Sir Walter Raleigh and other distinguished men, and he devoted his whole life to labors connected with the splendid maritime discoveries of the English people, in which he was such an enthusiast, that he once rode two hundred miles, to obtain from an eye-witness the particulars of an unfortunate expedition to Newfoundland, in the time of Henry the Eighth. His work, too, is one of great value, though in this case certainly, and probably in many others, it is not free from erroneous statements, and untenable positions.

We have been somewhat particular in stating the merits of the work before us, because it is one of those books which will repose on the shelf of a library, to be occasionally referred to, and not lie on the table to be read through. The author is one of that rare class, who love truth for her own sake, and the possession of her seems to be a sufficient reward, for all the toil and anxiety of the pursuit. He has evidently been more anxious about the fame of Sebastian Cabot, than his own. As we have followed the thread of the elaborate argument, which he seems to be addressing to the world as to a jury, in behalf of an injured client, caring about nothing but to gain a just verdict, and disdaining the tricks of rhetoric, by which the wrong side may be varnished and gilded, so as to seem to the taste,

what the right one does to the understanding, we have been reminded of what Coleridge says of Sir Alexander Ball, who, he remarks, 'derived a keen pleasure from clear and powerful reasoning for its own sake, a quality in the intellect, which is nearly connected with veracity and a love of justice in the moral character.' This quality the author seems to have in a great degree, and no one could derive any pleasure from the work, who had it not in some measure. To the miscellaneous reader, to whom one book is as good as another if it only amuse him, the log-book of the old navigator himself would hardly be less valuable. Wordsworth says of one of his friends, 'that to be known he must first be loved'; and we may say of the *Life of Cabot*, that we must be determined to like it before we attempt to read it. We read it ourselves attentively, and with deep interest, not only from the ingenuity of the argument, but from the great number of new and interesting facts, which it made known to us; but we must confess, that we found the first two or three chapters somewhat repulsive.

The arrangement of the book is puzzling and unsatisfactory. Instead of beginning with the birth of Sebastian Cabot, and going on with a regular narrative of his life, the author plunges in the very first chapter into a discussion as to the highest point of latitude reached by him, and most of his readers will open the book, entirely ignorant of the preparatory knowledge necessary to understand it. During several succeeding chapters, he discusses insulated questions, and rectifies the erroneous statements, which would tend to detract from his hero a portion of his fame. It is not until after some hundred pages, that we begin to feel that we are upon a vantage-ground; and can look down upon his life as an entire whole, and compare the relation of its several parts to one another. Many of the single chapters are hard to be understood, and must be read over more than once, as there is such an accumulation of names, dates, and authorities, that if the attention flag for a moment, we must begin anew. The author does not seem to be thinking of his reader, or rather he expects that his reader will take the same absorbing interest in the subject that he does himself, and does not allow him any of those stopping-places, where, by means of a summary recapitulation, he is enabled to pause and look back at what he has been over.

Indeed, both the writer and his book seem to belong to

another age. The tendency of the times is to theory and speculation. We demand works of a comprehensive character, in which the author must take upon himself the task of arranging and digesting his materials in such a way, that we may see a great deal at a single glance. There is so much to be known, that on many subjects we must be content with the bare outlines. Many bulky folios must be condensed into a single duodecimo, which may serve as a sort of *catalogue raisonné* of their contents. We do not want facts so much as their effects; we must see the results, but are willing to dispense with the processes, by which they are obtained. But our author has by no means adjusted his sails, so as to catch the gale of popular favor. He appears to have engaged in the work, and to have gone on with it; actuated solely by a love of the subject, and a wish to bestow honor, where honor was due. The thought that his book might not be a popular one, and that it might not sell well, seems never to have entered his mind. And what makes this more striking is, that he is not a mere plodding drudge, that gropes about the nooks and corners of libraries and spends his days in poring over half-defaced manuscripts, and volumes brown with age, and capable of no higher effort of mind, than that of transcribing and collecting. He shews himself in his preface, and also in many remarks which fall from him, half unconsciously, it would seem, in the work itself, to be an original and correct thinker, and yet he never interrupts the toilsome narrative by any more matter of his own, than is necessary to give cohesion to his argument. The tempting nature of his subject never for a moment seduces him into declamation, nor does he ever amuse himself or his readers with fanciful speculations and theories, beautiful and baseless as the clouds of summer. In another point, his forbearance is peculiar and highly honorable to him. His indefatigable researches have enabled him to correct many errors; some of them of no slight magnitude, in the works of those who have hitherto written upon the subject of maritime discovery. This he does in that calm and unostentatious manner, which grows out of a confidence in the power of truth to maintain her own cause, without the aid of flippancy or satire. There is none of that insolent tone of superiority and disgusting self-conceit, so often observable in those who have detected errors in writers of great reputation, and which make us grudge the praises due to industry and research. He does

not seem to think, that the ruins of another man's fame are the best materials with which to build up his own. When a writer is wrong, he sets him right, very simply and directly, sometimes not without an expression of surprise and even indignation, but it is all for truth's sake and not his own. He passes, in his preface, a severe rebuke upon the compilers of the *Bio-graphie Universelle*, who, after giving a notice of Cabot, which is a continued string of errors, gravely tell us that his discoveries ought not to be considered altogether fabulous, as some historians would represent them; but he does this, not on account of their ignorance alone, but because of their unbounded pretensions to the most perfect accuracy.

Having thus, in some imperfect measure, done justice to the merits of the book, we feel that we owe the same duty to the eminent navigator, whose cause it so successfully pleads. We propose, therefore, to give a short sketch of the life of Sebastian Cabot. Very little, we believe, is known about him by the inhabitants of the country he discovered, and, as we have before said, the work is not of that popular character which would make an epitome of its contents unnecessary, either for the sake of the writer or of his subject. We shall endeavor to make our readers understand, as far as is consistent with our limits, how much error and confusion there has been heretofore on the subject of his discoveries, and how much light has been shed upon them by the labors of our countryman. In doing this, we shall without hesitation confine ourselves principally to the materials furnished by the book itself, for though it bears a somewhat controversial character, there is an air of candor and high moral principle running through it, which would make us confident of the truth of every assertion, even if the author did not (as he always does) point us to the book and page, whence he derives his authority for what he says. Indeed, we could not do otherwise, without taking a voyage to Europe, for we have not on this side of the Atlantic, the means of even verifying his accounts, much less of contradicting them.

Sebastian Cabot was born at Bristol, in England, about the year 1475, for the date of his birth is not exactly known. When he was four years old, he was taken by his father to Venice, the city of his ancestors, where he resided some years. In consequence of this, it has been generally believed and stated by many writers, that he was a Venetian by birth, though

strange to say, we have his own words to the contrary.\* The precise time of his return to England is also not known, though it was while he was yet quite young.

About the time when Cabot was entering upon his manhood, the subject of maritime adventure was the all-engrossing one, throughout the civilized world. Europe was then 'ringing from side to side' with the discoveries of the Portuguese in the East, and the still more brilliant and important ones of Columbus in the Western hemisphere. We, who live in times when the imagination has so little that is dimly known, to work upon,—when the veil of mystery is lifted from the hieroglyphics of Egypt, and the course of the Niger,—can hardly conceive of the electric impulse that must have thrilled through Europe, when the tidings came of the New World, made known by the enterprising Genoese. What a renewed youth it must have kindled up in the veins of the old, while to the young, the ardent and the imaginative, it clothed the worn and familiar forms of life with the beauty and attractiveness of romance! Rumor, without doubt, exaggerated the glowing accounts of Columbus to that degree, that the ancient fables of the Islands of the Blessed seemed tame in comparison. There, the imagination of the poet had a range as boundless as the intervening ocean. There were groves of aromatic woods, whose fragrant breath was wasted far out to sea, and made 'old ocean smile' for many a league. There was the darling region of the sun; and his ardent glances kindled a more effulgent blaze of beauty, through earth, air, and sea, than was vouchsafed to the churlish North, on which he peered with cold and half-averted eye. The groves were populous with birds, of strange and dazzling plumage; and glittering fishes darted, like flashes of light, through the waves. Flowers of every hue and form made the air faint with their odors, and the most delicious fruits hung in prodigal luxuriance, to refresh the languid senses. The natives, too, seemed not unworthy of the Paradise they inhabited. Their fine climate and simple habits gave grace and beauty to their forms, and an instinctive taste taught them the use of natural and becoming

\* In Richard Eden's 'Decades of the New World,' page 255, a rare and curious black-letter volume, published in 1555, consisting partly of translations and partly of original matter, of which the author makes a great deal of use, and from which he has made many interesting extracts.

ornaments. Their guileless simplicity, their gentleness and their love of peace, realized the fables of the golden age. They were also of an affectionate, grateful and social nature; and welcomed, with open arms, the wonderful strangers, whose coming was so pregnant with mournful consequences to them. The mineral wealth of these regions was unbounded; the precious metals were unvalued toys; and avarice might grow wanton in its visions of Eldorado cities, paved with emeralds, and with their golden tiles, glittering in the sun with the gorgeousness of a dream. The turbulent and adventurous, that felt the old world too narrow for them, were tempted, by vast leagues of territory yet to be explored, and populous nations yet to be conquered. The uneasy spirits, that thought whatever was, was wrong,—the Jack Cades of the day,—could find here the stuff, out of which they might cut as many Commonwealths as they pleased, and make a world after their own fashion. And the zealous and devoted Christian felt his heart burn within him, at the prospect of extending the bounds of the Redeemer's kingdom, and of sending the light of the Gospel to illumine the nations, that were sitting in the gross darkness of idolatry.

The enthusiastic character of Cabot made him share largely in this general feeling. He himself, speaking of the effect produced in England by the news of the discovery, says, ‘all men, with great admiration, affirm it to be a thing more divine than human;’ and afterwards, he adds, ‘by this fame and report, there increased in my heart a great flame of desire to attempt some notable thing.’ Fortunately, this flame was not permitted to burn out for want of fuel. A patent, dated March 5, 1496, was granted by Henry VII. to John Cabot and his three sons, Lewis, Sebastian and Sancius, which authorized them, their heirs or deputies, to ‘sail to all parts, countries, and seas of the East, and of the West and of the North, under our banners and ensigns, with five ships, of what burden or quantity soever they may be; to seek out, discover and find whatsoever isles, countries, regions or provinces of the heathen and infidels, whatsoever they be, and in what part of the world soever they be, which before this time have been unknown to all Christians.’ We quote the words of the patent, since they are incompatible with the supposition that a previous voyage had been made, and that the patent was granted in consequence of it, as is by some

writers maintained.\* The monarch, with his usual thirst, stipulated for one fifth of the clear profit of the enterprise. From the fact that the name of Cabot, the father, is found in this patent, as well as from a passage in Hakluyt (vol. 3, p. 9), it has been confidently asserted by later writers, that he also was a distinguished navigator; but of this there is no contemporary evidence. All that we know of him, is, that he came to England 'to follow the trade of merchandise.' His name was probably introduced into the patent, that the king might have, in his wealth, a security for his portion. The argument of the author in the fifth chapter, in which he overthrows the testimony of Hakluyt upon this point, is one of great ingenuity and conclusiveness. The expedition set sail from Bristol, in the spring of 1497. Cabot directed his course to Iceland, that by stopping there he might break the monotony of a long sea-voyage; and thence he launched out into the unknown seas of the West. On the 24th of June, 1497, he came in sight of the continent of North America, to his great surprise, 'not thinking to find any other land than that of Cathay.' The voyage had been made for the purpose of finding a northern passage to India. With regard to its results, there has been a great deal of confusion, much of which has been cleared up by the researches of the present writer; and many erroneous statements concerning them are found in books of authority. It has been generally supposed, that Newfoundland was the portion of the coast first seen by him, and that he named it *Prima Vista* for that reason,† but there is every reason to believe, that it was Labrador. The praise due to him as an intrepid and bold navigator has been in a great measure denied, by an attempt to make the extreme point of latitude which he reached, much less far to the North than was really the case. Relying upon a carelessly translated passage from the second volume of Ramusio's Collection of Voyages contained in Hakluyt, such respectable authorities as Mr. Barrow, and the writer of the History of Maritime and Inland Discovery in Dr. Lardner's Cabinet Cyclopædia, assert, that Cabot went no farther North, than the latitude of  $56^{\circ}$ ; though in the preface to the

\* Harris's Collection of Voyages. Barrow's Chronological History of Voyages into the Arctic Regions.

† Dr. Lardner's Cabinet Cyclopædia. History of Maritime and Inland Discovery, vol. 2, p. 137.

third volume of Ramusio, he himself expressly states that he penetrated as far as  $67^{\circ}$  and a half, and although this testimony is confirmed by the authority of Lord Bacon, in his History of Henry VII. He even sailed a considerable distance into Hudson's Bay, and was pressing on with undiminished energies, confident in the belief that he had at length reached the long sought passage to India, when he was forced to return by the discontent of his crew, who, wearied with their long and perilous voyage, disheartened by the cheerless appearance of the country, and wanting the support of the intense enthusiasm which sustained their youthful commander, determined to follow no longer a phantom, that was visible to his heated and boyish imagination alone.

This disappointment, bitter as it must have been at the time, did not in the least damp his ardor. Immediately upon his return to England, he made application for another patent, which was granted. It is dated February 3, 1498. It is made to 'our well-beloved John Kabotto, Venecian, sufficiente auctorite and power, that he, by him, his Deputie or Deputies sufficient may take at his pleasure VI. English shippes, in any porte or portes or other place within this our realme of England or obeisance, so that and if the said shippes be of the burden of CC. tonnes or under, with their apparail requisite and necessarie for the safe conduct of the said shippes, and them convey and lede to the Lande and Isles of late found by the said John in oure name and by our commandmente.' The patent, it will be seen, was made out to John Cabot, but it was wholly by the representations and on the account of Sebastian, since, though the death of the former took place soon after its date, the son proceeded directly upon his contemplated voyage. Of the results of this expedition little is known. It has been supposed, that he made an attempt to colonize the northern portion of this country, which failed, from the rigor of the climate, but this rests upon evidence too slight to command belief. (pp. 87, 88.) It was probably on this voyage, and not on the former, that he sailed to the South and explored the coast, as far as about the latitude of  $35^{\circ}$ , when a failure of provisions obliged him to return to England.

Every thing relating to these two voyages has hitherto been attended with perplexity, confusion and error. We have seen already what contradictory accounts are on record, with regard to their details and results. Some writers suppose there was but one

voyage made, and that the expedition of 1498 is to be referred to the patent of 1496, while others contend, that one voyage must have been made previously to this latter date. Much of this darkness has been dispelled, by the author's having brought to light the original patent of February 3d, 1498, which was found at the Rolls Chapel, after a tedious search of more than two weeks. Its testimony is highly important and conclusive, particularly as to the fact, that the discovery of the continent had been made previously to its date, and on the first voyage. We have given a very brief statement of what it takes the author some hundred pages to establish. It would, of course, be impossible to give an abstract of an argument which investigates every point with such extreme minuteness, and which, so far from being open to the charge of unnecessary diffuseness, is sometimes obscure and involved from a want of it. We would refer those who yet feel any doubt, and wish to examine the evidence for themselves, to the book itself, promising them entire satisfaction; with this precautionary remark, however, that they must read thoroughly, if they read at all.

To the general reader, the minor details of these voyages, including the point of northern latitude which he reached, and the portion of the coast he first saw, cannot but be matters of secondary interest. But no one can be indifferent to the great fact, that Sebastian Cabot was the first discoverer of the continent of America.\*

To be assured of this, we need attend but for a moment to a comparison of dates. Cabot's discovery was made, as we have seen, on the 24th of June, 1497. Columbus, as is well known, did not discover the continent of America, till his third voyage, upon which he set sail, May 30, 1498. Amerigo

---

\*Perhaps it would be more correct and certainly more safe to say, that Cabot was the first civilized European, whose discovery of the continent of America is a matter of history. It is well known, that there is strong evidence that America was discovered by the Northmen, in the eleventh century. See Wheaton's History of the Northmen. In the History of Maritime and Inland Discovery, in Dr. Lardner's Cabinet Cyclopædia, Vol. II. p. 138, the remarkable assertion is made, that Newfoundland was discovered about the year 1463, by Cortereal, a Portuguese navigator. But the biographer of Cabot, in the second part of his work, shows the absurdity of this notion in a very few words. The mistake probably arose from the fact, that an expedition sailed to the coast of North America, under the command of a person named Cortereal, in 1574. See Book II. Ch. 11.

Vespucci crossed the Atlantic for the first time, with Alonzo de Hojeda, one of the companions of Columbus, who sailed from Spain, May 20, 1499. Thus the honor indisputably belongs to the English navigator; but how capriciously has fame assigned the prize. The name of the lucky Florentine is written in everlasting characters upon the boundless page of the continent, while that of the other is a strange sound to nine tenths of its inhabitants.

Indeed, Cabot's claim to the gratitude and admiration of posterity has never been allowed a fair hearing, till now. The laurels which he gathered have been transferred to the brows of others. We have seen Englishmen denying his claim to be their countryman. Gloom and mystery have hitherto hung over the whole of his life; ignorance and malice have united to cheat him of his fair fame; his own maps and discourses, which might have given their irresistible testimony in his favor, have perished. The strange misrepresentations about him and his discoveries, in the pages of those who have no excuse for their carelessness, will amaze any one who will take the trouble to examine the subject. The author of his life, in the only sentence where he indulges in any thing like petulance, justly remarks, that 'it is indeed a singular fact, that writers, who on most topics are dull, common-place, and safe,—who might be trusted, one would think, in poetry itself, without peril to their matter-of-fact character,—instantly become imaginative, on touching any part of Cabot's history.'

After his return from his second voyage, there is a period in his life of fourteen or fifteen years, during which we lose sight of him, and know nothing of his employments. His reputation was probably extending itself in the mean while all over Europe, and was, perhaps, greater in other countries than his own. In 1512, he was induced by the offers of Ferdinand, to enter the service of Spain. He was immediately admitted into confidence, and treated with such consideration as to awaken that paltry jealousy, which is too apt to visit merit of foreign growth, especially among that haughty and bigoted people. He was made a member of the Council of the Indies, and destined to be the commander of an expedition to discover the north-west passage, which was probably put an end to by the death of King Ferdinand, on the 23d of January, 1516. On the accession of Charles V., finding himself overlooked, and suffering perhaps from that opposition which was now un-

checked by the support of his patron, the former monarch, he returned to England.

There his active spirit immediately found employment. He set sail from England, in 1517, on an expedition, concerning the destination of which there are two accounts; one, that it was to the Spanish settlements in the West Indies, and the other, that it was to discover the north-west passage. The weight of evidence inclines to the latter presumption. This was frustrated by the mutiny of his sailors, and the cowardice of his second in command. It seems doubtful whether it was not on this voyage, rather than on his first one, in 1497, that he reached the latitude of  $67^{\circ}$ , and penetrated into Hudson's Bay. (Chap. XV.)

In 1518, we find him again in Spain, with the highly honorable office of Pilot Major. He attended the famous council held at Badajos in 1524, to settle the boundaries of the Spanish and Portuguese empires. This had been called, in consequence of an attempt by Spain to carry on a commerce with the Molucca islands, which the Portuguese claimed as falling within their limits. The decision was in favor of Spain, so far as these islands were concerned. A company was immediately formed at Seville to prosecute the trade, and Cabot was invited to take the command of the expedition, which he accepted. The news of this project excited the utmost alarm in Portugal, which had long enjoyed a monopoly of the trade in question, and every effort was made to obstruct its execution, but to no purpose. Cabot had originally requested that four ships, properly armed and equipped, should be furnished at the expense of the Treasury, but this was not granted. Three ships were provided by the Emperor, and a small barque by an individual. After repeated delays, they at length set sail in the beginning of April, 1526. Immediately upon his departure he was followed by Diego Garcia, a Portuguese, in a squadron of three vessels, whose sole purpose seems to have been to watch his movements; and who, if not avowedly employed by Portugal, was at least aided and encouraged by her.

The sailing of the fleet had been retarded, among other things, by disputes between Cabot and the agents appointed by the merchants, who had freighted the vessel. They had thwarted and controlled him in every possible respect, and left him no more room than they could not well avoid for the ex-

ercise of his own judgment. They had differed upon one important point, the choice of the Lieutenant General ; Cabot wishing to appoint one of his personal friends, that he might be sure of something more than official regard, from his second in command. But the agents insisted upon choosing Martin Mendez, on account of their differences with Cabot, as Herrera states. From this we may infer that Mendez was no friend of Cabot, as the sequel indeed will show. One singular precaution was taken, which is highly characteristic of the nation, and shews their jealous suspicion of Cabot. The commander of every vessel was furnished with sealed orders, to be opened soon after leaving the port, and which were found to provide for the case of the death of Cabot ; *eleven* persons being named, upon whom in succession the command was to devolve. At the head of the list were Mendez himself and two brothers by the name of Rojas, men of skill and reputation, one of them the commander of one of the ships, but zealous partisans of the Lieutenant General. One can hardly conceive of a plan more calculated to sow the seeds of mutiny, and hold out a premium to disaffection, than this. For let it be remembered, that Cabot and Mendez were so far from being on a friendly footing, that before they left the port, they entered into a written agreement, by which Cabot secured himself against any usurpation of his authority by his deputy.

The melancholy anticipations, with which we view the departure of a fleet so fraught with the elements of moral evil, are soon to be realized. On the coast of Brazil, the sparks of disaffection were kindled into an open blaze of mutiny, at the head of which, as might be expected, we find Mendez and the two brothers Rojas. The situation of Cabot seemed a desperate one, and there are few who would not have yielded without a struggle to such immense odds. Let it be remembered that he was a foreigner, with but two of his countrymen with him, in the midst of men remarkable for their national exclusiveness, and ready to view him with suspicion and dislike, from the mere fact that he was not one of them. He had also as yet performed no distinguished service for Spain. Mendez, on the other hand, and one of the two brothers, in addition to their being Spaniards, had been with Magellan in that famous expedition of his, which had redounded so much to the honor of their country, and they doubtless made use of their influence with the ships' companies, to prejudice them

against their commander. But Cabot was one of those characters, who can always summon up an amount of internal energy, proportioned to the urgency of the demand upon it. Seamen are remarkable for the promptness, energy and intrepidity with which they prepare to meet danger, and guard against it when it comes. The constant struggle with such terrible agents as winds and waves, and the use of the despotic authority which is of necessity entrusted to the commander of a vessel, seems to produce that *robur et æs triplex circa pectus*, which the Roman poet thinks must have belonged to him, who first launched his bark upon the deep. He instantly seized the ringleaders, and sent them on shore in an open boat, and left them ; and by this resolute act, not only suppressed the mutiny, but created such an impression of his courage and energy, that in the five toilsome and perilous years, during which the expedition lasted, not a murmur of discontent was afterwards breathed. Southey, in his History of Brazil, (p. 52,) calls this proceeding an ‘act of cruelty ;’ one would have thought, that an exertion of lawful authority and a necessary act of self-defence, might have been spared so harsh a designation. We have every reason to suppose, that the conduct of Cabot met with the approbation of the authorities at home : for though the mutineers were soon taken off by a Portuguese vessel and carried to Spain, where they made a most indignant statement of the treatment they had received, we do not hear that any censure was ever passed upon him. The Spanish historians would have taken good care to record it, if there had been.

The mutiny having deprived him of the assistance and co-operation of the three persons next in rank to himself, he did not feel himself at liberty to proceed on his original voyage, without further orders from Spain. Another reason for delay was, that he had lost one of his vessels by shipwreck. He accordingly entered the river La Plata, and proceeded as far as a small island, nearly opposite where Buenos Ayres now stands, to which he gave the name of Gabriel, which it yet bears. He afterwards went some leagues farther and erected a fort, about the precise situation of which there is some doubt, but the author supposes it to have been upon a small island, near the mouth of the Parana. Leaving here his ships and a small garrison, he proceeded to explore the Parana in boats. He built another fort on the south bank of the Parana, near a small river named Terceiro, where he also left a garrison. This for

was first called *Sanctus Spiritus*, and afterwards *Fort Cabot*. The details of his progress up the river are unknown to us; in the translation of Ramusio contained in Richard Eden's *Decades*, he is represented as 'fyndinge it every where verye fayre and inhabited with infinite people, which with admyration came runnyng dayly to our shypes.' On reaching the point where the Parana joins with the Paraguay, he left it and proceeded thirty-four leagues up the latter river. Here, three of his men incautiously strayed from the main body to gather the fruit of the palm-tree, and were seized by the natives. A sanguinary battle immediately took place, in which twenty-five of Cabot's party and three hundred of the natives were killed. He seems to have kept his position, and to have remained thereabouts some time, collecting information about the region from which the precious metals, which he saw, had been brought. Soon after, he was joined by that Diego Garcia, who, as the reader will recollect, sailed from Spain immediately after him, and who had traced his steps over so many leagues of ocean and river, to this remote point. They returned together to *Sanctus Spiritus*.

Cabot immediately transmitted to the Emperor an account of his discoveries, as well as a narrative of the whole voyage, and the motives which induced him to change his original destination. Among these communications, there is reason to think, was a plan for the conquest of Peru, from which country the natives of the extreme region he had visited derived their gold and silver. The Emperor resolved to fit out a great expedition, but was prevented by pecuniary embarrassment, till Pizarro offered to conquer the country at his own expense, to whom permission was accordingly given. It seems childish to wish to unravel and weave anew the web of the past; but one cannot help lamenting that the conquest of Peru had not fallen to the lot of Cabot, instead of the unlettered ruffian, who bathed its peaceful soil so deeply in innocent blood. We should have been spared the ghastly record of treachery and cruelty, written on one of the darkest pages, not only of history, but of human nature.

Cabot's residence on the La Plata continued some time longer, during which he diligently explored the country, and noted down every thing worthy of observation. The misconduct of some of the followers of Garcia led to a general attack upon him by the natives, of which we have no particulars. It

must have been eventually repelled, since he soon after put to sea with the requisite supplies for the voyage, and returned to Spain, where he arrived in 1531, after an absence of five years.

Cabot's residence in South America constitutes the most romantic and adventurous portion of his life, and that in which his great and heroic qualities were called into the most constant exercise. It is to be regretted, that we have such meagre and partial accounts of it, for he has had his usual hard fate of falling into the hands of careless and prejudiced historians. A manifest dislike to him is visible in the Spanish writers. They never seem to forget that he was a foreigner, and his putting three of their mutinous countrymen on shore, appears to have been resented by them as a national insult. His own records and memorials are lost; though the author thinks that much valuable matter might be found in the Spanish archives. But it is out of the quivers of his own countrymen, that the sharpest arrows come. We will mention two instances, partly by way of example, and partly on account of the popularity of the works, in which they are contained. In the history of maritime and inland discovery contained in Dr. Lardner's *Cyclopædia*, vol. 2, page 89, it is stated,\* that Cabot gave the name of Rio de la Plata, or River of Silver, that he might represent the country as abounding in precious metals, which is well known not to be the case. But will it be believed, that this charge is not brought against him by any of the Spanish writers, and that two of them, (Gomara, chap. 89, and Lopez Vaz, in Hakluyt, vol. 3, p. 788,) expressly state, that the name was conferred by Solis, who was Cabot's predecessor in the office of Pilot Major, and who lost his life upon its banks?

A far more atrocious calumny against him is contained in the History of Brazil, by Mr. Southey, (p. 52,) who not only brings the charge against the individual, but makes one of those sweeping reflections, that men of genius, who write histories, are so fond of, and which is as untrue as it is coarse and unsoldierlike (we had almost said, ungentlemanly), in its tone. 'Cabot,' he says, 'touched at an island on the coast called Ilha dos Patos, or Dutch Island, and there took in supplies; requiting the good-will which the natives had manifested, with the *usual villany*

---

\* The same thing is asserted in the Quarterly Review. Vol. IV. page 459.

*of an old discoverer*, by forcibly carrying away four of them.' We should require the most conclusive evidence, ere we gave our belief to a charge of such inhuman cruelty and unexampled baseness, so inconsistent not only with the uprightness of Cabot's public life, but with those affectionate and respectful terms, in which his personal friends delighted to speak of him. But this story rests entirely upon the report of that Diego Garcia, whom we have already mentioned ; who stands convicted of a gross fraud upon the owners of the vessels in which he sailed after Cabot, and who appears to have been an unprincipled knave. It is in the highest degree improbable ; and is contradicted by negative and indirect testimony, which our limits do not enable us to present, but which may be examined in chapters XVII. and XIX.

Cabot returned to Spain, with a character developed and a reputation increased, by the trying and chequered scenes he had passed through. He resumed his office of Pilot Major, resided many years there in great repute, and made several voyages. An interesting tribute is paid to his high reputation and amiable character, in a conversation reported in the first volume of Ramusio's Voyages,\* in which one of the speakers (whose name is not given,) says, that being in Seville some years before, and wishing to learn something of the maritime discoveries of the Spaniards, the public voice directed him to Sebastian Cabot, 'as a very valiant man, who had charge of those things.' He accordingly went to see him, and gives a very pleasing account of his interview. He 'found him a most gentle and courteous person, who treated me with great kindness, and shewed me a great many things; among the rest, a great map of the world, on which the several voyages of the Portuguese and Spaniards were laid down.'

In 1548, he returned to England. We are not acquainted with the motives, which induced him to leave his lucrative and honorable situation in Spain. Probably, as he was now an old man, he felt the wish of the dying Patriarch stirring in his heart, and desired to lay his bones in his own land. We have a most convincing proof of the high consideration in which he was held in Spain ; for soon after his return, a formal and

\* The account of this conversation is incorrectly translated by Hakluyt, who has, by this means, been the progenitor of a long line of errors in the pages of succeeding writers, who have copied him without consulting the original work.

urgent demand was made by the Spanish Ambassador, that 'Sebastian Cabot, Grand Pilot of the Emperor's Indies, then in England, might be sent over to Spain, as a very necessary man for the Emperor, whose servant he was, and had a pension of him.' This demand was not complied with. He received from Edward VI. a pension of two hundred and fifty marks (166*l.* 13*s.* 6*d.*). It is usually stated that he was created Grand Pilot of England, and knighted; but this the author seems to doubt. He was in reality, however, at the head of the maritime concerns of the country, whatever might have been his nominal dignity. He had the honor of explaining in public, to the king, the subject of the variation of the needle. That youthful monarch, so full of all the gifts becoming his high station, had given particular attention to naval affairs. 'Even when a child,' says Burnet, 'he knew all the harbors and ports, both of his own dominions and of France and Scotland; and how much water they had, and what was the way of coming into them.'

Cabot's long and honorable labors were fitly closed, by a service of the greatest importance to the commercial interests of Great Britain,—and for which to this day the people of that country owe him a debt of gratitude. It seems that this was a period of great commercial distress; and the London merchants applied to Cabot, as to the possibility of opening new vents for their commodities. His advice was, that an expedition should be sent to the north of Europe, in search of a market. This plan was adopted, and carried into immediate execution. Great care was taken to make the ships as staunch and strong as possible; and for the first time in England, the keels were sheathed with lead. Sir Hugh Willoughby, who had the recommendation of high birth and military reputation, was appointed commander. Next to him, and in command of one of the ships, was Richard Chancellor, a skilful seaman, who proved himself, in the sequel, to be a man of no ordinary intellect or character. They were furnished with copious instructions by Cabot, which may be found in the first volume of Hakluyt. They give directions, not only relating to their general conduct, but even to the minutest points of discipline and internal arrangement. They do great honor to his good sense and the moral elevation of his character, and make us regret, that so few of the products of his mind have come down to us. They are full of that penetrating wisdom, which

is the fruit of a long course of observation and reflection upon men and manners. They inculcate a strict attention to moral and religious duties, and direct that all disorderly conduct should be promptly checked.

On the 20th of May, the squadron, consisting of three ships, set sail. Hakluyt gives a very graphic account of the ‘pomp and circumstance’ that attended their departure. These, however, could not chase those melancholy feelings with which the crews looked forward to their perilous and untried voyage, and bade adieu to that pleasant land, which they might never see again. Our sympathies are strongly enlisted in favor of Chancellor, of whom Hakluyt says, with a touching simplicity and beauty, which make us inclined to forget half his mistakes: ‘his natural and fatherly affection, also, somewhat troubled him; for he left behind him two little sonnes, which were in the case of orphans, if he spedde not well.’

The fate of this expedition may be told in a few words. The fleet was separated in a storm, and Sir Hugh Willoughby, with two of the ships, was obliged to pass the winter in Lapland, where, from the rigor of the climate, he and both the crews perished. Chancellor was more fortunate; he arrived at Archangel and journeyed over land to Moscow, where he was hospitably received, and effected the necessary arrangements for an advantageous and permanent commercial intercourse. A charter of incorporation was given to the company of merchants in London, and Cabot was named Governor for life.

Chancellor was destined never to behold again the ‘two little sonnes,’ the thoughts of whom had cast a shade over his heart at the time of his departure. On his return, he brought with him an ambassador from the emperor of Russia. His ship was wrecked upon the north of Scotland, and he, with a great part of the crew, was lost, from his neglect to provide for his own safety, in his anxiety for that of the ambassador, who escaped. The little that we know of him gives us a high opinion of his character, not only as an unrivalled seaman, but as a man of enlarged and cultivated intellect.

The final results of this expedition do great honor to Cabot’s sagacity and comprehensive genius. Not only was a lucrative trade opened with Russia, but new life was infused into every department of industry, and a spirit of enterprise awakened, which to this day has never slept. The whale and Newfoundland fisheries may be said to owe their existence to this north-

ern voyage ; and the language used by Campbell, in his Lives of the Admirals, in speaking of this eminent man, is but his just praise, when he says, ‘so that, with strict justice, it may be said of Sebastian Cabot, that he was the author of our maritime strength, and opened the way to those improvements, which have rendered us so great, so eminent, so flourishing a people.’

In 1553, Edward VI., the patron and personal friend of Cabot, died, and his last years were made gloomy by the neglect of Mary, who held the English throne as a sort of trustee for Spain. His death took place about the year 1557, and his friend Richard Eden has recorded some particulars of his dying moments, which show the ruling passion keeping its throne to the last. He laments, that ‘even in the article of death, he had not shaken off all worldlie vaine glorie ;’ for he talked lightly about a divine revelation to him, of a new and infallible method of finding the longitude, which he was not permitted ‘to disclose to any mortal.’ The spirit of the dying man was hovering, like a sea-bird, over that ocean, which had been the scene of his dangers, and the field from which he had reaped his harvest of glory ; and the stirring music of its billows fell with vivid distinctness upon that inner ear, whose perception grew more acute, as the outward organ ceased by degrees to exercise its functions. Neither the place where he died, nor the spot in which he was buried, is known.

Sebastian Cabot seems to have belonged to a rare class of men, whose characters combine many seemingly inconsistent qualities, which are found united only in those commanding spirits, who stamp their own image deeply and broadly upon their age, and exert a lasting influence upon the destinies of mankind. He had the originating genius which shaped the largest plans of adventure, and the practical sagacity, which, in their execution, foresaw every possible danger, and omitted not the least precaution. He had the courage to undertake, and the perseverance to carry through, the most difficult enterprises. He had that enthusiasm of temperament, before which obstacles melted like wreaths of mist before the sun ; but his judgment was never tainted by the ardor of his blood. It was cool, discriminating, and deliberate. He saw things afar off, as if they were near, but he made no miscalculation of the intermediate distance. Perhaps the most prominent trait in his character was that lion-like decision, which adopts its course

instantly, and speeds right onward to it like an arrow, as swiftly and as directly. But with an abundant share of the qualities which ensure respect from the evidence of power which they give, he was not without those which win affection, from the sympathy with humanity which they evince. He seems to have been of the most gentle, courteous, and confiding nature. His friends uniformly speak of him in terms of strong personal attachment, and the kind-hearted Richard Eden delights to call him the 'good old man.' Till the death of Edward VI., one can hardly conceive of a more happy old age than his. He was honored at home and abroad, and awakened that romantic interest, which his perils and enterprises must have called forth in those, whose own lives had been of an unbroken tenor. All the young and adventurous spirits sat at his feet, and he was as ready to communicate to others the treasures of his experience and wisdom, as they were to receive them. He was beloved and esteemed by his sovereign, and the object of enthusiastic attachment to his friends. 'The young men saw him, and hid themselves; and the aged arose and stood up; when the ear heard him it blessed him, and when the eye saw him it gave witness to him.'

Cabot's services to England, even taking the accounts of those who have been least favorable to him, were of the greatest value, and they ought to hold him in grateful and honoring remembrance. He is as much more deserving of a statue in Westminster Abbey or St. Paul's, than any of her naval heroes, as the extension of empire, by the right of discovery, and the opening of new markets by honorable enterprise, are more to be desired than provinces wrested from rival nations by the iron hand of war, and commercial privileges enforced at the point of the bayonet.

The volume contains, in addition to the life of Cabot, a review of the history of the efforts made at subsequent periods to follow up his discoveries, down to the time of Hudson, and in which it is shown that an attempt has been made to diminish his fame, by exaggerating the merits of subsequent navigators. In point of learning and ingenuity, it is fully equal to the memoir of Cabot, and completes a vindication of a great man, triumphantly successful with regard to him, and highly honorable to its author.

ART. V.—*Indian Biography.*

*Collections of the Maine Historical Society.* Vol. I. Portland. 1831.

We notice this book with no little pleasure, as a new illustration of the great benefits to be reasonably expected from the formation of societies, having for their object the collection and preservation of the materials of history. Without doubt much has already been lost, in this country as in all others, which such associations might have rescued in due time; but much, also, has been searched out, simplified, systematized and put on record, for the good and the gratification of other ages. It speaks well for the future, as well as the present works of the Maine Society, that its list of members comprises the names of a great number of the ablest and most distinguished citizens of the State, not a few of whom have heretofore done much for the interests of science. The history of Portland, occupying half this volume, has been drawn up by Mr. Willis of that place, with remarkable accuracy and clearness. The contributions which come from the manuscripts of the late Governor Lincoln, and which are chiefly upon various subjects of Indian literature, will be read, perhaps we should say studied, with peculiar interest. A mass of additional information, we are happy to perceive, is to be expected from the same source, upon the same subjects. The native tribes of Maine,—with the exception of the far-famed Tarratines, and the Narantsouacks or Norridgewocks, while connected with Father Rallé,—have as yet made little figure in history; but we have long been satisfied, from personal investigation, as well as general and analogous reasoning, that many interesting facts respecting them may be hereafter disclosed. The movements of the Maine Society, in this department, will be observed with more than ordinary solicitude.

Under the title placed at the head of this article, we have heretofore \* enlarged upon the lives and characters of some of the most distinguished individuals, who have appeared among the North American natives. The present seems to us a favorable opportunity, for pursuing farther inquiries of the same nature. While the materials necessary to that end are quite as easy of access, and quite as satisfactory, in point of quantity

\* See No. 73, N. A. Review.

and quality, as they are likely to be at any future period, a stronger interest than usual is felt in every thing which relates to the Indians. And there is reason for such an interest. A great crisis has arrived in the relations existing between the tribes of that remarkable race, and the civilized and Christian population, which presses upon their borders. The passions of the public mind are excited for and against them. Avarice and ambition look with an eager eye upon the fertile territories, where their last remnants yet linger about the haunts of their childhood and the graves of their fathers. Then philanthropy interferes; new and old plans of civilization and amalgamation are brought forward. Then pride is roused, and prejudice exasperated. But, meanwhile, the rights of the people, who occasion all this excitement, and the respect consequently due to them, must come to be discussed. In this discussion, their original constitution, moral and intellectual, and especially their collective and individual competency for civilized life, are involved; and here it is, that history and biography should be made to render their services. It is not speculation or theory that is needed,—but facts, plain facts.

It has often occurred to us, that the latter of the two departments of Indian literature just named, has been singularly overlooked. Journals, and travels, and narratives, and publications of almost every other name and nature, have been filled, year after year,—from the period of Father Hennepin's first journey among the Illinois, down to the last doleful, catch-penny sketch of the last captive among the 'barbarous and bloody heathen,'—with delineations of the Indian manners, customs and institutions. The ablest philologists of Europe and America have made their languages the study of years. Others have devoted themselves to investigations respecting their origin, their antiquities, their history; and in all these departments has philosophy been amply remunerated for its labors. Why is it, then, that Indian biography has been forgotten? Why have no lives been given, or attempted to be given, of the great men and the wise men of this singular race,—their orators and conquerors, patriots and prophets? Why is so little known, when so much might be known, of Pontiac and Uncas, of Piskaret, of Garangula and Logan? Had such men lived in civilized society, their achievements and their eloquence would have occupied volumes of eulogy. Why, under circumstances which only make them more remarkable and more admirable, should their

memories be given over utterly to oblivion? Alas! their armor was the tomahawk, and not the lance. They wore the blanket for the *toga*. They painted with vermillion instead of *rouge*.

The name of Tecumseh\* is comparatively familiar to modern readers, for the same obvious reason, that *King Philip* was like a household word, a century and a half ago. But his character, we conceive, has been often misunderstood and misrepresented. The means are within our reach, of forming true and fair opinions; but the relations existing between the two races, and between individuals belonging to them, especially during his life-time, have had a tendency to create prepossessions, and to conceal facts. Such was the fortune of Philip himself; and though the change which has taken place since his time in the situation of the country, made Tecumseh a much less terrible, and therefore less obnoxious enemy, than the Sachem of Pokanoket,—this very circumstance, on the other hand, has probably had its effect in disparaging the reputation of the former. Philip lived at a period, and among a people, which gave him some prospect of *success*. But Tecumseh's exertions were hopeless. He was feared too little to be duly appreciated, as the other was feared too much. He was contemned, comparatively, as the other was hated.

As Tecumseh was by birth a member of the Kiskópoke tribe of the Shawanee† nation, a brief account of this somewhat celebrated community will not be considered irrelevant in this connexion. Mr. Heckewelder, who knew the Shawanees personally, as well as by reputation, for many years, calls them a courageous, high-spirited, and manly people; ‘and more careful in providing a supply of ammunition to keep in reserve for an emergency, than any other nation he ever heard of.’ These remarks are decidedly confirmed by their history. As their

\* The Indian pronunciation of this word is Tecumthé. It has been sometimes written Tecumtha, and sometimes Tecumsah; but the orthography adopted in the text is the most common.

† This ill-fated word has been subject to even more than the ordinary liberties, exercised upon Indian terms. The vernacular plural, we suppose, is Shawanock or Shawaneük; but almost every foreign writer has invented his own combination. Heckewelder has it Shawanos, and Sawanos; the latter being the name generally given them by other tribes. Others use Shawanoes, Shawannoës, Shawanese; and still more, Shawonoese. All these are derived from the Delaware word Shawaneu, meaning *South*, and referring to the origin of the nation, as described above.

name indicates, they came originally from the south ; and the oldest individuals of the Mohican tribe, their *elder brother*, told Mr. Heckewelder, that they dwelt in the neighborhood of Savannah in Georgia, and in the Floridas. ‘They were a restless people,’ we are further informed, ‘delighting in wars ;’ and in these they were so constantly engaged, that their neighbors,—the Cherokees, Choctaws, Creeks, Yamassees, and other powerful tribes,—finally formed a league, offensive and defensive, for the express purpose of expelling them from the country. But the Shawanees were too wise to contend with such an enemy, and they adopted the more prudent policy of asking permission to leave their territories peaceably, and migrate northward. This favor being granted them, their main body settled upon the Ohio ; some of them as far up as where the French afterwards built Fort Duquesne,—now Pittsburg,—others, about the forks of the Delaware, and a few even upon the site of what is now Philadelphia. It is worth observing, how soon their belligerent disposition manifested itself among their new acquaintances. Those who remained on the Ohio becoming numerous and powerful, it was not long before they crossed the Alleghany mountains, and fell upon a settlement of the Delawares, on the Juniata,—of which very people, their *grandfather*,\* they had solicited peace and protection, through the interposition of the Mohicans, on their first arrival in the country. Murders were committed, plunder was carried off, and a war ensued. As soon as this was fairly off their hands, they engaged in the French war, which broke out in 1755, against the English. That being terminated in 1763, and the tribe being elated by its increased numbers, and by the strong confederacy now established between themselves and the Delawares, they commenced hostilities against the Cherokees. In the course of this war, the latter occasionally pursued the aggressors into the Delaware territories, and thus that nation was aroused again. The union of forces which ensued, added to the already existing hostility of the Five Nations, proved too much for the Cherokees, and in 1768, they solicited and ob-

---

\* A title given to this ancient people, by about forty other tribes. The *Mohicans* were called the *Elder Brother*, because their separation from the parent stock was one of the most ancient, of which the tradition was distinctly preserved. Following the same principle, the Delawares themselves have uniformly given the title of *Uncle* to the Wyandots ; thus acknowledging their seniority over all other tribes.

tained a peace. Owing chiefly to the influence of the Delawares, the Shawanees were now kept quiet for the unusually long term of six years, when they were involved in a war with the people of Virginia,—then comprising Kentucky,—occasioned by the noted murders committed upon Logan's relations and others, by white people. The burning of some of their villages had scarcely driven them to a sort of truce with this new enemy, when the war of the Revolution commenced, in which they allied themselves with the English, and continued openly hostile, notwithstanding the peace of 1783, until the famous victory and treaty of General Wayne, in 1795.

It seems to be universally understood, that their reputation, as warriors, suffered nothing during all this long series of hostile operations. The first settlers of Kentucky were molested and harassed by them, more than by any other tribe. Boone, who was taken captive by them, in 1778, saw four hundred and fifty of their warriors mustered at one place,—still called Chillicothe,—ready for a foray among the white settlements, which soon after ensued. Marshall, in his History of Kentucky, gives the particulars of an expedition against them, the season after this, in which ‘many of the best men in the country were privates;’ the invaders were defeated and driven off, and nearly two hundred of them pursued, with considerable loss, by about thirty of the Shawanees. ‘Of all the Indians who had been marauding in the country,’ the same writer observes elsewhere, ‘the Shawanees had been the most mischievous, as they were the most active.’ Loskiel, who wrote the History of the Mission of United Brethren among the North American natives, represents the tribe in question as ‘the most savage of the Indian nations.’

An incident, showing the disposition which they manifested, even at this period, (1773) towards their American neighbors, may throw some light upon their character, and upon subsequent events. The celebrated missionary Zeisberger visited some of their settlements, during the year last named, in the hope of establishing a mission among them. At one of their villages, he met with the head chief of the tribe. The latter gave him his hand and addressed him; ‘This day,’ said he, ‘the Great Spirit has ordered that we should see and speak with each other, face to face.’ He then entered into a long detail of the practices of the white people, describing their manner of deceiving the Indians, and finally affirmed that they

were all alike,—all hypocrites and knaves. The missionary made some reply to these charges, but the chief was ‘so exceedingly exasperated against the white people,’ adds Loskiel, that brother Zeisberger’s exhortation seemed to have little weight with him. He at length gave the preacher permission to visit the other Shawanese towns, taking care to suggest, as a parting word of comfort, that he must rely upon having his brains beat out very speedily. Thirty years previous to this, when Count Zinzendorff himself went among the Wyoming Shawanees to convert them, they rewarded that pious pilgrim for his labor of love, by conspiring to murder him; but by a fortunate accident, he escaped safe from their hands. On the whole, setting aside for the present the history of this nation for the last thirty years, during which we have suffered most from them, it would seem that a more warlike or more hostile people has scarcely existed upon the continent. Where, rather than here, should we look for the birth and education of the modern Philip, and when, rather than at the stormy period of the Revolution? Probably, at the very time when the troops of our Congress (in 1780,) were expelling them westward from the river Scioto, and burning their villages behind them, the young hero, who afterwards kindled the flame of war upon the entire frontier of the States, by the breath of his own single spirit, was learning his first lessons of vengeance amid the ruins of his native land, and in the blood of his countrymen.

His native land, we say, for it is tolerably well ascertained that he was born on the banks of the Scioto, near Chillicothe. His father, who was a noted Shawnee warrior, fell at the battle of Kenawa, while Tecumseh was yet a mere boy. His mother is said by some to have been a Shawnee, and by others a Creek; but he is understood himself to have told a gentleman at Vincennes, in 1810, that she was a Cherokee, who had been taken prisoner in a war between that nation and the Shawanees, and adopted, according to Indian custom, into a family of the latter nation, which resided near the Miami of the Lake.\* This account is confirmed by the circumstance of this woman having migrated into the Cherokee territory in advanced age, and died there. The *totem* of her tribe is said to have been a turtle, and that of the father’s a tiger.

---

\* Note to Schoolcraft’s Travels, page 138. Mr. S. has given some interesting information respecting Tecumseh, from authorities of which he was personally assured.

From all the information which can now be gathered respecting the early years of Tecumseh, it appears that he gave striking evidence in his boyhood of the singular spirit, which characterized him through life. He was distinguished for a steady adherence to principle, and generally to that of the best kind. He prided himself upon his temperance and his truth, maintaining an uncommon reputation for integrity, and, what is still rarer among his countrymen, never indulging in the excessive use of food or liquor. He would not marry until long after the customary period ; and then, as a matter of necessity, in consequence of the solicitations of friends, he seems to have connected himself with an old woman, who was perhaps not the handsomest or most agreeable lady in the world, but who nevertheless bore him one child, his only offspring. With this exception, he adopted in his matrimonial life certain *practices* of the sect of Shakers, whose *principles*, as is well known, were afterwards so strenuously promulgated by his brother, the Prophet, that a certain prime functionary in that denomination gave him the credit of being as good a disciple as himself.\* Whether there was an express concert or actual co-operation between the two, at this early period, respecting this or any other project or policy in which they subsequently engaged together, does not appear to be positively ascertained.

It is not to be supposed, that any remarkable achievements of the young warrior in his first battles, should be preserved on record. The Shawanees relate, that he made his *debut* in an engagement with the Kentucky troops, which took place on the banks of Mad river. In the heat of the skirmish, he most ungallantly turned right-about-face, and made the best of his way from the field, with all possible diligence, and that too while one of his brothers stood his ground with the other Indians, and fought till he was wounded and carried off. It must be admitted, that this was not so creditable a proceeding as may be conceived ; but the extreme youth of the party goes some way to explain, as his subsequent conduct did to excuse it. But from this time, whatever might be his animal courage, he was never known to shrink. Indeed, previously to the treaty of Greenville, (in 1795) when he was probably about twenty-five years of age, he is said to have signalized himself so much, as to have been reputed one of the boldest of the Indian warriors. No

---

\* See an authority cited at large in the following pages.

individual was more regularly engaged in those terrible incursions, by which the first settlers of Kentucky were so much harassed ; and few could boast of having intercepted so many boats on the Ohio river, or plundered so many houses on the civilized shore. He was sometimes pursued, but never overtaken. If the enemy advanced into his own country, he retreated to the banks of the Wabash, until the storm had passed by ; and then, just as they were laying aside the sword for the axe and ploughshare, swooped down upon them again in their own settlements. It goes to show the disinterested generosity always ascribed to him, that, although the booty collected in the course of these adventures must have been very considerable in quantity and value, he rarely retained any portion of it for his own use. His ruling passion was the love of glory, as that of his followers was the love of gain ; and of course a compromise could always be effected between them, to the perfect satisfaction of both parties. He was a feudal baron among boors. It remained for subsequent occasions, then little dreamed of, to show that his temperament, like his talent, was even better adapted to the management of a large engagement, than to the *mélée* of a small one.

We have now arrived at an epoch in his life, when it is no longer possible to give his own history to much advantage, but by connecting with it that of his celebrated brother, the prophet already mentioned. The name of this personage was Elskwátawa.\* He and Tecumseh, and still another, Kumsháka, were the offspring of the same mother at the same birth. Probably there was an understanding between the three, at an early date, respecting the great plans which the prophet and the orator afterwards carried into execution ; but as we hear little or nothing of the subsequent co-operation of Kumsháka, it may be presumed that he did not live,—employment would certainly have been found for him, if he had. It has been said, that it was about the year 1806, when his two brothers first conceived their design of uniting all the Western Indians in a war against the Americans. But it appears to us probable, that the main project was older than this, although the *minutiae* of it never were or could be agreed upon at any one time.

---

\* Meaning, says Mr. Schoolcraft, *a fire that is moved from place to place*. Elsewhere we find him called Olliwayshila, on good authority. A compromise may be effected, by suggesting that he assumed various names at various periods.

Whether it was Tecumseh's alone, or the Prophet's alone, in the first instance,—or the result of the joint deliberations of the two, cannot now be determined. The better opinion, perhaps, favors the first theory; with the qualification, however, that the Prophet was for many years the only and intimate confidant, and probably on many occasions the counsellor of his brother. He contented himself, at all events, with being a subordinate actor in the play, from first to last, though he was by no means an insignificant one.

It has been very generally understood, that either this man's brain was affected by some accident, or that he had the good fortune to be naturally possessed of a certain species of mind, or rather want of mind, which most of the Indians hold in peculiar esteem. This may have been true, but we think the probabilities of the case are in favor of a different supposition, —to wit,—that his frenzy was feigned; and that his brother instigated and instructed him to make an important use of it, in the promotion of the grand scheme, which was secretly exercising the ingenuity and fostering the ambition of both. It goes against the former theory, that those who are best acquainted with Elskwátawa, and especially such as knew him personally, were the least suspicious of any deficiency in his intellect. Take the evidence of General Harrison, for example, who had repeated opportunities of closely scrutinizing his conduct and conversation. The author of the Life of that gentleman, published at Cincinnati in 1828, in speaking of a visit of a fortnight from the Prophet, in August, 1808, observes, that 'the Governor discovered him to be possessed of considerable talents.' Again, 'his astonishment was excited, by the address and art with which he managed the Indians.' It could by no possible means be gathered from his language, whether he was or was not under British influence. That point, indeed, never was ascertained satisfactorily by any American; and so far was General Harrison in particular from gaining it, that his biographer frankly admits him to have been 'completely deceived' by 'this fellow's' profound subtilty, notwithstanding both the special prejudice he had previously formed against him, and the general knowledge he possessed of Indian cunning and duplicity.

All this, we say, with the entire mass of evidence of the same kind, which might be produced, goes strongly against

the theory of the Prophet's having been a man of inferior intellect. On the other hand, there are many circumstances to corroborate a different impression, one of the strongest of which is the very part which he acted as Prophet. So far as we can judge, that was precisely the course, of all others, calculated to advance most surely and most speedily, the common scheme of the two brothers for a belligerent union of the tribes. No principle in the Indian character has been more thoroughly or more universally ascertained, than their excessive superstition. Hence the great influence, which has always and every where been exercised over them by their most talented, though sometimes unprincipled men, under the various titles of powahs, jugglers, sorcerers, physicians, wizards, and prophets, by every conceivable method of imposture. 'The American impostors are not behindhand with any, in this point ; and as by chance, (if we will not allow the devil any share in it,) they sometimes happen to divine or guess pretty right, they acquire by this a great reputation ; they are reckoned *genii* of the first order.' Thus it was in Charlevoix's time. Heckewelder dwells more at length upon this weakness in the American native. 'It sinks him down,' is his language, 'this childish apprehension of an occult and unknown power, to the level of the most fearful and timid being.' Among other illustrations of this point, he gives the confession of a sorcerer to an intimate English acquaintance, respecting the secret of his management. Such was the credulity of this man's countrymen, according to his own testimony, that if he only picked a little wool from his blanket, and rolled it between his fingers into a small round ball, that was sufficient to establish his reputation. A general apprehension was excited among his spectators ; and if at that moment, he happened to cast so much as a side-glance at any particular man, the victim was at once selected, convicted, and executed. In ninety-nine cases out of one hundred, he would sooner or later perish under the terror inflicted thus by his own credulity.

So much for the general feasibility of the Prophet's plan. The *minutiae* of it furnish still better evidence of his shrewdness. It will be sufficient for our present purpose, to remark upon these so far, as to show them to have been such as were best adapted to effect the grand political objects, which we suppose to have been masked under a show of religion. He inculcated, in the first place, that a radical reform was necessary in

the manners of the red people. This was proved, by enlarging upon the evils which had ensued from the neighbourhood of the whites,—the imitation of their dress and manners, the introduction of ardent spirits, diseases, contentions, and wars; by the vast diminution of the means of subsistence, and the narrowed limits of territory to which they were now hemmed in, and by other considerations of the most irritating, as well as plausible kind, the force of which was not at all lessened by occasional comment on particular transactions, and glowing references to the long, peaceful and happy lives of their fore-fathers. This point being gained, and a favorable excitement produced, the next thing in order was his own commission from the Great Spirit. This was authenticated by the astonishing miracles he was able to perform, and still more, by the great benefits he was able to confer on his followers. The budget of reform was then brought forward. There was to be no more fighting between the tribes,—they were brethren. They were to abandon the use of ardent spirits, and to wear skins, as their ancestors had done, instead of blankets. Stealing, quarrelling, and other immoral modern habits were denounced. Injunctions of minor importance seem to have been enforced, merely with a view to test the pliability of savage superstition, to embarrass the jealous scrutiny of those who opposed or doubted, and to establish a superficial uniformity, whereby the true believers should be readily distinguished. The policy of the more prominent tenets cannot be mistaken. Just in proportion to their observance, they must inevitably promote the independence of the Indian nations, first, by diminishing their dependence upon the whites, and secondly, by increasing their intercourse and harmony with each other.

In addressing himself to such subjects, with such a system, Elskwátawa could hardly fail of success. For some years, indeed, his converts were few; for great as the influence is, which a man of his pretensions exercises over his ignorant countrymen, when his reputation is once fairly acquired, it is by no means so easy an undertaking, to acquire it in the outset. The extent and permanence of his success, in fact, are more conclusive as to his talent, than the mere conception and adoption of the policy. This was comparatively commonplace, and a common-place personage might undertake it. Mr. Tanner, who published, a year or two since, a very interesting narrative of his residence of thirty years among the In-

dians, has given incidental sketches of as many as three or four pretenders. Some of them were laughed at for their pains. Others obtained a temporary credence; but we hear nothing of them beyond a year or two. Elskwátawa was at this very period extending his reputation from tribe to tribe, over the whole West. Difficulties and discouragements were encountered by him; but he nevertheless persevered and prevailed. His first establishment consisted of about one hundred warriors of his own tribe, whom he had very artfully convinced, or at least conciliated, by preaching up the superiority of the Shawanees over every other people under Heaven. This doctrine, however, was not calculated for general use; and the prophet had scarcely collected his partisans around him at Greenville, when his efforts to add to their number from other tribes,—and upon other grounds, of course,—compelled him to modify his theory so much, that about half of his own countrymen deserted him. But their place was soon supplied by stragglers, who came in from various quarters. In June, 1807, the United States' agent at Fort Wayne wrote to General Harrison, then governor of Indiana Territory, that not fewer than fifteen hundred Indians had passed that station, on their way to hear the preaching of the Shawanese Prophet. In the course of this season, the effects of his exhortations became so palpable, as to excite some apprehension among the white settlements on the frontiers. Suspicious movements were visible among the Kickapoos, and among portions of the Potawatamies, Chippewas and Ottawas. In 1809, the Prophet removed from Greenville to Tippecanoe, on the upper part of the Wabash, and his disciples followed in his train. During the next year, rumors of war became prevalent, and though the preacher had a little before this been nearly deserted, he was now reported to have more than one thousand individuals under his entire control. The Wyandots, and many of the Winebagoes had joined him; and the warlike Sacs and Foxes followed soon afterwards. Meanwhile, murders and other outrages are said to have taken place in the vicinity of the Prophet's settlement. A general alarm existed among the whites, throughout Indiana and Illinois. Measures had already been taken, under the immediate charge of Governor Harrison, for the defence of the frontiers; and of Vincennes in particular, where the first onset of the enemy was expected. The attention of the General Government itself was by this time so much aroused, that a proposal

from the President to make prisoners of both Tecumseh and his brother was suspended, only that a last effort might be more advantageously made, for a compromise with the disaffected tribes. Early in 1811, the Indian force mustered at Tippecanoe was larger, than Governor Harrison himself could easily collect ; and the body-guard of Tecumseh, on the visit which he paid the former at Vincennes, in July of this season, consisted of more than three hundred men.

This meeting took place ostensibly in consequence of a speech, which the Governor had sent to the brothers at their encampment on the Wabash, in June. He had taken that occasion to repeat his former complaints of the insults and injuries he supposed to have been offered to American citizens, by Indians under their influence ; to inform them that he had heard of their recent attempts to hasten hostilities between the Union and various Indian tribes ; and, finally, to remind them in strong terms, of the consequences of persisting in this conduct. ‘Brothers !’—was one of the expressions in this speech,—‘I am, myself, of the Long-knife fire. As soon as they hear my voice, you will see them pouring forth their swarms of hunting-shirt men, as numerous as the mosquitoes on the shores of the Wabash. Brothers ! take care of their stings.’ Tecumseh promptly replied to this communication, by promising to visit the Governor in precisely eighteen days, for the purpose of ‘washing away all these bad stories.’ Some delay occurred ; but upon Saturday, the 27th of July, he made his appearance at Vincennes, with his three hundred followers. As neither the Governor nor the inhabitants generally were desirous of prolonging his entertainment, it was proposed to commence the negotiations on Monday ; but this he declined doing, and it was late on Tuesday before he made his appearance, at the arbor prepared for the occasion. Nor did he then come, without taking the precaution to ascertain previously, whether the Governor was to be attended by armed men at the council,—if so, he should adopt the same etiquette. Being left to his own option, and given to understand that his example would be imitated, he came with a guard of nearly two hundred men, some armed with bows and arrows, and others with knives, tomahawks, and war-clubs. The Governor, on the other hand, was attended by a full troop of dragoons, dismounted, and completely furnished with fire-arms ; and he had taken care, on Tecumseh’s first arrival, to secure the town, by sta-

tioning two foot companies and a detachment of cavalry in the outskirts. He placed himself in front of his dragoons ; Tecumseh stood at the head of his tawny band, and the conference was commenced with a speech on the part of the Governor. This was briefly replied to ; but a heavy rain coming on, matters remained in *statu quo*, until the next day, when Tecumseh made a long and ingenious harangue, both exposing and justifying his own schemes, much more openly than he had ever done before. Respecting the demand which the Governor had made, that two Potawatamie murderers should be given up to punishment, who were stated to be resident at Tippecanoe, he, in the first place, denied that they were there ; and then went on very deliberately to show, that he could not deliver them up if they were there. ‘It was not right,’ he said, to ‘punish those people. They ought to be forgiven, *as well as those who had recently murdered his people in the Illinois.* The whites should follow his own example of forgiveness ; he had forgiven the Ottawas and the Osages. Finally, he desired that matters might remain in their present situation, and especially that no settlements should be attempted upon the lands recently purchased of certain tribes, until he should return from a visit among the Southern Indians. *Then*, he would go to Washington, and settle all difficulties with the President ; and meanwhile, as the neighboring tribes were wholly under his direction, he would despatch messengers in every quarter to prevent further mischief.’ He concluded with offering the Governor a quantity of wampum, as a full atonement for the murders before mentioned. The latter made an indignant rejoinder ; the meeting was broken up, and Tecumseh, attended by a few followers, soon afterwards commenced his journey down the Wabash for the southward.

Such was the last appearance of Tecumseh, previously to the war. The popular excitement now became greater than ever. Meetings were held, and representations and resolutions forwarded to the Federal Executive. But before these documents could reach their destination, authority had been given to Governor Harrison, to commence offensive operations, *if necessary*, and forces, in addition to those under his territorial jurisdiction, were placed at his disposal. ‘The banditti under the Prophet,’ says the secretary,—Mr. Eustis,—in a communication of July 20th, ‘are to be attacked and vanquished, provided such a measure shall be rendered absolutely neces-

sary.' It is not our purpose to detail the subsequent measures of Governor Harrison, which terminated in the celebrated battle of Tippecanoe; and much less, to agitate the question heretofore so inveterately contested, respecting the general propriety of the offensive operations he commenced, or his particular system or success in conducting them. The battle took place on the seventh of November, 1811; the Governor having previously sent Indian messengers to demand of the various tribes in the Prophet's encampment, that they should all return to their respective territories; that the stolen horses in their and his possession, should be given up; and that all murderers, then sheltered at Tippecanoe, should be delivered over to justice. The first messengers, about the last of September, had the effect of bringing out a friendly deputation from the Prophet, full of professions of peace. But fresh outrages were committed by his followers about the same time; and, when sundry headmen of the Delaware tribe undertook, in October, to go upon a second mission, they are said to have been abruptly met by a counter deputation from the Prophet, requiring a categorical answer to the question, 'whether they would or would not join him against the United States?' The Delawares, nevertheless, went on, and having visited the Prophet's camp, returned to Governor Harrison, now on his march, with the report of their having been ill-treated, insulted, and finally dismissed with contemptuous remarks upon themselves and the Governor. Twenty-four Miamies next volunteered to go upon this thankless business. They seem to have been better entertained, for the good reason, that they decided upon raising the tomahawk against their employer. At all events, these serviceable diplomats spared themselves the pains of returning.

The particulars of the battle are well known. The Governor having entered into the heart of the territory occupied by the Prophet,—but claimed by the United States, as being purchased of those tribes who had the least disputed claim to it,—he encamped on the night of the 6th, in the vicinity of the Prophet's force; and a suspension of hostilities was agreed upon between the two parties, until a conference could take place on the ensuing day. Whether, as the Prophet affirmed on this occasion by his messengers, he had sent a pacific proposal to the Governor, which accidentally failed to reach him; or whether he was now actually 'desirous of avoiding hostilities if possible,' but felt himself compelled to commence them, need not be

discussed. His forces, supposed to number from five hundred to eight hundred warriors, made a violent attack on the American army, early in the morning of the 7th ; and one of the most desperate struggles ensued, of which we have any record in the history of Indian warfare. The enemy was at length repulsed, leaving thirty-eight warriors dead on the field. The Americans lost about fifty killed, and about twice that number wounded. The Prophet's town was rifled, and the army commenced its return to Vincennes.

The sequel of the mere history of the two brothers, familiar as this portion of it is to all readers, may be soon told. Tecumseh, who was absent,—at the South, as is generally believed,—when the battle took place, returned soon afterwards, and without doubt was exceedingly surprised and mortified by the conduct of the Prophet. From this time, while the latter lost much of his influence, the former took a more independent and open part. Whether he had previously maintained a special understanding with the British, cannot be positively decided ; but his subsequent course admits of little controversy. He proposed to Governor Harrison, to make the contemplated journey to Washington ; but, as the Governor expressed a determination that he should not go in the capacity which he deemed suitable to his standing, the idea was abandoned. Thenceforth, whatever his intentions *had* been, he determined upon the necessity of fighting ; and it naturally followed, whatever had been his disposition towards the British authorities,—theirs towards him admits of no question,—that he should no longer hesitate to avail himself of every fair opportunity of co-operation. In July, 1812, Captain Wells wrote to Governor Harrison, from Fort Wayne, that Tecumseh had called there recently, ‘on his way to Malden, to receive from the British Government twelve horse-loads of ammunition, for the use of his people at Tippecanoe.’ Immediately after this, he openly joined his new allies, became *Brigadier-General Tecumseh*, and unquestionably rendered the most essential services, especially in raising and retaining the Indian forces. During the first months of the war, his whole time was devoted to recruiting. He was present, however, at the siege of Fort Meigs ; and upon the famous 5th of May, 1812, commanded the co-operating savage force, on the south-east side of the river. At the second assault on Fort Meigs, in July, he was also present. Again, while the siege of Sandusky was going

on, we find him at the head of two thousand warriors, reconnoitring the position of General Harrison. In the decisive battle of the Moravian Towns, he commanded the right wing of the allied army, and was himself posted in the only part of it, which was engaged with the American troops. Here was his last struggle. Dismaying to fly, when all were flying around him but his own nearest followers, he himself pressed eagerly into the very heart of the contest, encouraging the savages by his voice, and plying the tomahawk with tremendous energy. He appeared to be advancing, it is said, directly upon Colonel Johnson, who was pressing forward, on the other side, at the head of his mounted infantry. Suddenly, a wavering was perceived in the Indian ranks. There was no longer a voice of command among them. Tecumseh had fallen, and his bravest and best men, still remaining, were disheartened and defeated by the same blow which prostrated him. That they did their share of fighting in this engagement fully appears from the fact, that thirty-three of them were found dead on the battle-ground,—chiefly near Tecumseh,—and that many were slain in the pursuit, while the number of British killed was but twelve. It is much disputed, to whom belongs the honor of shooting Tecumseh; upon which,—as every body admits that he was shot,—we shall spend but few words. In the language of a writer upon this question, ‘there is a possibility, that he fell by a pistol-shot from the hand of Colonel Johnson. He was certainly killed in that part of the line, where the Colonel was himself wounded;’ and this is nearly all, we suppose, which can or need be said upon the subject. The British Government granted a pension to his widow and family, which probably continues to this day. The Prophet also was supplied in the same manner, from the close of the war until his death, which took place a few years since.

The reputation of this man, as we have heretofore intimated of his brother, has suffered from the complete ultimate failure of his plans. It has suffered the more,—particularly among his own countrymen,—from the very circumstances which mark him as an extraordinary man,—his career as a prophet. Tecumseh knew his own talents better, than to play a game like this: but he also knew, without doubt, that Elskwá-tawa was capable of doing more for the advancement of their common object, by acting this co-ordinate or subordinate part, than by adopting the same course with himself, even had he

possessed the same species of ability. Together, they were endowed with a complete system of qualities necessary to accomplish their design, but neither could act alone. Tecumseh was frank, warlike, persuasive in his oratory, popular in his manners, irreproachable in his habits of life. Elskwátawa had more cunning than courage ; and a stronger disposition to talk, than to fight, or exert himself in any other way. But he was subtle, fluent, persevering and self-possessed, and this was enough. He suddenly became an inspired man, and Tecumseh was his first convert. Possibly some others of their tribe were intrusted with the secret. They had, at all events, a great respect for these men, and being both a proud and warlike people, they received with avidity the well-contrived doctrine of their superiority over other tribes, and entered upon a course of projects, likely to produce war,—though of war nothing might be yet seen or said,—with the fury of blood-hounds upon a track. Hence the murders and robberies which so much alarmed and irritated the frontier settlers, and which we have very little doubt were generally committed by individuals of the Prophet's 'banditti,' without his authority, and perhaps against his wishes. The young men, especially, who gathered about him, like the young men who brought on the war of King Philip, were wrought up till the master-spirit himself lost his control over them ; and to make the matter worse, most of them were of such a character, in the first instance, that horse-stealing and house-breaking were as easy to them as breathing. Like the refugees of Romulus, they were outcasts, vagabonds and criminals, in a great degree brought together by the novelty of the preacher's reputation, by curiosity to hear his doctrines, by the fascination of extreme credulity, by restlessness, by resentment against the whites, and by poverty and unpopularity at home.

These things should be taken into consideration, when the success of the Prophet is estimated. His ingenuity was tasked to the utmost, in getting and keeping these people together in the first place. Then it was necessary to instruct them just so far, as to put them in the way of preparing themselves for what might happen, and to make them serviceable in collecting and convincing others, without committing the cause too unreservedly to noisy tongues, and to rash hands. Then, complaints were made by American authorities, and these must be pacified. Offers of assistance came in from other quarters, and

these must be kept secret. In a word, emergencies of every kind were occurring from day to day, which nothing, but the most ready invention and the shrewdest sagacity on the part of the two brothers, could have prevented from ruining the cause.

As an instance in point, we may mention the circumstance that the chiefs of many Indian tribes were their strongest opponents. They were jealous or suspicious of the new pretenders, ridiculed and reproached them, and thwarted their exertions in every possible way. What was to be done with these persons? Here was an opportunity for the peculiar genius of Elskwátawa to exert itself, and he was not long at a loss. He availed himself of a new department of that unfailing superstition, which had hitherto befriended him; and a charge of witchcraft was brought up. His satellites and scouts being engaged in all directions, in ascertaining who were, or were likely to be, his friends or his enemies, it was readily determined at head-quarters, who should be accused. Judge, jury and testimony were also provided with the same ease. He had already taken such means of gaining the implicit confidence of his votaries, that his own suggestions were considered the best possible evidence, and the most infallible decision; and the optics of his followers becoming every day more keen, upon his authority, there was no want of the most suitable convicts. When the excitement had grown to such a height as to ensure the success of his scheme, he went the length of declaring, that the Great Spirit had directly endowed him with the power of pointing out, not only those who were in full possession of the diabolical art, but those who were impregnated with the least tincture of the diabolical disposition,—let them be old or young, male or female. This convenient arrangement proving perfectly satisfactory, he had only to speak the word,—or, as Heckewelder expresses it, even to nod,—and the pile was prepared for whomsoever he thought proper to devote. The Indians universally have an extreme horror of a wizard or a witch, which no reputation, rank, age, or services, are sufficient to counteract; and of course, resistance or remonstrance on the part even of an accused chieftain, only went to exasperate, and to make more speedy, the sure destruction which awaited him.

Among the sufferers, were a great sachem of the Delawares, and three of his staunch friends. Another eminent victim was the Wyandot chief, known by the English name of *Leather-*

lips, whose Indian appellation, Shateyaronrah, appears among the signatures to Wayne's famous treaty of Greenville. He was sixty-three years of age, had sustained a most exemplary moral character, and was particularly attached to the American cause, as opposed to the English. The latter circumstance throws some light upon his fate. But whatever the accusation or the evidence was,—and probably the one constituted the other,—orders were given to an influential chief of the same nation with the convict, in the Prophet's service, who, with four other Indians, immediately started off in quest of him. He was found at home, and notified of the sentence which had been passed upon him. He entreated, reasoned and promised, but all in vain. The inexorable messengers of death set about digging his grave, by the side of his wigwam. He now dressed himself with his finest war-clothes, and having refreshed himself with a hasty meal of venison, knelt down on the brink of the grave. His executioner knelt with him, and offered up a prayer to the Great Spirit in his behalf. This was the last ceremony. The Indians withdrew a few paces, and seated themselves around him on the ground. ‘The old chief,’ says the original describer of this horrid scene,\* ‘inclined forward, resting his face upon his hand, his hand upon his knees; while thus seated, one of the young Indians came up, and struck him twice with the tomahawk. For some time, he lay senseless on the ground; the only remaining evidence of life being a faint respiration. The Indians all stood around in solemn silence. Finding him to breathe longer than they expected, they called upon the whites [one or two of whom were spectators], to take notice how hard he died; pronounced him a wizard,—no good,—then struck him again, and terminated his existence. The office of burial was soon performed.’ We have given these particulars, disagreeable as they are, to illustrate more clearly the astonishing influence of the Prophet, as well as the means by which he obtained it. The executioners in this case were apparently sincere and conscientious men; and one of the party was a brother of the victim.

The proceeding just described, bears on the face of it such manifest marks of the Prophet's style of doing business, as to have been attributed to his instigation, by general consent. That the charge does no great injustice to his real character, appears

\* A correspondent cited in the *History of the Indian Nations*.

from many other well-authenticated transactions of a similar kind. Undoubtedly he was not, in all cases, without the advice of his brother; but it appears probable, that the latter was in favor of milder measures; and accordingly we find that, about the time when most of the Kickapoos joined the Indian Confederation, one of their leading men, a chieftain, opposed to the new-fangled doctrine and policy of the brothers, was quietly disabled by being reduced to a private capacity. The same course was taken with the Winebagoes, one of the most warlike and high-spirited tribes on the continent, and the most serviceable allies of the English in the late war. An Indian scout, sent to the Prophet's encampment by an American authority in 1810, to gain information of his designs, reported, that an old chief of that nation had told him, 'with tears in his eyes,' that all the village chiefs had been *divested of their power*, and that every thing was managed by the warriors. This, we apprehend, may have been an amendment suggested by Tecumseh, upon an original scheme of the Prophet, of which the credit belongs wholly to himself; and of which the authority just referred to was informed by a friendly Indian of high standing, but a month or two before this. It was a proposal to the young men of many of the tribes, to murder *all* their principal chiefs, at one heat. The pretext was,—and it might have appeared a sufficient and righteous one in the mind of the Prophet,—that these were the men, who bartered their lands away to the Americans for a song, and traitorously connived at the inroads and trespasses of the settlers. But whatever was the professed object of the plan, and whatever the real motive, both the audacity and the ingenuity which it indicates, are none the less worthy of notice.

At other times, the banditti were reduced to an extreme scarcity of provisions, as might be expected from the numbers collected together, and the kind of life which they led. At first, they were given to understand that corn and pumpkins would be raised for them supernaturally; but the Prophet thought it might be easier to produce these essential articles by other means; and here was another reason, for maintaining a good understanding with his American neighbors. In the spring of 1808, such numbers of half-famished savages crowded about Fort Wayne, 'that it was considered necessary by the Governor, [Harrison,] to supply them with provisions, *lest hun-*

ger might drive them to extremities' \*—a matter well understood by the Prophet. Soon afterwards, the latter gave out, that he proposed visiting the Governor at Vincennes, with the view of begging provisions,—‘for the white people had always encouraged him to preach the word of God to the Indians.’ This purpose was carried into execution ; and it was upon this occasion, that the Governor was ‘completely deceived,’ as we have seen, by the Prophet’s appearance and language. So lately as 1811, a quantity of salt was sent up the Wabash for the Prophet’s use, together with another quantity, intended for the Kickapoos and other Indians. He seems to have balanced some time between necessity and policy, on this occasion ; but he finally adopted the middle course of seizing upon the whole cargo, and sending a very civil apology to the Governor in payment.

It might have been expected, that a man of his pretensions, with so many rivals and enemies, would be exposed to the hazard of assassination. But here again he was on his guard ; for it was always one of his strong positions, that the least violence offered to him or his followers, would be punished by the immediate interposition of the Great Spirit. The religious character, indeed, was sustained to the last. The Delaware messengers already mentioned found his forces at Tippecanoe in the highest state of excitement, owing to his magical rites, his harangues, and the war-dance which he performed with them day and night. Hence the unexampled bravery, manifested in the attack upon the American army. They rushed on the very bayonets of our troops ; and in some instances, pressing aside the soldier’s musket, they brained him with the war-club. The Prophet, meanwhile, is said to have been comfortably seated on an adjacent eminence, singing a war-song. He had assured his followers, that the American bullets would do them no harm ; and that while *they* should have light, their enemies should be involved in thick darkness.† Soon after the battle commenced, he was told that the Indians were falling. ‘Fight on ! fight on !’ cried he, never at a loss, ‘it will soon be as I predicted ;’ and he howled his war-song louder than ever. Undoubtedly he lost a good deal of his influence, by the event of the

\* Dawson’s Narrative, pp. 105—107.

† He was not so much out of the way in this prediction, as in some others. McAfee observes, that the *camp-fires*, so long as they remained burning, were ‘more serviceable to the Indians than our men.’

battle ; but much of it was finally restored, and the misfortune in this case was sagely attributed, by the multitude, to the important circumstance of his wife having touched some of his sacred utensils. Nothing but a series of triumphs on the part of the American forces, the death of his brother, and the loss of all his best friends of his own tribe, (for the Kishópokes were reduced to about twenty warriors during the war,) finally destroyed his character as a prophet. When this was effected, it was human nature to degrade him below the level of a man.

The death of his brother we have told ; and we come now to remark briefly upon a few prominent points, in the career and character of that distinguished chieftain. We have described Elskwáta as ingenious and insinuating, with more assurance than energy, and more ambition than principle. Tecumseh, on the other hand, while he equalled the Prophet in the quickness of his faculties, far surpassed him in the intrepidity and dignity so essential among any people, savage or civilized, to a stable and legitimate influence. Elskwáta managed well the business which was given him ; but he was content to do it in secret, and glad to do it in safety,—much as he overlooked from his mountain-seat the battle of Tippecanoe. Tecumseh, meanwhile, was ranging the continent from North to South, from East to West ; threatening, flattering, rousing resentment, alarming superstition, provoking curiosity ;—thus extending the limits of his grand Confederation, year by year, from tribe to tribe ; but slowly, calmly and in silence. No labor fatigued, no difficulty or disappointment disheartened, no danger alarmed, no emergency surprised him. It was by his means chiefly, that the extravagant stories of his brother's supernatural power were propagated, so soon and so simultaneously as they were, among a hundred different tribes, sometimes by himself, and sometimes by his agents.\* Hence, so early as 1807, General

---

\* ‘The most absurd stories were told and believed by the Indians, of his power to perform miracles ; and no fatigue or suffering were thought too great to be endured, to get a sight of him.’—*McAfee*. This writer observes, elsewhere, that Tecumseh visited all the tribes west of the Mississippi, and on lakes Superior, Huron and Michigan, ‘repeatedly,’ before the year 1811. Soon after his return from the South, Tecumseh visited Fort Wayne. He still appeared haughty and obstinate in the opinions he had hitherto maintained, and even had the effrontery to demand ammunition of the commandant, which was refused him. He then said, that he would go to his British father, who would not refuse him,—appeared thoughtful and sullen for a while,—then turned on his

Harrison ‘discovered, that *the Shawanese tribe in particular* were devoted to the British interest.’\* Hence the strange movements, perceptible at the same period, among the various tribes of Indians around the lakes. They were assembling in council, day and night, and belts of wampum and pipes were sent in all directions. Hence, a desertion or disaffection no sooner happened in the Prophet’s settlement, than new forces were mustered. For four years, it has been truly said, Tecumseh was in constant motion; to-day visible on the Wabash; then heard of on the shores of Erie or Michigan, now among the Indians of the Mississippi. Finally, when every thing was effected in the North and West which *he* could effect there, he commenced a laborious and hazardous journey of months, among the remote tribes of the South. The train was laid everywhere but here; and the subsequent trouble given by the Creeks,—justly attributed to his influence,—indicates sufficiently what might have been accomplished in time, had his confederates been as prudent as himself.

The battle of Tippecanoe was a premature explosion, and a most unfortunate one for his interests. It intercepted the negotiations for new allies, diminished the moral power of the Prophet, and frightened and forced many, who were or would have been his adherents, into neutrality in some cases, and open hostility in others. The vast scheme of Tecumseh, the object so long of all his solicitude and his labor was thrown into confusion, on the very brink of success. He was exasperated, humiliated, afflicted. He could have wept as Philip did, when *his* projects, so similar to Tecumseh’s, were thwarted in mid career by the rashness of his warriors. But here was the trial of his noblest qualities. He came forward and made every proposition, looking like compromise, which he deemed consistent with his dignity,—perhaps necessary to it,—but in vain. He saw then, plainly, that the battle must be fought, and his soul grew strong. The wrongs and woes of his race, and the power and pride of the white men, passed before him. The mortification of failure and exposure on his own part, the dishonor brought upon his brother’s name, the ignominy of submission, the censure and scorn of his savage rivals, the triumph

---

heel, raised the war-whoop, and went off.—*McAfee.* We are obliged in this case to quote from memory, but feel quite confident of our substantial correctness.

\* Dawson’s Narrative.

of his civilized enemy, all were daggers in his bosom. Then boiled within him the frenzy of despair. Then fear and hope struggled for the mastery. Pride, revenge, ambition, were roused. Let them come, then, thought he, I hear them and see them, in the South and in the East, like the summer leaves rolling and rustling in the breeze. It is well. Shall Tecumseh tremble? Shall they say that he hated the white man, and feared him? No! The mountains and the plains which the Great Spirit gave, are behind and around me. I too have *my* warriors, and here,—where we were born and where we will die,—on the Scioto, on the Wabash, on the broad waters of the North, *my* voice shall be heard.

Those who know any thing of the history of the last war, need not be informed, that Tecumseh was substantially, as well as nominally, the head and life of the Anglo-Indian Department, and that greater forces were collected by his influence, and embodied under his command, than in any other instance from the first settlement of the country. He brought in six hundred Wabash recruits in one body, early in 1813. In the attack made upon Fort Stephenson, in the summer of the same year, the enemy numbered but five hundred British regulars, for eight hundred Indians, (under Dickson) while Tecumseh was at the same time stationed on the road to Fort Meigs with a body of two thousand more, for the purpose of cutting off the American reinforcements on that route.

It should be observed, that only eighteen months before this, the disastrous defeat of the savages at Tippecanoe had restored ‘the most profound tranquillity’ upon the whole line of the frontiers, where, previously, ‘scarcely a fortnight passed without some depredation having been committed;’ and that all the information received by Governor Harrison,—who had better opportunities of receiving it than any other man,—agreed in the utter despondency of the Prophet’s party.\* So, as lately as July, 1812, we gather from the letter of Captain Wells to the Governor, already cited, that the Indians, who were under the British influence before that date, ‘had all, *with the exception of Tecumseh and about one hundred*, abandoned their alliance,’—owing in a considerable degree, we suppose, to the apprehensions excited by the expedition of General Hull. On the very day when this letter was written, the brother of Tecumseh, our

\* Dawson’s Narrative, pp. 254, 257.

far-famed Prophet, left Fort Wayne, (the station of Captain Wells) for his old settlement at Tippecanoe. ‘He will remain at his village,’ adds the writer, ‘until he knows the intentions of the Western Indians. If they will not join him, he will then go and endeavor to save himself by pretensions of peace to the commissioners at Piqua.’ At this period, then, the intention of the Indians was not ascertained. They might or might not join the Americans, but as yet they had only abandoned the British. And yet, in a twelvemonth afterwards, Tecumseh was himself commanding a body of two thousand of them, and co-operating with eight hundred more under General Proctor!

So much for his energy and his influence. The *minutiae* of his system of recruiting and retaining, we cannot be expected to furnish. That he exerted himself personally, we have already seen:—that he plied also the industry of the Prophet, and that the latter personage was quite pliable, as well as in sane mind, appears from the following passages of the authentic and amusing communication, last referred to.

‘On the 12th inst. July, 1812, the Prophet arrived here, (Fort Wayne) with nearly one hundred Winebagoes and Kickapoos, who have ever since been amusing the Indian agent at this place with professions of friendship. It is now evident, that he has completely duped the agent, who had suffered him to take the lead in all his councils with the Indians, giving him ammunition, &c. to support his followers, until they can receive a supply from Tecumseh.

‘On the 19th inst. an express arrived in the Prophet’s camp, from Tecumseh. In order that it should make the better speed, the express stole a horse from some of the inhabitants of the river Raisin, and rode night and day. The horse gave out within twenty miles from this place. The express was directed by Tecumseh to tell the Prophet to unite the Indians immediately, and send their women and children towards the Mississippi, while the warriors should strike a heavy blow at the inhabitants of Vincennes; that he, Tecumseh, if he lived, would join him in the country of the Winebagoes.

‘The Prophet found no difficulty in keeping this information to himself and one or two of his confidential followers, and forming a story to suit the palate of the agent here; and on the 20th inst. despatched two confidential Kickapoos to effect the objects Tecumseh had in view. In order that these two might make the better speed, they stole my two riding-horses, and have gone

to the westward at the rate of one hundred miles in twenty-four hours, at least.

' To keep the agent blind to his movements, the Prophet went early in the morning, yesterday, and told the agent that two of his *bad* young men were missing, and that he feared they had stolen some horses ; the agent found no difficulty in swallowing the bait offered him, and applauded the Prophet for his honesty.

' To keep up appearances, the latter has this morning (the 22d) despatched two men *on foot*, as he tells the agent, to bring back my horses, &c., and that he and his party will certainly attend the meeting of the commissioners at Piqua. This he will do, if he finds he cannot raise the Western Indians. If he finds they will join him, he will strike a heavy blow, as Tecumseh calls it, against the whites in that quarter. You may rely on the correctness of this statement, as I received information relative to the views of Tecumseh, last night, from a quarter that cannot be doubted. The conduct of the agent towards the Prophet, I have been an eye-witness to.'

It will be recollectcd, that Tecumseh had passed Fort Wayne about a week previous to the date of this letter, on his way to Malden. He then declared, that his object was to obtain ammunition from the British Government for the Indians at Tippecanoe ; and it was understood accordingly, a few days afterwards, that while his brother was playing tricks upon the agent, he had openly connected himself with that Government, against the Americans. The whole transaction is characteristic of the two individuals, who were the chief actors in it. The Prophet resorted, without hesitation, to all the wiles of Indian cunning and stratagem, for effecting his own purposes, and for thwarting those of his opponents. The course of Tecumseh was as manly and dignified, as it was prompt. It had been so, indeed, from the first ; for although he was certainly under no obligation to disclose his schemes, he appears never to have taken much pains to conceal them. We know that he was *subsequently* suspected and accused, of having been actively engaged in inducing general hostility, as well as instigating particular outrages among the frontier tribes, for several years before much was actually known of him. This may have been the case, and it may not ; the evidence, so far as we have seen, amounts to nothing, and the suspicion and accusation alluded to, like the offences themselves, are very easily accounted for upon other and obvious grounds. Of course, there is no necessity of going at length into the history

of the Western country for the last half century, to point out the real grounds of complaint and the real provocations to hostility, which Tecumseh, or his brother, or any other Indian of information and reflection *might* have alleged on the part of the tribes, against the American Government or the American people. This would be justifying what we do not admit. It is sufficient to observe that quite enough had occurred, to furnish plausible pretexts for all that the chieftain is known to have done or attempted to do. Governor Harrison stated in his annual message, for 1809, to the Indiana Legislature, that owing to defects in the Federal law, ‘every person has been allowed to trade with the Indians that pleases ; *which proves a source of numberless abuses*, of mischievous effects, both to them and ourselves.’ Two years before, we find an opinion advanced by the same excellent authority on a similar occasion, that ‘the utmost efforts to induce them (the Indians) to take up arms would be unavailing, *if one only, of the many persons who have committed murders on their people, could be brought to punishment.*’ To illustrate the truth of this remark, we may mention the murder of a Creek Indian at Vincennes, early in 1810, and of course subsequently to the particular transactions alluded to in the message. He was shot by a white man, an Italian trader, upon the pretext that the Indian, who was intoxicated, had shown a disposition to do him some injury. The Governor discharged *his* duty by causing the Italian to be arrested and tried ; but, in the language of our informant, ‘as in too many other cases, acquittal was the consequence.’\* We are farther told, that about the same time, two Indians were wounded by a white man, at a few miles’ distance from Vincennes. The occurrence of circumstances of this nature, is said to have been a source of great embarrassment and vexation to Governor Harrison ; but in this case, he could only send out,—not a constable for the aggressor, for that course had been sufficiently tried,—but a surgeon for the wounded men, who both finally recovered. It cannot be doubted, that the character of these proceedings was well understood, and indignantly resented by all the tribes which obtained knowledge of them,—as most of them did in the course of their own experience. The house of a white man in Ohio was robbed, during this same summer, by a mem-

---

\* Dawson’s Narrative, page 179.

ber of the Delaware tribe, so famous for its faithful, and more than faithful adherence to the American cause. According to the stipulations of Wayne's treaty, expressly provided for giving up criminals to the parties respectively injured,—and scrupulously observed up to this date, we should add, on the part of the Indians,—the robber in the present instance was demanded of the Delawares. The answer was, that the nation never would give up another man, until some of the white people were punished, who had murdered members of their tribe; they would, however, punish him themselves. And they did accordingly put him to death.

But all these were trifling causes of irritation, compared with those which had occurred at various periods, in the treaties and other negotiations, public and private, whereby immense quantities of territory had been obtained of the Indians. It is not intended to insinuate, that the Government was in fault upon any of these occasions. But in the transaction of affairs of this nature, to such an extent, at such a distance, by the instrumentality of agents,—as likely as any other men to be sometimes ignorant, insolent, and avaricious,—offences must needs come. On the other hand, in cases wherein the Government was not even nominally concerned, (whatever the understanding of the vendors might be upon that point) the most flagitious deception had been practised. In still other instances, where the conduct of the purchasers was unobjectionable, there were conflicting claims to territory, which one or more tribes, or portions of tribes, or perhaps individual chiefs, nevertheless undertook to convey. Owing to these and similar causes, the tribes had very generally become extremely suspicious of proposals for the purchase of land. They perceived, too, independently of any unfair dealing upon either side, that the white settlements were advancing upon them with the most formidable rapidity. Something must be done, then, in self-defence. Setting aside past impositions, it was absolutely necessary to prevent them for the future; and setting aside all imposition, it was necessary to raise some universal and effectual barrier against inroads of any kind, upon any quarter. It is recorded, accordingly, by a historian already cited, that the agitation among the Indians at this time was accounted for by some of them, by saying, that they were endeavoring to effect what had frequently been recommended to them by the United States, viz., *a more effective and cordial union*

*among the various tribes.* The writer considers this an ‘attempt at deception ;’ but his facts appear, in this instance, to outweigh his opinion. War might or might not be anticipated as an ultimate resort, in offence or defence ; and ‘British agitators’ might or might not be actually engaged, as certainly they were interested, in producing that result, and preparing the tribes for it. But it seems to us that there can be no reasonable doubt, that an effective and cordial union of the tribes, for the purposes just mentioned, was actually the precise object in view. It certainly was the leading principle in the schemes of Tecumseh.

This principle he never disavowed. He declared it in the most open manner, on every suitable occasion ; and with it the cogent reasoning, upon which in his mind it was founded. In July, 1810, he conversed very fully upon the subject, with a person sent to his brother by the Governor of Indiana, to dissuade him from war and to gain information of his views. He said that the Great Spirit had given this great island,—meaning the American continent,—to his red children ; but the whites, who were placed on the other side of the big water, not content with their share, had crossed over,—seized upon the coast,—driven the Indians from the sea to the lakes,—undertaken to say that this tract belongs to one tribe, this to another, and so on,—when the *Great Spirit had made it the common property of them all.* They had retreated far enough,—they would go no farther. He at the same time disclaimed having intended to make war, but expressed his opinion that it would not be possible to preserve peace, unless the Indian principle of common property should be recognised, and the progress of the white settlements discontinued. He then proposed going to Vincennes, for the purpose of convincing the Governor that matters had been mis-represented to him. The visit accordingly took place in August ; and he then stated most distinctly,—Mr. Dawson’s phrase is, in the broadest manner,—that his policy had been to establish and extend the principle of common property as a means of necessary self-defence ; that the tribes were afraid of being pushed back into the lakes, and were therefore determined to make a stand where they now were. At the formal interview which ensued, Tecumseh, who was attended by a body of followers, manifested so much irritation, that the Governor apprehended an attack upon the spot; the citizens were alarmed ; troops were called in ; and a scene of great

confusion ensued. But although the proud chieftain apologized for this demonstration of spirit at the next conference, and then appeared perfectly cool, he still persisted in the statements made in the outset. When asked by the Governor, whether it was his intention to prevent the surveying of a certain territory, recently purchased, he answered, 'that himself and those who were joined with him were determined, that the old boundary should continue.' The Governor afterwards visited him at his camp, for the purpose of sounding him privately. Being asked if his intentions were really what he had openly avowed, he replied that they were. He had no complaint to make against the United States, but their purchasing the Indian land as they did ; and he should very much regret the necessity of making war for this single cause. On the contrary, he was anxious to be upon good terms with them. If the President would give up the late purchase, and agree to make no more in the same manner, he would even become their ally, and would fight with them against the English. If these terms could not be complied with, he should be obliged to fight with the English against *them*. The Governor assured him that the President should be informed of his views, but also expressed his opinion, that there was no prospect of their being acceded to. 'Well !' answered the warrior, 'as the Great Chief is to determine the matter, I hope the Great Spirit will put sense enough in his head, to induce him to give up the land. True he is so far off, that the war will not injure him. He may sit still in his town and drink his wine, while you and I will have to fight it out.' At the last conference, which took place previously to the battle of Tippecanoe, it is stated that his designs were more completely developed, than ever before.\* And this, it should be observed, was his own voluntary and deliberate disclosure. 'The States had set the example,' he said, of forming a union among all the fires,—why should they censure the Indians for following it?' He had now succeeded in combining the Northern tribes, and he was about visiting the South, for the purpose of completing the scheme. But war, if it ensued, would be no fault of his. He hoped that the Governor would prevent settlements from being made on the new purchase, till he returned from his journey in the Spring. He would then visit the President himself at his leisure, and the matter should be settled with *him*. This speech has been called 'an

---

\* Dawson's Narrative, p. 183.

artful evasion, easily seen through.' It appears to us, on the contrary, to be a model of manly frankness. The orator did not expressly state, indeed, that the combination alluded to anticipated the possibility or probability of war. But this was unnecessary. It was the natural inference in any reasonable mind. It had been frequently so stated and so understood. Repetition could only exasperate. On the whole, Tecumseh seems to have manifested a noble dignity in the avowal and discussion of his policy, equalled only by the profound sagacity in which it originated, and the intelligent energy which conducted it, through every opposition and obstacle, so nearly to its completion. He might be wrong, but it is evident enough that he was sincere.

As for British instigation, we need not suggest the distinction between a disposition upon their part, and a counter disposition upon his; or between himself and the motley multitude of fanatical and ferocious vagabonds, who, unfortunately, formed a large part of the Prophet's first congregation, and some of whom were as troublesome to each other and to him, as they were to the white settlers. Outrages were committed, as we have seen, on both sides, and criminals refused to be given over to justice by both,—the Indians copying in this respect, the example of the American authorities. But we need not pursue this subject. The best existing evidence with regard to Tecumseh's particular interest in it, seems to be his own, which has been given. Nor can it be doubted, that he perfectly *understood* the policy of the English. He told Governor Harrison, when he declared the necessity which might arise of an alliance with them, that he knew they were always urging the Indians to war for their own advantage, and not to benefit his countrymen. 'And here,' we are informed,\* '*he clapped his hands, and imitated a person hallooing at a dog*, to set him fighting with another, thereby insinuating, that the British thus endeavored to set the Indians on the Americans.' The truth is, he was too proud for a subordinate part. His confederates might do as they chose, but for himself, he would maintain the dignity of a free and brave man, and a warrior. He abandoned his plan of visiting the President, because he could not be received as the head of the deputation. It is said, that, in the last conference at Vincennes, he found himself, at the end of a long and animated speech, unprovided with a

---

\* Dawson's Narrative. p. 159.

seat. Observing the neglect, Governor Harrison directed a chair to be placed for him, and requested him to accept it. ‘Your Father,’ said the interpreter, ‘requests you to take a chair.’ ‘My Father!’ replied the chief, ‘the sun is my father, and the earth is my mother; I will repose upon her bosom.’ And he adjusted himself on the ground in the Indian manner.

A qualified remark has been made upon his courage; but the manner in which he conducted himself during the war, is sufficient to establish this point beyond controversy. The same may be said of the fearlessness shown in his visits to Vincennes; and especially, in his exposure of himself on that occasion, though he must have perceived that he was feared, suspected, and even guarded by large bodies of troops, drawn out for that express purpose. It is very illustrative of the apparent diversity in the character of Elskwátawa and his own in this respect, that when the Delawares sent a deputation of chiefs to break up the Prophet’s settlement at Tippecanoe, the latter would not *deign*, as Mr. Dawson expresses it, to give them an interview; *but despatched his brother to them*, ‘whose threats or persuasions were sufficient to drive back the chiefs, with strong indications of apprehension and terror.’ When General Proctor began to prepare for retreating from Malden, Tecumseh, having learned his intention, demanded an interview, and, in the name of all the Indians, delivered an animated speech. If the spirit, which it manifests, could have had its intended effect in inducing the General to fight before he retreated, the result must at least have been more glorious, if not more favorable to his cause.

‘Father! he began, ‘Listen to your children! You have them now all before you.\* The war before this, our British Father gave the hatchet to his red children, when our old chiefs were alive. They are now dead. In that war, our Father was thrown on his back by the Americans, and our Father took them by the hand without our knowledge. We are afraid he will do so again this time.

‘Listen! When war [the last war] was declared, our Father stood up and gave us the tomahawk, and told us that he was then ready to strike the Americans,—that he wanted our assistance,—that he would certainly get us our land back, which the Americans had taken from us.

---

\*The phraseology generally adopted by Indian deputations, to express their representative character.

'Listen ! When we were last at the Rapids, it is true, we gave you little assistance. It is hard to fight people who live like ground-hogs. [Alluding to the American fortifications.]

'Father, listen ! Our fleet has gone out. We know they have fought. We have heard the great guns ;—[Perry's victory,] —but we know not what has become of our Father with one arm, [Commodore Barclay.] Our ships have gone one way, and we are astonished to see our Father tying up every thing, and preparing to run away the other, without letting his red children know of his intentions. You always told us, you would never draw your foot off British ground. But now, Father, we see you are drawing back, and we are sorry to see our Father doing so without seeing the enemy. We must compare our Father's conduct to that of a fat dog, that carries its tail upon its back ; but when frightened, drops it between its legs and runs off.'

'Father, listen ! The Americans have not yet defeated us by land,—we are not sure that they have by water ;—we wish, therefore, to remain here and fight. If they defeat us, we will *then* retreat with our Father.'

'Father ! You have got the arms and ammunition, which our great Father sent for his red children. If you have an idea of going away, give them to us, and you may go and welcome for us. Our lives are in the hands of the Great Spirit,—we are determined to defend our lands,—and if it be his will, we wish to leave our bones upon them.'

This celebrated speech is probably as good a specimen as any on record, of the *eloquence* of Tecumseh. It was a natural eloquence, characteristic, as all natural eloquence must be, of the qualities of the man. As Charlevoix says of the Canadian savages, it was 'such as the Greeks admired in the barbarians,'—strong, stern, sententious, pointed, perfectly undisguised. It abounded with figures and with graphic touches, imprinted by a single effort of memory or imagination, but answering all the purposes of detailed description, without its tediousness or weakness. The President was 'drinking his wine in his town,' while Tecumseh and Harrison were fighting it out over the mountains. The Indians were hallooed upon the Americans, like a pack of starved hounds. The British nation was our great Father, and our great Father was laid flat on his back. So the policy of the United States, in extending their settlements, was a *mighty water*, and the scheme of common property in the tribes, was a *dam* to resist it.\* Tecumseh

---

\* McAfee's History, p. 17.

belonged to a nation, noted, as Heckewelder describes them, ‘for much talk;’ and he was himself never at a loss for words. He was a countryman of Logan, too, and he reasoned and felt like him. His whole time and talents were devoted to the cause of Indian independence; and when he spoke upon this theme, as he generally did in public, his fine countenance lighted up; his firm and erect frame swelled with a deep emotion, which scarcely his own stern dignity could suppress; every posture and gesture had its meaning; and language flowed burning and swift from the passion-fountains of the soul.

We have drawn the portrait of this eminent chieftain hitherto, only so far as to sketch some of those strongly marked lineaments, by which he was best known to his contemporaries, and by which he will be longest remembered. But there was something more in his character, than strong savage talent and savage feeling. Injured and irritated as he often was, and constantly as he kept himself excited by an interest in the fate of his countrymen, and by the agitation of his own schemes, there is no evidence either of coarseness in his manners, or of cruelty in his conduct. For reasons easily to be imagined, he regarded Governor Harrison with less partiality, than most other individual Americans; and hence, the British General is said to have stipulated early in the war, that the Governor, if taken prisoner, should be *his* captive. But he is understood to have always treated that gentleman with such courtesy, that we apprehend, had this *casus foederis* unfortunately occurred, he would have gloried only in conveying him off the battle-field in the manner of the Black Prince, and in setting before him, with the royal munificence of Massasoit, all the dry pease in his wig-wam. When the Governor proposed to him, on his first visit to Vincennes in 1810, that, in the event of a war, he would as far as possible put a stop to the cruelties which the Indians were accustomed to inflict upon women and children, and others, no longer in a situation to resist,—he readily gave his assent to the proposition, and voluntarily pledged himself to adhere to it. There is reason to believe, that he remembered this promise; and that, amidst temptations and provocations,—and, many would be inclined to add, *examples*, from an authority he might have been supposed to respect,—of an extraordinary nature. In one of the sorties from Fort Meigs, a hundred or more of the American garrison were taken prisoners, and put into Fort Miami. Here, McAfee and others relate

that the British Indians garnished the surrounding rampart, and amused themselves by loading and firing at the crowd within, or at particular individuals. This proceeding is said to have continued nearly two hours, during which time twenty of the unfortunate prisoners were massacred. The *Chiefs* were, at the same time, holding a council, to determine the fate of the residue. A bloodthirsty mob of cut-throat Potawatamies were warmly in favor of despatching them all, on the spot, while the Wyandots and Miamies opposed that course. The former prevailed; and had already systematically commenced the work of destruction, when Tecumseh, descrying them from the batteries, came down among them, reprimanded the ring-leaders for their dastardly barbarity in murdering defenceless captives in cold blood, and thus saved the lives of a considerable number. That all this was done by express permission of the English commander, and in presence of the English army, as is farther stated, it does not belong to us, in the pursuit of our present subject, either to assert or prove. If there be any truth in the charge, or in a tithe of those of the same character which have been brought against the same party, the sooner the veil of oblivion is dropped over them, the better.

On the whole, the character of Tecumseh, in whatever light it may be viewed, must be regarded as remarkable in the highest degree. That he proved himself worthy of his rank as a general officer in the army of his Britannic Majesty, or even of his reputation as a great warrior among all the Indians of the North and West, is, indeed, a small title to distinction. Bravery is a savage virtue; and the Shawanees are a brave people; too many of the American nation have ascertained this fact by experience. His oratory speaks more for his genius. It was the utterance of a great mind, roused by the strongest motives of which human nature is susceptible, and developing a power and a labor of reason, which commanded the admiration of the civilized, as justly as the confidence and pride of the savage. But other orators, too, have appeared among his countrymen, as eloquent and as eminent as Tecumseh, wherever the same moving causes and occasions could give birth and scope to the same emulous effort. And the mere oratory, in all these cases, was not so much an absolute vindication, as a naked and meagre index of the mighty intellect and the noble spirit within. Happily for the fame of Tecumseh, other evidences exist in his favor,—such as were felt as well as heard in his own

day,—such as will live on the pages of civilized history, long after barbarous tradition has forgotten them. He will be named with Philip and Pontiac, the ‘agitators’ of the two centuries which preceded his own. The schemes of these men were,—fortunately for the interest which they lived and labored to resist,—alike unsuccessful in their issue ; but none the less credit should, for that reason, be allowed to their motives or their efforts. They were still statesmen, though the communities over which their influence was exerted, were composed of red men instead of white. They were still patriots, though they fought only for wild lands and for wild liberty. Indeed, it is these very circumstances that make these very efforts,—and especially the extraordinary degree of success which attended them,—the more honorable and the more signal ; while they clearly show the necessity of their ultimate failure, which existed in the nature of things. They are the best proofs, at once, of genius and of principle.

---

#### ART. VI.—*Sparks's Life of Gouverneur Morris.*

*The Life of Gouverneur Morris, with Selections from his Correspondence and Miscellaneous Papers ; detailing Events in the American Revolution, the French Revolution, and in the Political History of the United States.*  
By JARED SPARKS. In three volumes. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 1832.

There is no sin which more easily besets the biographer of public men, than a reluctance to admit the fact, that they ever had any private life ; yet we know not that the dignity of a statesman would be impaired by such an admission, or that the parlor and the fire-side are much less interesting, than the cabinet or the legislative hall. Sir James Mackintosh assures us, that the biographer should introduce historical detail no farther, than the clearness and accuracy of his narrative require ; and that the historian, on the other hand, should be careful to avoid all private particulars, which cannot be regarded as essential. The rule is certainly a judicious one ; and it has been faithfully applied in his own biography of one of the greatest and best men in England’s annals. But it is hard to bring the conviction of its justice home to others ; the

brilliant ornaments of the green-house attract us more, than the unobtrusive garden flowers ; and the consequence is, that the life of a great man might be sometimes more properly styled a dissertation on the rise and fall of nations, between the period of his birth and the close of his career.

Mr. Sparks has done much to avoid this error, in the work before us. We are glad that he has thus avoided it ; for much was naturally expected from his talent and research, and it would have been a subject of regret, if those expectations had not been realized ; more especially, as he has now adventured in a department of literature, in which, though much has been already done, far more remains to be performed. The tombs of several of the prophets have been builded by the pious hands of their surviving relatives ; but there are many of ‘the buried warlike and the wise,’ over whom no such memorial has been erected, and whose actions live only in the perishing record of tradition, or the memory of those who are fast going down to the grave. They are men, who sat by the cradle of our liberty in its disastrous hours, and watched its progress to maturity ; in the high enthusiasm of their self-devotion, counted toil and sacrifice as nothing, so long as their country had any thing for them to do or suffer ; and when their course was finished, and their warfare accomplished, fell asleep amidst the triumph of the greatest of all moral victories. Such characters belong to the number of the great and fortunate ; the historical painter should rejoice to fix their likeness in his most enduring colors on the canvass ; the philosopher and the moralist should point to their example, and hold them up to the admiration of posterity. There is nothing strange or affected about them ; but they are nevertheless original and peculiar. They came forth, not from the halls of philosophy, nor the saloons of luxury ; not illuminated by the light of recorded experience, nor provided with those means and appliances, which invigorate the spirit, and fortify the arm, in seasons of extremity and peril ; but they came from the plough, the work-shop, and the bar ; armed only with that irresistible enthusiasm, which aids experience, where it is, and supplies the place of knowledge, where knowledge may not be ; going forth, in the strength of a high and manly purpose, to the protection of high and momentous interests. It was this lofty disdain of low and sordid things, this appeal to all that is elevated and generous in human feeling, which enabled them to

meet the shock of disciplined hosts ; and sustained them in the more perilous trial of organizing a Government, which is now the guide and model of the nations, when the file afforded them no precedent, and their sole reliance was upon their own strong sense and virtue. The soul of Ames would have poured itself out in tones of still more burning eloquence over the ashes of his friend, could it have been revealed to him, that a generation should pass away, and the beautiful memorial which his own affection reared, should still be almost the only one, which bears the name of Hamilton.

These are suggestions, which have doubtless presented themselves to all, who are familiar with our history ; and we have alluded to them here, only that we might the more fully express our obligations to Mr. Sparks, for his efforts to remove what we cannot but regard as a national reproach. The narrative which he has given, relates to the life and character of one, who, if not foremost among the glorious company of which we have spoken, was certainly not undistinguished among the eminent men of our country. He has brought to his task so much of intelligent research, so much historical anecdote and rich and various illustration, that his work is of real, as it will be of lasting value. The general considerations connected with the subject of our revolutionary and constitutional history, have been so often dwelt upon, that we are under no temptation to enlarge upon them here ; and we think that our readers will be grateful to us, for confining our observations to a sketch of the life of the eminent person, whom he has selected as his subject. We shall of course borrow this from Mr. Sparks's own narrative, combining it, as we proceed, with such extracts as our limits will allow.

Gouverneur Morris was born at Morrisania in New York,—a manor, which had been for more than a century in the possession of his family,—on the 31st of January, 1752. He was the youngest son of Lewis Morris, a man of some distinction in his day, by a second marriage. His father died before he had reached the age of twelve years ; and as this second marriage had given serious offence to the rest of the family, who naturally conceived him bound to follow their inclinations in preference to his own, his widow was left, in a state of estrangement from his connexions, to devote herself to the management of the considerable estate which he bequeathed to her, and to the education of her son. It is impossible now to ascertain,

what the promise of his infancy may have been; for the only fact relating to it, which the industry of his biographer has enabled him to discover, is, that he was early instructed in the French language, which he afterwards wrote and spoke with as much facility as his native tongue. At the college in the city of New York, which he entered so young, that he graduated at the age of sixteen, he was more distinguished by his application to the severer studies, than by an inclination for general literature; though he was not wholly free from the ordinary youthful indiscretion, of laboring to excel in poetry. The oration which he delivered when he left the college, on the subject of *Wit* and *Beauty*, is still preserved, and exhibits rather more than the usual merit of these productions, accompanied with some extravagance of sentiment and style. On the occasion of taking his master's degree, three years afterwards, he again displayed his talent in an address on *Love*; 'a very good theme,' as his biographer somewhat drily remarks, 'for an ode, or a sonnet, or a few stanzas, from a despairing swain, but an odd one for an oration before a grave and learned audience, assembled to witness a literary exhibition in a university.' We are strongly inclined to doubt, whether it were his purpose to address himself exclusively to the grave and learned portion of his auditory; the subject, at any rate, formed no unapt conclusion to that of his former exhibition; and both are said to have given a very favorable impression of his eloquence.

As soon as his college studies were completed, Mr. Morris applied himself to the study of the law in the office of William Smith, afterwards chief-justice of the Province of New York, and better known as the writer of its history. This was undoubtedly the profession, in which the qualities of his mind and temperament rendered him most likely to excel. His intellect was vigorous and penetrating; and his elocution, if not always strictly regulated by the most refined taste, was animated and persuasive. He was accustomed to remark, that he never knew the sensations of embarrassment, or fear, or inferiority, in his intercourse with any person. This unhesitating reliance upon one's own powers, though it would be ridiculous in a feeble mind, undoubtedly gives additional strength and efficiency to the influence of a commanding one. At the time when Mr. Morris began his career, it was not only a valuable quality, but, when united with an understanding like

his, one of the most essential. No man could at that period devote himself to civil pursuits, without entering largely into the political controversies of the day. At the age of seventeen, before his legal studies were finished, Mr. Morris presented himself to the public in the capacity of a writer upon the subject of finance, to which very much of his attention was subsequently given. A project had been agitated in the Assembly of New York, for raising money by issuing bills of credit; the amount arising from which was to be loaned, and the interest applied to the payment of the provincial debt, and various other public exigencies. This was one of the absurd schemes, of which our country has already seen so many; the favorite resort of those, who are fond of applying maxims to public affairs, which would be obviously fatal in the case of an individual. Mr. Morris wrote in opposition to this plan with much intelligence and comprehension of the subject, but whether successfully or not, does not appear. About the same time, he was licensed to practise as an attorney; and by some of his earliest forensic efforts, added much to the reputation which he had previously acquired. The relations of the colonies with the mother country were now assuming a critical aspect; and the attractions of political advancement soon drew him from his professional labors, to act in a more important and extended sphere. His early prepossessions were in favor of conciliation with the mother country; but when the crisis came, he was found in the foremost rank of the patriots.

The old Colonial Assembly of New York sat for the last time, in the winter of 1774; but the attempt to induce this body to send delegates to the Continental Congress proving vain, the people took the government into their own hands by electing members of a Provincial Convention, which assembled in New York in the spring of 1775, and was dissolved after accomplishing the purpose of the meeting, which was nothing more than the appointment of the delegation of the Province in Congress. Mr. Morris was not a member of this body; but he was immediately afterwards elected a delegate to the new Provincial Congress, called together on the following month, in consequence of the actual commencement of hostilities. One of the most important subjects which occupied the attention of this assembly, was that of raising money to defray the expense of military preparations for separate government and defence. A committee, of which Mr. Morris

was one, was instructed to prepare a plan for this purpose. Their report, which was understood to be suggested by him, and which he supported in the Congress with uncommon eloquence and power, was adopted without alteration, and afterwards made the basis of the arrangement agreed upon by the Continental Congress. It is impossible for us to enter at large upon the history of the various bodies, by which the powers of Government were exercised in New York, before a permanent system was established. Mr. Sparks has given us much new and interesting information upon this subject ; but we have room only for a cursory account of those proceedings, in which Mr. Morris took a prominent share. In 1776, he was elected a member of another Provincial Congress, upon which devolved a task of a very delicate nature ; it having become necessary to assume the independence of the Province, and, in conformity with the recommendation of the Continental Congress, to organize a new system of government. There were several circumstances, which rendered these operations more difficult in New York, than they had proved to be elsewhere. In many parts of the Province, the people were almost unanimous in their hostility to the patriotic cause ; the hope of reconciliation was still cherished by many ; and in the city of New York, a strong apprehension for the safety of their lives and property, rendered a large portion of the citizens extremely averse to any course, that might terminate in war. But as the situation of affairs grew more critical, the general sentiment underwent a rapid change ; Gouverneur Morris, Jay, and other leading patriots, urged it on by their just and eloquent appeals ; and five days after the declaration of our national Independence, the Congress of New York unanimously declared their resolution to support that Independence, at the hazard of their lives and fortunes ; a determination, the full merit of which can only be estimated, when we remember that it must have been taken with a full consciousness that their own soil must be, as it shortly after was, the principal theatre of the war. Before this was done, however, the members of this Congress, being in some degree doubtful of their powers to act decisively on the great questions submitted to them, had applied for new instructions to the people, who not only complied with the request, but made such changes in the composition of the body, as the public exigency required ; so that the alteration in the public sentiment was immediately felt in the Congress, who, on the

very day on which the declaration just alluded to was made, assumed the style of the Convention of the Representatives of the State of New York. Owing to the distracted state of affairs, the Convention were able to do nothing towards establishing a system of government, until August, when Mr. Jay, Mr. Morris, and Mr. Livingston, were appointed a Committee, to prepare a plan for this purpose. In order to give the people time for deliberate reflection upon the subject, the constitution they had framed was not reported to the Convention until March of the following year, when it was adopted with little alteration. It should be mentioned to the honor of Mr. Morris, that he labored in co-operation with his friend Mr. Jay, though unfortunately without success, to introduce into it an article for the prohibition of domestic slavery, similar to that which was adopted a few years since, when the present constitution of that great and enlightened State was formed. It was necessary, however, to provide for the organization of the new Government, and to appoint a committee to exercise its powers, until the Constitutional Legislature could be convened ; and Mr. Morris was one of the individuals selected by the Convention for both these purposes. Their opinion of his merit was also manifested, by appointing him one of the delegates to represent the State in the Continental Congress ; but he was prevented from attending, by the critical situation of the affairs of his own State. The evacuation of Ticonderoga, by St. Clair, had removed the great barrier to the descent of the enemy upon New York ; and his labors, as a leading member of the Council of Safety,—the title of the Committee, to whom the temporary exercise of the powers of Government had been confided,—occupied his whole time and attention.

In October, 1777, he was again elected a member of the Continental Congress, in the mode provided by the new Constitution ; and in the following January, for the first time took his seat. He had now been more than three years engaged in public business, and had acquired during this period a reputation for general ability, activity, and maturity of judgment, which has seldom been established at an age so early as his. But he was now to be exposed to a severer trial ; to take his place by the side of men of tried experience, and of unsurpassed energy and power, in accomplishing a task, from which ordinary talent and patriotism would have shrunk in despair. The

army, undisciplined, broken, and almost disbanded, were about retiring into their wretched quarters at Valley-Forge. In the language of Washington, the sick were naked, the well were naked, and their brethren in captivity were naked also. Hardly by the most violent measures, could the urgent wants of each successive day be supplied. Dark conspiracies were incessantly maturing against the commander-in-chief; and the officers, for whom no permanent provision had yet been made, were rapidly deserting a service, in which they found nothing but privation and unrewarded toil. The time was evidently come, when the Congress must interpose with unusual energy, or the fruit of all preceding labors would be wholly lost. The spirit of that Assembly rose with the exigency. Under circumstances which might almost have appalled a Roman Senate, they set themselves to the work without hesitation or dismay. A deputation was instantly sent to investigate the condition of the army, and to devise proper measures for its relief. It is no light proof of the estimation in which Mr. Morris was thus early held, that though hardly personally known, he was made a member of this delegation, on whose fidelity and judgment the destinies of the nation hung. In concert with Washington, with whom he here contracted an intimacy which was never afterwards interrupted, Mr. Morris and his associates prepared a plan, extending to the whole economy and organization of the army, which Congress approved and adopted, and the beneficial results of which were immediately visible. On his return from the camp, where he had been detained three months, many other important commissions were entrusted to him, which were discharged with his usual diligence and zeal.

So great were the jealousies prevailing at this eventful period, that not even the most assiduous and devoted fidelity was able to protect him from injurious suspicions. In the year 1775, a letter addressed to him by a friend in London, a loyalist in principle, but containing nothing which indicated any sympathy of sentiment on his part with the writer, was detained on its arrival in New York, as bearing the superscription of a rebel. It was sent thence to Halifax, from which place it was again returned to New York, in a vessel which was thrown on the coast of New Jersey. The mail drifted to the shore, and the unfortunate letter was carried to Trenton, where it appears to have given rise to some unfavorable surmises; but it was not until three years after its date, that it was forwarded

to Mr. Morris by the President of Pennsylvania, who had also become prejudiced against him by its perusal. During the whole period of the war, his mother resided within the lines of the enemy. Her inclinations, as well as those of some of his oldest and most valued friends, were in favor of the royal cause ; and these circumstances only, which certainly are but a slender basis, produced the impression to which we have alluded. Mr. Jay, in a friendly letter, observed to him, that his enemies talked much of his tory connexions ; entreating him at the same time, not to expose himself to unnecessary calumny, and perhaps indignity. The reply of Mr. Morris was characteristic. ‘I will have,’ said he, ‘my revenge. By laboring in the public service, so as to gain the applause of those whose applause is worth gaining, I will punish them severely ;’ and he kept his word. At one time, when the illness of his only surviving parent rendered him extremely anxious to visit her, he felt himself compelled not only to lay aside a plan which he had formed for that purpose, but to publish a statement of these facts, and a refutation of the calumnies, which the disinterestedness of his patriotic efforts was not able wholly to subdue.

During the session of Congress of which we have already spoken, Mr. Morris prepared, for the use of that body, a statement of the situation of public affairs ; in which the condition of the military department and the more intricate subject of the finances, were treated with uncommon ability. He also opened a correspondence with Washington and Greene, and seconded with all his power, their efforts to place the various departments of public business on a more eligible footing. The British Commissioners arrived this year, with the conciliatory bills and propositions of the British ministry ; the conclusion of treaties with France was not yet known in this country,—and some apprehensions were entertained, that the English plan of conciliation might win its way to the favor of the people. The task of giving a proper direction to the popular sentiment upon this subject, was confided to Mr. Morris ; and he had hardly done this, in an able report, which was unanimously accepted by the Congress, before he was again called upon to prepare an address to the people of America, on the occasion of the ratification of the long expected treaty with France. The effect of this address, which was very great, is the best evidence of its merit. To these labors, was added that of drawing up a statement of the unsuccessful attempt of the British to

effect a reconciliation. This was published, under the title of Observations on the American Revolution, and attracted much attention in this country and abroad. He was again called upon to prepare the first instructions given by the United States to any diplomatic agent,—those which were sent to Dr. Franklin, as Minister Plenipotentiary at the Court of Versailles; and in connexion with them, he wrote Observations on the Finances of America, which Dr. Franklin was directed, by the President of Congress, to lay before the French ministry. Early in the next year, he was placed at the head of a committee, who were directed to consider certain important despatches from the American Commissioners abroad, and communications from the French minister in this country. The report of this committee is considered by his biographer, both as respected its character and consequences, as the most important that was brought forward during the war. It became the basis of the peace which was ultimately concluded, and comprehended all the points, at that time deemed essential to an accommodation of our differences with England. In the protracted debates to which this report gave rise, Mr. Morris took an active and leading share; and the results of the discussions were embodied by him into instructions to the ministers appointed to negotiate a peace, which were accepted by Congress without any alteration.

Mr. Morris had been twice elected a member of Congress by the Legislature of New York; and the rapid sketch which we have just given, is sufficient to convey a tolerably correct impression of the extent and variety of his labors in that office. At the expiration of his second term, another person was elected in his place. The reasons which induced the Legislature to adopt this course, cannot now be ascertained; but if tradition is to be relied upon, the only offence of which he was accused, was a disposition to attend too exclusively to the affairs of the nation, and too little to those of his own State; a charge which, fairly interpreted, undoubtedly implies any thing rather than a just reproach. It serves to show, that he understood the nature of his official duty, and pursued it with untiring industry and zeal; giving his hand and heart to those great interests, on which the welfare of the nation was suspended; not loving his own State less,—for there is no reason to believe that he neglected any portion of his duty,—but his whole country more. However this may have been, he immediately returned

to his old profession after his retirement from office, and established himself in 1780, in Philadelphia, in the practice of the law. But it was impossible for a mind like his, to divest itself at once of its accustomed interest in public concerns. The credit of the country was at this time completely prostrated; all the wretched expedients of paper money and forced certificates had been tried, and found wanting; every eye was now open to the full extent of the evil, but the boldest shrunk from the attempt to suggest any practicable remedy; in one word, the fountains of public credit were broken up, and the waters out, and no man dared to arrest their course, hardly even to measure the extent of the devastation. The voice of Mr. Morris was again heard with its usual energy and power. The tone of his writings, which were widely circulated and eagerly read, was open, bold, and manly; but the remedy which he proposed, the funding of the debt, and a resort to direct taxation for its payment, though the only one, perhaps, that could be considered commensurate with the evil, was beyond the limited power of the Congress, and the exhausted means and spirit of the people; though his exertions undoubtedly did much to produce the fortunate change, which was shortly after made. But his labors were interrupted by an accident, which occurred almost at the beginning of his new professional career. His horses took fright, as he was driving in the streets of Philadelphia, and he was thrown from his carriage on the pavement with such violence, as to fracture the bones of his leg, and to render amputation necessary. He sustained this operation with great composure, and supplied the loss by what his biographer says was scarcely more than a 'rough stick' fitted to the limb. This he was never afterwards induced to relinquish for any of the more ornamental substitutes, by which modern ingenuity has nearly removed the inconveniences of the privation.

In 1781, Congress became sensible of the necessity of erecting several departments, similar to those which now exist, in order to give greater vigor and efficiency to their executive authority. Robert Morris, a man who has hardly yet received his just deserts of praise, was placed at the head of that of finance. The wisdom of the choice was amply justified by the result; for it is very doubtful, whether any other individual in the country combined so comprehensive a knowledge of the subject, with that firmness and decision, which at

once attract the public confidence. In accepting the office, he made it a condition, that he should be invested with the power of appointing and removing at his pleasure, all officers, who were in any way connected with his department; and it is a striking evidence of the respect in which his personal qualities were held, that these terms were readily complied with. Provision had been made for the appointment of an assistant financier; this office he immediately offered to Gouverneur Morris, with whom he had long been connected by private intimacy, though not, as has been frequently supposed, by any nearer tie. No one requires to be informed of the effect of their united efforts, in restoring the public credit and universal confidence. We are warranted in saying, that no persons could have accomplished more than they did; the only real cause of wonder is, that with means so limited, we had almost said, without any means at all, they could have done so much. The detail of their operations belongs to the province of history.

Mr. Morris continued to perform the duties of this office for the space of three years, but resigned it at the restoration of peace, and resumed the practice of the law in Philadelphia. Certain charges, relating to his conduct at this period, have been recently brought against him on the high authority of Mr. Justice Johnson, in his Biography of General Greene. Some of these accusations are of a very serious nature. That gentleman has thought proper to infer, from his correspondence with General Greene, that Mr. Morris was the writer of the celebrated Newburgh Letters; but this inference is satisfactorily refuted by General Armstrong's avowal of the authorship, since the publication of Mr. Johnson's work. It is next asserted, that Mr. Morris was desirous of employing the army, for the purpose of establishing an absolute monarchy in this country. No other refutation of this is necessary, as Mr. Sparks very justly observes, than the evidence afforded by his writings and his actions; and we are bound to say, that so far as our knowledge of either extends, they are far from giving the slightest color to such an accusation. These charges, serious enough in themselves, and of a kind which ought certainly never to be hazarded when unsupported by the strongest testimony, are accompanied with an insinuation, which will admit of no other construction, than that Mr. Morris was deeply engaged in speculations with the public creditors; and

this insinuation is presented, as if it bore reference to a well authenticated fact. In the absence of all positive evidence on this subject, Mr. Sparks has resorted to that of a negative character, furnished by the circumstance, that he has found nothing in any records of Mr. Morris's public or private transactions, which gives the least probability to such a suspicion. He was, however, under no necessity of doing this; the want of positive testimony is sufficient to destroy the imputation.

At the death of his mother, in 1786, the paternal estate of Morrisania descended to the brother of Mr. Morris, who was a general officer in the British service. His own portion of his father's property was very small; but with the aid of his friends, he purchased the estate of his brother, and retained the possession of it during the remainder of his life. Before this time, he had entered into extensive commercial speculations in connexion with Robert Morris, and had begun to realize a considerable accession to his fortune. Having now been a resident of Pennsylvania for several years, he was elected a delegate of that State in the Convention which met in 1787, for the purpose of forming the Constitution of the United States. The nature of his engagements was such, that he kept no memoranda of the proceedings of that body; so that the part which he took in its deliberations can be determined only by tradition, and the recollection of those members who survive. It has been generally believed, that he was entitled to the credit of drafting the Constitution, aided only by the mass of materials, which the record of the resolutions and general proceedings of the Convention afforded him. A story had been long in circulation, that he was absent for several days during its session, and on returning, found his friends desponding and disheartened, in consequence of the differences and animosities, which threatened to produce an entire dissolution of the assembly; and that, on the following day, he urged the necessity of harmony and partial sacrifices of local interest and feeling, in order to restore it, with so much eloquence, that he attained his object, and the work went prosperously on. With the view of ascertaining the credit due to these stories, Mr. Sparks addressed a letter to Mr. Madison upon the subject. That gentleman stated in his reply, that the last anecdote, though correct in some of its particulars, had no substantial foundation. Mr. Morris did, in fact, return to the Convention at a critical period,

after a short absence ; it was while the constitution of the Senate was the subject of debate ; the principle of equal representation being warmly supported by the smaller States, and opposed with equal earnestness by the larger ; but Mr. Morris was not an advocate of the compromise which was finally effected ; and though he urged the necessity of union with his wonted ability, yet the topic had been already so much exhausted, and some of his ideas were so little relished, that his exertions could not have had much influence in producing the final result. In relation to the other subject of inquiry, Mr. Madison remarks, that ‘the *finish* given to the style and arrangement of the Constitution fairly belongs to the pen of Mr. Morris ; the task having probably been handed over to him by the chairman of the committee, himself a highly respectable member, and with the ready concurrence of the others. A better choice could not have been made, as the performance of the task proved. It is true, that the state of the materials, consisting of a reported draft in detail, and subsequent resolutions accurately penned, was a good preparation for the symmetry and phraseology of the instrument ; but there was sufficient room for the talent and taste, stamped by the author on the face of it. The alterations made by the committee are not recollected. They were not such, as to impair the merit of the composition.’ It thus appears, by the liberal testimony of the venerable individual, to whom, perhaps, more than to any other man, we owe the blessings which the adoption of this great instrument has brought upon us, that the honor due to Gouverneur Morris, as the writer of our Constitution, is hardly inferior to that which belongs to Mr. Jefferson, as the author of the Declaration of our Independence. The task of both was rendered lighter, by the previous discussion of the subject, and the principles which others had defended and laid down ; but after making all allowances, no ordinary share of honor still remains ; and if it be the glory of the one, that he embodied in the most splendid and imposing form the principles which led our country on in the course of prosperity and triumph, it is equally the praise of the other, that he imparted symmetry and beauty to the structure reared by the united labors of many illustrious minds, which stands, like some majestic monument of ancient art, the example and wonder of the nations.

There is another circumstance, most honorable to the fra-

mers of our Constitution, which ought never to pass from the remembrance of Americans. It is well known, that the plan of government projected and proposed by Hamilton, differed essentially from that which was adopted. Every other member of the Convention had probably some favorite views of his own, which he found it necessary to surrender; in fact, the whole system was eventually established on the basis of compromise, and a liberal sacrifice of personal feelings and local interests to the universal good. Mr. Morris, for example, was desirous that the Senate should be composed of men of large property, who should hold their seats for life; and in order the more effectually to secure the object of rendering them in a measure independent on the popular will, that all vacancies in the body should be filled by the Executive. His purpose seems to have been,—to use an expression which he afterwards applied to the case of France,—to make Government, not a weathercock to show the direction of the hurricane, but a tower to resist its force. The expression is too strong to form a precise illustration of his views; but such in general was his theory; and it is not surprising, that where there was no experience to direct or modify their speculations, many patriots should have entertained sentiments at variance with those prevailing at the present day. But their love of country was stronger than their love of theory. No sooner was the Constitution framed, than they devoted all the resources of their ability and influence, to procure its adoption by the people. All former differences of sentiment were at once forgotten; the costliest of sacrifices, that of the pride of long cherished and deliberate opinion, was laid at once upon the altar. For some unexplained reason, which is supposed by his biographer to have been the multiplicity of his private occupations, Mr. Morris declined the invitation of Hamilton, to become one of the writers of the *Federalist*; but his exertions in support of the Constitution were very vigorous and effective. There is something manly in the way in which he speaks of it, in a letter addressed to a gentleman in France. ‘I have many reasons to believe,’ says he, ‘that it was the work of plain honest men; and such, I think, it will appear. Faulty it must be, for what is perfect?’ ‘Should it take effect, the affairs of this country will put on a much better aspect than they have yet worn, and America will soon be as much respected abroad, as she has for some time past been disregarded.’

In 1788, Mr. Morris gratified his early inclination to visit Europe, and sailed for France. After a tedious passage, he reached his destination, and arrived in Paris at the time, when the series of events which terminated in the Revolution was in threatening and rapid progress. We may here mention, that during his long residence abroad, it was his custom to keep a full and minute diary of occurrences, from which his biographer has given so many interesting extracts, as to induce us to regret, that he could not favor us with more. Nothing could be more interesting, than the remarks of so keen and intelligent an observer, on the thousand objects of curiosity that met his eye ; at a period, when, though all as yet was sunshine, the whole constitution of society in France was undergoing a most thorough and perplexing change. Mr. Morris was inclined, either from a prophetic anticipation of consequences, or from the associations which he formed immediately after his arrival, to look upon the gloomy side of the picture. His feelings were early enlisted in opposition to the revolutionary principle, and he regarded the plans of the moderate reformers as too revolutionary in their tendency ; so that in the political and literary circles, into which his talent and reputation gave him immediate admission, he entered with the most ardent interest and zeal, into the schemes which were formed to counteract them. Owing to this, there was little cordiality between him and Lafayette, though they regarded each other with mutual respect, and there was no interruption of their intercourse. He appears to have interfered with as little scruple in the affairs of France at that time, as the European powers have since ; and it is curious to remark, to what extent he was not unfrequently involved in them. The following extracts from his diary require no comment, and are interesting, as exhibiting his observation and judgment in regard to individuals, as well as political affairs.

' March 27th, 1789. At three, the Maréchal de Castries calls, and takes me to dine with Monsieur and Madame Necker. In the *salon* we found Madame. She seems to be a woman of sense, and somewhat of the masculine in her character. A little before dinner, Monsieur enters. He has the look and manner of the counting-house, and being dressed in embroidered velvet, he contrasts strongly with his habiliments. His bow, his address, say, "I am the man." Our company is one half academicians. The Duchess of Biron, formerly Lauzun, is one. I observe that

M. Necker seems occupied by ideas, which rather distress him. He cannot, I think, stay in office half an hour, after the nation insists on keeping him there. He is now much harassed, and Madame receives continually *mémoires* from different people; so that she seems as much occupied as he is. If he is really a very great man, I am deceived: and yet this is a rash judgment. If he is not a laborious man, I am also deceived.

'April 20th. If the Court should attempt now to recede, it is impossible to conjecture the event. The chiefs of the patriotic party have gone so far, that they cannot retreat with safety. If there be any real vigor in the nation, the prevailing party in the States-General may, if they please, overturn the monarchy itself, should the king commit his authority to a contest with them. The Court is extremely feeble, and the manners are so extremely corrupt, that they cannot succeed, if there be any consistent opposition, unless the whole nation be equally depraved. The probability, I think, is, that an attempt to retreat, at this late period of the business, would bring the Court into absolute contempt.

'June 6th. Dine with Mr. Jefferson. He has just received some news from America, where all is going on well. Sit pretty long at table and stay to tea. At ten go to sup with Madame de Flahaut, who is ill; but eats supper, and is of course much worse after having eaten. The States-General seem to approach a little more towards accommodation. The Bishop of Autun (Talleyrand) who is one of our company, and an intimate friend of Madame Flahaut, appears to me a sly, cool, cunning, ambitious, and malicious man. I know not why conclusions so disadvantageous to him are formed in my mind; but so it is, and I cannot help it.

'June 23d. Go to Versailles and call on Monsieur de la Luzeerne, but both he and his lady are out of town. Thence to Madame de Tessé's, who gives me a cordial reception, complaining, however, of my politics. The King has this day, in his *Séance royale*, pleased the nobility, and very much displeased the *Tiers*. I find it difficult to learn exactly what has passed, but it seems to me, that the nobility have less cause for exultation than they imagine. At dinner I sit next to Monsieur de Lafayette, who tells me that I injure the cause, for that my sentiments are continually quoted against the good party. I seize the opportunity to tell him, that I am opposed to the democracy from regard to liberty. That I see they are going headlong to destruction, and would fain stop them if I could. That their views respecting this nation are totally inconsistent with the materials of which it is composed; and that the worst thing which could happen, would be to grant their wishes. He tells me, that

he is sensible his party are mad, and tells them so, but is not the less determined to die with them. I tell him that it would be quite as well to bring them to their senses, and live with them.

' Sept. 26th. After dinner, Madame de Tessé having told her, [Madame de Staël] that I am *un homme d'esprit*, she singles me out and makes *a talk*. Asks if I have not written a book on the American Constitution,—“*Non, Madame, j'ai fait mon devoir en assistant à la formation de cette Constitution.*”—“*Mais, Monsieur, votre conversation doit être très intéressante, car je vous entendis cité de toute part.*”—“*Ah, Madame, je ne suis pas digne de cet éloge.*”—How I lost my leg? It was unfortunately not in the military service of my country. “*Monsieur, vous avez l'air très imposant,*” and this is accompanied with that look, which, without being what Sir John Falstaff calls the “leer of invitation,” amounts to the same thing.

' November 3d. This morning, at half past eight, the Bishop of Autun calls on me, and we breakfast. He tells me, that M. de Poix is to visit M. de Lafayette this morning, in order to make terms for Mirabeau. We talk a little about M. de Lafayette, his worth, and what he is worth. At nine, go to visit him.

' Lafayette asks the Bishop, what he thinks of a new ministry. He says, that nobody but M. Necker can sustain the famine and bankruptcy, which appear unavoidable. Lafayette asks, if he does not think it would be right to prepare a ministry for some months hence. The Bishop thinks it would. They discuss characters a little, and, as if *par hazard*, Lafayette asks, whether Mirabeau's influence in the assembly is great, to which the Bishop replies, that it is not enormous. The Bishop says, that he cannot think of a new ministry, unless it be entire. Lafayette agrees to this, and says, that in this moment the friends of liberty ought to unite, and to understand each other. At coming away, the Bishop observes, that Lafayette has no fixed plan, which is true.'

In January, 1790, Mr. Morris received credentials from General Washington as a private agent, for the transaction of important business with the British ministry. The situation of affairs in France was at this time, by no means favorable to the monarchy. The torrent of the Revolution was rolling so impetuously on, that no man might venture to arrest its progress. It was with almost expiring hope, that he addressed a note to the Queen before his departure, advising that the King should by no means place himself at the head of the Revolution, but suffer things to take their course; or in other words, should lash the helm, and trust to the mercy of the winds and current. He arrived at London in the following month. Some of the

stipulations of the treaty of peace had not yet been executed by England ; particularly those, in which she engaged to make compensation for the negroes taken from the Southern States, and to surrender the fortified posts on the Western frontier. Mr. Morris was instructed to ascertain the intentions of the British Government on these points ; and to inquire, whether they were disposed to enter into a commercial treaty with the United States, or intended to send a minister to America. No sooner had he arrived in London, than he communicated the objects of his mission in confidence, to the French minister at that court, M. de la Luzerne, in order that Congress might appear to take no steps relating to the treaty of peace, without the knowledge of their old ally ; apparently overlooking the fact, that he had no authority to take any decisive measures, but merely to ascertain whether any could be taken. At all events, the communication did more credit to his frankness than his prudence ; for M. de la Luzerne, thinking the secret far too valuable to be kept, contrived to persuade the British ministry, that the whole business originated with the court of France ; and in this way it was made public in the United States, where, as will be seen presently, this openness of Mr. Morris operated greatly to his disadvantage. It is apparent, from various circumstances, that his ready penetration and knowledge of human nature, were not combined with that spirit of finesse, which distinguished the diplomacy of Europe at the time. As it happened, the mission was altogether fruitless. The British cabinet were yet uncertain whether they should be involved in war, and were willing to postpone any demonstrations of friendship towards this country, until our friendship should be of greater value to them. Shortly afterwards, when war with France came on, they entered on a course of amicable relations with the utmost alacrity.

After an absence of several months, Mr. Morris abandoned his ineffectual mission and returned to Paris. He there found the King seated upon a tottering throne, nearly at the mercy of the popular leaders ; and he entered again with as much interest and zeal into the political divisions of the hour, as if he had had no other business to do. It is a curious indication of the activity of his mind, that he found time and inclination to attend to them, amidst the pressure of private occupations, growing out of a series of most extensive and perplexing commercial speculations, which were of themselves sufficient to engross all

the leisure and faculties of an ordinary man. A few extracts from his diary will exhibit the manner, in which he made the affairs of France his own.

‘ *Jan. 25th, 1790.* At three o’clock, go to dine with Madame de Staël, who is not yet come in. The Abbé Syèyes is here, and descants with much self-sufficiency on Government, despising all that has been said or sung on that subject before him; and Madame says, that his writings and opinions will form in politics a new era, as those of Newton in physics.

‘ *May 1st.* After dinner, I have a long conversation with M. de Montmorin, in the course of which I show him a note I have made on their situation. He begs me to let him have it, and I give it, but with the injunction, that none but their majesties shall know from whence it comes. I inform him of what has been done with the chiefs of the Jacobins. He tells me how the ministers stand in that respect. He assures me, that they can do nothing with the King, but through him.

‘ *May 20th.* Montmorin tells me, that he considers the assembly as finished, and this gives me a poor opinion of his sagacity. A few days ago, he was in trepidation, but now in a kind of security, both unfounded. He fears, however, yet, for the person of the King. He says that different people are urging him to do different things, but that he sees nothing to be done. I tell him to remain quiet, for the assembly are now doing every thing they can for the King, with the intention of doing every thing they can against him.

‘ *Oct. 1st.* Ask M. de Montmorin for my discourse, [prepared for the King to deliver on taking the oath to support the Constitution]. He promises on his honor to give it me. I desire him to give the King my letter about subsistence. That I care nothing for the event, but it is his duty to lay the matter before his majesty. I ask him, who made the King’s speech, which is excellent. He assures me, that the ground-work is by the King himself. I desire him to make the King observe the difference of effect between this and the long stories, which they made him tell heretofore. He says that he has already done so.

‘ *Dec. 14th.* Inform the Minister of Marine,—M. de Molleville,—that I have prepared some notes on a Constitution to show him. He says he has sounded the King on the subject, who has commanded him to attend to it.

‘ *Dec. 21st.* The Bishop of Autun observes to me, that the Jacobins have not been able to raise a riot about their address. I tell him, that since the frolic at the *Champ de Mars*, there is little danger of riots, because the people are not very fond of them, when they find that death is a game which two can play at. He

says that the King is in wondrous high spirits, since his *veto*s have gone off easily, and that he will apply them every now and then. Poor King!

Jan. 22d, 1791. This morning prepare for my departure. Vic d'Azyr, the Queen's physician, comes in, and tells me that he has been to my lodgings at the request of her majesty, to desire, if I learn any thing in England interesting to them, that I would communicate it.'

The journey here alluded to, was on the occasion of a visit to London ; where he had been but a few days, before he received intelligence of his appointment as Minister Plenipotentiary of the United States at the court of France. This office was undoubtedly one, on which his wishes had long been fixed ; but it was not obtained without serious opposition. His communication with the French Minister in London, which has been already mentioned ; the ill-success attending his attempt at negotiation there ; and, more than all, his hostility to the revolutionary principle, were urged against him with so much zeal, that his nomination was confirmed by the Senate only by a majority of five. General Washington thought it necessary, in a private letter, to intimate to him the propriety of greater circumspection ; and his advice was not lost upon Mr. Morris. It is needless to say, that the charges brought against him, so far as they were well founded, involved no imputation upon his character ; they only proved, that he occasionally overstepped the limits of prudence, while following the suggestions of his warm and independent feelings. From this time, he seems to have resolved to interfere no farther in French affairs, than his official duty might require ; but he considered it as a part of that duty to secure the personal safety of the King, who was now divested even of the shadow of authority. A scheme was concerted between him and several others, for the removal of the royal family from Paris, and arrangements were made, which promised to ensure the success of the enterprise ; but, on the morning fixed for their departure, the unfortunate monarch, no less irresolute in adversity than he had been feeble in his prosperous fortunes, renounced the project, and it was of necessity abandoned. He afterwards sent, however, his thanks to Mr. Morris for his counsels, expressing at the same time his deep regret that he had not followed them. But the fatal 10th of August came, when all farther aid was unavailing ; indeed the personal situation of Mr. Morris was such, as completely to engross his

time and thoughts. The Girondists were sinking beneath the furious attacks of the Jacobins, though not yet wholly subject to their influence ; but it cannot be supposed, that even the Girondists regarded the American Minister with a favorable eye. Mr. Short, his predecessor, had been instructed to negotiate loans to discharge a portion of our debt to France, and large sums were lying in the hands of the bankers of the United States in Holland, which might be devoted to that purpose. When Mr. Morris was accredited as Minister, the former gentleman considered his agency in this business at an end ; but no instructions relating to the subject had been communicated to Mr. Morris. It was, however, agreed between them, that a payment should be made. Before this could be done, the King was suspended, and the old government destroyed. By the advice of Mr. Morris, Mr. Short, notwithstanding his doubts of the propriety of such a measure, was induced to complete the payment of the amount in question, to the French Executive Council ; but the transaction was so far from meeting with the approbation of our Government, that they ordered all further payments to be at once suspended. The Executive Council were anxious to induce our Government to pay an additional portion of this debt in the United States, to be expended in purchasing provisions for the inhabitants of St. Domingo ; a part of it having been already paid in this manner. This was objected to by Mr. Morris, on the ground of his want of authority, an objection, which was treated by the Council as idle and evasive ; and their tone at length became so violent and insulting, that he was induced to demand his passports, with the view of leaving the country. Finding their menaces ineffectual, they at length wrote him a letter, which was designed as an apology, and his intention was relinquished. But violence and insult were reduced to system, by the French Government of that eventful period. Depredations were continually made upon our commerce, of which the Minister was compelled to complain and demand reparation, without success. In addition to this neglect of the Government of France, he was harassed with the murmurs of our own citizens, to whom he was unable to afford any effectual aid. The Republic at length solicited his recall, by way of indemnity for that of Citizen Genet, which the extravagances of that original diplomatist had compelled our own Government to demand. The request was, from motives of policy, complied with by Washing-

ton. Mr. Sparks is of opinion, that Mr. Morris was not particularly gratified with this proceeding, as no complaint of any description had been previously urged against him, and he had certainly conducted himself with great firmness and dignity under very difficult circumstances ; but the portions of his diary and correspondence, which are given in these volumes, express no other feeling than that of satisfaction, at being relieved from a harassing and thankless office.

It was his first intention to return to the United States ; but he altered this determination, and passed the seven following years in Europe, fixing his residence for the most part at Altona, but travelling often to visit the most interesting courts and countries. We are not at liberty to follow him in all his various journeys, and must confine ourselves to a few brief extracts from his diary, to give some impression of the manner in which he spent this portion of his life. There are one or two circumstances, however, which present his character in a light so favorable, that we cannot suffer them to pass unnoticed. Lafayette, as every one knows, when the Constitutional party in France had been borne down by the violence of the Jacobins, attempted to find refuge in flight, and was thrown into the prison of Olmutz. This happened before Mr. Morris was recalled ; and it need hardly be said, that he forgot all former differences of sentiment, in his anxiety for the welfare of his old and valued friend, and used all the exertions in his power to procure his release. He prepared a letter to be addressed by Madame de Lafayette to the King of Prussia, in which he vainly appealed to those sentiments of magnanimity and honor, which this monarch might, by courtesy, be supposed to possess ; directed the banker of the United States to forward to the distinguished prisoner the sum of ten thousand florins, for the payment of which he made himself responsible ; and loaned to Madame de Lafayette one hundred thousand livres, from his private fortune. When this lady was brought to Paris and imprisoned, he interested himself warmly in her behalf. He was, however, just retiring from his office, and his efforts were ineffectual to procure her release, though they seem to have partially relieved the horrors of her captivity, and were rewarded by her warm and lasting gratitude. Afterwards, during his visit to Vienna, he endeavored, through Lord Grenville, to interest the British Government in favor of the liberation of Lafayette himself, but in vain. He had received satisfactory assurances, that their intercession would not prove unavailing.

Early in the Revolution, Mr. Morris had been intimately acquainted with the family of Orleans, who were afterwards involved in poverty and distress. He was in Hamburg, when the intelligence of the destitute situation of the Duke, the present King of the French, was communicated to him by a mutual friend. He immediately sent him money to defray the expenses of his journey to that place ; and finding him desirous of visiting the United States, offered him every assistance his resources would allow. The Duke, however, altered his intention, and wandered for some time in Europe, until his funds were completely exhausted. Mr. Morris then relieved him, by placing to his credit the sum of fifteen hundred pounds in London ; and, on learning that he had resolved to visit this country, gave him an unlimited credit on his bankers, during the whole period of his residence here. This liberal and active friendship was deeply felt and acknowledged. In a letter addressed to him in 1795, Louis Philippe says, ‘ It is impossible to feel more than I do, the lively concern which you are so kind as to take in my fate ; and I pray you to receive my assurances of the very ardent and sincere affection, with which you inspire me.’

On the occasion of a visit to London, he says in his diary :

‘ July 15, 1795. This morning at ten, I visit Mr. Pitt. I tell him that, as I presume Lord Grenville has given him the purport of our conversation, it will be best that he should ask me questions. He does so, and I reply to them. Our interview is long, and he is much satisfied with it. I recommend earnestly sending some man with the Count D’Artois, to prevent him from doing foolish things. Ask the parole of Piquet’s sons, which he promises, and to pay them £50 a-piece. He asks me my ideas respecting a future Constitution of France, which I avoid giving as much as possible. Some points, however, are examined.’

‘ November 25. Dress and go to Lord Grenville’s office ; thence to Court. Lord Grenville arrives late. Am presented to the King, who takes me at first for an Englishman ; and not recollecting me, says, “ You have been a good while in the country.” We set him right, and Lord Grenville tells his Majesty, that I was not liked by the ruling powers of France. “ I suppose Mr. Morris is too much attached to regular government.” “ Yes, Sir ; and if your Majesty would send thither your discontented subjects, it would do them much good.” “ Well, if you’ll contrive it for me, I give my hearty consent.” Lord Grenville adds, “ There are enough of them, Sir.” “ Oh, aye, quite enough.” “ I can give your Majesty good news

from the Continent," says Lord Grenville, "General Clairfait is still following the French." "And I, Sir, can give you a piece of intelligence, which I am sure will be agreeable. I am informed from unquestionable authority, that all the lower orders of people in Holland are strongly attached to the Stadholder." "Ah! that's good" (with surprise). "Sir, they have always been so." "Then it is only the aristocratic party which is against him?" "Just so, Sir." "Pray, Mr. Morris, what part of America are you from?" "I am from near New York, Sir; I have a brother, who has the honor to be a Lieutenant-General in your Majesty's service." "Ah! what, you're a brother of General Morris? Yes, I think I see a likeness. But you're much younger?" "Yes, Sir." "Well, and how does your brother do? He's at Plymouth, is n't he?" I afterwards see a petition presented to the King upon his throne, from the University at Oxford.'

Mr. Morris thus relates his interview with the King of Prussia, at Berlin.

'February 17, 1797. I go to Court. The Queen points out to me a young Mademoiselle Reidesel, who was born in America, and christened America. She is a fine girl, and when she comes down the dance, I tell her in presence of her Majesty, that I reclaim my countrywoman. After some time, the King speaks to me, and, when on the subject of America, I tell him, that if the French persist in their present conduct, and drive us to extremities, Spain will not retain an inch of ground in the new world. That his Majesty has a direct interest in such events, and a considerable one. But a ball-room is not a fitting place to discuss such subjects. On the finances of Great Britain, I repeated (as having already mentioned it to his ministers,) that the resources of that country are immense. Upon which he observes, that they were so much the more to blame for having attempted to tax us; and this it was, which led to what I have already noted. After some trifling things, I tell him that I have just seen his best friend. He asks who? and, to his great surprise, I reply, the Emperor. He speaks of him well, personally; and I observe that he is a very honest young man; to which his Majesty replies by asking, '*Mais, que pensez vous de Thugut?*' "*Quant à cela, c'est une autre affaire, Sire.*" I had stated the interest, which makes him and the Emperor good friends, to be their mutual apprehensions from Russia. "But suppose we all three unite?" "*Ce sera un diable de fricasée, Sire, si vous vous mettez tous les trois à casser les œufs.*" On the subject of Austria, I tell him they would do very well, if he would lend them a few of his generals. *Mais nous en avons besoin pour nous memes.*" "*Pas à présent, Sire, vous êtes en paix.*" He finds that, if this conversation continues, he may commit himself, and so pauses.'

We must pass more rapidly over the events of Mr. Morris's later years. He returned to his native country in the winter of 1798, and fixed his residence at his beautiful domain of Morrisania ; having formed the resolution, which has been formed and broken by thousands before him, never again to embark on the tempestuous ocean of politics. Here he employed himself in embellishing his grounds, and erecting a new and elegant mansion, until he was elected by the Legislature of New York to fill a vacancy in the Senate of the United States, when his previous determination was at once abandoned. Before he took his seat, he exhibited his forensic skill in a celebrated cause in Albany, in opposition to Hamilton, and came off with credit from the encounter ; though the ardor of the conflict occasioned a temporary suspension of their friendly intercourse. He arrived in Washington, while the contest for the Presidency between Mr. Jefferson and Burr was going on. His political prepossessions were in unison with those of the federal party ; but in this instance he differed from them, on the ground, that the public sentiment was evidently favorable to the election of Mr. Jefferson. On the subject of the Louisiana treaty, also, his views were opposed to those of his political friends ; but in general, he took an active and very decided part against the measures of the administration ; and much of his traditional reputation rests upon his eloquent and powerful speeches against the repeal of the Judiciary Act of 1799. His term of office expired in 1803. From this time, it may be said of his political opinions, that they did not differ very essentially from those of Mr. Ames. He looked upon the course of our national affairs as full of peril ; but his temperament was not, like that of our eminent fellow-citizen, inclined to gloom ; and amidst all his anticipations of evil, there prevailed a tone of encouragement and hope. He was married in 1816, to Miss Randolph, of Virginia. It was impossible, however, for a mind like his to remain inactive, though withdrawn from any share in the management of political affairs. For the last six years of his life, he devoted himself almost exclusively to the task of establishing the communication between the river Hudson and lake Erie, the execution of which he was not fortunate enough to see. Many have believed, that he was the first suggester of this great enterprise ; so says his biographer, and brings many interesting facts to justify the assertion. They certainly prove, that he is

entitled to share the honors of that distinguished man, to whom the completion of this magnificent work is commonly attributed. He was thus engaged in the most useful public labors, enjoying at the same time the exercise of liberal hospitality, and the means of comfort which an ample fortune could afford him, until a sudden illness, on the 6th of November, 1816, put a period to his long and valuable life.

The person of Mr. Morris is described by his biographer as well-proportioned and commanding. His features were regular and expressive; and his manners dignified and easy. The traits of his intellectual character may perhaps be most properly inferred, from a contemplation of the sphere in which he acted. It would obviously have been allowed to no inferior mind, at a period when the powers are hardly ripened into maturity, to stand in the foremost rank of that remarkable assemblage of powerful understandings and manly hearts, who guided our country's destinies in its darkest hours. No man could have been the intimate and familiar friend of Washington, and Hamilton, and Jay, and Jefferson, throughout that long period of difficulty and danger, in whose ability as well as moral qualities, their fullest confidence could not be properly reposed. But this is not the only basis, on which his reputation for talent is to rest. Our imperfect delineation of his public labors has sufficiently shown, and the narrative of Mr. Sparks shows still more clearly, that his mind was of a superior order. Its grasp was vigorous, keen, and comprehensive; its decisions were rapid and unhesitating. If there were any infirmity about it, it was that of too much quickness in forming its conclusions, and of too confident reliance upon its own real power. But this confidence was generally justified by the result; and the only wonder is, that with his vivid imagination, and almost enthusiastic feeling, it did not betray him more frequently into error. He plunged at once into the mysterious labyrinth of our disordered finances, when many of the boldest spirits were disheartened by the intricacies of the way, and when the subject was not familiar, as it is now. It required talent to trace its perplexities, and independence to bring them to the light. The complicated relations of our country with other nations were comprehended by none more clearly, than by him; his speculations upon the motives and policy of the cabinets of Europe were always intelligent, and generally just; and he possessed that knowledge of human character, and that sagacity

to penetrate the secret thought through the disguised countenance, which, had they been unaccompanied by abruptness of manner, and indifference to others, would have left him without many rivals as a diplomatist and statesman. It was not so with his literary taste. His writings on political subjects were full of sententiousness and vigor; but that portion of them which may be regarded as purely literary,—among which, his oration on the Restoration of the Bourbons is most generally known,—were not unfrequently disfigured by false ornament, and an ambitious brilliancy of style, which he appears to have borrowed from the French writers. It was the senate and the bar, to which his eloquence was best adapted; there, he forgot the propensity to be elegant in the importance of his subject. There were not many orators of his time, who surpassed him in the proper qualities of legislative argument and forensic controversy.

We are indebted to Mr. Sparks, for the following apparently impartial delineation of his moral qualities.

' His acute powers of mind, a thorough consciousness of his own strength, and his quick sense of the ridiculous, joined to a lofty independence of thought, often betrayed him into a forwardness of manner, a license of expression, and an indulgence of his humor, little suited to soothe the pride, or flatter the vanity, or foster the self-love, or win the esteem of those about him. He might dazzle by his genius, surprise by his novel flights of fancy, amuse by his wit, and confound by his arguments, and thus extort the tribute of admiration, but fail in gaining the willing applause of love. No man was better acquainted with the forms and etiquette of society, none had moved more widely in the circles of fashion and rank, or examined with a keener scrutiny the deep fountains of the human passions, or knew better how to touch the springs of men's motives, yet this rare intuition, this more rare experience, and this great knowledge, did little towards modifying the tendencies of his nature, or diverting the first bent of his mind. He was sometimes overbearing in conversation. At any rate, when he spoke, he expected to be heard. There is an anecdote illustrative of this point. At a breakfast table, he was in close conversation with a gentleman, to whose harangue he had listened patiently, till it was his turn to reply. He began accordingly, but the gentleman was inattentive, and a bad listener. "Sir," said Mr. Morris, "if you will not attend to my argument, I will address myself to the tea-pot," and went on with much animation of tone and gesture, till he had finished his replication.

' But this defect, after all, was only a spot on the surface. It did not penetrate the substance, nor taint any interior feeling. It was in fact no more than the excess of some of the higher qualities, not duly balanced by others, which are little valued or esteemed. It was independence, frankness, self-respect, without the usual mixture of cautious reserve, forbearance, and timidity. It was boldness without hypocrisy, confidence without fear, and dignity without dissimulation. It did not touch the heart. The noble and generous virtues bloomed luxuriantly, and bore rich and abundant fruit. It marred no principle. Justice, truth, charity, integrity, honor, held an uncontrolled empire in his soul, and never lost their influence or authority.

We have already expressed our opinion of the manner, in which Mr. Sparks has executed his task; but we are bound in justice to go farther, and to say, that our limits have prevented us from giving a very accurate idea of the interest attached to his narrative. Some very interesting topics of inquiry, which he has illustrated with much fulness of curious detail, are wholly unnoticed here. In fact, our observations have been confined exclusively to the first volume, which contains the biography of Mr. Morris. The two others are devoted to selections from his correspondence, together with some of his other writings; among which are three of his speeches in the Senate of the United States, an address to the Assembly of Pennsylvania on the abolition of the Bank of North America, and his Notes on the form of a Constitution for France. We could wish to set before our readers some specimens of the correspondence, because, though almost wholly of a political character, it is full of interest, and displays very clearly some of the most striking characteristics of the author's mind. But we must refer our readers to the work itself: assuring them, that they will derive both profit and pleasure from the perusal. Our object has only been, without adverting to any topics of controversy, or attempting any critical investigations, to give them some particulars of the life of a distinguished man, who has never before received the measure of attention, which may justly be considered as his due.

ART. VII.—*Barber on Elocution.*

*A Grammar of Elocution, containing the Principles of the Arts of Speaking and Reading; illustrated by appropriate Exercises and Examples.* By JONATHAN BARBER. New-Haven. 1830.

For some years past, there appears to have been a growing conviction of the importance of discovering and exhibiting the principles of impressive delivery, in order to its more general attainment. This conviction has led to several publications in this country and England. Steele, Sheridan, Walker, and some others, have done much in aid of the object; not indeed by an actual development of the principles of a just, graceful and forcible elocution; but by useful hints, and by leading succeeding writers to perceive what deficiencies must be supplied, what redundancies curtailed, and what crude and misty conceptions elucidated. In these respects, Dr. Porter's 'Analysis of Rhetorical Delivery' is an improvement upon all the efforts of his predecessors. It has, however, these defects, to mention no others;—it does not analyze speech into its elements; and where its instructions are in the main correct, it is not sufficiently precise and definite, to satisfy him who seeks the exact limits of his author's meaning.

The most successful attempt to exhibit the true elements of speech, and to impart well defined and precise ideas on the subject, is the one made by Dr. James Rush, of Philadelphia, in 1827, in a work, entitled 'The Philosophy of the Human Voice.' The work named at the head of this article, modestly professes to be 'fruit gathered from this vine.' Dr. Rush has observed and recorded facts in relation to voice and speech, in a profound and original manner. Other writers have analyzed sentences into members and words; but he has analyzed speech itself; and has shown, not the integral elements of sentences, but the vocal elements of syllables, and even of letters. Preceding writers appear to have supposed, that the musical staff was useful only to measure the intervals in the voice of song; Dr. Rush has shown it to be also capable of measuring those in the voice of speech.

Dr. Rush's work, however, does not fill the vacancy, which was most obvious among the works on this subject; though, perhaps, but for that production, the vacancy, referred to would

not now have been filled. His work is, as it professes to be, on the philosophy of the human voice. It was not designed to be a manual, for the practical purposes of elementary instruction in the art of speaking; but it supplied the basis and principal materials for such a manual, which Dr. Barber has since published.

Dr. Barber has endeavored, as his preface informs us, to adapt the whole of his work to the purposes of teaching. From the work itself, indeed, we should judge that it was primarily intended as a manual, for the use of such persons as might come under the author's own personal instruction. It has, however, received so large a measure of his effort to render it, in its details, lucid to others, as to be highly valuable to the laborious and philosophical solitary student.

On the importance, and at the same time, the extreme rarity of a truly distinct articulation, Dr. Barber makes some just and discriminating observations. He exhibits the elements of articulation; which he divides into two classes,—vowel and consonant elements. Persevering practice upon these, till the organs, which produce them, effect the necessary changes of position with rapidity, precision, and energy, is considered by this author as indispensable. By 'elements' of articulation, he means, not vowels and consonants, of which syllables are formed; but vocal elements, audible sounds,—two or three of which are often found in a single syllable, of as many letters.

The importance which Dr. Barber attaches to a complete conquest over the difficulties of articulation, may be best learned by a short quotation from his work; and it derives additional weight from the fact, that he is himself a highly accomplished and popular lecturer on Elocution.

'Reading books on elocution, and receiving directions in lectures, have been already tried long enough; and tried in vain. Practice; practice upon a series of elementary tables of the primitive sounds of speech, and their varied combinations, is the only remedy. We therefore advise, that no pupil be ever permitted to proceed to reading or declamation, until distinctness of utterance is ensured by repeated exercises on elementary sounds.' p. 16.

To facilitate the practice on which Dr. Barber so strenuously insists, he has given two tables of elementary sounds;—one of vowel sounds, containing seventeen; the other of the sounds of consonants, containing twenty-nine. On these forty-

six elements alone, in their perfect utterance, depends that very important part of just and elegant elocution,—distinct and faultless articulation.

The vowel elements are considered in the following particulars; ‘their particular structure,’ ‘their explosive power,’ and ‘their capability of prolongation.’ What Dr. Barber terms the ‘explosive power of the vowel elements,’ is a subject which, *à priori*, we should have been likely to pronounce necessarily unintelligible upon paper, or without an oral illustration. But we take pleasure in acknowledging, that such a sentence would have been premature. It is unquestionably difficult to present on paper, such a view of a subject which is appreciable, in its full extent, only by the ear, as shall be intelligible to the mind, and to give such instructions for the generation of sounds, as shall enable him who reads to produce them; and Dr. Barber is entitled to the praise of having performed this difficult task. He has thus shown himself to be possessed of analytical and descriptive talents, which, being directed to the improvement of the work before us, will greatly enhance its value, when it shall pass to a second edition.

The recitation on consonant elements contains several useful tables; the most remarkable and valuable of which is one, which presents these elements in their various combinations; and opposite to each, in the same line, a word, in which the combination of elements, as exhibited, is found. It could scarcely be expected, that such a table should be absolutely perfect in its details. This in truth is not so: but careful observation will enable the author to bring it near perfection; and the next edition will probably exhibit it in a more complete state. This recitation terminates with a collection of sentences, for the purpose of exercise in articulation, in which most of the combinations in the table are found. These sentences may be styled the gymnasium of articulation.—The general considerations presented in the fourth recitation, which treats of voice, in its properties of quality, abruptness, force, time, and pitch, constitute definitions of these several properties, to which there is frequent necessity for recurrence, in the subsequent parts of the volume. Such a study, therefore, of this recitation, as will ensure perfect familiarity with the subject, is indispensable to him who would reap all the advantage attainable by its perusal. It would occupy more of our space than can be thus appropriated, if we should transcribe these

general considerations, which would be injured by an attempt to abridge them. We therefore refer the reader to the volume itself, with a single remark on ‘quality of voice.’ By ‘quality of voice,’ is meant that peculiarity by which individuals are recognised and distinguished, when we hear without seeing them; for each has not only his own degree of the several properties of voice, viz. depth, fulness, smoothness, sweetness, and strength, or their opposites, but his own peculiar modification of that degree; so that no two persons can naturally exhibit the same ‘quality of voice.’

In his recitation on the slide of the voice, Dr. Barber has presented us with a practical demonstration of Dr. Rush’s theory, that the slides of the voice in speech are as measurable by the musical staff, as in song. He does, we think, demonstrate to every attentive reader who will make the experiments he describes, that these slides are those of a tone, a third, a fifth, and an octave; and also, in what cases these several movements, or slides, are properly and expressively employed, whether ascending or descending.

Scarcely any of the uses of voice is more important to solemn and dignified delivery, than power over the quantity of vocal elements. Without such a power, indeed, it is impossible that a speaker should so deliver himself, whatever may be his subject, as to leave an impression of its solemnity and dignity on the minds of his hearers. There are, in the human voice, as its Creator has constituted it, certain symbols of the emotions of mind. If, therefore, solemnity, arising from the nature of the subject he is discussing, pervade the speaker’s mind, the Author of our being has furnished the voice with the means of propagating through his audience the same solemnity. To produce this effect, however, these very means must be employed, and not others instead of them. Now one of the most important of these means, is lengthened quantity in vocal elements. If, therefore, in the words which these elements constitute, extended quantity be not employed, the speaker, however eloquent, would fail in producing the emotion which he desires to propagate.

The immortal German bard, Handel, well understood the power of quantity in vocal elements. An examination of his works will prove, that wherever the sentiment he desired to harmonize is solemn, he has employed words to convey it, the elements of which are capable of extended quantity; and

where the syllables possess this capacity, he has concurred with nature, by setting them to long, rather than short notes. One example may suffice to prove the truth of this remark. The sentiment of the song of the heavenly choir in ‘To the Cherubim,’ is singularly solemn, and the words are admirably adapted to convey the sentiment. A majority of the syllables admit of long drawn time ; and the composer has availed himself of this natural capacity of the syllables, and has adapted them to notes of lengthened duration. Many of the syllables are, in the voice of song, capable of indefinite extension ; and he has accordingly adapted them to notes or strains of considerable length. The movement of the song is rather slow, and in this slow movement, he never adapts a long syllable or element to a short note ; and sometimes extends such a syllable through two, three, and even five measures on a single element. And this is not accident, but the result of design, for he never dwells on a short syllable, throughout the song. There is doubtless as wide a difference between the laws, as between the voices of speech and song ; but this feature is common to both ;—that dignity and solemnity are expressed by grave movements, and these are made by extended duration on the individual syllables or notes which constitute them. It was a delicate instinct or rather inspiration of nature, which taught the composer to lengthen the notes to which long syllables were adapted, when he designed to express solemnity of sentiment ; and the same inspiration will teach the reader or speaker, under similar circumstances, to give long quantity to similar syllables. How destitute of solemnity must have been the character of the strain, had the words ‘Holy, Holy, Holy,’ been set in a rapid movement to semiquavers ! Yet not more so, than if, in reading them, the same words were uttered in that short quantity which is heard in the utterance of the imaginary sentence, ‘Rapid inundation,’ ‘Holy, Holy, Holy.’ Now what is it, which has deprived these words of all their solemnity ? It is simply divesting them of that symbol of this quality, with which our Creator has enabled us to clothe them, and dressing them in a garb adapted to the expression of another and an opposite sentiment. Short quantity and rapid enunciation, associated with such words, are obviously out of their proper place.

Yet let it not be supposed, that in order to grave and dignified delivery, all the open vowels are to be indefinitely

lengthened. This would be intolerable affectation, and would infallibly create disgust. We say there can be no impressive delivery, without power over quantity in open vowels ; but to lengthen indefinitely all such vowels, would be such an abuse of the power as nature does not prompt, and therefore the ear would not endure. In this as in other things, ‘ wisdom is profitable to direct ;’ and here the value of Dr. Barber’s work is perceived. It contains the precepts of this wisdom ; it leads the speaker or reader to perceive the importance of acquiring this power over quantity, the means of acquiring it, the proper places for employing it, and the various kinds of sentiment, to the expression of which the several modifications of quantity are adapted ; and may thus be no inconsiderable auxiliary in the formation and improvement of style. On this part of his subject, as on others, Dr. Barber has made successful efforts to produce a practical work. We have said, that the inspirations of nature will lead the reader or speaker to the proper use of quantity ; but these inspirations are imparted only to distinguished genius. Must good speaking then be confined to such ? The book before us is an answer to this question. In it, the connexion between the right use of extended quantity and just and impressive delivery is intelligibly exhibited, not merely to the favored child of genius, but to any man of sound common sense ; such a man is shown where and how to employ it. With this book in his hand, and with patient and persevering effort and diligent study, unless prevented by absolute organic defects, he will attain a high degree of perfection in delivery.

The recitation on the ‘ measure of speech’ is highly interesting and important. It is interesting, as it develops physiological facts relative to the structure of the organs of speech, which demonstrate the wisdom and goodness of the Author of our being ; and it is important, inasmuch as by a knowledge of these facts, the art of public speaking may be practised to a great extent, through a long series of years and with great energy, not only without prejudice to health, but with an evident tendency to its preservation. No abridgement of this recitation can do justice to its author. We shall therefore not attempt one ; but content ourselves with simply recommending to every speaker, who is concerned for the preservation of his health and the continuance of his usefulness, to give it more than one careful perusal. If this recommendation be received,

and public speakers will regard those physiological laws to which delivery is subject, we shall less frequently be pained by hearing, that men, on whose lips delighted multitudes hung, are withdrawn from their labors, or find an early tomb.

The recitation on emphasis comprises that portion of the work before us, which exhibits the combination of the several elements of just and elegant elocution, or the use of the orator's working tools. 'Nothing,' observes this writer, 'will demonstrate more clearly the importance of elementary investigation, than the fact, that all those powers of the voice which it has enabled us to record, are employed in emphasis, sometimes singly, but oftener in combination.' This recitation is accordingly devoted to an exhibition of the several kinds of emphasis, or, which is the same thing, to a collection of passages from writers of prose and poetry, in the reading or delivery of which, the recorded powers of voice are severally put in requisition.

This author commends himself to our esteem, by the good sense and candor, which are discoverable in the recitation on the analysis of written language. He does not pretend, that even a perfect acquaintance with all the rules he has given, can render every person who may become familiar with them a good reader or speaker; but insists, indirectly indeed, but with sufficient plainness, on the necessity of intellect in the pupil who would succeed. Elocution is the art of delineating by the voice the special relations of thought, which existed in the mind of an author; but no one can delineate, who cannot discover them. The discovery of these relations constitutes the intellectual portion of the art; and a thorough discovery of them, especially in writing of a superior order, requires very nice and rigid analysis. Dr. Barber gives no rules for this, because his own reflection, and his examination of the efforts made by others in this department, have shown him the uselessness of such labor. He rouses the energy of the student by assuring him, that analysis is necessary. He shows by a few examples how it may be effected, and leaves him to acquire for himself the art of analysis, by time and practice. 'This,' he observes, 'is all that is necessary for the intelligent, and the dull would be more troubled by multifarious rules and exceptions, than by the difficulties which they seek to avert. Let the elements of an art be fairly unfolded, and a few conspicuous instances of their practical application afforded, and moderate ingenuity will effect the

rest. The right use of elements in other instances is only a proper exercise of individual ability, and comes by a little practice.'

The recitation on 'improvement of the voice' demands most seriously the attention of public speakers. From inattention to this particular, many, whose intellectual stores furnish ample materials for delighting and instructing large assemblies, accomplish little more than to mortify and grieve them by the unsuccessful effort to be heard. The beginnings of their sentences are found to give unequivocal evidence, that 'thoughts that breathe' exist in the speaker's mind, but in the closing members of those periods, the symbols of those thoughts,—their words,—pervade not half the area they are designed to fill ; and the wearied and impatient hearer abandons in despair the effort to catch them. This evil calls loudly for a remedy, and the recitation we are noticing affords it. We recommend to public speakers its perusal, and the adoption of the discipline which it prescribes.

The fifteenth recitation is on 'the application of vocal elements, in expressing emotion.' This, and the following one on 'the defects of delivery,' are valuable portions of the work ; but they are such as call for little observation. They cannot be read without the conviction that they contain valuable information, and that the latter describes graphically the characteristic defects of nearly all the faulty speakers, within the circle of our acquaintance.

In the seventeenth and last recitation is found a series of questions, designed to aid in criticising a public speaker, which may be said to embody a synopsis of the work before us, or rather of the *beau ideal* of elocution. Every excellence and defect in delivery is brought under review in them ; and he who stands approved after passing the ordeal of such an investigation, must be a very accomplished speaker.

In taking our leave of this work, we may be permitted to congratulate its author on the satisfactory manner in which he has performed a service hitherto unattempted, and by many persons deemed impossible,—that of presenting to the world a work on the important science of delivery, which is philosophical in its character, and intelligible and lucid in its details. For the philosophical character of the present work, the author acknowledges himself indebted to Dr. Rush ; but the praise of having made a practical application of Dr. Rush's

theory to the art of elocution, is certainly his own. His work, however, as we have before intimated, is not a faultless production. We have mentioned some particulars in which it is susceptible of improvement, and we will venture to suggest another. It would be greatly improved, if it were less concise in the few last recitations. These recitations contain a sketch indeed of his meaning, and one which he can himself fill up to advantage in the lecture-room; but solitary students are not satisfied with a sketch. The picture should be at least filled up, if it be left without that warmth and life, with which the coloring of his pencil is capable of investing it; or, to change the figure, while we acknowledge the fitness of the several parts of the skeleton to each other, and admire the wisdom displayed in their combination and arrangement, we must contend, that a more attractive object of contemplation would have been presented, had it been clothed with flesh, even if it had wanted that breath of life, with which, if he chose, the author could inspire it.

---

**ART. VIII.—*Bryant's Poems.***

*Poems.* By WILLIAM CULLEN BRYANT. New-York. Elam Bliss. 1832.

Though Mr. Bryant's poems have been admired, quoted, and circulated in the newspapers from Maine to Florida, for at least ten years, he has never collected them until now. A complete edition of them has long been expected and wished for. The productions of his pen have been always sought with avidity. Yet with modesty equal to his merit, he has distrusted the breath of popular applause, and has declined the place which properly belongs to him in the literature of the country. We are gratified to find, that he has at last complied with the demand, which the public had a right to make.

Bryant is not a first-rate poet; but he has great power, and is original in his way. In saying this, we do not mean to be understood, that he has struck out an entirely new path. Others before him have sung the beauties of creation, and the greatness of God; but no one ever observed external things more closely, or transferred his impressions to paper in more

vivid colors. A violet becomes, in his hands, a gem fit to be placed in an imperial diadem ; a mountain leads his eyes to the canopy above it. The woods, the hills, the flowers,—whatever, in short, is his subject, is brought before our eyes with a fidelity of delineation, and a brightness of coloring, which the actual pencil cannot rival. The picture is always finished to the minutest particular. Take, for an example of his accuracy in description, the following lines from 'The Rivulet,' which, it is but just to say, are not even a fair specimen of his powers.

' Years change thee not. Upon yon hill,  
The tall old maples, verdant still,  
Yet tell, in grandeur of decay,  
How swift the years have passed away,  
Since first, a child, and half afraid,  
I wandered in the forest shade.  
Thou, ever joyous rivulet,  
Dost dimple, leap, and prattle yet ;  
And sporting with the sands that pave  
The windings of thy silver wave,  
And dancing to thy own wild chime,  
Thou laughest at the lapse of time.  
The same sweet sounds are in my ear,  
My early childhood loved to hear ;  
As pure thy limpid waters run,  
As bright they sparkle in the sun ;  
As fresh and thick the bending ranks  
Of herbs that line thy oozy banks ;  
The violet there, in soft May-dew,  
Comes up, as modest and as blue ;  
As green, amid thy current's stress,  
Floats the scarce rooted water-cress ;  
And the brown ground-bird, in thy glen,  
Still chirps as merrily as then.'

We have selected this passage, not for its particular merit, for in truth, it is inferior to the greater part of the book, but to shew what a true poet can make of an insignificant subject. For greater ones, Mr. Bryant has a nobler language. He has communed with Nature in all her 'visible forms,' and understands her, whether she whispers in the breeze, or speaks in the storm. There is a very beautiful description of a forest in winter after a rain ; but it has been so often noticed, that we need not copy it. 'Summer Wind,' 'Autumn Woods,' and

the lines written ‘After a Tempest,’ are among the best of many excellent pieces of this kind. We give the following extract from ‘Monument Mountain,’ as a specimen of our author’s best manner.

‘ There is a precipice,  
That seems a fragment of some mighty wall  
Built by the hand that fashioned the old world  
To separate its nations, and thrown down  
When the flood drowned them. To the north, a path  
Conducts you up the narrow battlement;  
Steep is the western side, shaggy and wild  
With mossy trees, and pinnacles of flint,  
And many a hanging crag. But, to the east,  
Sheer to the vale go down the bare old cliffs ;  
Huge pillars, that in middle heaven upbear  
Their weather-beaten capitals, here dark  
With the thick moss of centuries, and there  
Of chalky whiteness, where the thunderbolt  
Has splintered them. It is a fearful thing  
To stand upon the beetling verge, and see  
Where storm and lightning from that huge grey wall  
Have tumbled down vast blocks, and at the base  
Dashed them in fragments, and to lay thy ear  
Over the dizzy depth, and hear the sound  
Of winds, that struggle with the woods below,  
Come up like ocean murmurs. But the scene  
Is lovely round ; a beautiful river there  
Wanders amid the fresh and fertile meads,  
The paradise he made unto himself,  
Mining the soil for ages. On each side,  
The fields swell upward to the hills ; beyond,  
Above the hills, in the blue distance, rise  
The mighty columns with which earth props heaven.’

The scene thus beautifully described is in the valley of the Housatonic, in the township of Great Barrington, in Massachusetts. An Indian woman leaped from the precipice, to cure herself of the tender passion. There is a cliff somewhere on the Mississippi, which has been made memorable by a similar occurrence.

To equal, if not excel Thomson, in his own department of literature, would be distinction enough for any one man; but his excellence in descriptive poetry is not Mr. Bryant’s chief merit. The bent of his mind is essentially contemplative. He

loves to muse in solitude, in the depths of the forest, and on the high places of the hills. Whatever is great, whatever is fair, is felt by him as soon as seen. His thoughts go beyond external appearances, to dwell upon things not visible to common mortals. To him, the streams are subjects for meditation ; the fruits of the soil teach him a lesson of gratitude to their Giver ; the great things of the earth suggest to him the immensity of the whole, of which they are parts ; the starry heavens tell him of the power and magnificence of their Creator. His thoughts are natural and simple, seldom commonplace, and often sublime ; yet his great conceptions are never abrupt and startling. He owes little to books, and hence his ideas are not marked by the technicality of any of the schools of poetry. His course, like the course of nature, whose poet he emphatically is, is even and steady. There is nothing dazzling, no concentrated fire, no 'word that burns,' in his writings. His verse never makes the cheek glow, and the veins tingle. He is never carried out of sight of common sense by his imagination. His strength is never impetuous, his boldness never extravagant. He is pensive, but not sad, or even melancholy. He is too much of a philosopher to entertain visions of gloom. The evil experience of the past leads him to hope and expect better for the future. When the good and wise take their final departure, the thought that they leave the heirs of their wisdom and virtue behind them, consoles him. Death is, in his eyes, a deliverer, sent by God to relieve the wretched and to strike down the arm of the oppressor. The 'Hymn to Death' is one of the noblest sermons that were ever written. There is as much poetry in 'The Old Man's Funeral,' as in any poem of equal length, which we remember to have read, and a great deal more practical wisdom.

There have been greater poets than Mr. Bryant. He cannot crowd so many brilliant thoughts into the same compass, as Shakespeare could. He cannot harrow up the soul or appeal to the darker feelings like Byron. He cannot change from grave to gay. He has no versatility of talent ; but he knows the exact extent of his powers, and never attempts any thing for which he is not qualified. He never strains after effect, like some we could mention, or fails as they do. The fact is highly honorable to him. 'Know thyself,' is a lesson too hard for most minds. Mr. Bryant has learned it.

The first of Bryant's productions,—excepting some juvenile attempts,—which fixed the attention of the public, was 'The Ages,' a poem of thirty-five Spenserian stanzas. It was delivered in 1821, before the *Phi Beta Kappa* Society, and was soon after published, with several others, in the form of a pamphlet. The design of the poem was to show, from a retrospective view of the history of the world, that mankind are gradually improving in wisdom and virtue. The subject was not remarkably well adapted to the occasion, but was treated with success. 'The Ages' proved, that Mr. Bryant was capable of a sustained effort; the true test of ability. Some passages remind us of the vigorous style of Childe Harold.

'Look now around,—another race has filled  
These populous borders,—wide the wood recedes,  
And towns shoot up, and fertile realms are tilled ;  
The land is full of harvest and green meads ;  
Streams numberless, that many a fountain feeds,  
Shine disempowered, and give to sun and breeze  
Their virgin waters ; the full region leads  
New colonies forth, that toward the western seas  
Spread, like a rapid flame among the autumnal trees.

'Here the free spirit of mankind at length  
Throws its last fetters off; and who shall place  
A limit to the giant's unchained strength,  
Or curb his swiftness in the forward race ?  
Far, like the comet's way through infinite space,  
Stretches the long untravelled path of light  
Into the depths of ages ; we may trace,  
Distant, the brightening glory of its flight,  
Till the receding rays are lost to human sight.

'Europe is given a prey to sterner fates,  
And writhes in shackles ; strong the arms that chain  
To earth her struggling multitude of states ;  
She too is strong, and might not chafe in vain  
Against them, but shake off the vampyre train  
That batten on her blood, and break their net.  
Yes, she shall look on brighter days, and gain  
The meed of worthier deeds ; the moment set  
To rescue and raise up, draws near,—but is not yet.

'But thou, my country, thou shalt never fall,  
But with thy children, thy maternal care,

Thy lavish love, thy blessings showered on all,—  
 These are thy fetters,—seas and stormy air  
 Are the wide barrier of thy borders, where  
 Among thy gallant sons that guard thee well,  
 Thou laugh'st at enemies: who shall then declare  
 The date of thy deep founded strength, or tell  
 How happy, in thy lap, the sons of men shall dwell.'

'Thanatopsis' is the most generally known and esteemed of Bryant's Poems, and perhaps deserves its reputation. It is sublime throughout. We do indeed recognise old acquaintances in some lines, the ideas of which are derived from the book of Job. Bryant has improved upon them, and thereby made them his own. He cannot be charged with plagiarism, for it is impossible to think that he intended to make any part of a work so well known as Job, pass for his. It would be doing 'Thanatopsis' injustice to mutilate it, and it is too long to be quoted entire. We must refer those who have not read it, —and they have missed a great pleasure thus far,—to the book itself.

There is much cheerful philosophy in a little poem, called 'The Lapse of Time.' The lines 'To the Evening Wind,' are of a different character. With one or two trifling exceptions, the versification is perfect. It is in Bryant's best manner, and moreover, of a proper length to be quoted.

#### TO THE EVENING WIND.

' Spirit, that breathest through my lattice, thou,  
 That cool'st the twilight of the sultry day,  
 Gratefully flows thy freshness round my brow;  
 Thou hast been out upon the deep at play,  
 Riding all day the wild blue waves till now,  
 Roughening their crests and scattering high their spray,  
 And swelling the white sail. I welcome thee  
 To the scorched land, thou wanderer of the sea !

' Nor I alone,—a thousand bosoms round  
 Inhale thee in the fulness of delight ;  
 And languid forms rise up, and pulses bound  
 Livelier, at coming of the wind of night ;  
 And, languishing to hear thy grateful sound,  
 Lies the vast inland, stretched beyond the sight.  
 Go forth, into the gathering shade ; go forth,  
 God's blessing, breathed upon the fainting earth !

'Go, rock the little wood-bird in his nest,  
 Curl the still waters, bright with stars, and rouse  
 The wide old wood from his majestic rest,  
 Summoning from innumerable boughs  
 The strange, deep harmonies that haunt his breast ;  
 Pleasant shall be thy way, where meekly bows  
 The shutting flower, and darkling waters pass,  
 And 'twixt the o'ershadowing branches and the grass,

'The faint old man shall lean his silver head  
 To feel thee ; thou shalt kiss the child asleep,  
 And dry the moistened curls that overspread  
 His temples, while his breathing grows more deep ;  
 And they who stand about the sick man's bed,  
 Shall joy to listen to thy distant sweep ;  
 And softly part his curtains to allow  
 Thy visit, grateful to his burning brow.

'Go, but the circle of eternal change,  
 Which is the life of nature, shall restore,  
 With sounds and scents from all thy mighty range,  
 Thee to thy birth-place of the deep once more,  
 Sweet odors in the sea-air, sweet and strange,  
 Shall tell the home-sick mariner of the shore ;  
 And, listening to thy murmur, he shall deem  
 He hears the rustling leaf and running stream.'

If there be any thing within the whole compass of literature more delicate, more pure, more exquisitely sweet than this, it has not yet fallen under our observation. And this is not a solitary emanation of the spirit that produced it. The book abounds with verses of the same character.

The relations of men and things to their Maker, never fail to call forth Bryant's utmost strength. Those of his pieces which are of this cast, are his very best. We would particularly notice one too long to be quoted here, 'The Forest Hymn.' A part of this,—not the proem,—is remarkable for dignity and depth of thought. One metaphor in it alone ought to give Mr. Bryant a high place among our poets, if he had never written any thing else. The poet finds in the great miracle of ever renewing existence, animal and vegetable, a type of the eternity of God. The trees wave as proudly over the dust of their ancestors, as their ancestors ever did before them.

‘Life mocks the idle hate  
Of his arch enemy Death,—yea,—seats himself  
Upon the sepulchre, and blooms and smiles,  
And of the triumphs of his ghastly foe,  
Makes his own nourishment.’

We quote the ‘*Hyann to the North Star*,’ and the ‘*Song of the Stars*,’ at full length.

**HYMN TO THE NORTH STAR.**

‘The sad and solemn night  
Has yet her multitude of cheerful fires ;  
The glorious hosts of light  
Walk the dark hemisphere till she retires ;  
All through her silent watches, gliding slow,  
Her constellations come, and climb the heavens, and go.

‘Day, too, hath many a star  
To grace his gorgeous reign, as bright as they ;  
Through the blue fields afar,  
Unseen, they follow in his flaming way :  
Many a bright lingerer, as the eve grows dim,  
Tells what a radiant troop arose and set with him.

‘And thou dost see them rise,  
Star of the Pole ! and thou dost see them set.  
Alone, in thy cold skies,  
Thou keep’st thy old unmoving station yet,  
Nor join’st the dances of that glittering train,  
Nor dipp’st thy virgin orb in the blue western main.

‘There, at morn’s rosy birth,  
Thou lookest meekly through the kindling air,  
And eve, that round the earth  
Chases the day, beholds thee watching there ;  
There noontide finds thee, and the hour that calls  
The shapes of polar flame to scale heaven’s azure walls.

‘Alike, beneath thine eye,  
The deeds of darkness and of light are done ;  
High towards the star-lit sky  
Towns blaze,—the smoke of battle blots the sun,—  
The night-storm on a thousand hills is loud,—  
And the strong wind of day doth mingle sea and cloud.

'On thy unaltering blaze,  
The half-wrecked mariner, his compass lost,  
Fixes his steady gaze,  
And steers, undoubting, to the friendly coast ;  
And they who stray in perilous wastes, by night,  
Are glad when thou dost shine to guide their footsteps right.

'And, therefore, bards of old,  
Sages, and hermits of the solemn wood,  
Did in thy beams behold,  
A beauteous type of that unchanging good,  
That bright eternal beacon, by whose ray  
The voyager of time should shape his heedful way.'

The reader, on whom the solemnity and majesty of this hymn make no impression, has no poetry in his soul. The 'Song of the Stars' is not inferior in dignity or beauty.

#### SONG OF THE STARS.

'When the radiant morn of Creation broke,  
And the world in the smile of God awoke,  
And the empty realms of darkness and death  
Were moved through their depths by his mighty breath,  
And orbs of beauty and spheres of flame  
From the void abyss in myriads came,—  
In the joy of youth as they darted away,  
Through the widening wastes of space to play,  
Their silver voices in chorus rung,  
And this was the song the bright ones sung.

'Away, away ! through the wide, wide sky,—  
The fair blue fields that before us lie,—  
Each sun, with the worlds that around him roll,  
Each planet, poised on her turning pole ;  
With her isles of green, and her clouds of white,  
And her waters that lie like fluid light.

'For the source of glory uncovers his face,  
And the brightness o'erflows unbounded space ;  
And we drink, as we go, the luminous tides :  
In our ruddy air and our blooming sides :  
Lo ! yonder the living splendors play ;  
Away, on our joyous path, away !

'Look, look, through our glittering ranks afar,  
In the infinite azure, star after star,  
How they brighten and bloom as they swiftly pass !

How the verdure runs o'er each rolling mass !  
And the path of the gentle winds is seen,  
Where the small waves dance, and the young woods lean.

' And see, where the brighter day-beams pour,  
How the rainbows hang in the sunny shower ;  
And the morn and eve, with their pomp of hues,  
Shift o'er the bright planets and shed their dews ;  
And 'twixt them both, o'er the teeming ground,  
With her shadowy cone the night goes round !

' Away, away ! in our blossoming bowers,  
In the soft air wrapping these spheres of ours,  
In the seas and fountains that shine with morn,  
See love is brooding, and life is born,  
And breathing myriads are breaking from night,  
To rejoice, like us, in motion and light.

' Glide on in your beauty, ye youthful spheres,  
To weave the dance that measures the years,  
Glide on, in the glory and gladness sent  
To the farthest wall of the firmament,—  
The boundless visible smile of Him,  
To the veil of whose brow your lamps are dim.'

There is a vein of tenderness and feeling in some of Bryant's pieces, which proves that he might have been a master of the softer passions, had he made them his study. The 'Song of Pitcairn's Island,' and the 'Indian Girl's Lament,' are the language of unsophisticated, not unadorned nature. In 'Rizpah,' we fancy we hear the wailings of a bereaved mother. The 'Song of the Greek Amazon' is melancholy, spirited, and impassioned. As much may be said of the 'Indian at the Burial Place of his Fathers,' though the sentiments are rather such as Mr. Bryant might feel in that situation, than those of an expatriated Narraganset or Mohegan.

We find in this volume several translations, which we never saw before, most of them from the Castilian. Some of them are very fine, particularly the 'Life of the Blessed,' and 'Mary Magdalene.' We should, however, prefer, that Mr. Bryant should employ his leisure in adding to the stock of English poetry. There are enough among us, who are capable of the every-day labor of translation. Nevertheless, he has a right to follow his own taste; and if he choose to translate, he cannot have a better field than the Spanish language, which is

absolutely *terra incognita*, to the great body of American readers. While we are on this subject, it may not be amiss to correct one trifling error. The baptismal name of Ponce de Leon, was Luis, and not Juan, as the text has it.

There are some other articles which we never saw before, and which we are sorry to see now. We could not have affirmed, that Mr. Bryant would not succeed in humor, but we should not have expected him to attempt it. No one would recognise the hand of the author of 'Thanatopsis' in things so much beneath mediocrity, or even believe them to be his, were it not for his somewhat amusing repeated escapes from such poor conceits, into a train of thoughts more worthy of him. On the whole, we may pronounce the book before us, the best volume of American poetry that has yet appeared.

The publication of such a volume is an important event in our literature. We have been too much in the habit of looking abroad for examples and models; and our poets, generally, have had the usual fortune of imitators,—their copies have fallen short of the originals. In many, perhaps the majority of instances, the originals themselves have been ill selected. We have had no standard of excellence of our own. It seems to be universally admitted, that while we have cultivated the arts of life and the fine arts with success, we have no reason to boast of our progress in the art of poetry. We are so sensible of our deficiency in this respect, that we hardly dare to judge favorably of an American work, till it has received the approbation of the British critics. We always resent their censure, it is true; but we confirm it, by suffering its objects to sink into oblivion. While this is the case, it is of no avail to flatter ourselves that it is undeserved. Mr. Bryant has taken the only proper way to answer the sneers of foreigners. Such works as his say more in favor of our country, than all the appeals that were ever uttered by wounded national pride.

We could almost wish, that no such brilliant anomalies in the order of nature, as Burns, had ever appeared. We do not add Shakspeare and Walter Scott, because it is by no means clear that Shakspeare did not study; and if Scott be the most rapid of writers, no author ever gave more time to preparation. Burns, and some others, have made the opinion common, that genius can atone for all defects. Hence the carelessness of the authors of the present day; hence the flood of mediocrity, with which the reading world is overwhelmed. First thoughts

are indeed commonly the most vigorous ; and occasional pieces have been thrown off, at a heat, which art could not improve. But such things are accidents, not examples for imitation. Fame is, in general, not to be attained without effort.

This pernicious error has had the most injurious effect on American authors. The materials of poetry lie scattered about us in boundless profusion. The Alleghanies and the White Mountains ought to suggest as many ideas, to say the least, to an American, as Benan and Benvenue can to an Englishman. The Mississippi and Missouri are as much superior to Cam and Isis, as Erie and Ontario are to the meres of Cumberland. An Indian is as poetical a personage, as a Turk or a Highlander. But we put these materials together in a hurry. We cultivate our literature as we do our soil, with the greatest possible economy of labor. A poem is made, like a shoe, to answer the present demand. Sooth to say, our poets do not meet with much encouragement. The hope of distinction may be a sufficient stimulus to those who, like Byron, are born to fortunes ; but there are few, if any, American poets, who can afford to write for fame. Those who write for money are so paid, that starvation would be their reward, for any extraordinary care bestowed on their productions.

Bryant is an exception to the general rule. He has set up a high standard, and reached it. He has kept his pieces nine years. We do not believe that he will ever be the favorite of the multitude. His spirit delights not in broils and bloodshed. His lines are never mysterious or horrible. He is an honest man, and will have nothing to say to corsairs or moss-troopers. He has not blazed upon the literary atmosphere, like a comet ; every man cannot be a Shakspeare or a Byron. He has brought forward no hero or heroine, with whom the reader may sympathize or identify himself. He cannot lay claim to fertility of invention. He tells no story ; he lays no plot, which may sustain his thoughts, as the wooden skeleton does the sculptor's clay model. The reader will find in his verses, no jingling of spurs or splintering of lances ; on the other hand, he has taste and feeling ; but these, we fear, are not the qualities, in which the vulgar take most pleasure. The mighty, but placid stream does not strike the imagination like the roaring cataract. Marco Bozzaris, the ballad of Chevy Chase, and the like, will delight all men, because they appeal to feelings, which nature has implanted in all men's bosoms ; but we contemplate the immensity

of the universe, and the attributes of the spiritual world, with effort. Bryant does not address the feelings or sympathies of common readers. He communes not with others, but himself. His poetry is entirely spiritual. Hence it will not be esteemed by the unthinking ; but it will charm those for whom it was written,—men of sound judgment and cultivated taste.

---

#### ART. IX.—*North-Eastern Boundary.*

1. *The Decision of the King of the Netherlands considered, in reference to the Rights of the United States and of the State of Maine.* Portland. 1831.
2. *Report of the Committee of Public Lands, on the Subject of the North-Eastern Boundary.* Boston. 1832.
3. *Report of the Joint Select Committee of the Legislature of Maine, on so much of the Governor's Message, as relates to the North-Eastern Boundary.* Augusta. 1832.

It is said of Sir Orlando Bridgman, who was advanced to the seals on the dismission of Clarendon, that being ‘afraid of deciding wrong, he labored to please both sides, and always gave something to each of the contending parties, that came into his court.’ Upon this it is added by Dr. Lingard, on the strength of old Roger North, that ‘he lost his reputation.’ This is a casualty, which we should be sorry to see occur in the case of the illustrious head of the house of Orange Nassau, who has struggled manfully to maintain his solemn rights to his petty territory, and has preserved a title to the respect at least of Europe, in the strenuous and energetic hour of his adversity. *Maintiendrai*, indeed, was the motto of his regal arms ; and he has manifested a most invincible and exemplary repugnance to the reduction of his agreed and established limits. A well considered article in Blackwood’s Magazine for October last, eulogizing the wisdom of the Congress of Vienna, in creating the kingdom of the Netherlands, as a master-piece of Anti-Gallician policy, pronounces its disseverance to be the greatest crime in the conduct of Europe, since the partition of Poland. That is a matter, however, with which we do not concern ourselves. We respect the principle of the King’s opposition, so far as it is

founded on the plighted faith of public stipulations, and we cannot avoid being struck with the singular *rapprochement*, as it was expressed in the Court Journal of the Hague, between the relations of the king of the Netherlands and the Government of Great Britain, by which the latter was so soon called upon, reciprocally, to interpose on the subject of boundaries. From the cold shoulder shown toward him in the last speech from the British throne, it may be inferred, that the merit of his amicable proposal for the composition of the dispute between that country and this, is in the way of being as indifferently requited on one side, as it is of being acknowledged upon the other. The British Government, we understand, have signified their acceptance of his award ; but he has not yet signified his acceptance of theirs. He appeals with earnestness to the public faith of Europe, and the positive obligations of treaties.

The topic of controversy, which has passed under the consideration of the King of the Netherlands, is one which we wish to deal with tenderly, and at the same time, truly and faithfully. We are sensible of the propensity of an umpire, as pointed out by Mr. Gallatin, to 'split the difference ;' and can easily suppose, without imputing any obliquity of intention to a proceeding, which may be accounted for on very obvious principles, that the arbiter might have conceived he was verily fulfilling the final purpose of the parties, in having recourse to his opinion, as a pacific expedient for cutting the knot, which resisted any more learned mode of solution. We might choose to adopt the more charitable supposition, rather than countenance any miserable apology of political expediency, to varnish over the weakness of compromising those commanding principles of sovereign law, which maintain their equal ascendancy over thrones and globes.

Some prescription might possibly seem to exist, for treating this as a difficult and doubtful affair, from the long pendency of the ancient quarrel concerning the limits of Acadia, under the treaty of Utrecht. That controversy was brought no nearer to a close, by the commission established after the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle ; and, as is well known, never came to any determination. The English undertook to extend the limits of Acadia up to the Penobscot, in order to extinguish the French pretension to the country ; while the French, by an artificial construction of the article in question, undertook to confine the cession to a part of the present peninsula of Nova

Scotia. The remark of Barbé Marbois, in relation to the comparison of French and English titles on this continent, applies here,—that they throw very little light upon the subject. The dispute in this quarter existed not so much on the St. Lawrence, as the Atlantic; and after reconnoitring the field of controversy attentively, we believe it was found to contain little or nothing to the purpose of the present question, and that the idea of any advantage from referring to it was abandoned. We may treat this topic now as somewhat familiar to our readers, and take it up without much preface; meaning to pursue a general line of remark upon it, in support of those views of the right, which have heretofore been taken in this journal. Any further consideration of this somewhat dry subject is so far from being precluded by the opinion delivered by the King of the Netherlands, that it only seems to furnish occasion for directing attention more strongly and distinctly toward the prominent points of debate, and ascertaining whether they have been sufficiently regarded. The long deliberation which has been exercised by the Government of the United States, upon the propriety or expediency of accepting the result of that equivocal arbitration, affords another opportunity of expressing our apprehension, that the principal facts in relation to this question have either been grossly overlooked, or greatly misunderstood. We refer mainly to the evidence of the existence of the *northern boundary* of what was Massachusetts, now Maine, in the first place; and the determination, thence resulting, of the *point* co-incident with the north-west angle of Nova Scotia. It is, indeed, aptly remarked by the Committee of the Massachusetts Legislature, that ‘the objection to the proceedings of the King of the Netherlands has no connexion with the merits of the case as between the two parties.’ He has not pronounced upon them. The Governor of Massachusetts justly observes, that ‘the reference of the boundary question to the King of the Netherlands has been wholly ineffectual to its just decision. He has palpably departed from the plain terms of the submission, and substituted a proposition to a compromise of difficulties, for an award upon the matter directly in issue between the parties. As an arbiter, his office strictly was, to apply a descriptive line of boundary to corresponding appearances on the face of nature. Rejecting these, he has attempted to establish a new course of division, denoted by monuments totally dissimilar, and through a tract

of country distant and widely different. By no rule of municipal or international law, can such decision be made of binding obligation. There is no occasion to inquire into the extraordinary influences, which may be supposed to have produced it.'

We consider the historical existence of this part of the treaty boundary in dispute, as dating in truth from the termination of the controversy concerning the limits of Acadia, and the execution of the arrangements devised by the British crown, consequent upon the peace of 1763. That was the era of a totally new system of foreign relations in regard to this country,—all that formerly belonged to France on this side of the Mississippi being surrendered. It was distinctly marked, also, by the entrance of Great Britain upon a new plan of colonial policy, in regard to the limits of her old possessions upon this continent. This was adopted, for the purpose of curtailing the dimensions of these provinces in the rear, and at the same time of securing to the crown the great tracts of unsettled territory, the adverse title to which was now extinguished. The colonial charters, comprehended principally between positive parallels of latitude, were considered as extending illimitably toward the Pacific, so long as it was convenient to oppose them to the pretensions of France, and while the actual progress of the colonies in that direction was thwarted by the movement of the French power across from Canada to Louisiana. The conquest of Canada was followed by a *coup d'état* of the British Government, which may bear a limited comparison, for the sake of illustration, to that which ensued in France, upon the capitulation of Algiers. Like that, it evinced the instinct of arbitrary authority, alarmed for its absolute ascendancy, to strengthen itself on the occurrence of some new political advantage,—and sought to keep down principles of innate power, that are not capable of being subdued by rescripts or edicts. Little did England, any more than France, indeed, contemplate the prodigious career of consequences opening from the issue of their last conflict at arms, affording a free play to the active principles that had planted this continent from the pressure of a foreign hostile force upon the frontier. But it is no part of our present purpose, to travel out into that immense region of moral and political results, flowing from the causes which conduced to the emancipation of America,—consequences, which neither this age nor another is destined to see exhausted. The leading act, to which we have referred

as fixing the earliest proper date to the present matter of dispute, is the well-known proclamation of 1763. This state paper was far better known in a former age; but its importance has been revived by the circumstance of its having given a *geographical definition of boundary*, which was incorporated afterwards into an act of the British Parliament, and eventually into the treaty of peace between Great Britain and this country.

That this proclamation had a bearing upon the subject of this boundary, there could hardly seem to have been room for question. It was the first public document, emanating from the crown, describing an extent of *highlands, dividing rivers emptying themselves into the St. Lawrence from those which fall into the sea*. This description begins indefinitely, after leaving the forty-fifth parallel of latitude east of Lake Champlain, and terminates toward the Bay of Chaleurs. But this description was not the mere manufacture of that proclamation. We know it may seem to be a piece of supererogation to a great portion of our readers, who take an intelligent interest in public topics, to be at pains to produce proof in regard to the truth of facts, as familiar as any in the history and geography of the country. *Utitur testibus non necessariis in re non dubiâ* is a reproof of which we should be very cautious, if we had not before our eyes the most pregnant and extraordinary evidence, not merely that these facts have been called in question, and the force of them denied by those who have been extremely earnest to avoid them, but that they are somehow disposed of in the report of the arbiter, either as irrelevant or not properly established;—his opinion having the effect, moreover, either of disaffirming their existence or divesting them of their character. The more highly we are disposed to deem of the moral and intellectual qualities of that distinguished person, who was selected among the sovereigns of Europe to pronounce his judgment upon the subject, the more we are inclined to ask, whether it presented itself in its proper relief to his mind. We pass without observation, the idea of finding the boundary in the bed of a river; and we are led to reflect with more consideration on the proofs afforded by historical and geographical circumstances, by public acts of the highest solemnity, and a long course of policy on the part of Great Britain, in regard to *the fixed character of the north-eastern boundary*.

This question, as we have observed, did not arise between France and England. We mentioned, however, in a former article, that the commissions of some of the governors of Canada, while it was in possession of France, extended ten leagues on the south side of the St. Lawrence. Such seem to have been the commissions of the *Sieur de Lauson*, and the *Vicomte d'Argenson*, in the seventeenth century. We find also, in 1684, a petition from French inhabitants to the King, describing themselves as living on the coast of the south side of the river St. Lawrence down towards *les Monts Notre Dame*; and, in a memoir addressed to the King, by M. de Meules, Intendant of New France, also in 1684, upon the extent of the territory of Canada, it is stated, that the lands in Canada, from the entrance of the river St. Lawrence to ten or twelve leagues about Quebec, are scarcely any of them fit to raise wheat, on account of the chains of mountains which render these places inaccessible. *Si l'on considère les terres du Canada depuis le Cap Breton, qui est l'entrée du fleuve Saint Laurent jusques à 10 à 12 lieues autour de Quebec, on y trouvera peu de terres propres à semer du bled froment, à cause des chaînes de montagnes, qui rendent ces lieux inaccessibles.*

But, without going back to an earlier period than that which we have before mentioned, as being the proper epoch of this question, we may refer to the map prefixed to the volume of memorials published in London by the British Commissioners upon the limits of Acadia, in order to support a set of facts, which it has suited the fancy of a later day to represent as fabulous. It may be remarked that this map, together with the volume, was published in 1755, when both parties joined in an appeal to the public opinion of Europe in the same form. The British Ministers, also, communicated their justificatory memoir the same year\*; and the war had actually broken out in America,—that war in which our Washington first became conspicuous. Coeval with this map was likewise published that which is called Mitchell's, under the patronage of the Board of Trade and Plantations. We put the two maps together, because they belong to the same period and come in apposition with the circumstances of the time, and because they both agree in giving a geographical representation of the

---

\* The British memoir was written by the historian Gibbon.

natural features of the country, corresponding in the main, though manifestly not copied from each other, with the general description we have had of them from that time almost, we may say, if not quite, to the present. In both maps, New England is bounded by the Atlantic on one side and the St. Lawrence on the other; in both, the boundary from the Atlantic runs north, intersects ridges of highlands lying between the rivers St. Lawrence and St. John, although in Jeffery's map, (published by the Commissioners,) it was drawn from the Penobscot, and in Mitchell's from the Maguadavie, which he denominated the St. Croix. On the Commissioners' map, there are two St. Croix laid down between the Penobscot and St. John, one being the Passamaquoddy and the other the Maguadavie, and a due north line from either would strike the range of highlands laid down on that map. On the Commissioners' map, the highlands are delineated as extending in continuous ranges along the whole length of the river St. Lawrence from the Connecticut and Chaudière, to the extremity of the cape or projection of Gaspe. On this map, they rise in elevation toward the east and assume the appellation of Albany or Notre Dame mountains. On Mitchell's map, these are called *Lady* mountains. The latter map differs from the other, in giving to the ranges of highlands further up the river St. Lawrence, as well as in the quarter below, a more rolling and diversified direction,—in some parts obliquing or inclining more to a parallel with the various sources of the different rivers, instead of marking them off by a uniform dividing course. In neither of the maps, are there any traces of highlands south or west of the river St. John, to the north of either of the rivers St. Croix; nor any where indeed above those rivers, east of the Penobscot. On the Commissioners' map, it may be mentioned, that the St. John is also called the *Clyde*, to keep up in some manner, it is probable, the mere distinction between New England and *Nova Scotia*, which was of less compass than *Acadia*, unless the Penobscot was to be considered the St. Croix. The western limit of Nova Scotia, by the original grants, was the St. Croix, to whatever river that name was to be affixed.

There is no species of evidence that addresses itself more sensibly and satisfactorily to the mind, on a subject like this, than that which is found in the language of maps and charts. There is a more lively communication of knowledge on some

points to the eye, than the ear. Language of that kind is more universal, than that of books and manuscripts. Every son and daughter of Adam is said to be interested in geography. These delineations of the observable parts of the globe, are drawn from all the living sources of information. They expose themselves to perpetual observation and correction, and no gross error can go long uncorrected. The aspect of the country elevated above the shore is one that would present itself to the navigator, while its profile might remain comparatively obscure and unperceived upon the interior. These elevations approached, on one side, the St. Lawrence, and on the other the Bay of Chaleurs, where there were fishing stations. It can hardly be conceived, that all these appearances, which figure so frequently in the geographical documents of the day, can be resolved into optical illusions. At any rate, if such were the faith of the age, it serves to establish the understanding of any act in relation to the subject. For the state of opinion and feeling prevailing at that period, we may refer to the American History of Douglas, which was under revision from 1746 to 1760. At this last period, it will be noted, the conquest of Canada was not completed.

An edition of Douglas's Historical and Political Summary of the British Settlements in North America, was published in London, in 1760. In the peace of Utrecht, he maintains that it was omitted to settle a line between the English colonies and those of France, from north to south; and that it would be desirable to attend to this, in the proposed negotiation for peace. Referring to natural boundaries as the most advantageous, he represents the river St. Lawrence, the lakes Ontario and Erie, and the Apalachian mountains, as an eligible French and English boundary. The river St. Lawrence, it will be recollectcd, was considered and treated by Great Britain, as the southern boundary of Canada. The eastern part of New-England extended in its full breadth to the bank of that river; and it was not contemplated, at that moment, that the French were to be dislodged entirely from the St. Lawrence. He then takes 'a cursory view,' as he terms it, 'of the *southern or British side of this great river*, and of the lakes Ontario and Erie, and of the Apalachian mountains, or blue hills;' and proceeds,— '*From Cape Rosières, at the southern side of the river St. Lawrence, to La Rivière Puante, or the Indian tribe called the Mission of Bisancourt, over against Les Trois Rivières, are*

*about four hundred miles. The barrenness of the soil, the impracticableness of the mountains, which lie but a small way south of the great river, the rapidity of the short rivers or runs of water from these mountains, render the country inhospitable, there being no proper water carriage for Indian canoes.'* He afterwards passes to the southern portion of his proposed boundary, the Appalachian mountains, or great blue hills, land which he describes as much elevated in the air, and appearing, at a considerable distance, of a sky color. But it is for the fact of a commonly-known and well-determined range of highlands bordering on the St. Lawrence, and extending from Cape Rosières to opposite Trois Rivières, that we quote this description of Douglas. The short rivers mark the declivities. The account of the soil, and the aspect of the country, agree with that given by the French the century before. A map is published with it, presenting various ranges of highlands in the vicinity of the St. Lawrence, and mouth of the St. John; and public attention is particularly called to the subject of a boundary.

Some attention has been drawn of late, to the situation of a small French Acadian settlement or colony on the border of the river St. John, above the Great Falls. This is a remnant of the ancient French population of Acadia, of whose removal from their farms, and banishment from Nova Scotia, so painful an account is given from Halliburton's History, in a former number.\* A brief and touching account of the character and sufferings of those early inhabitants of that territory, is given by Barbé Marbois, who pronounces them an excellent race of Frenchmen. The language which they speak is said to be of purer French, than that in use among the Canadians; and their ancestry has been identified with the disbanded regiment of Carignan,—raised at a time, when the impoverished ranks of the French noblesse, excluded by the hereditary *prestige* from all other employment, were content to occupy the humblest stations in military life. Different pretexts have been assigned for the expulsion of these people from Nova Scotia, the most familiar of which is that of their sympathy with the fortunes of their European brethren, in their conflicts with the English arms on this continent. Douglas says that,—' By the peace of Utrecht, the French in Nova Scotia, upon their taking the British Government oaths, were to continue in their posses-

---

\* January, 1830.

sions ; the not appropriated lands, by the King of Great Britain's instruction, were reserved for protestant subjects ; notwithstanding this instruction, the French Roman Catholic subjects, as they swarm, make free with these crown lands.' 'Therefore,' he remarks very coolly, in a note to this dry text, written probably at the first publication of his work, 'they must be removed by some subsequent treaty,—or be elbowed out,—or their language and religion must gradually be changed.' And again he suggests, with much *sang froid*, that 'the regiments in garrison at Louisburg may be conveyed to Nova Scotia, and cantoned among the French settlements,—after some short time, to be disbanded with some encouragement of lands, and other things, as settlers. Thus we may by degrees elbow the French out of their language and religion,—and perhaps out of their lands.'

An Indian barrier was proposed by France to England, in the negotiations at Paris, in 1761, upon the country of the Ohio, and the region toward Canada. But this was rejected by Mr. Pitt ; and the treaty of Paris, which put an end to the dominion of France over the whole territory, produced the proclamation of 1763. This was a great act of State policy ; the design of which was, as already adverted to, to circumscribe the colonies, and, under color of forming Indian reserves, in reality to convert the interior of the country into a grand royal domain. It was so devised, as to create a geographical barrier to the advance of the colonies in that direction. The crown seems to have been considered as coming into the possession by conquest ; and, in succeeding to the pretensions, appears also to have adopted the views of France,—that is, of enveloping the colonies with a frontier that should oppose their further progress, and prevent their development. It is mentioned in the Annual Register of 1763, which records this proclamation, that great pains were taken to come at an exact knowledge of every thing in regard to the state of the recent conquests on this continent ; and, in framing the new Government of Canada, it is stated in that work, that, after quitting Lake Champlain, and departing from the forty-fifth parallel of latitude, the line was carried '*quite to the Gulf of St. Lawrence, through the highlands which separate the rivers which fall into the great river of Canada, from those which fall into the ocean.*' We quote this well-known work, merely to show the popular understanding of that part of the proclamation.

This mode of marking off the limits of the colonies to the

west and north-west, by a line along the heads of the rivers falling into the Atlantic, was a favorite one in forming that proclamation. It contained a prohibition, that, ‘no governor or commander-in-chief of our other colonies or plantations in America, (besides the Governments of Quebec and Florida established by that act,) do presume for the present, and until our further pleasure be known, to grant warrant of survey, or pass patents for any lands, *beyond the heads or sources of any of the rivers which fall into the Atlantic ocean from the west or north-west.*’ It was further declared to be the royal will and pleasure to reserve, for the present, ‘under our sovereignty, protection, and dominion, for the said Indians, all the land and territory not included within the limits of our said three new Governments, or within the limits of the territory granted to the Hudson’s Bay Company, as also all the land and territories lying to the westward of the *sources of the rivers which fall into the sea from the west and north-west*, as aforesaid.’ This limitation of the practical jurisdiction and extent of the colonies, seems to have reached from the Ohio toward Lake Ontario; and pursuing the line of demarkation, established as the southern boundary of the Government of Quebec,—which constituted a considerable part of the exterior boundary of the Provinces, especially those of New-England,—along the forty-fifth degree of latitude, it is described as ‘*striking to the North-east, along the highlands, which divide the rivers that empty themselves into the grand river St. Lawrence, from those which fall into the sea;* and also along the North coast of the Bay de Chaleurs, and the coast of the Gulf of St. Lawrence to Cape Rosières.’ This idea of a limitation of the Atlantic provinces, by the sources of rivers falling into the sea from the West and Northwest, seems to have been extended from the Florida coast on the Gulf of Mexico, to the Gulf of St. Lawrence; and was further carried into effect in the division of the province of Quebec from the territories of New-England and Nova Scotia, by the additional mode of description thus expressed for the purpose of absolute certainty, and to render this last line of demarkation as definite, as the parallel of latitude crossing Lake Champlain was supposed to be.

We may notice, in passing, how much more the limits of the old colonies of Great Britain were abridged, by the complete triumph of their joint arms, and the final success of the negotiations, than they would have been, if the French had not

been entirely expelled from their possessions. In the various projected expeditions to Canada, in the conquest of Louisburg and that of Nova Scotia, it was the opinion of John Adams, to the last, that New England had expended more blood and treasure, than all the rest of the British empire. *Nova Scotia* was originally and properly a British Province, granted, in the first place, after the accession of James of Scotland to the English throne ; and, although yielded up by the policy that prevailed in the courts of Charles I. and Charles II. in the treaties of St. Germains and Breda, recovered once by Cromwell, and again by New England, in 1690. It was then incorporated, with the intervening territory of Sagadahock and the Province of Maine, in one common charter with the Province of Massachusetts Bay. It was again relinquished to France, by the treaty of Ryswick, in 1697 ; re-conquered by a force from New England and Great Britain, and finally ceded by France to Great Britain, in 1712. It was not formally re-annexed to the Province of Massachusetts ; and the power of that Province over it was suspended, during the dispute concerning the limits of Acadia. *The grant of Nova Scotia to Sir William Alexander, as well as that of the territory of Sagadahock to the Duke of York, extended to the river St. Lawrence* ; and that whole country continued to be considered a British possession, after the treaty of Utrecht. We may, therefore, regard these territories as coming within the description of that principle of limitation, which Great Britain thought fit to apply by the proclamation of 1763, of marking off her Atlantic colonies by the sources of rivers, falling into the sea from the west and north-west. The application of that principle was strengthened upon this frontier, by the well-known heights of land, adjacent to the river St. Lawrence, and dividing the rivers flowing into it, from those emptying into the ocean ; and the same, whether falling into the Bay of Chaleurs, Miramichi, or the Bay of Fundy. All these streams were equally determined, as flowing from the north or north-west.

In erecting the Province of Nova Scotia, in 1763, it was built upon the base of the new Government of Quebec, established by the proclamation. The proclamation was dated in October. The commission to Governor Wilmot, by which the Province of Nova Scotia was defined, was in November, 1763. The northern and western, or inland boundaries, were thus described, viz. *To the northward, by the southern bound-*

ary of the Province of Quebec,—‘ and to the westward, although our said Province hath anciently extended, and doth of right extend, as far as the river Pentagonet or Penobscot ; it shall be bounded by a line drawn from Cape Sable across the entrance of the Bay of Fundy, to the mouth of the river St. Croix, by the said river to its source, and by a line drawn due north from thence to *the southern boundary of Quebec.*’ This description constituted the *north-west angle of Nova Scotia.*

The Annual Register, for 1763, contained a new map of the British dominions in North America, with the limits of the Governments annexed thereto, by the treaty of peace, and settled by the proclamation. On this map, the southern boundary of the Province of Quebec is marked as passing along from Lake Champlain, in the forty-fifth degree of latitude, to the north of Connecticut river, and then along highlands, approaching the river St. Lawrence, and rounding north of the river St. John, to the head of the Bay of Chaleurs. On the same map, a line is drawn directly north from the river St. Croix, until it strikes *the ridge of highlands north of the St. John, along which the southern boundary of Quebec is continued.* In the former maps, such as Mitchell’s and Jeffery’s, which have been mentioned, this line went across these highlands to the St. Lawrence ; but here it is interrupted and stops short. The same map appears in subsequent editions of the Register, to the end of the American war. Here *the north-west angle of Nova Scotia is marked out to the eyes of all the world.*

The Province of Massachusetts, which otherwise might have well revived its right under the charter of William and Mary, to go even to the Gulf of St. Lawrence, was thus restricted *on the east* to the river St. Croix ; and one side of its territory was taken off. To this proceeding, however, we do not hear of any objections being made by the Province. But the southern boundary of Quebec, then established, presented another barrier to the north, which was not regarded with satisfaction. The grant to the Duke of York in 1664, which has been mentioned, was of ‘ all that part of the main land of New England, beginning at a certain place, called or known by the name of St. Croix, next adjoining to New Scotland, in America, and from thence along the sea-coast,’ to Pemaquid river, and thence to the Kennebeck, ‘ and so upwards, by the shortest course to the river Canada, northward.’ This grant, which was confirmed by Charles again, after the treaty of Breda

in 1674, was incorporated, as we have mentioned, to its whole extent, in the Province charter. Some little obscurity, indeed, was cast upon this point, by Mr. Gallatin, in his edition of the Land Laws, and a suggestion was dropped by him, that there was probably some omission, in the description of the Province charter, to square the northern boundary of this intermediate territory with the Gorges grants, with which he compared it. This notion was further countenanced, by a hasty expression in a letter of that gentleman to the Secretary of State, after the conclusion of peace at Ghent, a paper not prepared for any public purpose, and brought out upon the call of what is termed the *Russell Correspondence*. This remark was, that the territory to the north of forty-five degrees, eastward of the Penobscot river, did not belong to Massachusetts, as would appear by recurring to her charters, but that the property was in the United States. Mr. Gallatin has since shown, that he was completely mistaken in that respect; and nothing, indeed, is necessary, beyond a recurrence to the charters, to make that mistake manifest. In the Province charter of 1691, it is true, there is a declaration, that 'no grants of any lands, lying or extending from the river of Sagadahock to the Gulf of St. Lawrence and *Canada rivers*, &c. by the Government of the Province, should be valid without the assent of the crown; because, in fact, the property of that territory had accrued, through the succession of the Duke of York, to the crown, and therefore the crown had a perfect right to limit and control the disposition of it by the saving of the charter.' Subject to this reservation of a confirming power, the Government of Massachusetts seems to have been authorized to make as good grants within that region, as in other portions of its territory.

It is plain enough from these circumstances, as we may presume to believe, that Massachusetts had no interest in *inventing an artificial barrier* to interrupt her own progress, as a Province, to the St. Lawrence. The opinions of the Attorney and Solicitor General of the crown, Yorke and Talbot, both afterwards Lord Chancellors, had been pronounced, (1731,) that the intervening tract of territory between the Kennebec and St. Croix was granted by the charter to the inhabitants of the Province, and that the rights of Government, granted to the Province, extended over it. The Lords of the Board of Trade, as it seems, sanctioned the publication of Mitchell's map in 1755,

bounding this territory, with other parts of New-England and also Nova Scotia, upon the St. Lawrence. The royal proclamation of 1763, however, established a barrier of highlands, portioning off a strip of the country contiguous to the river St. Lawrence, as a part of the Canadian Government; and the Lords thereupon began to think, that the Massachusetts Province could at least claim no right to the lands on the river St. Lawrence, notwithstanding the opinion formerly expressed on that point, though they did not deny her jurisdiction over the territory. Massachusetts had made grants east of the Penobscot, but not upon the St. Lawrence; and while she was desirous of obtaining a confirmation of those grants from the crown, the crown also wanted a release of the right of Massachusetts to the south bank of the St. Lawrence. Lord Hillsborough interrogated the agent of the Province, Mr. Mauduit, whether he had any authority from the Province, relative to the lands upon the south of the river St. Lawrence. It seems to have been proposed, that if the Province would relinquish to the crown the claim under their charter to the lands on the St. Lawrence, designed by the proclamation to form part of the Government of Quebec, the crown would make no question concerning the validity of any of their grants of lands, leaving them to the St. Croix, and from the sea-coast of the Bay of Fundy to the bounds of the province of Quebec; and Mr. Mauduit and Mr. Jackson came to the conclusion, which was communicated to the General Court, that '*the narrow tract of land, which lies beyond the sources of all your rivers, and is watered by those which run into the river St. Lawrence,*' might therefore be conceded to the crown, which considered it of so much importance '*to preserve the continuity of the Government of Quebec.*'

The Quebec Act of 1774 only transposes the description of the proclamation of 1763, beginning at the other extremity, and returning 'by a line from the Bay of Chaleurs, along the highlands which divide the rivers that empty themselves into the river St. Lawrence from those which fall into the sea,' to a point of latitude ( $45^{\circ}$ ) on Connecticut river. This well-remembered act of Parliament followed the forty-fifth parallel of latitude to the river St. Lawrence, and through Lake Ontario, and upon the south-eastern bank of Lake Erie to the boundary of Pennsylvania, and by the western boundary of that Province to the river Ohio, and along the Ohio to the

Mississippi. All the territory to the north of this line and south of the Hudson's Bay Company's limit, was incorporated, as belonging to the crown of Great Britain, into the Province of Quebec. This was a more absolute and decisive demarcation throughout its extent, than that which was traced by the proclamation of 1763; but it was a result of the same policy. There can be no question, we suppose, that both the royal proclamation of 1763, and the parliamentary act of 1774, were innovations upon the Province charter of Massachusetts; and the propriety of our referring to these measures at all, in vindication of our title to the remainder of our territory, has been questioned, on the ground that they were complained of in the colonies, as being infringements. Still they may be referred to as acts of the British Government, although we might have had no cause to be contented with them. They may be referred to as authoritative acts of that Government, establishing the bounds of their recently acquired empire, between which and their elder dependencies on the seaboard, they were anxious to establish such an industrious partition. We may well refer to those acts as establishing the existence of certain monuments, to which they distinctly and carefully refer themselves, as separating the inferior tributaries of the St. Lawrence from the more majestic streams, that find their way, like the St. Lawrence, to the ocean. And although we may have originally demurred to the rightfulness of these arbitrary arrangements, it was never denied that this delimitation was within the plenitude of British power, so far as it related to New-England. It was in regard to the disposition of the western territory, that the greatest objection was made, in respect to the *strip taken off from the St. Lawrence*, as well as the separation of Nova Scotia. The Province of Massachusetts, as appears from Mr. Mauduit's communication, seems to have acquiesced; indeed we have never heard of a question raised in regard to their final operation on this frontier.

We may refer to these acts, therefore, we conceive, notwithstanding they were abridgments of our limits, as *public declarations of facts*; and the proclamations of them made by those who had the means, and the best means of knowledge in their possession. Few have the means of seeing and judging with their own eyes of the truth of these facts, but all may be said to have access to these archives, which have been hung up on high, and give to these plain and palpable descriptions

the character of truisms in political geography. These highlands stand forward upon the proud front of the public faith of Great Britain, as though they were visibly marked on the horizon to our view. Officially there may be those who, at this late day, may affect to doubt their existence ; but if they do not exist as they are described upon the face of nature, falsehood is then stamped upon the face of those royal and imperial documents ; and confidence is impaired in the solidity of those principles, on the strength of which we ought to be able to repose with as much certainty, as we might upon the constancy of the laws of nature.

Besides these solemn and authoritative assertions of the British crown and parliament, there is also the universal evidence of the maps that were published from that period in England, it is sufficient to say, to the close of the American war, although the remark might be extended down to a much later day. In all the maps published during these twenty years, there was a continuous and visible representation of highlands, receding to the right of the waters falling into the St. Lawrence, and to the north of all the waters flowing into the Atlantic or any of its bays. There were as many as twenty maps of this description, presented to the umpire. There were the common maps, designed to exhibit the geography of the country ; maps of the continent ; of the British dominions in North America ; and maps to illustrate the history of those dominions. There were Danville's maps, improved with *English surveys* ; there were maps corrected from the materials of Governor Pownal ; there was the American Military Pocket Atlas, published at the commencement of the Revolution, to show the seat of war in the northern colonies ; the map of the Province of Quebec ; and Faden's map of North America, from the latest discoveries, engraved for Carver's Travels, in 1778 and 1781. On all these maps, we believe, without exception, the north-west angle of Nova Scotia is laid down in the same manner, by a line from the St. Croix, north of the St. John.

With reference to these maps of the country from 1763 to 1783, they may be adduced not merely for the evidence they afford of the geographical state of the country at that period in themselves, but because they go to establish the full belief, that then was and has ever since been entertained, in respect to those great features of rivers and highlands, by which the ace of the country was marked. Let the fact be as it may,

this was the opinion of the age, and it shows what was universally thought and understood to be the truth, at the time of the treaty of 1783. This evidence addressed itself to the eyes of every man in Europe or America, at an era of great interest and inquiry. It furnished a panorama of the country to those who never could expect to be able to trace the outline except from the plate before them, and carried its knowledge into every library, into every public office, and almost into every compting-room in the kingdom or on this continent. It was the guide of the tourist, it was the companion of the man of science, and the manual of the military officer. There can be no suspicion of any fabrication. These maps were all published in London, under the observation, if not under the actual sanction, of the colonial department. So far back as Mitchell's map, which was published in 1755, and the map published by the English commissioners on the limits of Acadia in the same year, and before these objects can be imagined to have been raised into existence in opposition to us, these appearances present themselves. For fifty years, maps of this description have been published and sold at a shop in the Strand, facing toward Charing Cross. If it be suggested, that these maps are copies from one another,—which in some respects they are very far from being,—what better proof can there be of the steadiness and singleness of conviction upon that score, and which extended down, unabated, for half a century? The pertinence of this species of proof was called in question before the arbiter. Map A, it was contended, was the only piece of evidence of that kind, proper for his consideration; and this map A was a mere plan of the territory in dispute, adjusted by Mr. Gallatin and Dr. Tiarks, and annexed to the convention. It was nothing but chalk. But the physical existence of the highlands described in the British acts has not been disproved, although it has been disputed. Further surveys of that region from one end of the direction given to the other, (an operation which has never been accomplished since the treaty of Ghent,) may vary the altitude of these highlands; but they can never alter the state of the fact as to the firm opinion of the age, and the actual intentions of the British Government in respect to this boundary, in all its proceedings, from the peace of 1763 to the treaty of 1783. What may be asserted with confidence, even at this day, when much has been done, and it is impossible to say without any success, to

deface the lines and monuments which were well established in former times, is this ; that there is a *historical chain or connexion of highlands sweeping round the heads of our great rivers, which flow into the Atlantic, namely, the Kennebeck, Penobscot and St. John*, and shelving comparatively very near to the coast of the river St. Lawrence. Such was the state of the fact in the public mind, at the peace of 1783.

The second article of the treaty of 1783, in order, as it premised, '*that all disputes* which might arise in future on the subject of the boundaries of the said United States *may be prevented*', established their boundaries in the first place in the following manner ; from the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, viz. that angle which is formed by a line drawn due north from the source of the St. Croix to the highlands,—which (highlands) *divide the rivers that fall into the Atlantic ocean from those which fall into the river St. Lawrence* ;—and again, as they are described in another part of the same article,—along the said highlands which divide the rivers that empty themselves into the *river St. Lawrence*, from those which fall into the *Atlantic ocean*, to the north-westernmost head of Connecticut river, &c. This is the beginning of the description *designed to prevent all disputes* ; and it is most remarkable, that the present dispute arises in relation to *that point, at which the boundaries of the United States commenced* ;—that point which beyond all others is believed to have some positive character of certainty attached to it. That is the point which, it seems, from the opinion of the King of the Netherlands, was not only undefined, but left in a condition incapable of ever being defined. It was singular, indeed, that the first step in the process should have been a false one. It would be most singular, that that which was adopted as an axiom, should not only prove to be a problem, but turn out to have no proper existence whatever.

With the knowledge of these maps before them, for it appears as a fact that sundry others besides Mitchell's were consulted, and with this knowledge necessarily common to the English as well as American negotiators at Paris, they adopted the existing highland Canadian boundary,—to be intersected by a direct north line from the St. Croix ; and still further to characterize and confirm this frontier of highlands, they describe it as dividing the rivers that fall into the St. Lawrence from those that descend into the Atlantic. The phraseology is thus guarded, and varied, and reiterated, as if to preclude the pos-

sibility of ‘dispute.’ Indeed it was pointed out, in defence of the treaty, in the parliamentary debate upon the preliminary articles, as its *great excellence*, ‘that it so clearly and plainly described the limits of the dominions of Great Britain and America, that it was impossible they could be mistaken; therefore it was impossible there should in future be any dispute between them on the score of boundaries.’ In the House of Lords, the treaty was censured with severity for surrendering the keys, the bolts, the bars, the passes, and carrying-places of Canada; and the *highlands* described in the treaty were distinctly recognised, as being *near the river St. Lawrence*. A map was engraved to accompany and illustrate the reports of the debates in Parliament on the Preliminary Articles, and was published in several forms, in which the highlands are laid down precisely according to the former maps from 1763. Half a dozen maps were published in London in the year 1783, delineating the boundaries of the United States; and these were succeeded by others in London and Paris within another year, for that purpose. It is needless to say, that they all agreed in that feature of the territory which now forms the subject of dispute, and the force of which is now designed to be done away by the dubious opinion of the King of the Netherlands.

To pass to a later period in the history of this subject,—in the British argument under the 5th article of the treaty of 1794, concerning the St. Croix, it was insisted that the northern limit of Nova Scotia was a line along the highlands, which divide the rivers that empty themselves into the river St. Lawrence, from those which fall into the sea. This argument directly identified the northern limit of Nova Scotia with the southern boundary of Canada, as before established. And taking this as a base, it sought to find the river St. Croix *by determining in the first place the north-west angle of Nova Scotia*, projected from the highlands. Another operation was considered and acknowledged as incontestable at the same time, viz. that the north line requisite to form this angle ‘*must cross the St. John of necessity*,’—but it would cross it, it was said, as an advantage to Great Britain, in a part of it where it ceases to be navigable, that is, above the Great Falls, ‘almost at the foot of the highlands.’ This effect was admitted at that day (in 1798) without any demur by Mr. Liston, then British minister in this country; and at that moment, no per-

son had ever thought of denying it. It resulted as a dead certainty from the physical formation of the country, for the reason given by the British agent. That was, that the sources of the St. John are to the westward, 'not only of the western boundary line of Nova Scotia, but of the sources of the Penobscot, and even of the Kennebec.' It may be added, although somewhat in advance, that in the argument delivered under the 4th article of the treaty of Ghent, relating to the Passamaquoddy Islands, by the British agent, it was again allowed, that the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, mentioned in the treaty of 1783, was the same as the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, as constituted in connexion with the Province of Quebec in 1763. The map evidence laying down the boundary, according to the treaty of 1783, between the United States and Nova Scotia and Canada, as that boundary is described in a note to Lord Harrowby in 1804, '*along the highlands bounding the southern waters of the St. Lawrence*', is continued by an unbroken succession down to the war, in 1812.

Even after the close of the last war with Great Britain, in 1815, we have the description of the boundary in question, in a work of so much authority and importance, as the '*Topographical Description of the Province of Lower Canada*', by Colonel Bouchette, Surveyor-General of the Province, afterwards employed as a surveyor under the treaty of Ghent, and now the author of the large statistical work on the British dominions in North America, recently published in London. His description is so clear and conclusive, that we venture to quote it again. From the *high banks* opposite the city of Quebec, he describes a gradual ascent towards a *first range of mountains*. 'Beyond this range, at about fifty miles distance, is the ridge generally denominated the *Land's Height*, dividing the waters that fall into the St. Lawrence from those taking a direction toward the Atlantic ocean, and along whose summit is supposed to run the boundary line between the territories of Great Britain and the United States of America. This chain commences upon the eastern branch of the Connecticut river, takes a north-easterly course, and terminates near Cape Rosières in the Gulf of St. Lawrence.'

We might adduce also the splendid maps published by Colonel Bouchette at the same time, dedicated to the Prince Regent, intended to accompany his work, and to illustrate the description. We might also refer again to the work itself for

particular descriptions of several parts of the highlands, observed and sketched by Colonel Bouchette; but we fear to presume too far upon the patience of our readers, in pursuing this almost exhausted topic; and it would be only repeating a summary of them, which will be found in a former number of this journal.\* It affords a picturesque representation of ridges or ranges of highlands, from the swell of land, in which the Connecticut river takes its rise two thousand feet above the level of the sea,—and whence continual falls mark the shorter descent of the Chaudière, to what may be termed the *trosachs* of the *Temiscouatu portage*, and thence supposed to continue to the Gulf of St. Lawrence. It is through this pass that the road from the St. John to the St. Lawrence, effected with great difficulty about the time of the conclusion of the American war, crosses the highlands; and here is established, by the concurrence of testimony of inhabitants on the Madawaska river with marks that still remain upon the earth, the southern boundary of Canada. This has been considered as fixed, between thirty and forty years. No process can be executed on this side of the pass from Canada. Several posts still appear, though latterly in a decaying state, which are known to have been placed there for the purpose of designating the boundary; and it is fixed as a fact, that *Mount St. Francis*, which *divides the waters* at the Temiscouatu Portage, has long been holden as being the southern boundary of Canada at that place. Practically, this would seem to put an end to all dispute.

The proper boundary of the United States, according to the description of the treaty of 1783, which is now somehow obliterated in the opinion of the King of the Netherlands, was recognised without a shadow of doubt till the close of the war in 1814. This last period itself is so pregnant with proof, at once of the continued conviction which existed on this subject, and of a policy, if possible, to reform it to meet an object of which war had disclosed the importance, and furnished the opportunity, that a production of text passages from British publications in the interests of our powerful antagonist, may here be not without some profit and instruction at this season. The most fertile repository of tracts and details on this topic, mixed up with spicy observations of its own, is the Anti-Jacobin Review and True Churchman's Magazine. One of the lead-

---

\* Vol. XXVI. pp. 337-340.

ing topics in the volumes of that periodical, for the year 1814, is the necessity for settling *a new boundary* between the British colonies and the United States. This was the burden of both parts of the work ; and it was urged with all the energy that was imparted to the British military movements in this country, upon the successful termination of their contest with Bonaparte. The season for speaking was supposed to be peculiarly favorable, ‘as,’ it was said, ‘a negotiation is about to open at Gottenburgh, and as a powerful British army is about to enforce our rights in America. All former treaties between the two countries are abrogated and annulled by the existing war, and the American Government has lost every claim upon the favor, affection, and forbearance of Great Britain, by her base and perfidious conduct, in attacking us at a time when we were fighting for the freedom and independence of Europe. Our ministers, therefore, must be disposed to derive every legitimate advantage from the success of our arms, &c.’ The first subject to which those reviewers allude, in this immediate connexion, as of primary importance, is, ‘an exclusive privilege to be secured to our own colonies in North America, to supply our West India Islands with all those necessary articles, which they, heretofore, chiefly derived from the United States ;’ and secondly, they state ‘the *most* important object to be secured by a treaty of peace, is the settlement of *a new boundary* between the two countries.’ Afterwards, on another occasion, they recommend the advantage of retaining possession of the islands in Passamaquoddy Bay for the benefit of the trade, navigation, and fisheries of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick ; and express the hope ‘that whilst we have such a naval force on that station, and such an army to co-operate with it, *Penobscot will be taken possession of, and a new boundary line established between New Brunswick and the United States.*’

For detailed information on this topic, reference is made to *Knox’s Extra Official Papers*, published by *Debret*. But the most pertinent and expressive text for these useful remarks is contained in a production entitled, ‘A compressed view of the points to be discussed in treating with the United States of America,’ with two maps, by J. M. Richardson, published the same year ; from which we borrow the following extracts, as we find them making a conspicuous figure in the foreground, in the work from which we have quoted.

'In concluding a treaty of peace with the United States, not only ought the main feature of the war, the inviolate maintenance of our maritime rights, to be kept in view, but the scarcely less important object, the preservation of the British North American colonies, ought not to be overlooked. To secure this last, it is requisite to advert to one grand point, the necessity of the establishment of a *new line of boundary*, between the British and the American possessions,' &c. In regard to the boundary line, as supposed to be fixed in 1783, that writer remarked, that 'the framers of that treaty on the part of Great Britain, instead of insisting, according to their instructions, on the river Penobscot being the boundary between New Brunswick and the United States, abandoned that point, and allowed the line to be carried as far as the river St. Croix, giving up an extent of sea-coast of nearly fifty leagues, *though the Penobscot was the utmost northern point to which the limits of the New England States were before supposed to extend*. Another special result is then pointed out as proceeding from the treaty determination of boundary, viz. 'that there is actually no readily practicable communication between Lower Canada and New Brunswick, *without crossing a part of the American territory*, now called the Province of Maine.'

Indeed this strong and well informed production so distinctly marks out and emphatically dwells upon all the subjects, that were afterwards assumed on the part of the British negotiators at Ghent, that it can be seen by a summary of the points which the writer undertakes to deliver in charge to the British plenipotentiaries to be insisted upon, how well prepared they were to take high ground for their demands. We omit those which go to exclude us from trading with their East India possessions, and to extinguish our 'pretended right' to the north-west coast of America; and pass over a prohibition to include the Floridas in the Union, and a requirement to be made of the cession of *New Orleans*, to ensure a due share of the navigation of the Mississippi; these, with the refusal of any commercial treaty with this country, having less immediate bearing upon the policy of the negotiation in respect to this frontier. The summary of leading propositions, is as follows.

*First*, a boundary line throughout the whole extent of North America, where the British possessions and those of the United States come into contact, *keeping in view that Nova Scotia and New Brunswick be restored to their ancient limits*, and a free communication with Canada be obtained, without passing

through the United States. '*If we cannot get to the Penobscot, at least let some route or line be drawn, by which we may be enabled to have a free communication between Canada and Nova Scotia.*'

*Secondly*, a new boundary line for the Indian territory ; the integrity of this boundary and the independence of the Indians to be guaranteed by Great Britain ; the Americans to be excluded from the navigation of the St. Lawrence, and of all its tributary lakes and waters ; and no forts or military posts to be erected by the Americans in the Indian territory, or on the boundaries or jurisdiction within these limits ; and a navigable part of the Mississippi to be brought within the Canadian territories.

*Farther*, the Americans to be excluded from the fisheries on the coast of British North America ; especially those of Labrador, Newfoundland, and the Gulf of St. Lawrence. 'The third article of the treaty of 1783, which admits them to take and dry fish on the shores of these colonies, ought to be utterly abrogated, and every vestige of its existence taken away. Improvident and impolitic in the outset, experience has shown that it is much more injurious than might, on a superficial view, be supposed. 'That the Americans were enabled thereby to carry our own fish to the West Indies, and derive great part of the advantages of a trade which nature points out as belonging to us, is too well known.' In addition to this, 'the Americans to be excluded from all intercourse with the British West India Islands.' A barrier had existed, which obstructed the advantages to be derived from a true line of policy. This barrier consisted in allowing the Americans to supply the West India Islands with timber, staves, fish and provisions. The war had put an end to this impolitic system. Earnestly was it to be hoped, that experience would open their eyes and induce them to revive, in all its vigor, the navigation and colonial systems of England, to give every species of encouragement to the colonies, and to prohibit in future all intercourse between the United States, and the British West India Islands.

Of these objects, forming the bulk of what ought to come under discussion, it was the aim to produce a conviction of the essential nature, to the prosperity and existence of the British colonial possessions in North America. The persons to be employed as British negotiators should go *prepared with an*

*advantageous line*, distinctly marked out, the adoption of which should be a *sine quâ non* in the negotiation. ‘The tone of firmness, of decision, of *dictation*, on our part, (we quote the language then used) is the only one suitable to our own dignity, and to the relative circumstances and situation of the two countries.’

Qui Mare teneat, eum necesse rerum potiri!

These last passages open a fruitful and not entirely pleasant source of recollection and reflection. They cast a long retrospect upon the far receding period of our colonial condition. They carry us back to the season of 1763,—when, after the joint exertion in arms; between the strength of the mother country and her children on this side, the French empire gave way upon this continent, and when our fathers saw the great materials of that conquered empire re-combined upon our back in a new form, making a new frontier from south to north, by the sources of the streams flowing to the Atlantic. They rehearse to us the preface of the royal proclamation of that year, reciting the extensive and valuable acquisition in America, lately secured to the crown, by the definitive treaty of Paris, and the advice of the privy council thereupon, ‘being desirous that *all our loving subjects, as well of our kingdoms, as of our colonies in America, may avail themselves with all convenient speed, of the great benefits and advantages, which must accrue therefrom to their commerce, manufactures and navigation.*’ It remembereth us even further back, of the days of Sir Josiah Child, and the close systems of our mother country, devised originally against the free and virtuous republic of Holland, and finally transformed and fitted to us, as finely as though they had been cut out for us. It gives us a lively and rather racy relish of those venerable principles of colonial monopoly, by which our industry was trained in prudent directions to promote the prosperity of the mother country, and our native fondness for the arts was encouraged to confine itself to the honest and peaceful pursuits of husbandry, leaving our workshops to be kept in Europe. It reminds us of a certain act entitled an act,

‘To restrain the trade and commerce of the Province of Massachusetts Bay, and New Hampshire, and colonies of Connecticut, and Rhode Island, and Providence Plantations, in North America,

to Great Britain, Ireland, and the British islands in the West Indies ; and to prohibit such provinces and colonies from carrying on any fishing on the banks of Newfoundland, or other places therein mentioned, under certain conditions and limitations.'

This was the same act, it may be observed, by which it was established,

'That the river which emptieth itself into Passamacadie or Passamaquadda Bay, on the western side, and is commonly called or known by the name of St. Croix river, be held and deemed for all the purposes in this act contained, to be the boundary line between the provinces of Massachusetts Bay and Nova Scotia.'

If the monopoly of this continent was played for as a stake, between England and France, and the provinces had to pay the price of the contest, and to see their own frontier the forfeit, not of defeat, but victory, still they were not deprived of the freedom of commerce in this hemisphere, and had the same prescriptive liberty of carrying their products to the West Indies, that they had of a partnership in the fur-trade or the fisheries. We were the denizens of all the English empire upon our coast, from the equator to Labrador. In the natural connexion of this important subject, we cannot forbear to quote the doctrine of the venerable John Adams, to whom New-England is so much indebted for the preservation of her most valuable interests. *We considered the treaty of 1783, he said, as a division of the empire. Our independence, our rights to territory and to the fisheries, as practised before the Revolution, were no more a grant from Britain to us, than the treaty was a grant from us of Canada, Nova Scotia, &c. The treaty was nothing more than mutual acknowledgments of antecedent rights.* In defence of a portion of those rights, particularly the fisheries, New-England, and especially Massachusetts, had done more than all the rest of the British empire. In the various projected expeditions to Canada, not defeated through their negligence, in the conquest of Louisburgh in 1745, in the final conquest of Nova Scotia, New-England had expended more blood and treasure, than all the rest of the British empire. In regard to that portion of these rights, most intimately connected with our limits, namely, the fisheries, we urged upon the British ministers, he continued, that it was the interest of England herself, that we should hold fast all those rights, because all the profits which we make of them, went

regularly to Great Britain, in gold and silver, to purchase and pay for their manufactures ; and that if it was in their power, which it was not, to exclude us from, or abridge those rights, they would themselves experience the consequences of their own unwise policy. This was a strain worthy at the time of him, who has been well styled ‘the noblest Roman of them all ;’ and although some things have already taken a different turn, and other things have acquired a steady and determined direction in fulfilment of these patriotic and prophetic suggestions, we have no occasion to lose sight of them, in remarking the policy that has been pursued by Great Britain toward the United States. A most remarkable development of this policy took place in the negotiations at Ghent,—of which the question now pending is a legacy.

The negotiations, which it was the intention to open at Gottenburgh, being removed to Ghent, the American envoys were surprised by a set of demands, as the conditions of peace, which went very far to carry the United States back to the era before the Revolution. These demands were, first,—for a *general revision of the boundary line between Great Britain and the United States* ; the establishment of the Indian possessions, as a permanent barrier between the British dominions and the territories of the Union ; the lakes from Lake Ontario to Lake Superior to be the proper frontier between the two countries ; from Lake Superior, the line to be pursued to the Mississippi ; and on the north-east, a ‘*variation of the line of frontier, by a cession of that portion of the District of Maine, in the State of Massachusetts, which intervenes between New Brunswick and Quebec, and prevents their direct communication.*’ The United States were further required to relinquish their right to the Lakes, and to disarin their force on the waters and dismantle their fortifications on the shores of those lakes, within a limited distance, while the British were to retain the right to a military possession on their side of both. In addition to these demands, besides the islands of Passamaquoddy, which we were to give up, we were also to be deprived of the right to the fisheries, and of drying our fish upon the shores within the limits of British sovereignty. These positions seem to have been assumed upon the ground,—which on some points was positively taken,—that the treaty of 1783 was repealed by the declaration of war ; leaving us only to negotiate upon the footing of our original declaration of independence, so far as the success-

ful result of the former war had not been impaired by the military vicissitudes attending the latter. Finally, the British plenipotentiaries proposed the *uti possidetis*. This new pretension was brought forward, as the American envoys wrote home, ‘immediately after the accounts had been received, that a *British force had taken possession of all that part of the State of Massachusetts, situated east of Penobscot river.*’ The British negotiators were in a constant state of communication with their own Government, referring to its consideration every note from our envoys, and waiting a return before they transmitted their answer. By the time when the negotiations, on the principle of *uti possidetis*, should be brought to a close, the success of the expedition destined to Louisiana, might have been determined; and in possession of Penobscot upon one side, and New Orleans upon the other, the British Government might have gone far to execute the original project of 1763.

This proposal of the *uti possidetis* was stripped at once, by the American Ministers, of its diplomatic circumlocution; and they met it by a direct denial, that they had any power to cede the territory of the United States. To be more distinct, they referred to their former note in reply to the broad demand of a new boundary, in which they say, they ‘perceive, that under the alleged purpose of opening a direct communication between two of the British Provinces in America, the British Government require a cession of territory forming a part of one of the States of the American Union,—and that they propose, without purpose specifically alleged, to draw the boundary line westward,’ &c. ‘They have no authority,’ they answer, ‘to cede any part of the territory of the United States; and to no stipulations to that effect will they subscribe.’

It was to this great object, namely, to obtain a new demarcation of the territorial limits of the United States, and a curtailment of their rights on this continent and the adjacent element, that the great efforts of the British negotiators were directed. This was the purpose which they approached, under the affectation of affording protection to the Indians against our resentment; the accusation, of our design to conquer Canada, and of the immorality of our acquisition of Florida; and the assertion, of the flaming proof of our insatiable appetite, arising from the purchase of Louisiana. Hence, also, the allusion to equivalents and offers in other quarters, and all the diplomatic expedients made use of to compass this purpose. Why, at

least, his Majesty should be ‘ precluded from availing himself of his means to retain these points, which the valor of British arms might have placed in his power, because they *happened to be situated within the territories allotted under former treaties to the Government of the United States,*’ his Majesty’s plenipotentiaries professed themselves entirely unable to conceive. These records of the negotiations at Ghent are not revived, however, to show the similarity to the project of an Indian barrier, presented by France, and repelled by Mr. Pitt, in the negotiations at the termination of the war of 1756, nor the broad and glaring analogy which they exhibit to the policy of the proclamation of 1763. It is for the *distinct admission they contain, of the proper construction of the limits of our territories, and particularly of Massachusetts then, now Maine, according to the allotment of former treaties.*

With respect to that part of the boundary of the District of Maine which had been brought into view, the American envoys had never understood that the British plenipotentiaries, who signed the treaty of 1783, had contemplated a boundary different from that fixed by the treaty, and which required ‘ nothing more in order to be *definitively ascertained, than to be surveyed in conformity with its provisions.*’ This subject not having been a matter of uncertainty or dispute, they said they were not instructed upon it, and had ‘ no authority to cede any part of the State of Massachusetts, even for what the British Government might consider a fair equivalent.’

The treaty of Ghent neither raised nor recognised any doubt about the geography of the highlands. It gave no new description of them ; but adopted the definition that had been in known and constant use for fifty years, and always understood and applied in one and the same manner. It left no more uncertainty about the proper existence and character of these *highlands*, than it did respecting *Connecticut river*, or the *astronomical north*. The lines had never been surveyed by any mutual proceeding between the two countries. Two points only had not been ascertained ;—*where was the north-westernmost source of Connecticut river* ; and where was the point at which a line drawn thence along the highlands, would be intersected by a line drawn due north from the St. Croix ? The *north-westernmost source of Connecticut river* was to be determined in the same manner, that the *due north direction* from the St. Croix was. Both depended upon the direct ap-

plication of scientific principles. The *point* in the highlands, which should be met or made by a meridian from the monument, had not been ascertained. A survey could then be made, by which the limits of each country would be marked out. It is mentioned by the King of the Netherlands, that Great Britain had once refused a proposition of this kind. She did not refuse it now ; and nothing remained to be done, but to carry the provisions into honest and faithful effect. The import of it is correctly exhibited in the following paragraph, from the Report of the Committee of Public Lands, in the Legislature of Massachusetts.

' It results from the terms of these articles, and leaving out of view that part of the fifth relating to the north-westernmost head of Connecticut river, and the boundary thence to the Iroquois, which is not material to the present purpose, that the duty which devolved upon the Commissioners, appointed under the fifth article, was to ascertain and define that point of the highlands lying due north of the source of the river St. Croix, which was designated, in the former treaty, as the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, and to cause that part of the boundary line, between the dominions of the two powers, which extends from the source of the river St. Croix, due north to the above mentioned north-west angle of Nova Scotia, thence along the said highlands, which divide those rivers which empty themselves into the river St. Lawrence, from those which fall into the Atlantic ocean, to the north-westernmost head of Connecticut river, to be surveyed and marked according to the provisions of the treaty. No authority is given to the Commissioners, to ascertain and determine the respective positions of the highlands, or of the source of the river St. Croix. Both these are supposed to be known. The position of the source of the river St. Croix, had in fact been determined by a special convention, and no question had ever been raised as to that of the highlands, which was laid down in all the maps, and described in a variety of official documents, emanating from the British Government, as stretching from the western extremity of the Bay des Chaleurs, along the south side of the river St. Lawrence, at a distance from it of twenty or thirty miles. The duty of the Commissioners was, therefore, as has been already said, to ascertain and determine the point where a line, drawn due north from the source of the St. Croix, strikes the highlands, and to cause the boundary line, which, according to the treaty, was to run westerly from that point along the highlands, to be surveyed. Should the Commissioners differ upon any of the matters referred to them, they were to make report to their

respective Governments of the points on which they differed, and an arbiter was to be appointed, who was to decide on view of these reports, the points of difference therein stated.'

The existence of these highlands forming the northern boundary of Maine, is assumed in the Report as a matter of fact, of public notoriety, established by the uniform evidence of maps, and by the practice and authority of the British Government. A graphic description of the character of these highlands, from the best knowledge that exists of them at the present period, is given in the following passage from a letter of Mr. Preble, one of the agents of the United States, and late Minister at the Hague, to Mr. McLane, then Minister at London, published in an Appendix to the pamphlet, prefixed as a title to this article.

' On the southern border of the river St. Lawrence, and at the average distance from it of less than thirty miles, there is an elevated range or continuation of broken highland, extending from Cape Rosières south-westerly, to the sources of Connecticut river, *forming the southern border of the basin of the St. Lawrence*, and the *ligne des versants* of the rivers emptying into it. The same highlands form also the *ligne des versants* of the river Restigouche, and its northerly branches emptying into the Bay des Chaleurs, the river St. John, with its northerly and westerly branches emptying into the Bay of Fundy, the river Penobscot, with its north-westerly branches emptying into the Bay of Penobscot, the rivers Kennebec and Androscoggin, whose united waters, absorbed in the river Sagadahock, empty through it into Sagadahock Bay, and the river Connecticut emptying into the Bay, usually called Long Island Sound. These Bays are all open arms of the sea or Atlantic ocean, are designated by their names on Mitchell's map, and with the single exception of Sagadahock, are all equally well known, and usually designated by their appropriate names. The river St. John, several branches of which take their rise in these highlands, from thirty to one hundred and twenty English miles west of the line drawn due north from the source of the St. Croix, pursuing a south-easterly course, crosses said line, then suddenly turning, runs nearly parallel to it, when, resuming its former direction, it winds its way through more than three hundred miles from its source to the ocean; and in its course, besides its own rapids and those of its tributaries, precipitates itself over one fall of eighty feet in height. The waters of the St. Lawrence are tide waters, and of course on a level with those at the mouth of the St. John. As therefore the highlands, or *point de portage*, where the tributaries of the St. John take their rise, approach the St.

Lawrence within thirty English miles, it necessarily results from the nature of things, that the country on the Atlantic side must continue to rise till it reaches the dividing ridge or highlands, and then suddenly fall off toward the river St. Lawrence. But we are not here left to inference. It is proved by actual observation and computation, that the average absolute height of this "*ligne des versants*" approximates nearly to two thousand feet.'

The highlands where the line passes, at the Temiscouatu Portage, is thirteen hundred feet above the level of the sea. That at which the north-west angle of Nova Scotia is found, is a table of considerable elevation, and there the waters find a descent from the south into the river St. Lawrence, from the west into the Bay of Chaleurs, and from the north and north-west into the Atlantic. In this part of the country, where the proper angle is found, are springs of the St. John, and Restigouche, and the Metis. From similar highlands in Scotland, more like table-land than mountains, take their rise the sources of the Tweed, and the Clyde, and the Annan ; and a more natural or appropriate position for the north-west angle of Nova Scotia,—divided by the Bay of Chaleurs from Canada,—could hardly be supposed. Thereabouts it was found and considered to be in 1798 ; and there was not even a question of fact existing since that period, but only a treaty process instituted to ascertain the *point*.

This elevation of the land at the source of the river Metis, is greater than that of Mount St. Francis ; and viewed from the St. Lawrence, the ridge of highlands probably presents a conspicuous and continuous appearance. The term *hauteur de terre*, is one used in Canada, and applied to this ridge, as the term *highlands* is used in Scotland. This equivalent expression was first employed to mark off the Canadian boundary, from New-England first, and finally from *Nova Scotia*, where the application of it would have an equal felicity ; and it may be asserted as a fact, with entire confidence in its integrity, that no person in America ever doubted that this boundary of highlands, distinguished and established by the treaty of 1783, ran north of the river St. John,—which is the only question,—till since the treaty of Ghent. We may safely challenge a contradiction.

Nevertheless, it appears that the situation of these highlands was the principal point, upon which the British and American Commissioners happened to differ. Upon this subject the

Committee of the Massachusetts Legislature remark, 'that it certainly was not the intention of the parties to the treaty of Ghent, that any question should be made. When the British commissioners advanced the extravagant and preposterous pretension, that the highlands were situated in a widely different region in the State of Maine, the Committee say, the American Commissioners might perhaps with propriety have declined to negotiate upon this point.'

'Instead of this, however, they undertook to refute the British argument, and finally consented to refer it to the arbiter. The King being authorized to decide upon all the questions specified in the statement, was of course justified in considering the situation of the highlands as one of the points referred to him; *and had he given a decision in favor of the British pretensions, the Government of the United States would have been bound to acquiesce in it, except so far as it might have been considered originally null and void, for want of any constitutional power in the Government of the United States, to authorize the submission to a foreign arbiter of the question so decided.*

'The King, however, gave no decision upon this or any other question relating to the north-eastern boundary. After stating the question to be, as above represented;—what is the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, and what are the highlands which divide the waters that empty themselves into the river St. Lawrence, from those that fall into the Atlantic ocean?—his Majesty proceeds to recapitulate at considerable length, the arguments which have been urged by the two parties, in favor of their respective pretensions, compares their forces, and finally concludes, that there is not sufficient evidence on either side, to justify a decision.'

It may be fitting to furnish some further account than we have yet seen, of the singular operations, by which the mind of the arbiter has been guided to this strangely negative result. We might be spared the perplexity of following out a number of passages, literally leading to nothing, if it were not rather interesting and curious to see by what expedients it was practicable to avoid a decision. Besides being abundant and diversified in its details, the opinion is somewhat curious and complicated in its application of principles. It employs a scrupulous and subtle species of analysis. It carries with it an aspect of novelty in its prevailing ideas, that might be rather refreshing on such an antiquated topic; and it contains, there can be no sound reason for not saying it, a very singular mixture of mystifications and sophistications. Altogether, it is one

of the most remarkable pieces of metaphysics that have been produced, in matter of law or fact, in modern times. Although the method made use of may be understood by those who are acquainted with the subject and familiar with the case, it can hardly be mastered without considerable study, and also requires the aid of the artificial map A. Difficulties present themselves in the official translations, which are not immediately removed by recurrence to the original ; and on the whole it is a document of a rather *unique*, and anomalous description. But it will assume its place in the Annual Register of 1831, and find its way to the public understanding.

The first step in the process, adopted by the arbiter,—and which, properly improved and pursued, it is easy to perceive, goes far to accomplish the whole result, is to dispense with the quality of *altitude*, as constituting a characteristic of the highlands, established by the treaty. It is assumed that ‘the character more or less hilly and elevated of the country,’ through which the line may be drawn, affords no criterion :

Again, that the treaty of Ghent institutes a proceeding to ascertain the limit by direct examination upon the spot, which is incompatible with the idea of a *definite* or *historical* boundary :

That the descriptive north-west angle of Nova Scotia, being itself the desideratum, has no proper existence :

That the *nature of the ground, east* of the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, had not been indicated in the treaty,—nor the number of degrees to form that angle given :

That, furthermore, there is nothing to be derived from the delimitation of the ancient British Provinces on this point, as the boundary line, west of the St. Lawrence, through the lakes, did not comport with the ancient Province charters :

And that, stripping the question of these inconclusive circumstances, namely, the nature more or less hilly of the ground, and the ancient delimitation of the Provinces, &c. it resolves itself into this,—that is to say, what is the ground, no matter (*n'importe*) whether hilly and elevated, or not, which, westwardly from the line of the St. Croix, divides the rivers, which empty themselves into the St. Lawrence, from those which fall into the Atlantic ocean.

Putting the lands, whether high or low, on both sides of the St. John, to the north and south, upon the same level, so long as waters flow from them in different directions, and divesting

them of every circumstance, to distinguish the one or the other by any prescriptive discrimination, the next step is to dispose of the rivers, that are found to flow from the reputed highlands.

The rivers St. John and Restigouche, in the first place, are cashiered by the arbiter, on the ground, that it would not be safe to include them in the description of rivers, falling into the Atlantic ocean ;—these rivers falling into the Bays of Fundy and Chaleurs ;—that *these alone* are the rivers falling into the Atlantic ocean at all, which the boundary line claimed by the United States divides immediately from rivers, emptying themselves into the St. Lawrence ;—and that this boundary line does not even immediately divide the *rivers that empty themselves into the river St. Lawrence*, from the St. John and Restigouche, but only from *rivers that empty themselves into the St. John and Restigouche*; so that, to reach the Atlantic ocean at last, each set of streams, separated from the St. Lawrence, requires two intermediate communications, viz. the one the river St. John and the Bay of Fundy, the other the river Restigouche and Bay of Chaleurs.

But there is a still more effective ingredient employed, in order to produce a proper solution of this problem ; and that seems to be, that the highlands which divide the rivers flowing into the St. Lawrence from those falling into the ocean, may as well divide them *mediately* as immediately ; so that, in this sense, it becomes entirely indifferent on which side of the St. John the supposed highlands are situated, and that one set will answer the purpose of the treaty just as well as the other. The river St. John is thus substantially extracted for all purposes from the field of inquiry. This river is put, in fact, upon the same footing with the highlands. It is considered immaterial, whether those highlands have any extraordinary elevation. It is immaterial, whether the St. John flows on one flank of them or the other ; and to avoid the existence of the north-west angle of Nova Scotia, both the elements in the treaty description, namely, the *height* of lands, and the circumstance of their *dividing* rivers, seem to be rendered nugatory.

Striking the St. John from the scene, reducing the highlands to a level, and expunging the southern boundary of Quebec, the north-west angle of Nova Scotia sinks into a shapeless ruin,—‘*Baron and Baillie, and Saunders Saunderson,—a dead and gane !*’ It leaves the description of the treaty of

1783, a mere *rasa tabula*. It converts the whole space of country,—from the Chaudière to the river Metis, embracing the Ouelle, Kamouraska, Du Loup, Verte, Trois Pistoles and Rimousky, falling into the St. Lawrence on one side, that is, between those streams to the north, and the Penobscot, Kennebec and Androscoggin, the whole breadth of Maine, to the South, for all purposes of the treaty,—into *table land*. The face of the country is, in fact, discharged from all features of a sensible character; it is all indefinite. The original description is neutralized; there is no firm or distinct tract of ground remaining; the chemical process has been successful. The treaty description of the territory is cancelled, and becomes a mere blank.

In thus exercising this sovereign faculty of going into a thoroughly new and artificial view of the subject,—as though there were no pre-existing rules or principles of determination in regard to it,—the arbiter carefully discards all that is historical. In this respect, therefore, the description in the proclamation of 1763, the Quebec Act of 1774, and the successive commissions to the governors of Canada,—go for nothing. It is not that the negotiators of 1783 adopted so much any ancient delimitation of the Provinces in that quarter, as that they employed a set of terms, which, by their being made use of to define the principal provincial boundary in that direction, had acquired *a known, determinate, and practical signification*. If there had been no evidence on this subject before the arbiter, and he had been left entirely to the lights of his own mind, he might have been justified in viewing it more as an open question. But he was not thus at liberty. In the first commission that was issued to Sir Guy Carleton as governor of Quebec, in 1786, the identical description of '*highlands*, which divide the rivers that empty themselves into the river St. Lawrence from those which fall into the Atlantic *ocean*,' was used, which was employed in the treaty of 1783. It could not be said, that the treaty paid no regard to the ancient delimitation of the British Provinces, since Nova Scotia was expressly named, and the western boundary of that Province, terminating at its north-westernmost point in those highlands, was adopted as the eastern boundary of the United States.

With respect to the inference of the arbiter, that the northern boundary of Maine is not to be regulated by the southern boundary of Quebec, on the ground that the limits of the colo-

nies were not adopted as the limits of the United States, if it were of any importance, we should be glad if his Majesty would inform us, what the limits of the colonies were at the declaration of their independence? Should we go by their charters to the Pacific, or be governed by the acts of 1763 and 1774, intended to bear mainly upon what are now the Middle and Southern States of the Union? Does it follow, in his estimation, that because the operation of those provisions was not acquiesced in according to all their extent, that therefore their expressions are to be without effect, when they are literally recited and exactly applied? Because the lakes were made the boundary from the Iroquois to their farthest extremity in the wilderness, does it necessarily vitiate and destroy that portion of the description in these acts, which applies with perfect precision from the Connecticut and Chaudière to the Bay of Chaleurs? The logic of the arbiter implies an acquaintance with historical circumstances, while all his deductions are made against the authority of historical documents. Every thing is made to tell one way in his philosophy. What he has been able to do in the actual manner in which he has gone to work, is not in itself so wonderful,—because he has absolutely been able to do nothing,—as the dexterity with which he has attempted to divest the subject of all its real merits, arising from the character of the country, the demarcation of Canada, and the whole class of facts, geographical and historical, combined, which come down to us as traditional truths, and are established under the most authentic sanctions. Supposing, if it were possible, the highlands to have been hypothetical, still they had an unquestionably admitted existence, the intention was capable of being perfectly ascertained, and the delimitation which was *designed* could have been conclusively demonstrated. The phrase 'Atlantic ocean' was *genus generalissimum*. It embraced all but the river St. Lawrence to the south and east. A verbal criticism was raised in the British statements, on the word 'sea' having been used in the proclamation of 1763, as being the more comprehensive term, but the arbiter does not notice this slender distinction. If any of the original traces were obliterated, or the monuments referred to not to be found, it does not follow that the direction of the treaty was to be disregarded and abandoned as entirely ineffectual, so as to substitute a totally arbitrary definition, or rather a merely arbitrary division.

The arbiter, looking into historical documents for a very limited purpose, finds that the *north-west angle of Nova Scotia* was at one time (1755) on the bank of the St. Lawrence; and at another (1779) at the source of the St. John. Now it is quite certain, that there never was any such thing as the north-west angle of Nova Scotia in existence until 1763. When the northern boundary of that Province was considered as resting on the St. Lawrence, the arrival of the north line from the St. Croix never gave it the denomination of an angle. The north-west angle of Nova Scotia was never formed, till the Province of Quebec was set off. To look on Mitchell's map for the latitude of that angle, as coinciding with the St. Lawrence, is not merely an anachronism, but an absurdity. Mitchell's map was laid before the arbiter, because it was known to have been before the British and American negotiators, in forming the treaty of 1783. But to infer from that map, that there was any assignable north-west angle of Nova Scotia upon the latitude of the river St. Lawrence, different from that designated by the treaty, is preposterous. The identical Mitchell's map, which was used at Paris in making the treaty, is still preserved, and exhibits traces with a pencil, since that period apparently passed over with a pen, showing where the angle in question was understood at that time to be found, and intended to be fixed. But as there was no question when that map was made use of under the treaty of 1794, except as to the St. Croix, it was not deemed of any importance to obtain attestation to the truth of these marks, and was deferred, until by the decease of Mr. Adams, and the infirmity of Mr. Jay, it became impossible.

In the conferences of our negotiators at Paris in 1783, it appears from the testimony afterwards taken, that the easterly boundaries of the Province of Massachusetts, it was considered, ought to constitute those of the United States! It seems that Mr. Jay supposed the St. John to be the proper eastern limit, and that it was so considered by respectable opinions in America. But it was replied, that the St. Croix was the river mentioned in the charter of Massachusetts, and therefore it was adopted. This was a mistake. The St. Croix was not mentioned in the charter. It is evident, that the Continental Congress in 1779 considered the chartered boundaries of Massachusetts Bay as extending to the St. John. That river, the St. John, had, as a committee of Congress say in

1782, before been called the St. Croix. The territory called Sagadahock had been considered as extending to the St. John, as the limit of the *place* called 'St. Croix, next adjoining to New Scotland.' While the dispute about Acadia was pending, Nova Scotia was placed on the east of the St. John, and that river was called also, as has been mentioned, the *Clyde*. The error of the old Congress, therefore, on this subject, was not entirely without occasion.

The circumstance is adverted to, because ideas are still understood to exist to the same purpose, and because the King of the Netherlands has availed himself of it to find a north-west angle of Nova Scotia at the furthest source, he probably means, of the St. John. This is a perversion of the fact, if not of the opinion, upon any other principle than that the St. John was properly regarded as the St. Croix. But the opinion that prevailed in Congress is best explained by the report of the committee in 1782, which refers to Bowen's map for authority or illustration, and that map places the north-west angle of Nova Scotia on the highlands, at the source of that branch of the river St. John, which is called the Madawaska. Five different maps, published in London, in 1765, 1771, 1774, 1775, as is mentioned in the first production at the head of this article, place the angle on the highlands, at the head of the same branch. But this is now immaterial. Dr. Franklin and Mr. Jay assented to the opinion of Mr. Adams, that the St. Croix was the river in the charter; and the St. Croix on Mitchell's map was selected. Mr. Adams and Mr. Jay agree that the boundary lines of the United States were marked out on that map,—and who can doubt, where the line in dispute along the highlands was drawn?

The little differences elicited between the authorities of New Brunswick and Canada, alluded to by the arbiter, resulted in fixing the southern limit of Quebec at the place mentioned on Mount Francis, near the lake Temiscouatu. This was before the treaty of 1794; after this, and the determination of 1798, we hear no more of them.

The claim of the American Congress to the river St. John inspired the arbiter with an idea of equitable compensation, which he was not able, however, to work out quite to our advantage, or his own contentment. The committee of Congress, it seems, considered the space between the Passamaquoddy and St. John as 'the place called or known by the name of

St. Croix,' in the Duke of York's Grant,—and so part of Sagadahock. By the surrender of both banks of the St. John for a considerable way from its mouth, and the intervening tract between the St. John and St. Croix bordering on the sea, the arbiter is of opinion that Great Britain did not obtain a territory of *less value*, than if she had accepted the St. John as her frontier,—granting to the United States, on that ground, the territory claimed by them to the north of the St. John. Still, he considers that the value of concession to Great Britain would be so much impaired by this compensation to the United States, that he cannot conceive what could have induced Great Britain to consent to it. He therefore comes to the conclusion, that he cannot confirm this equation to the United States, without violating the principles of law and equity; on the other hand, he comes also to the conclusion, that he cannot well refuse to establish it without pressing on the same principles, yet,—although it must be confessed, not without obvious reluctance and regret,—he does come to the conclusion by the aid of other circumstances, that 'to him that hath shall be given, and from that hath not shall be taken away even that which he hath.' The off-set, thus allowed in his view by the treaty, is resumed by this result. His mind has been too much familiarized with the affairs of Europe, however, for the last forty years, to disregard the idea of some indemnity. He had received one himself at the hands of Bonaparte. He had a large one from the Congress of Vienna, which he has now lost, and he is now disputing the arrangements about Limbourg and Luxemburg, upon the same principle. It was natural for him to cast about for something of this kind, to relieve the wounded principles of right and equity, by providing somewhat in the shape of an equivalent in some other quarter; and, at the same time, furnish a specimen of his proposed method of adjudication.

In preparing this, he was not without an intimation of what was practicable and acceptable in the estimation of the British Government, from the negotiations at Ghent; in which it was said, that the demand of a cession of the portion of the District of Maine in question, left it 'open for the American plenipotentiaries to demand an equivalent for such cession, either in frontier or otherwise.' The British statements and evidence indirectly afforded the arbiter information, that the United States had begun to build a fort at Rouse's Point on Lake

Champlain, which, on a true survey of the forty-fifth parallel of latitude, would be excluded from their territory ; and insinuated, that this was all the interest the United States had in that survey. Neither the statements, documents, or evidence exhibited on the part of the United States, contained the slightest notice of this circumstance ; and it was not touched in the convention. By adjudicating this place,—to which we did not pretend to set up a shadow of title, unless it was found south of the true line,—to the United States, he proposed a mode of decision, which, if not objected to, would serve equally well in both cases.

We will look at this matter a moment. Admitting, that territory is the most proper measure of compensation for territory, if the arbiter could go so far out of his way as to award us Rouse's Point, to save an outlay, which may be considered as lost to us at any rate,—if he could depart from so fixed a rule as a parallel of latitude, where there was no room for any perplexity about the courses of rivers,—if he could thus travel entirely out of the field of territory allotted to us by the treaty of 1783,—why could he not as well have applied the remedy to that quarter of the country, upon which he was obliged, in his own expression, to inflict the wound ? Why, if the negotiation and exchange supposed by him to have been made in the treaty of 1783 were to be revised, so as to take back the tract to the north of the St. John, should there not have been a proportionate restoration of that which Great Britain had obtained, to the west of that river ? We put it simply upon the principles of right and equity, which the arbiter is afraid to wound by his opinion. We do not say, that we did not receive a perfect equivalent for the portion which we parted with, upon his notion, at the peace of 1783 ; but that depends upon our being able to hold it ; and it is proved, that the St. Croix, which was marked out for us upon the rule which the arbiter adopts, namely Mitchell's map, would have given us all the river St. John, from the mouth of Eel river, at the bend above Fredericton. Supposing that he could not have given us back to the Magaguadavie, he might have restored to us the territory to that part of the St. John, where it would have been touched by the meridian from Mitchell's St. Croix. Nay, he could not possibly have wandered farther out of his sphere, than he did in carving us out a portion of Canada, if he had assigned to us the islands of Grand Menan, and Campo Bello.

The latter is properly a part of the promontory on which the town of Lubec is situated, and the other the largest of a group of islands immediately abreast. Not that we believe that any operations of this kind would have been within his competency; they would not have been less so than what he has done; and if the illustrious arbiter could exercise the utmost power, not of a judge, but of a Chancellor, in reforming the terms of the contract between the parties upon its original principles, there would have been no injustice in giving us the benefit of the same retrospection. If it were out of his power to conceive what could have influenced the Court of London to concede the full value for an equivalent, which Great Britain is admitted to have received, is it right, upon principles of mere equity, that she should retain the consideration, and still be allowed to recover back the territory she has parted with for it?

These considerations, however, we are sensible, have no relevancy in regard to the proper question of the authority of the arbiter; they only serve to shadow out the excessive irregularity of his proceeding. We may go farther, and acknowledge that there is no sort of foundation for this fancy of the arbiter, dignified into some consideration by being adopted by him, of there having been any balancing of equivalents on this quarter at the treaty of 1783, in the manner which it has been his pleasure to suppose. The proceeding was a simple and direct one on the part of the American negotiators, and there was no objection made to the extent of it on this quarter by the British. There is yet living and most respectable evidence on this point.

We might decently apologize to our readers for drawing our remarks to such a length, but the subject assumes a practical importance, as it now awaits the action of the constituted authorities of the United States, upon the opinion, which has been duly communicated to them, of the King of the Netherlands. The learned Dr. Rutherford has employed some part of a chapter, which Dr. Paley thought might as well have been spared, to prove that acts, which did not import obligation, were not binding as laws. Great as may be the respect and deference due to the character of a crowned sovereign, who has undertaken to perform such an amicable office, and omitting to ask, whether he continued to be precisely the same political person, or to sustain the same independent relation, at the time

of pronouncing his opinion as that of accepting the authority, it can be no courtesy to ask, *whether that authority has been executed.* And again, while we are cautious of making a free use of phrases, signifying sovereignty, in application to the proper powers of any of the members of this Union separately, and should be very careful not to intrude upon the sacred precincts of constitutional power, we are at the same time sensible, that there are rights of a most important character, not merely reserved and secured to those members by the great instrument of our prosperity, but which are inherent in the soil which is the basis of them, and cannot so much as be touched without their entire consent.

We decline going into any further argument of our own upon this subject. The magnitude of the interest involved in the issue, in a public and territorial point of view, is one that commands a just and serious consideration. The executive and legislative authorities of Maine and Massachusetts, the two States most immediately interested, have united in the most distinct expressions of their opinions, disaffirming the validity of the formal act communicated by the King of the Netherlands ; and the language of the latter State, although less excited and animated than that of the former, appears to us to be neither less clear, nor forcible and determined. The Legislative Committee of Massachusetts go a good way in giving a large and liberal construction of the power of the arbiter, to determine the points of difference, as appears from the extract of the Report which we have already quoted. If the evidence before the arbiter was insufficient, he was authorized to require more and cause further inquiries, but of this faculty he declined to avail himself, not considering the question to be capable of any further elucidation. Upon this the Committee say ;

'The arbiter, having thus declared that the case was not susceptible of a decision upon the evidence, with which he had been furnished, and also that it was not susceptible of any further elucidation by means of additional evidence, seems to have had no alternative left, but to close the proceedings, and resign his functions, without giving any opinion. Instead of this, however, after alleging his inability to pronounce a decision in favor of the line claimed by either party, he attempts to settle the difference in another way, and recommends the adoption of an entirely new boundary, not previously contemplated, or claimed on either side,

and having no pretence of foundation or support in the terms of any of the treaties.

'This recommendation,' says the report, 'terminates the King's proceedings in regard to the question of the north-eastern boundary. According to the terms of the treaty of Ghent, as above quoted, the two parties engage to consider the decision of the arbiter as final and conclusive on all matters referred to him; and it is stipulated, in the convention of 1827, that the decision of the arbiter, when given, shall be taken as final and conclusive, and shall be carried, without reserve, into immediate effect, by Commissioners appointed for that purpose by the contracting parties. But, as this recommendation of an entirely new boundary is not a decision of any of the points referred to the arbiter, and is declared by himself not to be so, it is of course not binding, as a decision under the stipulations of the treaties. It is hardly necessary to add, that, as the mere recommendation of a friendly Sovereign, given without authority upon a point not submitted to him, it can have no obligatory character, however justly it may be entitled to the most respectful consideration. As the Committee cannot suppose that this will be considered by any one as a doubtful principle, they deem it unnecessary to multiply arguments in support of it. They will merely refer, in illustration of the abuses that would result from the adoption of a contrary principle, to the celebrated case of Bruce and Baliol, rival pretenders to the crown of Scotland, who submitted the decision of their respective claims to Edward I., then King of England, sometimes called the English Justinian. In this case, as in the one submitted to the King of the Netherlands by Great Britain and the United States, the arguments and evidence furnished by the parties were not considered sufficient, to authorize a decision in favor of either; and, in order that the difference might not remain unsettled, the English Justinian adjudged the crown of Scotland to himself. It will hardly be pretended, that this proceeding was conformable to the rules of national law; but it would have been fully justified, by any principle which would give to the recommendation of a new boundary by the King of the Netherlands an obligatory power over the Governments of Great Britain and the United States. If an arbiter have a right to travel out of the record of the submission, and give opinions having the force of law, upon questions not referred to him, it is obvious, that there are no limits to his authority, and that the reference, by two Governments, of any question, however unimportant, to the arbitration of a third, amounts to a complete and unconditional surrender of the national rights and independence of both.'

' The recommendation of the King of the Netherlands is therefore not binding upon either Government. It is nevertheless entitled to very respectful consideration. It is the suggestion of a friendly Sovereign, made with the best intentions, and under an impression, that the adoption of it would be mutually and equally advantageous to both the parties. Although it can have no obligatory character, it may be proper to inquire, whether it is right and expedient that the Government of the United States should voluntarily accede to it, and give it effect.

' Supposing the question of expediency to be entirely open, the Committee are unable to perceive any very strong reasons for deciding it in the affirmative. They are not aware, that any material inconvenience can result from a further delay in the survey of the north-eastern boundary, as determined by the treaty of 1783; while the adoption of the recommendation of the King of the Netherlands would involve the sacrifice of a considerable tract of territory, and an acquiescence, to a certain extent at least, in pretensions on the part of the British agents, which are too extravagant to be regarded for a moment as entitled to serious attention. But the Committee will not enlarge upon the considerations belonging to the question of expediency, because they conceive that this question is precluded by the preliminary one of Constitutional right. The Government of the United States have no constitutional authority to cede to a foreign State any portion of the territory belonging to any one of the States composing the Union, without the consent of such State. They can, without a violation of this rule, settle such questions relating to the boundaries of the Union as were left doubtful by the treaty of 1783, because it is only by the settlement of these questions, that the extent of the territory of the border States can be ascertained. But the situation of the highlands, which, according to the treaties, form the northern boundary in this quarter, is not represented, either in the treaty of 1783, or in that of Ghent, as a doubtful point. The latter treaty provides for ascertaining the point where a certain line strikes the highlands, and for surveying another line, which is described as running in a westerly direction along the highlands. No provision is made for ascertaining the situation of the highlands, which is spoken of as known. The Government of the United States had therefore no Constitutional right to allow it to be drawn in question by England, still less to submit it to arbitration; and had the King of the Netherlands decided against us on this question, the Committee believe, as they have already remarked, that the act would have been wholly null and void, from a defect of authority in the Government of the United States to make the submission. The only uncertainty which exists in regard to this part of the boun-

dary, results from the want of an accurate survey of a line, the general course of which is well defined. The Government of the United States had a right to cause this line to be surveyed, without regard to the effect which the survey might have upon the extent of the supposed territory of Maine in that quarter. Farther than this, it had no authority to go, without the consent of Massachusetts and Maine.'

The pamphlet on 'the decision of the King of the Netherlands, considered in reference to the rights of the United States and State of Maine,' assumes it as a principle, not to be contested,

'That, as the United States and Great Britain stood in relation to each other and to the King of the Netherlands, as independent nations, the King of the Netherlands had no power whatever over any question of difference between the United States and Great Britain, beyond what those two Governments expressly and by mutual agreement delegated to him. It was not for him to extend his powers by remote inferences, of which he was to constitute himself the sole judge, nor to enlarge and aid his jurisdiction by indefinite and latitudinarian construction. It was not for him to assume the office and attributes of a friendly compounder, governed by no rule or principle but his own discretion, unless such an office and such powers were solemnly and expressly conferred upon him by the high parties interested. There is in such cases, from the very nature of the transaction, no implied power. Every man feels within him, as the dictate of common sense, that a consciousness of the delicacy of the office, and a proper respect for the high parties interested, impose it as a rule, that the arbitrating Sovereign should never take upon himself to extend the limited special powers delegated to him, beyond the most plain, obvious meaning of the solemn, express stipulations of the parties. It is not only indelicate,—it savors of assumption in such cases, to resort to inference and construction in order to enlarge his authority. To maintain that the arbiter is the sole judge of the powers delegated to him and of the measure of his discretion, is to confer upon him the power to make treaties for the parties, as well as to execute them.'

We have an impression on this subject, of which we cannot quite divest ourselves; and that is, that the King of the Netherlands, whether from respect to the difficulties thrown in the way of his decision, or from an opinion that a friendly suggestion from him might answer all the purpose of a decision, actually meant no more than to throw this proposition into a

form, for the consideration of the two Governments, to be rendered effectual by their agreement to adopt it. This idea is strengthened, without adverting to his own circumstances at the time, by observing the appropriate language of adjudication, *il doit être considéré*, in determining the proper head of Connecticut river, in comparison with the loose phrase *il conviendra*, applied to the other points of this opinion. This is perhaps to be rather regarded as his intention ; and the supposition is entirely respectful to him.

We have little inclination or room to pursue a further inquiry into the question of expediency. Our views on this subject are open to the influence of information and reflection. Resolutions are not in all cases a substitute for reasoning ; but they sometimes serve to embody its results with great good sense, and to sound purpose. A strong concurrence of opinion, upon a point of public importance, where the subject has been under consideration for a sufficient period, is entitled to much respect. By the award or apportionment of the umpire, he has assigned to Great Britain the precise territory,—or perhaps rather more,—which her plenipotentiaries required, and ours refused to cede at Ghent. On the other hand, he has given to us a *kilometre* of land or water upon a point of Lake Champlain, which is of no importance to us. Since the successive disasters of General Burgoyne and Sir George Prevost, we venture to predict, that there will never be a third attempt to girdle the United States in that direction ; and we consider Rouse's Point to be of as little value in a military point of view, as old Crown Point. We have no occasion to be on our guard at that avenue, and if we had, that would be no protection. We have mentioned the fact, that the existence of this abandoned affair was no where alluded to in any manner on behalf of the United States, in laying their case before the arbiter. The fact has been stated and not contradicted, that a British exploring party, in the autumn of 1830, reconnoitred the line of the St. Francis, which has been marked out by the arbiter for our new boundary, and found it boatable to its source. This knowledge may at least serve to explain the readiness of the British Government to accept that limit, when it is assigned to them in 1831. The terms of the British demand for a cession of territory at Ghent, would have been satisfied by an extension of their boundary to the river Madawaska. This was the outside of the original Acadian settlement, and

no provincial grant, either by Governor Thomas Carleton, or since his day, has been made west of the mouth of that river. This too was the source of the St. John, intended by the old Congress. This river, with the Temiscouatu lake and portage, had always afforded the ordinary line of communication to the St. Lawrence. It was made a military route during the last war with Great Britain. Its military advantage was demonstrated ; and the expediency of enlarging the British frontier to the left, that is to say, on the line of march toward Canada, was directly recommended. The St. Lawrence being shut up a great part of the year, and the outlet from St. John's and Halifax to the Atlantic being always open, the importance of these places as depots has been established, and experience has determined the utility of widening the communication from this quarter to Quebec. This is a utility, however, which is foreign to us. For civil purposes and the proper intercourse of peace, the question of a cession of a portion of our territory might be one thing. The argument respecting the transmission of the British mail, has been made use of ; but that mail, we apprehend, is as regularly delivered to Montreal from New York, as it is at Quebec from Halifax. In a *time of peace*, there can be no obstruction. Would to heaven, there could never be any danger of its interruption. Experience, however, does not recommend to a peaceful nation the policy of disarming itself ; and a cession of this frontier augments the British power for all purposes, that enable her to make an impression upon us, in no measurable ratio. It may be desirable, upon the soundest principles of philanthropy, to protect ourselves against a repetition of the stale charge of weak ambition to extend our own limits in that direction, by avoiding to give to a power, already impregnable, an ascendancy and importance, which might operate as excitements to future enterprises on either part against the peace of this continent.

We avow our belief, that the empire of the United States is not to be extended by any hostile encroachment upon the British Provinces. Great Britain is not destined to be ejected from this continent by our arms. Any change in the condition of her colonial dominions here is to come, as we apprehend, from her own consideration. Whether she shall turn her face to the wall, and see the sun set on her dominions upon this side of the Atlantic, depends upon her own will. It is

to her own wisdom, that the prudence of pressing and persisting in this present demand, which has been wearisome to the patience of all concerned, particularly addresses itself. It is, in part, for the benefit of the monitory reflections that may occur upon a review of the policy, exposed by the proclamation of 1763, and the parliamentary act of 1774, revived in the negotiations at Ghent, and expending itself on this last point of dispute,—the requirement to which this question owes its origin,—that we have interwoven with the texture of these remarks, references to those projects which were cherished to cramp and fetter the proper limits of the United States, to an extent beyond what might otherwise appear to be in perfectly good taste, or belong to a precise view of the subject.

We would record our fixed persuasion, that the present positions are best calculated to preserve the prosperity of the British dominions. The associations connected with the occupation of Penobscot, and the enterprise against New Orleans, ought not to be excited, except with a view to prevent a possibility of their returning. If any opportunity have been lost, the moral which American history presents to Great Britain is not to try to recover it. A different sort of retrospection recommends itself to the statesmen and benefactors of our mother country. We can wait the peaceful progress of our own principles. It is for us to maintain our ground, and leave the rest to time and our Constitution.

If there be any question in the public mind, in regard to the real magnitude of the present interest to ourselves, although it may be comprised in the possession of a territory of moderate compass, compared to the whole area of our country, it might be resolved by adverting to the eagerness with which Great Britain has hitherto persisted in the pursuit, and the importance which her politicians have attached to the object. It may be an exaggerated feeling, to be sure, of this consequence, that inspires Colonel Bouchette,\* in his recent work on the British

---

\* The opinion of Colonel Bouchette is plainly expressed, that the arbiter has exceeded his authority. 'The award of the umpire,' says he, 'dictated no doubt by a sincere desire of doing impartial justice to the high parties concerned,—is in fact a compromise; and we apprehend, that the question of reference did not contemplate a decision upon that principle; but was confined to the mere declaration of what was the boundary intended and meant by the treaty of 1783. It was in the spirit of that treaty alone, that the rule of decision was to be sought for, and not in abstract theories of equity,' &c. Deriving no validity from

dominions in America, to state, that the acceptance of this portion of their claim awarded them by the decision of the King of the Netherlands, will be the first step to the loss of their colonial empire. If Great Britain can scarcely preserve that empire with this concession, it would hardly be important to her to make a point of it. There are two opinions, however, prevailing upon this subject in Great Britain; and her colonial policy is probably at this moment on a poise. The great importance of these colonies to the mother country is mainly urged by those, who are there opposed to the progress of political reform. The *Quarterly Review*, Sir Howard Douglas, and that staunch and respectable supporter of the tory interest, *Blackwood's Edinburgh Magazine*, declare the indispensableness of these appendages; and the political articles in these journals announce the loss of the colonies as one of the inevitable consequences of reform. Whether, in such an event, they shall constitute an independent Government under the protection of Great Britain, or what 'variety of untried being' their condition is to assume, has even begun to be a speculation. But at present her colonial empire is considered as a unit; her Canadas, her fisheries, her West-Indies are all considered parts of the same great whole; and we can receive no equivalent for any valuable concession, except such an one, as shall give us forever the free trade of this Western hemisphere.\*

---

the authority of the arbiter, therefore, by common consent, the proceeding can acquire an operation and effect only by its becoming the act of the two Governments, by their adoption and agreement; and such, we have no great doubt, was the intention of the King of the Netherlands.

\* While this article was going through the Press, the Legislature of the State of Maine consented to treat with the Government of the United States, for a cession of its rights of soil and jurisdiction in the territory without the line recommended by the King of the Netherlands, with an understanding that this line was to be accepted. Only three or four weeks before, the same Legislature had adopted Resolves authorizing the appointment of agents at Washington and Boston, to prevent, if possible, any such arrangement. The motives that led to this sudden change of policy are not known. It was agreed to in a secret session of the Legislature, in consequence of letters from the agent at Washington, which have not been published, and of which a communication was refused to the Government of Massachusetts, whose friendly co-operation in the whole business had been so recently solicited and obtained. The transaction wears very much the appearance of a mere political or rather party manœuvre, and that of a kind not particularly honorable to the persons engaged in it. We shall probably return to the subject in a future number.

## QUARTERLY LIST OF NEW PUBLICATIONS.

---

### BIOGRAPHY.

A Memoir of the Life of Daniel Webster. By Samuel L. Knapp. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp. 12mo. pp. 234.

Biography of Self-Taught Men; with an Introductory Essay, by B. B. Edwards. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 8vo. pp. 312.

Life of Gouverneur Morris; with a Selection from his Correspondence and Miscellaneous Papers; detailing Events in the American Revolution, and the French Revolution, and in the Political History of the United States. By Jared Sparks. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 3 vols. 8vo.

### EDUCATION.

Guy's Elements of Astronomy, and an Abridgement of Keith's New Treatise on the Use of the Globes. Philadelphia. Key & Meikle.

Syllabus de la Grammaire Italienne. Par H. W. Longfellow. Boston. Gray & Bowen. 12mo. pp. 104.

A Manual of Hebrew and English Lexicon, including the Biblical Chaldee; designed particularly for Beginners. By Josiah W. Gibbs, A. M. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. New-Haven. H. Howe. 8vo. pp. 236.

The Child's Instructer, or Lessons on Common Things. By S. R. Hale. Andover. Flagg & Gould. 18mo. pp. 140.

English Grammar in Lectures. By L. F. Hamblin. Boston. Munroe & Francis. 12mo. pp. 108.

A Grammar of the Greek Language. By Benjamin Fiske. Second Edition. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 12mo. pp. 263.

A Practical Grammar of the German Language. By Charles Follen, Professor of the German Language and Modern Literature in Harvard University. Second Edition. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 12mo. pp. 278.

Sequel to the Spelling Book. By S. T. Worcester. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 12mo. pp. 128.

Decimi Junii Juvenalis et Auli Persii Flacci Satiræ Expurgatæ Notis Illustratæ. Curavit F. P. Leverett. Bostoniæ. Hilliard, Gray, Little et Wilkins. 12mo. pp. 252.

Jacob's Latin Reader. Part II. With English Notes; for the Use of Schools and Academies. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 12mo. pp. 184.

Elements of Geometry. By A. M. Legendre. Translated from the French, for the Use of the Students at Harvard University. By John Farrar. New Edition. Improved and Enlarged. 8vo. pp. 235.

Elements of Algebra. By Bowdon. Translated from the French, for the Use of Colleges and Schools. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 8vo. pp. 304.

## HISTORY.

The History of Portland from its first settlement; with Notices of the neighboring Towns, and of the Change of Government in Maine. By William Willis. Part I. Portland. Day, Fraser & Co. 8vo. pp. 243.

Collections of the Maine Historical Society. Vol. I. Portland. Day, Fraser & Co. 8vo. pp. 416.

A Historical Discourse, delivered at Malden, December 1; containing a Sketch of the History of that Town, from its settlement to the present time. By S. Osgood Wright. Boston. Light & Harris. 8vo. pp. 36.

## JUVENILE.

American Moral Tales; for Young Persons. By the Author of 'Evening Hours,' 'Conversations on Common Things,' &c. Boston. L. C. Bowles and B. H. Greene. 18mo. pp. 281.

Days of Childhood. By the Author of 'Sophia Morton.' Boston. L. C. Bowles and B. H. Greene. 16mo. pp. 121.

The Trials of a School Girl. By the Author of 'Days of Childhood.' Boston. L. C. Bowles and B. H. Greene. 18mo. pp. 134.

The Friends; a True Tale of Woe and Joy; from the East. Boston. Lincoln & Edmands. 18mo. pp. 178.

The Child's Annual; or Stories Instructive and Entertaining; with twelve Plates. By a Lady. Philadelphia. T. T. Ash. 18mo. pp. 190.

Chit-Chat; or Short Stories in Short Words. By the Author of 'Always Happy.' Philadelphia. T. T. Ash. 16mo. pp. 176.

Memoir of Addison Pianno, who died in Hanover, (N. H.) September 17, 1831, aged ten years. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 18mo. pp. 79.

Stories from Common Life. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 16mo. pp. 127.

Memoir of Mary Lothrop. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 18mo. pp. 103.

Moral Stories. By the Author of 'Isabella.' Boston. Leonard C. Bowles. 18mo. pp. 146.

Isabella; or Filial Affection. A Tale. Second Edition. Boston. Leonard C. Bowles. 18mo. pp. 119.

Tales for Youth. By the Author of 'Days of Childhood.' Boston. Leonard C. Bowles. 18mo. pp. 96.

The Beatitudes. By the Author of 'Lessons without Books.' Second Edition. Boston. Leonard C. Bowles. 18mo. pp. 106.

## LAW.

The Law Summary; a Collection of Legal Facts on Subjects of General Application in Business. By Benjamin L. Oliver, Counsellor at Law. Boston. Marsh, Capen & Lyon. 8vo. pp. 348.

A Treatise on the Law of Private Corporations Aggregate. By Jos. K. Angell and Samuel Ames. Boston. Hilliard, Gray & Co. 12mo. pp. 539.

Commentaries on the Law of Bailments; with Illustrations from Civil and Foreign Law. By Joseph Story, LL. D. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 8vo. pp. 411.

The Merchant's Law Book; Illustrated by many thousand Judicial Questions. By William Grimshaw, Counsellor at Law. Philadelphia. U. Hunt. 12mo. pp. 346.

Reports of Cases Argued and Determined in the Court of Chancery of the State of New-York. By Alonzo C. Paige. Second Volume. New-York. Gould, Banks & Co. 8vo. pp. 682.

The General Laws of Massachusetts, from January, 1828, to June, 1830. Edited by Theron Metcalf, Esq. Volume Third. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 8vo. pp. 419.

A General Digested Index to the Nine Volumes of Cowen's Reports of Cases, Argued and Determined in the Supreme Court, and in the Court for the Trial of Impeachments and the Correction of Errors of the State of New-York. By the Author of those Reports. Albany. A. & M. Gould & Co. 8vo. pp. 559.

#### MEDICINE AND SURGERY.

An Account of the Origin, Symptoms, and Cure of the Influenza, or Epidemic Catarrh; with Hints respecting Common Colds and Incipient Pulmonary Consumption. Philadelphia. H. A. Porter. 12mo. pp. 80.

#### MISCELLANEOUS.

A Manual of the Ornithology of the United States and Canada. By Thomas Nuttall, A. M. F. L. S. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 12mo. pp. 683.

Modern American Cookery; with a List of Family Medical Recipes, and a Valuable Miscellany. By Miss Prudence Smith. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 16mo. pp. 222.

The Coronal; a Collection of Miscellaneous Pieces. By Mrs. Child, Author of the 'Rebels,' 'Hobomok,' &c. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 18mo. pp. 285.

A Pomological Manual, or a Treatise on Fruits; containing Descriptions of a great number of the most valuable Varieties for the Orchard and Garden. By Robert Price. Part I. New-York. T. & J. Swords, and G. & C. & H. Carvill. 8vo. pp. 200.

Encyclopedia Americana; being a Popular Dictionary of the Arts and Sciences. Edited by Francis Lieber, assisted by E. Wigglesworth and T. G. Bradford. Volume IX. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 592.

The Vision of Quevedo. Translated from the Spanish. By William Elliott, Esq. Philadelphia Literary Rooms. 18mo. pp. 216.

A Treatise on Clock and Watch Making. Theoretical and Practical. By Thomas Read. Illustrated by Plates. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 476.

Feathers from my own Wings. By Charles Edwards, Esq. New-York. William Stoddard. 18mo. pp. 200.

The Compliment for the Season. New-York. A. B. Holmes. 18mo. pp. 210.

A New Grammar of the English Language. New-York. Collins & Hannay. 12mo. pp. 78.

The Amaranth; a Literary and Religious Offering. Edited by J. H. Buckingham. Newburyport. Charles Whipple. 18mo. pp. 180.

Mineralogy and Geology of Nova Scotia. By Charles F. Jackson and Francis Alger. Cambridge. Hilliard & Brown. 8vo. pp. 116.

The Complete Cattle Keeper, or Farmer and Grazier's Guide. By B. Laurence. Philadelphia. Carey & Hart. 12mo. pp. 201.

Shakspeare's Seven Ages, or the Progress of Human Life. Illustrated by a Series of Extracts in Prose and Verse. By John Evans, LL. D. New-York. C. P. Fessenden. 12mo. pp. 281.

The Naturalist; containing Treatises on Natural History, Chemistry, Domestic and Rural Economy, &c. &c. Edited by D. J. Browne. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 8vo. pp. 389.

Retrospections of the Stage. By the late John Bernard, Manager of the American Theatre, and formerly Secretary of the Beef Steak Club. Boston. Carter & Hendee. Two Volumes. 12mo.

Moral and Religious Gleanings; or Interesting Stories. Compiled from various Authors. By Thomas Latimer. Philadelphia. Latimer & Co. 18mo. pp. 198.

Essay on the Construction of School Houses, to which was awarded the Prize offered by the American Institute of Instruction, August, 1831. By William A. Alcott. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 8vo. pp. 66.

#### NOVELS AND TALES.

The Forsaken; a Tale. By the Author of 'Caius Marius,' 'Deformed,' &c. Two Volumes. Philadelphia. John Grigg. 12mo.

The Polish Chiefs; a Historical Romance. By the Author of 'Sketches of Public Characters,' &c. New-York. J. K. Two Volumes. 12mo.

#### ORATIONS AND ADDRESSES.

A Charge delivered to the Grand Jury of the County of Suffolk for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts at the opening of the Municipal Court, on the first Monday of December, 1831. By Peter Oxenbridge Thatcher, Judge of that Court. Boston. S. N. Dickinson. 12mo. pp. 20.

A Discourse, delivered before the Boston Mercantile Association, on Tuesday Evening, February 7, 1832. By William Sullivan. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 8vo.

#### POETRY.

Poems. By William Cullen Bryant. New-York. E. Bliss. 12mo. pp. 240.

The Last Night of Pompeii; a Poem;—and Lays and Legends. By Sumner Lincoln Fairfield. New-York. Elliott & Palmer. 8vo. pp. 309.

Fashionable Satires, Rhodoshakes Visit to the Moon; a Poem. In Two Cantos. By Arthur Craigengelt, Gent. New-York. Peabody & Co. 8vo. pp. 63.

#### THEOLOGY.

The Christian Doctrine of Regeneration. By I. H. F. Blanchard, of Harvard, (Mass.) Boston. L. C. Bowles and B. H. Greene. 12mo. pp. 81.

The New Divinity Tried; being an Examination of a Sermon, delivered by Rev. C. G. Finney, on making a New Heart. By Asa Rand. Boston. Light & Harris. 8vo. pp. 16.

An Answer to Eliphalet Pearson's Letter to the Candid. By Bernard Whitman. Boston. David Reed. 12mo. pp. 32.

Sermon preached at the Ordination of the Rev. H. Edes, as Minister of the First Congregational Society in Eastport, (Me.) Nov. 15, 1831. By Jason Whitman, Minister of the Second Parish in Saco. Boston. L. C. Bowles. 18mo. pp. 72.

Review of the 'New Divinity Tried,' or an Examination of Rev. Mr. Rand's Strictures on a Sermon preached by C. G. Finney, on Making a New Heart. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 18mo. pp. 44.

The Loss of Children. A Sermon preached by W. B. O. Peabody, Minister of the Third Parish in Springfield. Springfield. S. Bowles. 8vo. pp. 12.

The Pleasures of Luxury Unfavorable to the Exercise of Christian Benevolence. A Sermon preached in the Old South Church, Boston. By John J. C. Hopkins. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. 8vo. pp. 20.

When does the Sabbath begin? A careful Examination of the Passages of Scripture, which are thought to favor the Beginning of the Sabbath on Saturday Evening at Sunset. By Melvin Copeland. Hartford. D. F. Robinson. 8vo. pp. 18.

Theological Common-Place Book; with a Copious Index. Cambridge, Hilliard & Brown. 4to.

Religion the only Safeguard of National Prosperity. A Sermon preached in Trinity Church, Boston, December 1st, being the Day of Annual Thanksgiving. By Rev. John H. Hopkins. Boston. S. H. Parker. 8vo. pp. 24.

A Discourse delivered at the Annual Election, January 4th, before his Excellency Levi Lincoln, Governor, his Honor T. L. Winthrop, Lieutenant Governor, the Honorable Council and Legislature. Boston. Dutton & Wentworth. 8vo. pp. 38.

Questions and Notes, Critical and Practical, upon the Book of Genesis. By George Rush. New-York. J. P. Haven. 18mo. pp. 467.

The Privileges and Duties of an American Citizen. A Sermon preached in Trinity Church, Utica, on Thursday, December 8, 1831, the Day of Annual Thanksgiving in the State of New-York. By Benjamin Dorr, A. M. Utica. E. A. Maynard. 8vo. pp. 22.

Christian Library. The Travels of True Godliness. By the Rev. Benjamin Keatch. Revised and Improved with Notes and a Memoir of his Life. By Howard Malcom, A. M. Boston. Lincoln & Edmonds. Second Edition. 18mo. pp. 212.

A Remonstrance against an Established Religion in Massachusetts. By Parsons Cooke. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 8vo. pp. 24.

#### AMERICAN EDITIONS OF FOREIGN WORKS.

Illustrations of the Divine Government. By T. Southwood Smith, M. D. Boston. Benjamin B. Mussey. 12mo. pp. 347.

Hutton's Book of Nature laid open. Adapted to the Use of Families and Schools. By Rev. J. L. Blake. Boston. Waitt & Dow. 12mo. pp. 267.

Advice to Young Men, (incidentally,) to Young Women in the Middle and Higher ranks of Life. By William Cobbett. New-York. John Doyle. 18mo. pp. 268.

Library of Select Novels. Nos. 15 and 16. *De Vere, or the Man of Independence.* By the Author of '*Tremaine.*' New-York. J. & J. Harper. Two Volumes. 12mo.

A Selection of One Hundred Perrin's Tables, accompanied with a Key. By A. Bolmar. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 12mo. pp. 181.

History of the Northmen, or the Danes and Normans; from the Earliest Times to the Conquest of England by William the Conqueror. By Henry Wheaton. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 367.

Essays on the Formation and Publication of Opinions and other Subjects. Philadelphia. R. W. Pomeroy. 12mo. pp. 240.

A Manual of Medical Jurisprudence; compiled from the best Medical and Legal Works. By Michael Ryan, M. D. First American Edition, with Notes and Additions, by R. E. Griffith, M. D. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 327.

A General View of Progress of the Ethical Philosophy, chiefly during the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries. By Sir James Mackintosh, LL. D. F. R. S. M. P. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 8vo. pp. 304.

Family Library, No. XXVIII. Memoirs of the Empress Josephine. By John Memes, LL. D. 18mo. pp. 396.

Knowledge for the People, or the Plain Why and Because. Nos. 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Zoology, Origins and Antiquities, Birds, Popular Chemistry, Sports, Pastimes and Superstitions. By John Timbs, Editor of *Laconics, &c.* Boston. Lilly & Waitt. 18mo.

The Dream of Eugene Aram, the Murderer. By Thomas Hood, Esq. New-York. Peabody & Co. 12mo. pp. 21.

A Medical and Topographical History of the Cholera Morbus, including the Mode of Prevention and Treatment. By Scouller Adjunct, Professor at the School of Medicine at Stratzburg, and translated from the French by A. Sidney Doane, M. D. Boston. Carter & Hendee. 8vo. pp. 100.

Report of the Royal Academy of Medicine to the Minister of the Interior upon the Cholera Morbus. Published by order of the French Government. Translated from the French by John W. Sterling, M. D. New-York. S. Wood & Sons. 12mo. pp. 334.

Treatise on the Structure, Function, and Diseases of the Sympathetic Nerves. Illustrated with Plates. By John Fred. Lobstein. Translated from the Latin, by Joseph Pancoast. Philadelphia. J. G. Auner. 8vo. pp. 157.

Mechanism of the Heavens. By Mrs. Somerville. 18mo.

Salmodia; or Days of Fly Fishing. By Sir Humphrey Davy. 1 vol. 18mo.

White's Natural History of Selborne. 18mo.

Geological Manual. By H. T. de la Beche. 8vo. With numerous Wood Cuts.

Wood on Rail Roads. With Plates. 8vo.

Larrey's Surgical Memoirs of the Campaigns of Russia, Germany, and France. 8vo.

Fielding's Works. 2 vols. With Portrait.

Syme's Principles of Surgery. 8vo.

Essays on the Pursuit of Truth, on the Progress of Knowledge, and the Fundamental Principles of all Evidence and Expectation. By the Author of 'Essays on the Formation of Opinion,' &c. Philadelphia. R. W. Pomeroy. 12mo. pp. 233.

An Introduction to the System of Botany; or a Systematic View of the Vegetable Kingdom. By John Lindsey. F. R. S. L. S. G. S.; with an Appendix, by John Torrey, M. D. New-York. G. & C. & H. Carvill. 8vo. pp. 393.

Speeches of Phillips, Curran, and Grattan; the celebrated Irish Orators. Selected by a Member of the Bar. Philadelphia. Key & Meilke. 8vo. pp. 376.

Selections from the Practical Works of Richard Baxter; with a Life of the Author. By Leonard Bacon. New-Haven. Durrie & Peck. Two Volumes. 8vo.

Classical Family Library, No. V; containing Sallust. Translated by M. Rose, M. A. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo. pp. 239.

The Animal Kingdom; arranged in Conformity with its Organization. By the Baron Cuvier, Perpetual Secretary to the Royal Academy. Translated from the French. With Notes and Additions, by R. M. Murtine, M. D. New-York. G. & C. & H. Carvill. Four Volumes. 8vo.

A Short View of the Whole Scripture History; with a Continuation of the Jewish Affairs from the Old Testament, to the Time of Christ, and an Account of the Chief Prophecies relating to him. By Isaac Watts, D. D. Revised and Enlarged, by Rev. R. C. Thimcall. New-York. H. C. Sleight. 12mo. pp. 506.

The Works of William Paley, D. D. Complete in One Volume. Philadelphia. J. J. Woodward. 8vo. pp. 603.

Treatise on Puerperal Peritonitis. By A. C. Baudelocque, M. D. Translated from the French, by G. S. Bradford, M. D. New-York. Collins & Hannay. 8vo. pp. 480.

The Contributions of G. G. to a Periodical Work; with some Pieces not before published. By the late Jane Taylor. Boston. Perkins & Marvin. Two Volumes. 12mo.

Elements of the Greek Language, exhibited in New Rules made Easy to the Memory, by their Brevity; being a Translation of Dr. Moore's Celebrated Greek Grammar; with large Additions and Improvements from the latest Editions. By Rev. Peter Bullions. New-York. Collins & Hannay. 12mo. pp. 408.

A Text Book of Popery, comprising a brief History of the Council of Trent, and Copious Extracts from the Catechism, published by its authority; with Notes and Illustrations, intended to furnish a Correct and Complete View of the Theological System of Popery. By J. M. Cramp. New-York. D. L. Appleton. 12mo. pp. 451.

The History of France. By Eyre Evan Crowe. Volume III. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. 18mo. pp. 306.

Library of Select Novels. Nos. 17 and 18. The Smuggler. A Tale. By the Author of the 'Denounced.' New-York. J. & J. Harper. Two Volumes. 12mo.

Essay on the Application of Abstract Reasoning to the Christian Doctrine. By the Author of the 'History of Enthusiasm.' Boston. Crocker & Brewster. 12mo. pp. 163.

Tales of My Landlord. Fourth Series. Containing 'Castle Dangerous,' and 'Robert of Paris.' Collected and Arranged by Jedediah Cleishbotham. Philadelphia. Carey & Lea. Three Volumes. 12mo.

Family Library. No. 26. The Life of Sir Isaac Newton. By David Brewster, LL. D. F. R. S. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo. pp. 323.

Library of Religious Knowledge. No. IV. The Harmony of the Divine Attributes, in the Contrivance and Accomplishment of Man's Redemption. By William Bates, D. D. New-York. J. Leavitt. 12mo. pp. 348.

Introduction to the History of Philosophy. By Victor Cousin, Professor of Philosophy. Translated from the French, by Henning Gottfried Linberg. Boston. Hilliard, Gray, Little & Wilkins. 8vo. pp. 458.

The Select Works of Archbishop Leighton. Prepared for the Practical Use of Christians; with an Introductory View of the Life, Character, and Writings of the Author. By George B. Cheever. Boston. Pierce & Parker. 8vo. pp. 569.

Family Library. No. XXVII. Palestine, or the Holy Land. By Rev. Michael Russell, LL. D. New-York. J. & J. Harper. 18mo. pp. 330.

A Manual of General, Descriptive, and Pathological Anatomy. By J. F. Meckel. Translated from the German into French; with Additions and Notes, by A. J. L. Jordan, and G. Breschet. Translated from the French, with Notes, by A. Sidney Doane, A. M. M. D. New-York. H. C. Sleight. Three Volumes. 8vo.

American Library of Useful Knowledge. Volume IV. Containing Dr. Lardner's Treatise on Hydrostatics and Pneumatics; and Lord Brougham's Account of Lord Bacon's Novum Organum. Boston. Stimpson & Clapp. 12mo. pp. 334.

The Poetical Works of Hemans, Heber, and Pollock. Philadelphia. John Grigg. 8vo. pp. 347.

Library of Select Novels. Nos. 19 and 20. Eugene Aram. By the Author of Pelham, &c. &c. New-York. Two Volumes. 12mo.

The Book of Private Devotion. A Series of Prayers and Meditations; chiefly taken from the Works of Hannah Moore. New-York. Daniel Appleton. 18mo. pp. 256.

A Treatise on Cholera Morbus; or Researches on the Symptoms, Nature, and Treatment of the Disease, and of the different Means of Avoiding it. By F. G. Boisseau. Translated from the French, by G. S. Bradford, M. D. New-York. Collins & Co. 8vo. pp. 148.

Anecdotes; Religious, Moral, and Entertaining. By the late Rev. Charles Buck; with a Preface, by Ashbel Green, D. D. New-York. J. O. Ricker. Two Volumes. 8vo.

# I N D E X

TO THE

## THIRTY-FOURTH VOLUME

OF THE

## NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW.

---

### A.

*Adams*, John, his opinion with respect to the treaty of 1783, 540.

*Adams*, John Q., pamphlet by, quoted as an illustration of an argument respecting Junius, 334.

*Aldana*, Francisco de, his sonnets quoted, 302.

*Algiers*, its conquest by France, 17.

*America*, British, futility of Mr. Hume's plan for its representation in Parliament, 48.

*America*, North, its discovery by Cabot, 417—supposed to have been first discovered by the Northmen, 417, note.

*American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions*, their proceedings in regard to education in Greece, 2.

*American Poetry*, importance of giving it a more national spirit, 74—too imitative in its character, 76—precocity of our writers an injury to, 77.

*Americanus*, injustice of his charges against the Military Academy, 258.

*Anderson*, Mr., his observations on Greece, reviewed, and general object of his tour, 1—character of

VOL. XXXIV.—NO. 75.

his work, and his proceedings in Greece, 2.

*Apparitions*, attempts which have been made to explain, 209.

*Argensola*, his apostrophe to Mary Magdalene, quoted, 307.

*Arkwright*, Sir Richard, effect produced by his inventions, 243.

*Army of the U. S.*, its present inefficient condition, 257.

*Ascham*, Roger, his remarks on Precocity, quoted, 77.

*Audubon*, Mr., Biography of birds by, reviewed, 364—value of such works, 368—anecdotes of a naturalist related by, 382—his first interview with Alexander Wilson, 383—misunderstanding between them, and manliness of the tone of, 384—his censure of Philadelphia, 385—different circumstances under which he and Wilson entered on their labors, 386—some account of his early life, 387—his perseverance in his favorite pursuit, 388—account of his appearance when visiting Niagara, 389

—his voyage on the Ohio river, 390—adventure on his return from the Upper Mississippi, 391—hospitality experienced by him at the

West, 392—his loss of his money in Upper Canada, 393—manner in which he repaired the loss, 394—his meeting with Daniel Boon, 395—his account of an earthquake in the West, 397—and of a hurricane, 398—loss of his drawings, 399—his account of the discovery of the bird of Washington, 400.

## B.

*Bacon*, Lord, his testimony in regard to Cabot's discovery of America, 416.

*Barber*, Dr., his Grammar of Elocution, reviewed, 494—quoted, 495. *Barker*, Mr., his support of Lloyd's pretensions to the Authorship of Junius, 350.

*Bell*, Mr., his Life of Mary Queen of Scots, reviewed, 144—its character, 177.

*Berceo*, Gonzalo de, his works reviewed, 277—their character, 279—his *Vide de San Millan*, quoted, and his Miracles of our Lady, noticed, 280—and quoted, 281—again quoted, 284.

*Biographie Universelle*, its strange errors as to the history of Cabot, 408—remark made by, relating to Cabot, 412.

*Bird of Washington*, account of Audubon's discovery of the, 400.

*Birds*, biography of, reviewed, 364—those most generally known in New-England, 369—the folly of those who destroy them, 369—attractiveness of the study of their habits, 371—their migration, 372—provision made by them for their wants, 373—their art in building their nests, 374—of their song, 378.

*Bolingbroke*, Lord, his parallel between Lucullus and Marlborough, quoted, 260—Burke's imitation of his style, 342.

*Bonilla*, Alonso de, some of his lines quoted, 287.

*Boon*, Daniel, his interview with Audubon, and extraordinary anecdote related of him by the latter, 395.

*Boswell*, Croker's edition of Johnson's Life, by, reviewed, 91—injustice of the contempt with which

he is usually regarded, 92—Cumberland's testimony in his favor, 93—ludicrous manner in which his veneration for Johnson was exhibited, 94—suspicion which he has unintentionally brought upon Johnson's character, 95—injustice of some of Croker's strictures upon him, 105—his excellence as a biographer, 107—his injustice towards Madame Piozzi, 108.

*Bothwell*, inquiry into the circumstances of his marriage to Queen Mary, 161—his trial for the murder of Darnley, 163—his artifice to induce the Lords to sanction his marriage, 165—his seizure of the Queen's person, 166—his fate, 175.

*Bouchette*, Col., his work on Lower Canada, alluded to, 534—his opinion as to the award of the King of the Netherlands, 563.

*Boyd*, Hugh Macauley, of his claims to the Authorship of Junius, 326. *Brockhaus*, Mr., the original projector of the Conversations Lexicon, 262.

*Brooke*, Lord, his account of the death of Sir Philip Sidney, 57.

*Bryant*, W. C., his translation from Ponce de Leon, quoted, 304—his translation from Argensola, quoted, 307—his Poems reviewed, 502—and quoted, 503 et seq.

*Buchanan*, his unfairness in regard to Queen Mary's character, 149.

*Burke*, Edmund, his colloquial power, 100—his comment upon Junius, quoted, 323—his denial of the Authorship of Junius, 327—his Vindication of Natural Society, 342.

*Butler*, Col., Judge Marshall's error in regard to, in the Life of Washington, 124.

*Byron*, Lord, propensity of our poets to imitate, 76—his opinion of Pope, quoted, 76, note—warning afforded by his example, 120.

## C.

*Cabot*, Life of Sebastian, 405—great inaccuracies prevailing on the subject of his history, 408—is born at Bristol, and resided in infancy at Venice, 412—is excited by the spirit of maritime discovery, 413

—receives a patent from Henry VII., 414—account of his discovery of America, 417—enters into the service of Spain, and retires from it, 418—returns to Spain, and proceeds on a voyage of discovery, 419—dissensions on the voyage, 420—his suppression of a mutiny, and visit to South America, 421—his battle with the natives, 422—his return to Spain, and unjust treatment by the Spanish historians and Mr. Southey, 423—his return to England, 424—his projection of a voyage to the north of Europe, 428—his death and character, 427—his services to England, 428.

*Calderon*, Devotion of the Cross, by, noticed, 296—explanation of it, 297—quoted, 299.

*Canning*, Mr., his speech at Liverpool, quoted, on the subject of reform, 32.

*Capo d'Istrias*, Count, his views in regard to education in Greece, 2—his general character, 10.

*Carey*, Matthew, his exertions in the cause of Greece, 11.

*Catholics*, effect of the prejudice against, on the truth of history, 146.

*Chancellor*, Richard, is made second in command of Willoughby's expedition, 425—his character and fate, 426.

*Chatham*, Lord, Waterhouse's sketch of his life and character, reviewed, 316—fallacy of the argument to prove his identity with Junius, 357.

*Chemistry*, Silliman's work on, reviewed, 79—character of Davy's and Henry's works on, 79—definition of, 82—defects of most works on, 86—importance of presenting the doctrines of, in connexion with the arts of life, 91.

*Church*, English, probability of the overthrow of the present establishment, 41—origin of its property, 42—Mr. Hume's notion respecting the, 43—probability of a more equal diffusion of its revenues, 44—of granting parishes of the, the right of selecting their own teachers, 45.

*Cicero*, his *Somnium Scipionis*, quo-

ted, 240, *note*,—and *de Natura Deorum*, 245.

*Collins*, his Ode to Evening, quoted, 71.

*Colonial System*, English, how to be affected by reform in Parliament, 46—of the representation of the colonies, 46.

*Colonies*, English, their probable emancipation, 47.

*Columbus*, his claims to the discovery of America inferior to those of Cabot, 417.

*Commercial Marine*, Greek, its condition under the Turkish power, 19—its probable increase, 20.

*Constitution of the U. S.*, written by Gouverneur Morris, 478.

*Continental Congress*, their difficulties in making provision for the Revolutionary Army, 472.

*Conversations Lexicon*, German, its origin and plan, 262—and vast circulation, 263.

*Cotton*, reduced export of, to France in 1830, 24.

*Cottons*, effect of machinery upon, 233.

*Croker's Boswell*, reviewed, 91—some of its defects pointed out, 105—its general excellence, 106—diligence with which the materials have been collected, 108.

*Crown*, change in the constitution of the English, 39—allusion to Blackstone on the prerogative of the, 41.

*Cumberland*, Richard, his testimony in favor of Boswell, 93.

D.

*Dante*, Griffin's criticism of, quoted, 142.

*Davy*, Sir Humphrey, character of his work on Chemical Philosophy, 79.

*Debt*, public, approaching extinction of the, 178—effect of this event on the financial and economical measures of the government, 186.

*Defence of Poetry*, Sir Philip Sidney's, reviewed, 56—its merit, 57—quotation from, on the moral influence of poetry, 64.

*Denomology*, [See *Superstition*.]

*Dictionary of Chemistry*, Ure's, quoted, 86.

*Divination*, art of, in ancient and modern times, 213 et seq.

*Drayton*, Col., Verplanck's letter to, reviewed, 178.

*Dunning*, reasons for believing that he did not write Junius, 345.

### E.

*Easter Sunday* in Rome, Griffin's description of, 136 et seq.

*Eden*, Richard, his *Decades of the New World* referred to, 413—his account of the closing years of Cabot, 427.

*Education* in Greece, the interference of the Greek government respecting, no just reason for discouragement, 4—its progress and present state, 6—benefits arising from, 22.

*Elizabeth*, Queen, incorrect idea given of her by English historians, 146—her treatment of Queen Mary, 176.

*Elskwátaua*, origin of his project to unite the western Indians against the United States, 436—his real character examined, 437—his mode of availing himself of Indian superstition, 438—his success in effecting his purpose, 439—extent of his operations, and alarm excited by them, 440—instruction from the war department respecting the force collected under him, 443—his defeat, 444—injury to his reputation resulting from the failure of his plans, 445—circumstances to be considered in judging of his character, 446—his extraordinary influence over the Indians, 447—execution of Leatherville by his order, 448—difficulties which he encountered, 449—his conduct at the battle of Tippecanoe, 450—instance of his cunning, 454—difference between him and Tecumseh, 455.

*Encyclopædias*, defects of the common, and their want of adaptation to our community, 264.

*Encyclopædia Americana*, reviewed, its excellence and superiority to the German Conversations Lexicon, 262—its peculiar adaptation to our country, 264—its execution and cheapness, 265.

*England*, reform in, [See *Reform*.]

politics of, their effect on this country, 24—her situation as a first-rate power, to be seriously affected by the issue of the question of reform, 25—changes introduced into the constitution of, by the reform bill, 29—effect of the opinion of the people of, on public affairs, 31—effect of her interference in foreign politics, 52—mistaken view of the effects of machinery prevailing in, 225—real effect of machinery on the power of, 243.

*Erasmus*, his attempt to infer authorship from style, 341.

*Eustis*, Mr., his instructions to Governor Harrison, respecting the Prophet and his followers, 442.

*Evening Post*, New York, its erroneous views respecting the reduction of the public revenue, 189.

*Everett*, Edward, his Address before the N. Y. American Institute, reviewed, 178—its effect, and quotation from it, 193 et seq.

### F.

*Faber*, his *Rimas Sacras y Doctrinales*, reviewed, 277.

*Ferdinand*, King, his offers to Cabot, 418.

*Flint*, Mr., allusion to his work upon the Western States, 392.

*Flood*, Henry, reasons against his claim to the authorship of Junius, 347.

*France*, effects of the late Revolution in, upon our commerce, 24—abolition of the hereditary House of Peers in, 38.

*Francis*, Sir Philip, charged with being the author of Junius, 327—reasons for believing that he is not the author, 348—Dr. Parr's testimony on that subject, 349.

### G.

*Garcia*, Diego, his commission to watch the movements of Cabot, 419—his conduct towards Cabot, and his character, 424.

*Garrick*, David, some interesting traits of his character, 119.

*Ghent*, boundary fixed by the treaty of, 532—points insisted on by the British negotiators at, 537.

*Gifford*, William, anecdote told by, of Jacob Bryant, 101.

*Glover*, Richard, a work in support of his claim to the authorship of Junius, quoted, 331.

*Gongora*, Luis de, his poem on the Nativity, quoted, 289.

*Graham*, Dr., his Memoirs of Tooke reviewed, 316—character of his work, 351—its inconclusiveness, 352.

*Gray's Letters*, quoted as an illustration of an argument respecting the authorship of Junius, 335—again quoted, 367.

*Greece*, Anderson's Observations in, reviewed, 1—cause of education in, how best promoted, 3—interference of the Government of, in the system of education, 4—objectionable nature of the prayers furnished for schools by the Government of, 5—number of schools and progress of education in, 6, 7—present condition of, 9—American efforts in the cause of, 11—success of, 12—what has been gained to the world by the independence of, 13—brief view of the changes in the fortunes of, 14—her degradation, 15—work of her improvement, how to be carried on, 19—her commercial marine, 19—causes of rational interest in the improvement of, 20—her fitness to become a free State, 21—happy results of education in, 22.

*Griffin*, Francis, his Remains of E. D. Griffin, 119.

*Griffin*, E. D., Remains of, reviewed, 119—character of his mind, 121—his birth and early education, 122—his visit to Wyoming, and correction of an error of Judge Marshall, quoted, 123—his first acquaintance with his biographer, 126—anecdote of him, 127—his habits of study, 128—his poems, 129—enters upon theological studies, preaches with success, and visits Europe, 130—his labor in preparing Lectures on Literature, 131—his Remains, how to be viewed, 132—character of his poetical writings, 133—his lines on leaving Italy, 134—his travels, 135—his description of Easter Sunday in Rome, 136 et seq.—his criticism on Dante, 142.

H.

*Hakluyt*, his Collection of Voyages, and his remarkable diligence in gathering information, 409.

*Hamilton*, Alexander, his view of the necessity of preparation for war, 252.

*Hamilton*, William Gerard, accused of writing Junius, 326.

*Harrison*, Governor, his view of the character of the Prophet, 437—account of his interview with Tecumseh, 441.

*Hawkins*, Sir John, remark of Johnson related by, 92—his injustice towards Johnson, 110—his conduct during Johnson's last illness, 111.

*Hebrew Poetry*, example which it gives of the tendency of poetry, 63.

*Heckewelder*, Mr., his account of the Shawanese Indians, 431—his testimony in regard to Indian superstition, 438.

*Henry*, Dr., character of his work on Experimental Chemistry, 79.

*Historical Society of Maine*, its collections reviewed, 429.

*History*, tendency of the present age to the investigation of, 405—difficulties attending the investigation, 406.

*House of Lords*, modification made necessary in the, by the Reform bill, 35—difference between its ancient and present constitution, 36—its foundation no longer on the historical basis, 37.

*House of Commons*, new principle of the, introduced by the Reform bill, 30—does not now express the sense of the people, 33.

*Hume*, David, his influence and prejudice as a historian, 148—his inaccuracy, 150.

*Hume*, Joseph, his motion in parliament respecting the English Church, 43—his proposition as to the representation of the colonies, 46—defects in this plan, 48.

*Huskisson*, Mr., his declaration respecting the acquisition of Texas by the United States, 25—correct-

ness of his prediction in regard to reform, and his speeches, quoted, 28, *note*.

## I.

*India*, British, defects of Mr. Hume's plan of colonial representation as applied to, 48.

*Indian Biography*, subject resumed from a former number, 429—of Elskwātawa and Tecumseh, 430 et seq.

*Indians*, importance of their history and prevailing neglect of it, 430—instances of their superstition, 438.

*Internal Improvement*, benefit which would result from applying the surplus revenue to works of, 192.

*Ireland*, situation of, in a religious point of view, 49—probable effects of reform on, 50.

*Irving*, Washington, Griffin's description of, 140.

## J.

*Johnson*, Dr., Croker's edition of Boswell's Life of, reviewed, 91—his intellectual power, 92—peculiarities of his character, 94—involuntary injustice of Boswell towards him, 95—reasons of his occasional roughness of manner, 96—his liberal charity, 97—his regard for veracity, 98—defect of his temperament and his views of life, 99—his mind and conversation, 100—his scholarship, 101—his style, 102—his poetical talent, and Lives of the Poets, 103—injustice of some of Mr. Croker's strictures upon him, 106—faults of his biographers, 107—circumstances attending his last illness, 112—his personal habits, 114—his remark in regard to the inquiry concerning Junius, 317.

*Johnson*, Judge, his unjust charges against Gouverneur Morris, examined and refuted, 476.

*Johnson*, Col., his claim to the honor of killing Tecumseh, 445.

*Johnstone*, Judge, anecdote of, showing the danger of relying on the evidence of handwriting, 342.

*Junius*, authorship of, considered, and various works relating to, reviewed, 316—errors of the various

writers upon the subject of, 316—his own assertions respecting himself not to be taken without qualification, 317—examination of the passage cited to prove the privity of other persons to the letters of, 318—another passage examined, which is supposed to imply it, 319—why he should have wished for concealment after death, 321—importance of preserving the secret during his life, 322—statements of various persons that they knew the author, 325—confessions of the authorship not to be relied on, 327—danger of relying on any supposed coincidence between the situation of supposed writers and Junius, 328—and on parallel expressions, 330—argument founded on resemblance of style, 338—of the evidence afforded by handwriting, 342.

## K.

*King of England*, his going to the House of Lords, on the occasion of proroguing Parliament, 30—his reference of the Reform bill to the sense of the people, 31.

*King of the Netherlands*, his award respecting the North-Eastern Boundary examined, 516.

*Kirkaldy*, Sir William, his chivalrous character, 169.

## L.

*Labouchere*, Mr., his reply to Mr. Hume, on the representation of the British colonies in Parliament, 46.

*Lafayette*, Gen., detail of his conversation with Gouverneur Morris, 481—Mr. Morris's efforts to procure his release, and liberality to his family, 487.

*Laing*, Mr., his injustice as a historian to the character of Queen Mary, 154.

*Lardner*, Dr., error of his Cabinet Cyclopædia respecting Cabot, 415—error as to the discoveries of Cortereal, 417, *note*—another error in regard to Cabot, 423.

*Leather-lips*, circumstances attending his execution by order of the Prophet, 448.

*Lee*, General, relation of the circumstances under which he claimed the authorship of Junius, 327.

*Leon*, Ponce de, his poem on the Assumption of the Virgin, quoted, 290—particulars of his history given, 303, 304—an Ode of his on Heavenly Life, quoted, 304.

*Library of Useful Knowledge*, extent of its circulation, 265.

*Lieber*, Dr., his explanation of the object of the *Encyclopædia Americana*, 263—judgment displayed by him in the execution of the work, 266.

*Life of Sebastian Cabot*, merit and plan of the work, 407—its defects, 410—its peculiar excellence, 411.

*Lincoln*, Governor, value of his manuscripts in the Collections of the Maine Historical Society, 429.

*Lingard*, Dr., his course as a historian in regard to Mary Queen of Scots, 150.

*Lloyd*, Charles, reasons tending to disprove his identity with Junius, 350.

*Louis Philippe*, Gouverneur Morris's liberality towards, 488.

*Lyttelton*, Lord, circumstances attending his death, 210.

M.

*Machinery*, effects of, 220—its influence on society, considered, 221—the experiment of its effects will be most advantageously tried in this country, 224—mistake prevailing in regard to the effects of, 225—origin of, 227—objection that it diminishes the demand for industry, refuted, 229—its effect as applied to the cotton manufacture, shown, 233—immediate distress resulting from its application, 234—its ultimate effect, 235—tendency ascribed to it, to gather wealth into masses, 236—shown to be unfounded, 237—it does not necessarily impair the health or degrade the morals, 238—its influence on the intellectual, as well as the physical condition, 239—effect produced by the printing-press, 240—probable consequences of banishing it, 241—its prolongation of the term of life, 242—its influence in enabling England to sustain the burden of her wars, 243—various modes of its application, 244.

*Madison*, Mr., his testimony to the merit of Gouverneur Morris as a member of the convention which formed the Constitution, 478.

*Maine*, Collections of the Historical Society of, reviewed, 429—northeastern boundary of, questions respecting, examined, 514.

*Manrique*, his poem on the death of his father, translated and quoted, 310.

*Maritime Discovery*, Review of the History of, reviewed, 405—manner in which it is executed, 428.

*Marshall*, Chief Justice, error in his Life of Washington, 123.

*Marshall*, Mr., his History of Kentucky, quoted, respecting the Shawanese Indians, 433.

*Mary Queen of Scots*, Bell's Life of, reviewed, 144—reasons of the difficulty of judging of her character, 145—beginning of the controversy in regard to her conduct, 149—opinions of various historians respecting her, 150—confession of Paris against her, not to be relied on, 150—of the silver box containing her letters, 151—mode in which it was produced, and reasons to believe that its contents were forged, 152—purpose for which they were produced, 153—external evidence against their authenticity, 154—internal evidence, 156—her marriage to Bothwell, 160—her conduct in regard to the trial of Bothwell for the murder of Darnley, 163—seizure of her person by Bothwell, 167—her conduct to him after the marriage, 167—her innocence of the charges brought against her, 174.

*Mavromichalis*, Prince, his revolt in Greece, 10.

*McVickar*, Professor, his Memoir of Griffin, reviewed, 119—its merit, 120—his account of Mr. Nelson, the blind teacher, quoted, 125—his first acquaintance with Griffin, 127.

*Mendez*, Martin, his controversies with Cabot, 420.

*Military Academy*, West Point, reports of its visitors reviewed, and an account of its origin, 246—examination of some objections brought against it, 247 et seq.—its general objects, 248—course of instruction adopted in it, 248—some objections to that course stated, 249—charges against it examined, 252—that it is an engine of favoritism, refuted, 253—mode in which its pupils are selected, explained, 254—plan suggested of distributing commissions in the army among its graduates, 255—its influence on the discipline of the militia, and in effecting works of internal improvement, 257—its system of government and discipline, and their salutary effect 258—some improvements in it, suggested, 260.

*Militia*, inefficiency of the present system of, 256.

*Missionary Herald*, its statements relative to education in Greece, alluded to, 2—Mr. Temple's letter on the subject, quoted from it, 3—its account of the progress of schools and education in Greece, quoted, 6.

*Mocking Bird*, some account of the, 370—its song, 378—its habits, 404.

*Moore*, Thomas, account of his person and musical talent by Griffin, 141.

*Morris*, Gouverneur, Sparks's Life of, reviewed, 465—his birth and early life, 467—commences the study of the law, 468—his election to the Colonial Assembly of New York, 469—his agency in procuring the declaration of the independence of New York, 470—and in the formation of the constitution of that State, 471—his labors in the Continental Congress, and unjust suspicions against him, 472—expiration of his term as a member of Congress, 474—his exertions in regard to the finances, and loss of his leg, 475—is made assistant financier, and error of Johnson's charges against him, 476—his election to the Convention which formed the Constitu-

tion, 477—his honor, as the writer of that instrument, 478—his views on the subject of the Constitution, 479—his visit to France, and extracts from his diary, 480—goes to England as a confidential agent, 482—his ill success, 483—his interest in the affairs of France, 484—his appointment as minister to France, 485—his recall, 486—his exertions to procure the liberation of Lafayette, 487—his liberality to the Duke of Orleans, and his interview with George III., 488—with the King of Prussia, 489—later events of his life, his death and character, 491—his moral qualities, 492.

*Morris*, Robert, placed at the head of the department of finance, his character, 475—and success, 476.

*Murray*, Earl of, ingratitude displayed in his conduct towards Queen Mary, 171—his privity to all the conspiracies against her, 177.

*Muscicapa Bonapartii*, Audubon's discovery of the, 401.

## N.

*National Literature*, what is intended by the term, 69.

*Nativity*, Gongora's poem on the, quoted, 289.

*Necker*, Gouverneur Morris's account of, in his diary, 480.

*Nelson* Mr., the blind instructor, his success in teaching, 125.

*Newhall*, Mr., his letters to prove Lord Temple the author of Junius, reviewed, 316—character of the work, 360—inconclusiveness of the argument, 361.

*New York*, account of the old Colonial Assembly of, 469—declaration of independence in, 470—and formation of a constitution, 471.

*New York Convention*, address of the, reviewed, 178—its character and political feeling, 180—character of its address, and the constitutional argument, 182.

*North-Eastern Boundary*, reports of committees of the Legislatures of Massachusetts and Maine, respecting the, reviewed, 514.

*Nuttall*, Mr., his work on the birds of the United States, alluded to, 368.

## O.

*Observations in Greece*, Anderson's, reviewed, 1.

*Ohio River*, Audubon's voyage upon the, described, 390.

*Ornithological Biography*, Audubon's, reviewed, 364.

## P.

*Painting*, effect upon the mind produced by, in representations of divine subjects, 292.

*Paley*, Dr., his remark on the provision made in the United States for the support of religious worship, 45—his remark on the vocabulary of party, 329.

*Paris*, his confessions against Queen Mary, 150—their fallacy shown, 151.

*Parr*, Dr., his opinion respecting the authorship of Junius, 325—his testimony against the claim of Sir Philip Francis, 349.

*Pastoral Poetry*, cause of its failure in England, 72.

*People*, influence of the, on the course of public affairs in England, 31—new mode of ascertaining the sense of the, introduced by the Reform bill, 32.

*Peru*, Cabot's plan for conquering, and its conquest by Pizarro, 422.

*Philadelphia*, charge of inhospitality brought by Audubon against, 385.

*Philadelphia Convention*, address of the, reviewed, 178—composition and character of the body, 179—character of its address and the course of argument, 181.

*Philip*, King, similarity between his fortunes and those of Tecumseh, 431.

*Piozzi*, Madame, her injustice towards Johnson, 107—anecdotes related of Johnson by her, 110.

*Pitt*, Mr. his appeal to the people of England from the majority of the House of Commons, 31.

*Poetry*, Defence of, 56 et seq.—prevailing indifference to, in this country, 59—not the natural or necessary tendency of, to enervate

VOL. XXXIV.—NO. 75.

and corrupt the mind, 63—of objections to, founded on its abuse, 65—its nature and origin, 66—its connexion with individual and social character, 67—its uses in a historical point of view, 68—its importance greater than is commonly supposed, 69—fluence of external causes upon it, 70—cause of the failure of pastoral, in England, 72.

*Portland*, Willis's history of, noticed, 429.

*Power-Loom*, effect of its introduction into manufacturing, 233.

*Preble*, Mr., his description of the highlands forming the boundary of Maine, 545.

*Proscription*, abandoned as the basis of the House of Commons, by the Reform bill, 29.

*Proctor*, General, Tecumseh's address to, on the occasion of his retreat from Malden, 461.

*Prophet*, The, [See *Elkswátawa*.]

*Protecting Duties*, their operation as respects the poor, 190.

*Protestant Historians*, how far misled by their prejudices, 147.

*Pushmataha*, his last words to his brethren, 75.

## R.

*Rasselas*, incorrect views of life given in, 99.

*Reform*, English, an American question, and why, 23—issue of the appeal to the electors of England on the subject of, 25—folly of expecting enthusiasm in favor of, from the present ministry, 26—whence it may be commonly expected to come, 27—Mr. Canning's speech in regard to, quoted, 32.

*Reform Bill*, vigor of the attack made upon, by its opponents, contrasted with that exhibited in its defence, 26—effect of the, on the British Constitution, 29—its operation on the principle of the House of Commons, 30—its provision of a new mode of taking the sense of the people, 32—unequal representation proposed by it, 34—new modification of the House of Lords required by it, 35—its probable effect

on the crown, 39—on the church, 41—on the colonial system, 46—on the condition of Ireland, 49—on public expenditures in England, and interference with the politics of other countries, 50.

*Regulators*, some account of, in the West, 391.

*Revenue, Public*, how it may be reduced, without affecting the tariff, 186.

*Reynolds*, Sir Joshua, his intimacy with Johnson, 116.

*Reynolds*, Miss, her remark on the veracity of Dr. Johnson, 98—interest and value of her Recollections of Johnson, 113.

*Robertson*, Dr., influence of his historical opinions, 148.

*Rodney*, T., his account of Gen. Lee's avowal of the authorship of Junius, 327.

*Rome*, various arts of divination practised in, 214.

*Rosenhagen*, his usurpation of the authorship of Junius, 326.

*Ruhunken*, his detection of a passage of Longinus, 341.

*Rush*, Dr., his explanation of apparitions, 210.

*Rush*, Dr. James, his Philosophy of the Voice, alluded to, 494.

*Russell*, Lord John, his speech on the Reform bill, quoted, 33.

S.

*Sackville*, Lord George, a work intended to prove him the author of Junius, reviewed, 316—some expressions of his relating to Junius, 326—inconclusiveness of the evidence in support of his claims, 354.

*Salem Witchcraft*, circumstances by which it was preceded, 206—atrocities to which it gave rise, 207.

*Sanchez*, his works of Gonzalo de Berceo, reviewed, 277.

*Saul*, his interview with the woman of Endor, related and explained, 203.

*Schlegel*, his History of Literature, quoted, 69.

*Scott*, Sir Walter, anecdotes related by, of Johnson and Boswell, 117—his estimate of the character of Mary Queen of Scots, 150—defect of his work on Demonology, 202—his view of the story of the woman of Endor, 204—his explanation of apparitions, 209.

*Shawnee Nation*, brief account of of the, 431—their enmity to the first settlers of Kentucky, 433.

*Sidney*, Sir Philip, his character, 56—and death, 57—merits of his Arcadia, 72.

*Silliman's Chemistry*, its general merit, 79—its preface quoted, 80—its introduction quoted, on the connexion between the various branches of natural science, 81—its importance to the student, 85—its method, 88—its plan quoted, 89—its value, from presenting chemistry in connexion with the arts of life, 90.

*Smith*, President, his explanation of apparitions, 210.

*Smith*, Adam, account of his interview with Dr. Johnson, 118.

*Somerset*, Lord Granville, his proposed amendment of the reform bill, 33.

*Southern*, Mr., his injustice to Sebastian Cabot, 421—another instance of the same injustice, 423.

*Spain*, her peculiarity in a religious point of view, 278—worship of the Virgin in, 286.

*Spanish Devotional Poetry*, examined, 277—its peculiar spirit, how affected by the religion of the country, 278—character and writings of Berceo, 279—his *Vida de San Millan*, quoted, 280—his miracles of our Lady noticed, 282—and quoted, 283—again quoted, 284—lines of Bonilla, quoted, 287—Gongora's Nativity of Christ, quoted, 289—Leon's poem on the Assumption of the Virgin, quoted, 290—Sonnet by Lope de Vega, quoted, 294—another, quoted, 295—its general beauty, 301—poem by Leon, quoted, 304—its general characteristics, 308.

*Spanish Moral Poetry*, its character, 310.

*Sparks*, Mr., his Life of Gouverneur Morris, reviewed, 465—his freedom from a common error of biographers, 466—interest and value of his work, 467—his work

quoted, on the character of Morris, 492.

*Stael*, Madame de, her first interview with Gouverneur Morris, 482.

*Statistics of Crime*, an article from the *Encyclopædia Americana*, noticed, 267.

*Stephens*, Alexander, Anecdote related by, of Tooke's avowal of the authorship of Junius, 325.

*Stewart*, Dugald, his dissertation on the progress of Philosophy, quoted, 89.

*Superstition*, Upham's and Thacher's works on, 198—not wholly banished, 199—recent examples of, 200—natural to man, 201—causes of our fathers', 204—and apology for it, 205—as exhibited in the belief in apparitions, 209—in impressions of the death of others, 212—in divination, 213—its origin, and cause of its prevalence in ancient times, 217—its still existing causes, 217—its operation on the mind, 218—its remedy to be found in the progress of knowledge, 220.

*Systems*, passion of the Germans for, 89.

T.

*Talleyrand*, his character, as drawn by Gouverneur Morris, 481.

*Tariff*, The, its constitutionality, when first questioned, 182—may now be regarded as settled, 183—its benefits, 184—question of expediency of, soon to be settled in favor, 185.

*Taylor*, Mr., his Junius Identified, quoted, 330.

*Tecumseh*, some particulars of his history given, 431—his birth and parentage, 434—his character in youth, and conduct in his first battle, 435—his co-operation with his brother, 436—his interview with Gen. Garrison, at Vincennes, 441—is made a Brigadier General in the British army, 444—his bravery and death, 445—contrast between him and the Prophet, 446—his sagacity in forming his plans, 447—difficulties encountered by him, 449—his influence in exciting the savages against the Americans, 453—his energy illustrated, 454—differences between his general dealing and that of the Prophet, 455—his causes of complaint against the Americans, 456—particularly their purchase of Indian lands, 457—his openness in avowing his projects, 458—particulars of his interview with Gen. Garrison at Vincennes, 459—his account of British instigation, 460—his speech to Gen. Proctor, 461—character of his eloquence, 462—his humanity, 463—and general character, 464.

*Temple*, Lord Newhall's Letters to prove him the author of Junius, reviewed, 316—fallacy of the argument, 361.

*Temple*, Mr., his letter respecting education in Greece, quoted, 3.

*Texas*, Mr. Huskisson's menace, relating to its acquisition by the U. States, 25.

*Thacher*, Dr. his work on Demonology, reviewed, 198—anecdote related by him, 209—his work quoted, on the remedy for superstition, 220.

*Thrale*, Mr., Dr. Johnson's residence in his family, 109.

*Tooke*, John Horne, Grahame's Memoirs of, reviewed, 316—his avowal of the authorship of Junius, 325—reasons against his pretensions, 352.

*Turkey*, probability of its emancipation, 17—condition of the monarchy of, and its visible decay, 18.

U.

*United States*, influence of their free institutions, 55—general character of their political history, 178—their purchases of lands from the Indians, 458.

*Upham*, Mr., his Lectures on Witchcraft, their character, 198—his view of the story of the woman of Endor, 204—his account of Moll Pitcher, 215—his work quoted, on the abuse of knowledge for the purposes of imposition, 218.

V.

*Vega*, Lope de, sonnet by, quoted, 294—another sonnet by, quoted, 295.

*Verplanck*, Mr., his letter to Colonel Drayton, reviewed, 178—its merit, and views as to the constitutionality of the tariff, 184.

*Vidas de Santos*, Spanish, explanation of, 296.

*Virgin*, The, impressive effect of the evening service of, in Spain, 286.

### W.

*Walpole*, Horace, his letter on the climate of England, quoted, 73.

*Waterhouse*, Dr., his work to prove Lord Chatham the author of Junius, reviewed, 316—character of the work, 356—inconclusiveness of his argument, 357.

*Western States*, hospitality common in the, 392—manner of their settlement, 396—account of an earthquake in the, 397.

*West Point*, Military Academy at, [see *Military Academy*.]

*Wheaton*, Mr., his History of the Northmen referred to, on the subject of the discovery of America, 417, note.

*Whitefoord's* testimony as to the importance of Junius, 323.

*Wilkes*, John, his homage to Junius, quoted, 323.

*Willis*, Mr., his History of Portland, noticed, 429.

*Willoughby*, Sir Hugh, his expedition to the North of Europe, 425,—his fate, 426.

*Wilson*, Alexander, some account of his early life, 379—his perseverance in qualifying himself for his favorite pursuit of ornithology, 380—anecdotes of the difficulties he experienced in his journeys, 381—his first interview with Audubon, 383—account of a misunderstanding between them, 384—difference between the circumstances under which he and Audubon entered on their favorite pursuit, 387.

*Windham*, Mr., his account of the last illness of Dr. Johnson, 112.

*Witchcraft*, Upham's and Thacher's works on, reviewed, 198—origin of prevailing delusions in regard to, 202—definition of modern, 203—circumstances attending the Salem, 206.

*Witch of En-dor*, Saul's interview with the, described, 203.

*Woodfall*, Mr., relation in which he stood to Junius, 318.

*Woodpecker*, its value in destroying insects, 369.

*Wordsworth*, danger of imitating, 76.

*Working Classes*, causes of their aversion to machinery, 232.

*Working Man's Companion*, reviewed, and its general object, 220.

*Wraxall*, Sir Nathaniel, his statement that George III. knew the author of Junius, 325.

### X.

*Xavier*, Latin hymn by, quoted, 293, note.

### Z.

*Zeisburger*, his residence among the Shawanees, 433.

*Zinzendorf*, Count, treatment of him by the Shawanees, 434.







